



United Nations
Educational, Scientific and
Cultural Organization

Organisation
des Nations Unies
pour l'éducation,
la science et la culture

World Heritage

31 COM

Distribution Limited

WHC-07/31.COM/INF.11D.1

Paris, 31 May 2007

Original: English/French

**UNITED NATIONS EDUCATIONAL, SCIENTIFIC
AND CULTURAL ORGANIZATION**

**CONVENTION CONCERNING THE PROTECTION OF
THE WORLD CULTURAL AND NATURAL HERITAGE**

WORLD HERITAGE COMMITTEE

Thirty-first Session

Christchurch, New Zealand

23 June – 2 July 2007

**Item 11D of the Provisional Agenda: Reflection on the preparation of the
next cycle of Periodic Reporting**

**INF.11D.1: Proposal for a revised Periodic Reporting questionnaire (Section
II)**

SUMMARY

This document presents the revised Periodic Reporting questionnaire (Section II), elaborated by the Working Group on the simplification of the Periodic Report Questionnaire and setting up of indicators, and has to be read in conjunction with document WHC-07/31.COM/11D.1



SECTION II
QUESTIONNAIRE FOR PERIODIC REPORTING
ON THE APPLICATION OF
THE *WORLD HERITAGE CONVENTION*

DRAFT

STATE PARTY

NAME OF WORLD HERITAGE PROPERTY

Periodic Reporting on the Application of the *World Heritage Convention*

According to the Operational Guidelines (paragraphs 199 – 202), “States Parties are requested to submit reports to the UNESCO General Conference through the World Heritage Committee on the legislative and administrative provisions they have adopted and other actions which they have taken for the application of the *Convention*, including the state of conservation of the World Heritage properties located on their territories.

States Parties may request expert advice from the Advisory Bodies and the Secretariat, which may also (with agreement of the States Parties concerned) commission further expert advice.

Periodic Reporting serves four main purposes:

- a) to provide an assessment of the application of the *World Heritage Convention* by the State Party;
- b) to provide an assessment as to whether the Outstanding Universal Value of the properties inscribed on the World Heritage List is being maintained over time;
- c) to provide up-dated information about the World Heritage properties to record the changing circumstances and state of conservation of the properties;
- d) to provide a mechanism for regional co-operation and exchange of information and experiences between States Parties concerning the implementation of the *Convention* and World Heritage conservation.

Periodic Reporting is important for more effective long term conservation of the properties inscribed, as well as to strengthen the credibility of the implementation of the *Convention*”.

General Requirements

- Several Committee decisions [Decisions 25 COM VII.25-27 adopted at its 25th session (Helsinki, 2001), 7 EXT.COM 5 and 7 EXT.COM 5A.1 adopted at its 7th extraordinary session (UNESCO, 2004), and 29 COM 11.A adopted at its 29th session (Durban, 2005)] established the principles for the Periodic Reporting process, among which being regional, participatory and forward looking.
- Information should be as precise and specific as possible. It should be quantified where possible and fully referenced.
- Information should be concise. In particular long historical accounts of sites and events which have taken place there should be avoided, especially when they can be found in readily available published sources.
- Expressions of opinion should be supported by reference to the authority on which they are made and the verifiable facts which support them.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		RELEVANT PARAGRAPH(S) OF THE OPERATIONAL GUIDELINES	RELEVANT PARAGRAPH(S) OF THE NOMINATION FORMAT (ANNEX 5 TO THE OPERATIONAL GUIDELINES)
1. World Heritage Data			
1.1	State Party		1.a
1.2	World Heritage Region		
1.3	Name of World Heritage Property		1.c
1.4	Type of Property		
1.5	Identification Number		
1.6	Year(s) of Inscription on the World Heritage List	136, 166	
1.7	Year(s) of Inscription on the List of World Heritage in Danger	177-198	
1.8	Inscription Criteria		3.a
1.9	Extension of the Property	134-136, 139	
1.10	Presence of Serial Components	137-139	1.e, 1.f
1.11	Year(s) submitted to previous Periodic Reporting Cycle(s)	203	
1.12	Entities involved in the Preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report		
1.13	Governmental Institution Responsible for the Site		8.a
1.14	Site Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency		8.b
1.15	Web Address of the Property (if existing)		8.d
1.16	Geographical Information		1.b
1.17	Links to other International Designations / Conventions		
2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value, Authenticity and Integrity			
2.1	Outstanding Universal Value	49-53, 155	3.b, 3.c
2.2	Authenticity and Integrity	79-86, 87-95	3.d
3. Factors affecting the Property		4.b	
4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property			
4.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones	99-107, 137-139	1.d – 1.f
4.2	Protective Measures	98	5.b, 5.c
4.3	Management System / Management Plan	108-118	5.e
4.4	Financial and Human Resources		5.f, 5.j
4.5	Scientific Studies and Research Projects	215	
4.6	Education, Information and Awareness building	217-222, 268-274	5.i
4.7	Visitor Management		5.h
4.8	Monitoring	169-176	6.a – 6.c
5. Summary and Conclusions			
5.1	Summary Table 1 - Factors affecting the Property		
5.2	Summary Table 2 - Management Needs		
5.3	Final Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property		
6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions of Periodic Reporting Exercise			
6.1	World Heritage Status		
6.2	Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise		

1. World Heritage Data

- All the fields marked with * will be pre-filled by the World Heritage Centre, whenever the data are available
- Note that the “Comments” boxes will be limited in the number of characters
- In the following tables, **NOTE** means that this update will have to be submitted for consideration by the World Heritage Committee. Clicking on the “**NOTE**” will open the table indicating the procedures and deadlines to be followed.
- A shaded box means that no confirmation/update is required from the State Party or that the update will have to be submitted for consideration by the World Heritage Committee

N.	QUESTION	ANSWER	SOURCE	DO YOU CONFIRM THIS INFORMATION?		COMMENTS										
				YES / No	IF NOT, PLEASE CORRECT											
1.1	State Party	*	*													
1.2	World Heritage Region	<table border="1"> <tr><td>Africa</td><td>*</td></tr> <tr><td>Arab States</td><td>*</td></tr> <tr><td>Asia and the Pacific</td><td>*</td></tr> <tr><td>Europe and North America</td><td>*</td></tr> <tr><td>Latin America and the Caribbeans</td><td>*</td></tr> </table>	Africa	*	Arab States	*	Asia and the Pacific	*	Europe and North America	*	Latin America and the Caribbeans	*	*			
Africa	*															
Arab States	*															
Asia and the Pacific	*															
Europe and North America	*															
Latin America and the Caribbeans	*															
1.3	Name of World Heritage Property	* NOTE	*													
1.4	Type of Property	<table border="1"> <tr><td>Cultural</td><td>*</td></tr> <tr><td>Cultural landscape</td><td>*</td></tr> <tr><td>Natural</td><td>*</td></tr> <tr><td>Mixed</td><td>*</td></tr> </table>	Cultural	*	Cultural landscape	*	Natural	*	Mixed	*	*					
Cultural	*															
Cultural landscape	*															
Natural	*															
Mixed	*															
1.5	Identification Number	*	*													
1.6	Year(s) of Inscription on the World Heritage List	*	*													
1.7	Year(s) of Inscription on the List of World Heritage in Danger	<table border="1"> <tr><td>Year of inscription on the World Heritage List in Danger</td><td>*</td></tr> <tr><td>Year of removal from the World Heritage List in Danger</td><td>*</td></tr> </table>	Year of inscription on the World Heritage List in Danger	*	Year of removal from the World Heritage List in Danger	*	*									
Year of inscription on the World Heritage List in Danger	*															
Year of removal from the World Heritage List in Danger	*															
1.8	Inscription Criteria	<table border="1"> <tr><td>Current</td><td>* NOTE</td></tr> <tr><td>Former</td><td>* NOTE</td></tr> </table>	Current	* NOTE	Former	* NOTE	*									
Current	* NOTE															
Former	* NOTE															
1.9	Extension of the Property	<table border="1"> <tr><td>Entirely located within the territory of the State Party</td><td>*</td></tr> <tr><td>Transboundary</td><td>*</td></tr> </table>	Entirely located within the territory of the State Party	*	Transboundary	*	*									
Entirely located within the territory of the State Party	*															
Transboundary	*															
1.10	Presence of Serial Components	<table border="1"> <tr><td>Not applicable</td><td>*</td></tr> <tr><td>Serial national</td><td>*</td></tr> <tr><td>Serial transnational</td><td>*</td></tr> </table>	Not applicable	*	Serial national	*	Serial transnational	*								
Not applicable	*															
Serial national	*															
Serial transnational	*															
1.11	Year(s) submitted to previous Periodic Reporting Cycle(s)	*	*													
1.12	Entities involved in the Preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report (<i>tick as many boxes as applicable</i>)	<table border="1"> <tr><td>Governmental institution responsible for the property</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Site Manager/Coordinator</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>World Heritage property staff</td><td></td></tr> </table>	Governmental institution responsible for the property		Site Manager/Coordinator		World Heritage property staff									
Governmental institution responsible for the property																
Site Manager/Coordinator																
World Heritage property staff																

N.	QUESTION	ANSWER	SOURCE	Do you confirm this information? YES IF NOT, / NO PLEASE CORRECT		COMMENTS
		Other World Heritage property agency staff				
		Non Governmental Organization				
		Local Community				
		Donors				
		External experts				
		Others				
1.13	Governmental Institution Responsible for the Site					
1.13.a	Organisation	*	*			
1.13.b	Web address	*	*			
1.13.c	Person responsible	*	*			
1.13.d	Title	*	*			
1.13.e	Address	*	*			
1.13.f	Telephone	*	*			
1.13.g	Fax	*	*			
1.13.h	E-mail	*	*			
1.14	Site Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency					
1.14.a	Organisation	*	*			
1.14.b	Web address	*	*			
1.14.c	Person responsible	*	*			
1.14.d	Title	*	*			
1.14.e	Address	*	*			
1.14.f	Telephone	*	*			
1.14.g	Fax	*	*			
1.14.h	E-mail	*	*			
1.15	Web Address of the Property (if existing)	*	*			
1.16	Geographical Information					
1.16.a	Province(s)	*				
1.16.b	Region(s)	*				
1.16.c	State (For Federal countries)	*				
1.17	Links to other International Designations/Conventions	*				

2. STATEMENT OF OUTSTANDING UNIVERSAL VALUE

2.1 Outstanding Universal Value

N.	QUESTION	ANSWER	SOURCE	DO YOU CONFIRM THIS INFORMATION? YES IF NOT, / PLEASE CORRECT NO CORRECT		COMMENTS
2.1.1	Statement of Outstanding Universal Value	* NOTE If the information is not available, « <i>Please submit a proposed Statement for consideration by the World Heritage Committee</i> » will be displayed				
2.1.2	Criteria and attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value	* Criteria * Attributes				

2.1.3	Does the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value adequately reflect the criteria and values for which the property was inscribed on the World Heritage List?	☐	Yes	☐	No
2.1.3.a (If no) Please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised and submit proposed revision for consideration by the World Heritage Committee					

2.2 Authenticity and Integrity

N.	QUESTION	ANSWER	SOURCE	DO YOU CONFIRM THIS INFORMATION? YES IF NOT, / PLEASE CORRECT NO CORRECT		COMMENTS
2.2.1	Condition of Authenticity (not applicable to sites inscribed exclusively under criteria vii to x)	* NOTE If the information is not available, « <i>Please submit a proposed Statement for consideration by the World Heritage Committee</i> » will be displayed				
2.2.2	Condition of Integrity	* NOTE If the information is not available, « <i>Please submit a proposed Statement for consideration by the World Heritage Committee</i> » will be displayed				

2.2.3	Do the conditions of Authenticity adequately support the Outstanding Universal Value for which the property was inscribed on the World Heritage List? (not applicable to sites inscribed exclusively under criteria vii to x)	☐	Yes	☐	No
2.2.3.a (If no) Please provide details of why the Statement of Authenticity should be revised and submit proposed revision for consideration by the World Heritage Committee					

2.2.4 Do the conditions of Integrity adequately ensure the sustainability of the outstanding Universal Value for which the property was inscribed on the World Heritage List?		Yes		No	
2.2.4.a (If no) Provide details of why the Statement of Integrity should be revised and submit proposed revision for consideration by the World Heritage Committee					
2.2.5 What is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property? (not applicable to sites inscribed exclusively under criteria vii to x)	Tick one box only				
	The cultural values which reflect the criteria for inscription are being severely degraded and have had an impact of the Authenticity of the World Heritage property.				
	The cultural values which reflect the criteria for inscription are being degraded and have had an impact of the Authenticity of the World Heritage property.				
	The cultural values which reflect the criteria for inscription are being partially degraded but the Authenticity of the World Heritage property has not been significantly impacted.				
	The cultural values which reflect the criteria for inscription and the Authenticity of the World Heritage property are predominantly intact.				
2.2.6 What is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?	Tick one box only				
	The cultural and/or natural values which reflect the criteria for inscription are being severely degraded and have had an impact on the Integrity of the World Heritage property.				
	The cultural and/or natural values which reflect the criteria for inscription are being degraded and have had an impact of the Integrity of the World Heritage property.				
	The cultural and/or natural values which reflect the criteria for inscription are being partially degraded but the Integrity of the World Heritage property has not been significantly impacted.				
	The cultural and/or natural values which reflect the criteria for inscription and the Integrity of the World Heritage property are predominantly intact.				
2.2.7 What is the current state of the property's other values?	Tick one box only				
	Other important cultural and / or natural values are being severely degraded and have had an impact on the state of conservation of the World Heritage property.				
	Other important cultural and / or natural values are being degraded and have had an impact on the state of conservation of the World Heritage property.				
	Other important cultural and / or natural values are being partially degraded but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has not been significantly impacted.				
	Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are predominantly intact.				
2.2.8 Comments, Conclusions and/or Recommendations related to Statements of Outstanding Universal Value, Integrity and Authenticity					

3. FACTORS AFFECTING THE PROPERTY

This part of the Periodic Report is designed to determine a list of factors that are affecting, or are likely to affect, the World Heritage property:

- some of these factors will have a negative impact on the property while some factors will have a positive impact;
- some factors will occur inside the property, while some will occur outside the property but still have an impact upon the property (and some factors may be both inside and outside);
- some factors will currently be affecting the property, while other factors are considered likely or have the potential to affect the property.

To determine the list of factors in a standardized way, a State Party/site manager will be requested to use the following systematic process:

1. Access the standardized list of factors (the 'Factor Assessment Tool') at http://www.xyz.factor_assessment¹; the tool is designed to help site managers undertake a systematic assessment of factors, with primary, secondary and tertiary levels of assessment. For example:

Primary	Secondary	Tertiary
A. Transportation Infrastructure	Ground Transport	i.e. Roads, Car parks

2. In some cases, the secondary level factors will be appropriate; however where the secondary level factor is not specific enough (i.e. fishing), tertiary level factors are also provided (i.e. trawling, line fishing, netting, etc)
3. If a factor is not relevant to the property at the primary level, there is no need to progress further to the subsequent levels; however if it is a relevant factor for the property, managers will be able to indicate, at either the secondary or tertiary level, whether it is relevant inside or outside the property, and whether it has a positive or negative impact. Results of the assessment will be recorded by clicking the appropriate column in the following table.

FACTORS	INSIDE the World Heritage property					OUTSIDE the World Heritage property				
	Current	Potential	Not applicable	Negative Impact	Positive Impact	Current	Potential	Not applicable	Negative Impact	Positive Impact

4. All factors that are 'clicked' as being relevant to the WH property will then be automatically 'exported' (i.e. copied) into a second table in the Periodic Report (see below).
5. To determine which are the most important factors for each property, and to produce a summary table of management actions (existing and/or proposed) to address the key threats, a second assessment process will then need to be undertaken.
6. Any factor that has been marked as having a negative impact on the WH property will be automatically 'exported' (i.e. copied) into the left hand column of the tables:

LIST OF FACTORS having a NEGATIVE impact on the concerned World Heritage property (imported from the main factors list)	SPATIAL SCALE	TEMPORAL SCALE	IMPACT ON ATTRIBUTES that express the Outstanding Universal Value (Link back to paragraph 2.1.2)	CAPACITY FOR MANAGEMENT RESPONSE	AGGREGATED SCORE
The 1 st negative factor you marked will appear here...	Each negative factor will need to be assessed against a 4 point scale 1. Less than 10% 2. 11 – 50% 3. 51 – 90% 4. 90 + %	Each negative factor will need to be assessed against a 4 point scale 1. one off/ rare 2. intermittent/ sporadic 3. Frequent 4. On-going	Each negative factor will need to be assessed against a 4 point scale 1. Insignificant 2. Minor 3. Significant 4. Catastrophic	Each negative factor will need to be assessed against a 4 point scale 1. High capacity 2. Medium capacity 3. Low capacity 4. No capacity/ no resources	Automatically determined from the scores marked in columns 2-5
The 2 nd negative factor you marked will appear here...					
The 3 rd negative factor you marked will appear here...					
The 4 th negative factor you marked will appear here...					

¹ This 'Factor Assessment Table' is based on IUCN Conservation Measures Partnership (CMP) « Proposed classification of direct threats » (see IUCN/CMP website) with significant inputs from ICCROM, ICOMOS and other sources; it has been compiled by the World Heritage Working Group on Periodic Reporting.

7. Site Managers/State Parties will then be required to assess each of the negative factors impacting on the WH property against the four headings shown above (eg *Spatial scale*, *Temporal scale*, etc), each on a scale of 1-4.
8. The aggregated 'scores' for each negative factor will then automatically determine a relative list of all the negative impacts on the concerned WH property and the six negative factors with the highest scores will be 'exported' (i.e. copied) into Summary Table 5.1 (see Section 5), along with any positive factors you have marked if they are occurring inside the WH property.
9. This process will systematically determine the key factors and enable site managers and State Parties to present the management actions to address them.
10. This approach will also assist the WH Centre and the WH Committee to comprehend regional and global trends.

4. PROTECTION, MANAGEMENT AND MONITORING OF THE PROPERTY

4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones

N.	QUESTION	ANSWER	SOURCE	DO YOU CONFIRM THIS INFORMATION? YES IF NOT, / PLEASE CORRECT No		COMMENTS
4.1.1	Area of the Property and of the buffer zone in hectares					
4.1.1.a	Area of the Inscribed Property	* NOTE If the information is not available, « Please submit this information for consideration by the World Heritage Committee» will be displayed	*			
4.1.1.b	Area of the Buffer Zone (if applicable)	*	*			
4.1.1.c	Total	* NOTE	*			
4.1.2	Serial Site (if so, the serial nomination table will be displayed)					
4.1.3	Centre-point Coordinates	*				
4.1.4	Textual description of the boundary	* NOTE				
4.1.5	Map(s)	* NOTE This map shows the boundaries of the World Heritage Property -- If the information is not available, « Please submit this information for consideration by the World Heritage Committee» will be displayed				

4.1.6 If there is currently no buffer zone, does a buffer zone need to be agreed?		☐	Yes	☐	No	
4.1.6.a (If no) Please describe why no buffer zone is needed.						
4.1.6.b (If yes) Please include details of the process planned to agree on a buffer zone.						
4.1.7 Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?	Tick one box only					
	Inadequacies in the boundaries make it difficult to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value					
	The boundaries of the World Heritage property do not limit the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved					
	The boundaries of the World Heritage property are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value					

4.1.8	Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?	Tick one box only	
		The buffer zones of the World Heritage property present inadequacies which make it difficult to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	
		The buffer zones of the World Heritage property do not limit the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved	
		The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	
4.1.9	Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?	Tick one box only	
		The boundaries of the World Heritage property are not known by the management authority or local residents/neighbouring land users	
		The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but are not known by local residents/neighbouring land users.	
		The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents	
4.1.10	Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?	Tick one box only	
		The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are not known by the management authority or local residents/neighbouring land users	
		The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but are not known by local residents/neighbouring land users.	
		The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents	
4.1.11	Comments, conclusions and/or Recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property		

4.2 Protective Measures

N.	QUESTION	ANSWER	SOURCE	DO YOU CONFIRM THIS INFORMATION?		COMMENTS
				YES / NO	IF NOT, PLEASE CORRECT	
4.2.1	Protective Designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)	* If the information is not available, «Please submit this information to the World Heritage Centre» will be displayed	*			

4.2.2 Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value and conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property ?	Tick one box only	
The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value and conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property is inadequate		
An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value and conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in their implementation		
The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value and conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate or better basis for effective management and protection		
4.2.3 Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?	Tick one box only	
The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value and conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property is inadequate		
An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value and conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in their implementation		
The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value and conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate or better basis for effective management and protection		
4.2.4 Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?	Tick one box only	
There is no legal framework for controlling use and activities in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone		
The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone is inadequate to ensure the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value and conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property		
An adequate legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but there are some deficiencies in its implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value and conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property		
The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides an adequate or better basis for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value and conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity		
4.2.5 Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and/ or regulation) be enforced?	Tick one box only	
There is no effective capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/ or regulation in the World Heritage property		
There are major deficiencies in capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/ or regulation in the World Heritage property		
There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/ or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain		

	There is excellent capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/ or regulation in the World Heritage property	
4.2.6	Comments, Conclusions and/or Recommendations related to protective measures	

4.3 Management System / Management Plan

N.	QUESTION	ANSWER					SOURCE	DO YOU CONFIRM THIS INFORMATION? YES IF NOT, / PLEASE NO CORRECT		COMMENTS
4.3.1	Management System	* If the information is not available and if par. 4.3.2 is not applicable, «<i>Please submit this information to the World Heritage Centre</i>» will be displayed					*			
4.3.2	Management Documents	Name of the Document	Available at the World Heritage Centre	In force	In the process of approval	In the process of revision				
		*	*	*	*	*	*			

4.3.3	Are there various levels of administration involved in managing the World Heritage Property (i.e. municipal, provincial, federal)?	Tick one box only			
		There is a range of administrative bodies / levels involved in management but there is little or no coordination between them for managing different aspects of the property.			
		There is some coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property.			
		There is a coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property but it could be improved.			
		There is excellent coordination between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property.			
4.3.4	Is the management system /plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?	Tick one box only			
		No management system/plan is currently in place to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value			
		The management system/plan is not adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value			
		The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value			
		The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value			
4.3.5	Is the management system being implemented?	Tick one box only			
		No current management system is currently in place			
		The management system is not being implemented			

		The management system is only partially being implemented	
		The management system is being fully implemented and monitored	
4.3.6	Is the management plan being implemented?	Tick one box only	
		No current management plan exists despite an identified need	
		A management plan exists but few of the components are being implemented	
		A management plan exists and most components are being implemented	
		A management plan exists and many or all components are being implemented and monitored	
4.3.7	Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?	Tick one box only	
		No annual work/action plan exists despite an identified need	
		An annual work/action plan exists but few of the activities are being implemented	
		An annual work/action plan exists and most activities are being implemented	
		An annual work/action plan exists and many or all activities are being implemented and monitored	
4.3.8	Please rate the cooperation / relationship of the following with World Heritage managers / staff: <u>Rating on a 5 point scale:</u> Excellent (5) Average (4) Poor (3) Non-existent (2) Not applicable (1)		Local communities/residents
			Local authorities
			Indigenous groups
			Landowners
			Visitors
			Tour operators
			Industry
4.3.9	If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?	Tick one box only	
		No local communities are resident in or living near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone	
		Local communities have no input into decisions relating to the management	
		Local communities have some input into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management	
		Local communities directly contribute to some decisions relating to management	
		Local communities directly participate in all relevant decisions relating to management, i.e. co-management	

4.3.10 If present, do indigenous and traditional peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?	Tick one box only		
	No indigenous and traditional peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone		
	Indigenous and traditional peoples have no input into decisions relating to the management		
	Indigenous and traditional peoples have some input into discussions relating to management but no direct role		
	Indigenous and traditional peoples directly contribute to some decisions relating to management but their involvement could be improved		
	Indigenous and traditional peoples directly participate in all relevant decisions relating to management, i.e. co-management		
4.3.11 Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. tourism, forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and/or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?	Tick one box only		
	There is little or no contact with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and/or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone		
	There is contact but little or no cooperation with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and/or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone		
	There is contact but only some cooperation with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and/or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone		
4.3.12 Comments, Conclusions and/or Recommendations related to management system/plan			
4.3.13 Please report any significant changes in the legal status and/or contractual/traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report	No significant change		
	Text (400 characters maximum)		

4.4 Financial and Human Resources

Financial resources				
4.4.1	Average annual operating costs based on the average of last five years (Do not provide monetary figures but the relative percentage of the funding sources)	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)		%
		International donations (NGO's foundations, etc)		%
		Governmental	Federal	%
			State	%

Financial resources				
			Local	%
		In country donations (NGO's foundations, etc)		%
		Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)		%
		Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)		%
		Other grants		%
4.4.2	International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund	Amount of the Assistance	Year	
		*	\$	*

4.4.3	Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?	Tick one box only		
		There is no budget for effective management of the World Heritage property despite an identified need		
		The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage		
		The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs		
		The available budget is sufficient but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard		
4.4.4	Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?	Tick one box only		
		Existing sources of funding are not secure		
		The existing sources of funding are secure in the medium-term		
4.4.5	Are there plans to develop secure long-term funding for the protection of the World Heritage property?	Tick one box only		
		There is no plan to secure long-term funding		
		Planning is underway to develop secure long-term funding for core costs and protection strategies.		
4.4.6	Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?	Tick one box only		
		The World Heritage property does not deliver any economic benefits to local communities		
		Potential economic benefits are recognised and plans to realise these are being developed		
		There is some flow of economic benefits to local communities		
		There is a major flow of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property		
4.4.7	Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?	Tick one box only		
		There are little or no equipment and facilities despite an identified need		
		There are some equipment and facilities but overall these are inadequate		
		There are some adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area constrain management at the World Heritage property		

	There are adequate equipment and facilities	
4.4.8 Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?	Tick one box only	
	There is little or no maintenance of existing equipment and facilities	
	There is some <i>ad hoc</i> maintenance of equipment and facilities	
	There is basic maintenance of equipment and facilities	
	Equipment and facilities are well maintained	
4.4.9 Comments, Conclusions and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure		

Human resources				
4.4.10 How many people are involved in managing the World Heritage property? (% of total)	Full-time		Part-time	
	Permanent		Seasonal	
	Paid		Volunteer	
4.4.11 Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?	Tick one box only			
	No human resources are dedicated to managing the property despite an identified need			
	Human resources are inadequate for management needs			
	A range of human resources exist, but below optimum to manage the World Heritage Property.			
	Human resources are adequate for management needs			
4.4.12 For the management of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines <u>Rating on a 3 point scale:</u> <i>Good (3)</i> <i>Fair (2)</i> <i>Poor (1)</i> <i>Not applicable (0)</i> <i>For example, if you have a sufficient number of professionals dedicated to education, simply inscribe a "3" in the Education box.</i> <i>For, example, if you don't have any interpretation facilities at the site, inscribe a "0" in the Interpretation box.</i>		Conservation		
		Administration		
		Promotion		
		Interpretation		
		Education		
		Visitor management		
		Community outreach		
		Tourism		
		Research and monitoring		
		Disaster preparedness		
		Enforcement (custodians, police)		

4.4.13 For the management of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of training opportunities in the following disciplines <i>Rank from top to bottom: 1 = highest priority for training 11 = lowest priority for training</i> <i>(If other major training priorities exist, please ensure they are mentioned in paragraph 4.4.15)</i>	 	Conservation/Protection Administration Promotion Interpretation Education Visitor management Community outreach Tourism Research and monitoring Disaster preparedness Enforcement (custodians, police)
4.4.14 Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?	Tick one box only	
	No capacity development plan or programme is in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred	
	A capacity development plan or programme is drafted or in place, but is not being implemented	
	A capacity development plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff	
	A capacity development plan or programme is in place and fully implemented; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management	
4.4.15 Comments, Conclusions and/or Recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training		

4.5 Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?	Tick one box only	
	There is little or no knowledge available about the values of the World Heritage property	
	Knowledge about the World Heritage property is not sufficient	
	Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient for most key areas but there are gaps	
	Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient	

4.5.2	Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?	Tick one box only	
		There is no research taking place in the World Heritage property despite an identified need	
		There is a small amount of research, but it is not planned	
		There is considerable research but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	
4.5.3	Are results from research programmes disseminated?	Tick one box only	
		Research results are not shared at any level	
		Research results are shared with local participants but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies	
		Research results are shared with local participants and some national agencies	
4.5.4	Comments, Conclusions and/or Recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects		

4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1	At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?	Tick one box only	
		Not displayed at all	
		In one location, but not easily visible to visitors	
		In one location and easily visible to visitors	
		In many locations, but not easily visible to visitors	
		In many locations and easily visible to visitors	
4.6.2	Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups <i>Rating on a 4 point scale:</i> <i>Excellent (4)</i> <i>Average (3)</i> <i>Poor (2)</i> <i>No awareness (1)</i> <i>Not applicable (0)</i>		Local communities/residents
			Local authorities within or adjacent to the property
			Local Indigenous groups
			Local landowners
			Visitors
			Tour operators
			Local industries

4.6.3 Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?	Tick one box only	
	There is no education and awareness programme, despite an identified need	
	There is a limited and <i>ad hoc</i> education and awareness programme	
	There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only partly meets the needs and could be improved	
	There is a planned and effective education and awareness programme that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property	
4.6.4 What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?	Tick one box only	
	World Heritage status has not influenced education, information or awareness building activities	
	World Heritage status has partially influenced education, information and awareness building activities	
	World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, but it could be improved	
	World Heritage status has been an important influence on education, information and awareness building activities.	
4.6.5 How well is the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?	Tick one box only	
	The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is not presented and interpreted	
	The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is not adequately presented and interpreted	
	The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted but improvements could be made	
	There is excellent presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property	
4.6.6 Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities at the World Heritage property: <u>Rating on a 4 point scale on adequacy:</u> <i>Not needed (0)</i> <i>Not provided but needed (1)</i> <i>Poor (2)</i> <i>Adequate (3)</i> <i>Excellent (4)</i>		Visitor centre Site museum Information booths Guided tours Trails / routes Information materials Transportation facilities Other
4.6.7 Comments, Conclusions and/or Recommendations related to education, information and awareness building		

4.7 Visitor Management

4.7.1 Are there statistics on visitation to the property?		☐	Yes	☐	No
4.7.1.a (if no) Are there any other techniques that could indicate visitation trends? (e.g. hotel occupancy rates, boat registration, local government surveys, etc.)		☐	Yes	☐	No
4.7.1.b (If yes) Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years <i>Please rate trend on a 3 point scale:</i> <i>Increasing (1)</i> <i>Static (2)</i> <i>Decreasing (3)</i>		Years	☐	Trend	☐
4.7.2 Visitor management documents					
Name of document		Available at the WHC	In force	In the process of approval	In the process of revision
*		*	*	*	*
4.7.3	Is there appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?	Tick one box only			
	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is not being actively managed	☐			
	There is some management of the visitor use of the World Heritage property	☐			
	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made	☐			
	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value	☐			
4.7.4	Do commercial tour operators contribute to increasing visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?	Tick one box only			
	Although commercial tour operators are active in the property, there is little or no contact between them and those responsible for the World Heritage property	☐			
	There is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and tourism operators but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters	☐			
	There is limited co-operation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and tourism operators to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation	☐			
	There is excellent co-operation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and tourism operators to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation	☐			
4.7.5	If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?	Tick one box only			
	While there is the authority to collect fees they are not collected	☐			
	The fee is collected, but it makes no contribution to the management of the World Heritage property	☐			
	The fee is collected, and makes some contribution to the management of the World Heritage property	☐			

	The fee is collected and makes a substantial contribution to the management of the World Heritage property	
4.7.6	Comments, Conclusions and/or Recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property	

4.8 Monitoring

4.8.1	Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?	Tick one box only	
		There is no monitoring taking place in the World Heritage property or buffer zone, despite an identified need	
		There is a small amount of monitoring, but it is not planned	
		There is considerable monitoring but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	
		There is a comprehensive, integrated programme of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	
4.8.2	Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?	Tick one box only	
		There is little or no information available on the values of the World Heritage property to define key indicators	
		Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, but this has not been done	
		Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but monitoring the status of indicators could be improved	
		Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation	
4.8.3	Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups <u>Rating on a 4 point scale:</u> 0 No involvement 1 Low 2 Medium 3 High		
		World Heritage managers and staff	
		Local authorities	
		Local communities	
		Researchers	
		NGOs	
		Industry, i.e. operators	
		Local indigenous groups	

N.	QUESTION	ANSWER		SOURCE	DO YOU CONFIRM THIS INFORMATION?		COMMENTS
					YES / No	IF NOT, PLEASE CORRECT	
4.8.4	State of Conservation Reports and Decisions of the World Heritage Committee	* <u>SOC Reports</u>	* <u>Committee Decisions</u>				
4.8.5	Mission Report(s)	*					
4.8.4	Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?	Tick one box only ☐ No relevant Committee recommendations to implement ☐ Implementation is planned, but has not yet begun ☐ Implementation is underway ☐ Implementation is achieved					
4.8.5	Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee						
4.8.6	Comments, Conclusions and/or Recommendations related to monitoring						

5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

5.1 Summary Table 1 - Factors affecting the Property

In its final electronic form, columns 1 and 6 of this summary table will be pre-filled from data entered in other parts of this Report

1. Factors affecting positively and negatively the property (in priority order)	2. World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	3. Current actions or corrective measures	4. Proposed future actions or corrective measures	5. Monitoring	6. Scale (spatial and temporal)	7. Timeframe	8. Lead agency (& others involved)	9. More info/ comment
Pre-filled after assessing factors in previous section of Periodic Report	Example of the World Heritage values/ attributes affected (refer to paragraph 2.1.2)	Current management actions to address factors	Proposed management actions to address factors	Describe system to monitor actions	Pre-filled priority determined by scale from factors assessment tool	State Party to determine based on urgency and capability	List lead agency first (and others involved)	i.e. links to website, plans or papers, etc.

5.2 Summary Table 2 - Management Needs

In its final electronic form, columns 1 and 2 of this summary table will be pre-filled from data entered in part 4 of this Report

1. Management needs	2. Management issues	3. Current actions or corrective measures	4. Proposed future actions or corrective measures	5. Monitoring	6. Timeframe	7. Lead agency (& others involved)	8. More info/ comments
Main management needs at the primary level (e.g. human/ financial resources). Pre-filled after assessing part 4 of the Periodic Report	List of management requirements pre-filled after assessing management effectiveness in part 4 of the Periodic Report	Current management actions to address management needs listed in column 1	Proposed management actions to address management needs listed in column 1	Describe the system for monitoring the effectiveness of proposed actions	State Party to determine based on urgency and capability	List lead agency first (and others involved)	'Footnote' format which may provide links to website, plans or papers etc

5.3 Final Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1	Please provide comments relevant to the state of conservation of the property	
-------	---	--

6. WORLD HERITAGE STATUS AND CONCLUSIONS OF PERIODIC REPORTING EXERCISE

6.1 World Heritage Status

6.1.1 Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following domains <u>Rating on a 3 point scale:</u> <i>Positive (2)</i> <i>No impact (1)</i> <i>Negative (0)</i>		Conservation
		Research and monitoring
		Management effectiveness
		Quality of life for local people
		Recognition
		Education
		Infrastructure development
		Funding for property
		International cooperation
		Political
		Legal/policy framework
		Lobbying
		Institutional coordination
		Security
	Other (please specify)	
6.1.2 Comments, Conclusions and/or Recommendations related to World Heritage status		

6.2 Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.2.1 Was the questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?	<table border="1"> <tr> <td data-bbox="1085 1583 1150 1639"></td> <td data-bbox="1150 1583 1505 1639">Yes</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1085 1639 1150 1704"></td> <td data-bbox="1150 1639 1505 1704">No</td> </tr> </table>		Yes		No		
	Yes						
	No						
6.2.2 Please provide suggestions for improvement:							
6.2.3 Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities: <u>Rating on a 3 point scale:</u> <i>Good (3)</i> <i>Fair (2)</i> <i>Poor (1)</i>	<table border="1"> <tr> <td data-bbox="1085 1825 1150 1881"></td> <td data-bbox="1150 1825 1505 1881">UNESCO</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1085 1881 1150 1937"></td> <td data-bbox="1150 1881 1505 1937">State Party Representative</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1085 1937 1150 1993"></td> <td data-bbox="1150 1937 1505 1993">Advisory body</td> </tr> </table>		UNESCO		State Party Representative		Advisory body
	UNESCO						
	State Party Representative						
	Advisory body						

6.2.4 How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?	Tick one box only																																						
	Little of the required information was accessible																																						
	Not all required information was accessible																																						
	Most required information was accessible																																						
All required information was accessible																																							
6.2.5 Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following? <i>Ranked as: Yes and No</i>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="579 512 738 624">State Party</th> <th data-bbox="738 512 938 624">Site manager / coordinator / staff</th> <th data-bbox="938 512 1129 624">Local community / other stakeholders</th> <th data-bbox="1129 512 1501 624"></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td data-bbox="1129 624 1501 678">World Heritage Convention</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td data-bbox="1129 678 1501 757">The concept of Outstanding Universal Value</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td data-bbox="1129 757 1501 835">The property's Outstanding Universal Value</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td data-bbox="1129 835 1501 913">The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td data-bbox="1129 913 1501 992">The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td data-bbox="1129 992 1501 1104">Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td data-bbox="1129 1104 1501 1160">Monitoring and reporting</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td data-bbox="1129 1160 1501 1238">Management effectiveness</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			State Party	Site manager / coordinator / staff	Local community / other stakeholders					World Heritage Convention				The concept of Outstanding Universal Value				The property's Outstanding Universal Value				The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity				The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity				Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value				Monitoring and reporting				Management effectiveness
State Party	Site manager / coordinator / staff	Local community / other stakeholders																																					
			World Heritage Convention																																				
			The concept of Outstanding Universal Value																																				
			The property's Outstanding Universal Value																																				
			The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity																																				
			The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity																																				
			Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value																																				
			Monitoring and reporting																																				
			Management effectiveness																																				
6.2.6 Please rank the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities: <u>Rating on a 4 point scale:</u> <i>Excellent (4)</i> <i>Satisfactory (3)</i> <i>Unsatisfactory (2)</i> <i>None (1)</i>			UNESCO																																				
		State Party																																					
		Site Managers																																					
6.2.7 Comments, Conclusions and/or Recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise																																							