How are the revised Periodic Reporting Questionnaires structured?
Origins of the revised questionnaire

- After the First Cycle of Periodic Reporting, a number of States Parties and the World Heritage Centre identified problems in the questionnaires (Section I and II)
- The World Heritage Committee requested in 2005 a simplification of the Questionnaire and appointed a Working Group to this purpose (Decision 30COM 11G)
- The Working group drafted (2005-2007) a revised questionnaire
- The revised questionnaire was field-tested in 2008 (20 States Parties, 32 properties)
- A final consolidated version of the questionnaire, including comments by States Parties participating in the field testing, was approved by the World Heritage Committee in 2008 (Decision 32COM 11E)
The differences between Section I and Section II

• Section I concerns the implementation of the *World Heritage Convention* at the national level, while Section II concerns each World Heritage property.

• Section I concerns all cultural and natural heritage (World Heritage or not), while Section II only concerns World Heritage properties.

• Section I is filled-in by the Focal Point, while Section II is filled-in by the site manager and then validated by the Focal Point, who submits it to the World Heritage Centre.
The structure of Section I

1. Introduction
2. Inventories/lists/registers for cultural and natural heritage
3. Tentative List
4. Nominations
5. General Policy Development
6. Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation
7. Scientific and Technical Studies and Research
8. Financial Status and Human Resources
9. Training
10. International Cooperation
11. Education, Information and Awareness Building
12. Conclusions and Recommended Actions
13. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
The structure of Section II

1. World Heritage Property Data
2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
3. Factors affecting the Property
4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property
5. Summary and Conclusions
6. Conclusions of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
The components

The title of the question
The pre-filled information
The question and the answer
The status of the answer
The Users’ Guide
The number of the question
The disclaimer
The space for comments and updates

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value/Statement of Significance

Statement of Significance
Criterion (v): The collection of Aflaj irrigation systems represents some 3,000 still functioning systems in Oman. Ancient engineering technologies demonstrate long standing, sustainable use of water resources for the cultivation of palms and other produce in extremely arid desert lands. Such systems reflect the former total dependence of communities on this irrigation and a time-honoured, fair and effective management and sharing of water resources, underpinned by mutual dependence and communal values.

⚠ Agree with current data?
Please note that any change to this information needs to be reviewed by the relevant Advisory Body and approved by the World Heritage Committee. For very minor changes, i.e. typing mistakes and not changes to content, please contact the World Heritage Centre at wh-periodicreporting@unesco.org.

⚠ Your comment:
The types of questions:
1) check and up-date

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Coordinates (longitude / latitude)</th>
<th>Property (ha)</th>
<th>Buffer zone (ha)</th>
<th>Total (ha)</th>
<th>Inscription year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Falaj Al-Katmeen, Nizwa, Dakhiliya, Oman</td>
<td>22.933 / 57.667</td>
<td>135.028</td>
<td>1756.4</td>
<td>1891.428</td>
<td>2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falaj Al-Malki, Izki, Interior, Oman</td>
<td>22.733 / 57.767</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>4255.71</td>
<td>4855.71</td>
<td>2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falaj Daris, Nizwa, Dakhiliya, Oman</td>
<td>22.983 / 57.533</td>
<td>389.468</td>
<td>3370.1</td>
<td>3759.568</td>
<td>2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falaj Al-Muyesser, Al Rustaq, Interior, Oman</td>
<td>23.35 / 57.45</td>
<td>300.501</td>
<td>3182.66</td>
<td>3483.161</td>
<td>2006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total (ha)**

1455.949  16404.33  17860.279

⚠️ Agree with current data? Validate [ ] Update [ ]
The types of questions:
2) Yes/No

Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

6.4.1 yes
6.4.2 no
The types of questions:
3) Multiple choice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question 4.2.5</th>
<th>guidance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.2.5.1</td>
<td>There is <strong>no effective capacity/resources</strong> to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2.5.2</td>
<td>There are <strong>major deficiencies</strong> in capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2.5.3</td>
<td>There is <strong>acceptable</strong> capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2.5.4</td>
<td>There is <strong>excellent</strong> capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The types of questions: 4) Rating

Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating on a 4 point scale</th>
<th>Not applicable</th>
<th>Non-existent</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Good</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.4.13.1 Research and monitoring</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4.13.2 Promotion</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4.13.3 Community outreach</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4.13.4 Interpretation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4.13.5 Education</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4.13.6 Visitor management</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4.13.7 Conservation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4.13.8 Administration</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4.13.9 Risk preparedness</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4.13.10 Tourism</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4.13.11 Enforcement (custodians, police)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The types of questions:
5) Percentages

N.B. Both cells have to be filled-in. Make sure that, if you write «100» in one of them, you write «0» in the other.
The types of questions:
6) Open fields

Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

Maximum 500 characters allowed!
The Factors’ Cycle

1) The identification

2) The summary table

3) The assessment of the current negative factors

4) The identification of management responses
The Management Needs’ Cycle

1) The identification

- Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?
  - 4.4.6.1 There are little or no equipment or facilities despite an identified need
  - 4.4.6.2 There are some equipment and facilities but overall these are inadequate
  - 4.4.6.3 There are some adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area constrain management at the World Heritage property
  - 4.4.6.4 There are adequate equipment and facilities

2) The choice (6 key management needs)

- Please select the top 6 management needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)
  - 4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones
    - 4.1.4 The boundaries of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents/communities/landowners
    - 4.1.5 The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents/communities/landowners
  - 4.4 Financial and Human Resources
    - 4.4.3 The budget is inadequate for management needs
    - 4.4.6 Inadequate equipment and facilities
    - 4.4.7 Ad hoc maintenance of equipment
    - 4.4.12 Human resources inadequate for management needs
    - 4.4.13
  - 4.4.15 Capacity development plan not implemented
  - 4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building
    - 4.6.3 There is a limited education and awareness programme
    - 4.6.4 World Heritage status has partially influenced education, information and awareness building activities
  - 4.7 Visitor Management
    - 4.7.6 Visitor use of the property is not being actively managed
    - 4.7.5 There is little or no contact with the tourism industry
  - 4.8 Monitoring
    - 4.8.1 Some monitoring, but it is not planned
    - 4.8.2 Little or no information to define key indicators

3) The identification of management responses

- Inadequate equipment and facilities
  - Actions | Timeframe | Agency | Comments
  - Actions
    - Creation of a number of facilities (visitor interpretation centre, information point, etc.)
Technical features:
1) the language
Technical features

2) Print/Export

Full version
Print the full questionnaire
- Print
- Export to Word

Short version
Only print your answers
- Print
- Export to Word
Technical features:

3) Navigation and «thermometer»

Questionnaire Section II

1. World Heritage Property Data
2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
   2.1. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value/Statement of Significance
   2.2. The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed
   2.3. Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion
   2.4. If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised
   2.5. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
3. Factors Affecting the Property
4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property
5. Summary and Conclusions
6. Conclusions of Periodic Reporting Exercise
7. Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your focal point for validation. Yours sincerely, the World Heritage Centre.
Technical features:
4) saving and answering at different times