How are the revised Periodic Reporting Questionnaires structured?



Origins of the revised questionnaire

- After the First Cycle of Periodic Reporting, a number of States
 Parties and the World Heritage Centre identified problems in the
 questionnaires (Section I and II)
- The World Heritage Committee requested in 2005 a simplification of the Questionnaire and appointed a Working Group to this purpose (Decision 30COM 11G)
- The Working group drafted (2005-2007) a revised questionnaire
- The revised questionnaire was field-tested in 2008 (20 States Parties, 32 properties)
- A final consolidated version of the questionnaire, including comments by States Parties participating in the field testing, was approved by the World Heritage Committee in 2008 (Decision 32COM 11E)

The differences between Section I and Section II

- Section I concerns the implementation of the World Heritage Convention at the national level, while Section II concerns each World Heritage property
- Section I concerns all cultural and natural heritage (World Heritage or not), while Section II only concerns World Heritage properties
- Section I is filled-in by the Focal Point, while Section II is filled-in by the site manager and then validated by the Focal Point, who submits it to the World Heritage Centre



The structure of Section I

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Inventories/lists/registers for cultural and natural heritage
- 3. Tentative List
- 4. Nominations
- 5. General Policy Development
- 6. Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation
- 7. Scientific and Technical Studies and Research
- 8. Financial Status and Human Resources
- 9. Training
- 10. International Cooperation
- 11. Education, Information and Awareness Building
- 12. Conclusions and Recommended Actions
- 13. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise



The structure of Section II

- 1. World Heritage Property Data
- 2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
- 3. Factors affecting the Property
- 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property
- 5. Summary and Conclusions
- 6. Conclusions of the Periodic Reporting Exercise



The components


The status of The Users' The number of the answer Guide the question guidance 🙆 Question 2.1 The title of Statement of Outstanding Universal Value/Statement of the question Significance Statement of Significance The pre-filled Criterion (v): The collection of Aflaj irrigation systems represents some 3,000 still information functioning systems in Oman. Ancient engineering technologies demonstrate long standing, sustainable use of water resources for the cultivation of palms and other produce in extremely arid desert lands. Such systems reflect the former total dependence of communities on this irrigation and a time-honoured, fair and effective management and sharing of water resources, underpinned by mutual dependence and communal values. The question and Agree with current data? Update 🔽 Validate | the answer Please note that any change to this information needs to be reviewed by the relevant Advisory Body and approved by the World Heritage Committee. For very minor changes, i.e. typing mistakes and not changes to content, please contact the The disclaimer World Heritage Centre at wh-periodicreporting@unesco.org. A Your comment: The space for comments and updates

The types of questions: 1) check and up-date

			Question 1.3 💚 guidance 📵			
Geographic information table						
Name	Coordinates (longitude / latitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year	
Falaj Al-Katmeen , Nizwa , Dakhiliya , Oman	22.933 / 57.667	135.028	1756.4	1891.428	2006	
Falaj Al-Malki , Izki , Interior , Oman	22.733 / 57.767	600	4255.71	4855.71	2006	
Falaj Daris , Nizwa , Dakhiliya , Oman	22.983 / 57.533	389.468	3370.1	3759.568	2006	
Falaj Al-Jeela , Sur , A''Sharqiya , Oman	22.783 / 59.167	30.952	3839.46	3870.412	2006	
Falaj Al-Muyasser , Al Rustaq , Interior , Oman	23.35 / 57.45	300.501	3182.66	3483.161	2006	
Total (ha)		1455.949	16404.33	17860.279		
▲ Agree with cu	rrent data ?		Vē	olidate 🗌	Update 🗌	



The types of questions: 2) Yes/No






The types of questions: 3) Multiple choice

	Question 4.2.5 🗸 guidance 🔞						
Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and/ or regulation) be enforced?							
4.2.5.1	There is no effective capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/ or regulation in the World Heritage property	0					
4.2.5.2	There are major deficiencies in capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/ or regulation in the World Heritage property	0					
4.2.5.3	There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/ or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain	0					
4.2.5.4	There is excellent capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/ or regulation in the World Heritage property	0					



The types of questions: 4) Rating






The types of questions: 5) Percentages

guidance 😱 Ouestion 4.4.9 Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage Property (% of total) Full-time 4.4.9.1 Part-time 4.4.9.2

N.B. Both cells have to be filled-in. Make sure that, if you write «100» in one of them, you write «0» in the other



The types of questions: 6) Open fields




Maximum 500 characters allowed!




The Factors' Cycle

1) The identification




4) The identification of management responses

2) The summary table




3) The assessment of the current negative factors



The Management Needs' Cycle


1) The identification



3) The identification of management responses



2) The choice (6 key management needs)



Technical features: 1) the language






Technical features 2) Print/Export





Technical features: 3) Navigation and «thermometer»





Technical features: 4) saving and answering at different times

