

Distribution limited

WHC-06/30.COM/8B

Paris, 20 June 2006

Original: English/French

UNITED NATIONS EDUCATIONAL, SCIENTIFIC AND CULTURAL  
ORGANIZATION

CONVENTION CONCERNING THE PROTECTION OF THE WORLD  
CULTURAL AND NATURAL HERITAGE

WORLD HERITAGE COMMITTEE

Thirtieth Session

Vilnius, Lithuania

8-16 July 2006

**Item 8 of the Provisional Agenda: Establishment of the World Heritage List and the List of World Heritage in Danger**

**8B. Nominations of properties to the World Heritage List and the List of World Heritage in Danger**

**SUMMARY**

This document presents the nominations to be examined by the Committee at its 30th session (Vilnius, 2006). It is divided into four sections:

- I** Changes to names of properties inscribed on the World Heritage List
- II** Examination of nominations of natural, mixed and cultural properties to the World Heritage List
- III** Record of the physical attributes of each property being discussed at the 30th session
- IV** Nominations received for review by the 31st session of the World Heritage Committee in 2007

Separate Documents present the Tentative Lists of all States Parties (*WHC-06/30.COM/8A*) and a summary update of nominations proposed for the List of World Heritage in Danger (*WHC-06/30.COM/8C*).

The Document presents for each nomination the proposed Draft Decision based on the recommendations of the appropriate Advisory Body(ies) as included in *WHC-06/30.COM/INF.8B.1* and *WHC-06/30.COM/INF.8B.2* (published and announced electronically on 30 May 2006) and it provides a record of the physical attributes of each property being discussed at the 30th session. The information is presented in two parts:

- a table of the total surface area of each property and any buffer zone proposed, together with the geographic coordinates of each site's approximate centre point; and
- a set of separate tables presenting the component parts of each of the 16 proposed serial properties.

**Decisions required:**

The Committee is requested to examine the recommendations and Draft Decisions presented in this Document, and, in accordance with paragraph 153 of the *Operational Guidelines* (2005), take its Decisions concerning inscription on the World Heritage List in the following four categories:

- (a) properties which it **inscribes** on the World Heritage List;
- (b) properties which it **decides not to inscribe** on the List;
- (c) properties whose consideration is **referred**;
- (d) properties whose consideration is **deferred**.

## I. Changes to names of properties inscribed on the World Heritage List

*English and Arrondissement historique du Vieux-Québec in French.*

1. At the request of the Bahrain authorities and in order to better refer to the values the site is listed for and simplify its understanding for the general public, the Committee is asked to approve a change to the English and French names of the **Qal'at al-Bahrain Archaeological Site**, inscribed on the World Heritage List in 2005.

### Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.1

*The World Heritage Committee,*

1. Having examined Document **WHC-06/30.COM/8B,**
  2. Approves the proposed name change to the **Qal'at al-Bahrain Archaeological Site** as proposed by the Bahrain authorities. The name of the property becomes **Qal'at al-Bahrain – Ancient Harbour and Capital of Dilmun** in English and **Qal'at al-Bahreïn – ancien port et capitale de Dilmoun** in French.
2. At the request of the Canadian authorities and as a follow up to the Periodic Report, the Committee is asked to approve a change to the English and French names of **SGaang Gwaii (Anthony Island)**, inscribed on the World Heritage List in 1981.

### Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.2

*The World Heritage Committee,*

1. Having examined Document **WHC-06/30.COM/8B,**
  2. Approves the proposed name change to **SGaang Gwaii (Anthony Island)** as proposed by the Canadian authorities. The name of the property becomes **SGang Gwaay** in English and in French.
3. At the request of the Canadian authorities and as a follow up to the Periodic Report, the Committee is asked to approve a change to the English and French names of the **Historic District of Québec**, inscribed on the World Heritage List in 1985.

### Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.3

*The World Heritage Committee,*

1. Having examined Document **WHC-06/30.COM/8B,**
2. Approves the proposed name change to the **Historic District of Québec** as proposed by the Canadian authorities. The name of the property becomes **Historic District of Old Québec** in

4. At the request of the French authorities and as a follow up to the Periodic Report, the Committee is asked to approve a change to the English and French names of **Cape Girolata, Cape Porto, Scandola Nature Reserve and the Piana Calanches in Corsica**, inscribed on the World Heritage List in 1983.

### Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.4

*The World Heritage Committee,*

1. Having examined Document **WHC-06/30.COM/8B,**
  2. Approves the proposed name change to **Cape Girolata, Cape Porto, Scandola Nature Reserve and the Piana Calanches in Corsica** as proposed by the French authorities. The name of the property becomes **Gulf of Porto: Calanche of Piana, Gulf of Girolata, Scandola Reserve** in English and **Golfe de Porto : calanche de Piana, golfe de Girolata, réserve de Scandola** in French.
5. At the request of the French authorities and as a follow up to the Periodic Report, the Committee is asked to approve a change to the English and French names of the **Church of Saint-Savin sur Gartempe**, inscribed on the World Heritage List in 1983.

### Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.5

*The World Heritage Committee,*

1. Having examined Document **WHC-06/30.COM/8B,**
  2. Approves the proposed name change to **Church of Saint-Savin sur Gartempe** as proposed by the French authorities. The name of the property becomes **Abbey Church of Saint-Savin sur Gartempe** in English and **Abbatiale de Saint-Savin sur Gartempe** in French.
6. At the request of the French authorities and as a follow up to the Periodic Report, the Committee is asked to approve a change to the English and French names of **Decorated Grottoes of the Vézère Valley**, inscribed on the World Heritage List in 1979.

### Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.6

*The World Heritage Committee,*

1. Having examined Document **WHC-06/30.COM/8B,**
2. Approves the proposed name change to **Decorated Grottoes of the Vézère Valley** as proposed by the French authorities. The name of

*the property becomes **Prehistoric Sites and Decorated Caves of the Vézère Valley** in English and **Sites préhistoriques et grottes ornées de la vallée de la Vézère** in French.*

7. At the request of the French authorities and as a follow up to the Periodic Report, the Committee is asked to approve a change to the English and French names of the **Historic Centre of Avignon**, inscribed on the World Heritage List in 1995.

Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.7

*The World Heritage Committee,*

1. Having examined Document **WHC-06/30.COM/8B**,
  2. Approves the proposed name change to **Historic Centre of Avignon** as proposed by the French authorities. The name of the property becomes the **Historic Centre of Avignon: Papal Palace, Episcopal Ensemble and Avignon Bridge** in English and **Centre historique d'Avignon : Palais des papes, ensemble Episcopal et Pont d'Avignon** in French.
8. At the request of the French authorities and as a follow up to the Periodic Report, the Committee is asked to approve a change to the English and French names of the **Roman and Romanesque Monuments of Arles**, inscribed on the World Heritage List in 1981.

Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.8

*The World Heritage Committee,*

1. Having examined Document **WHC-06/30.COM/8B**,
  2. Approves the proposed name change to **Roman and Romanesque Monuments of Arles** as proposed by the French authorities. The name of the property becomes **Arles, Roman and Romanesque Monuments** in English and **Arles, monuments romains et romans** in French.
9. At the request of the Macedonian authorities and in order to shorten the name and better respect the chronological order of inscription, the Committee is asked to approve a change to the English and French names of the **Ohrid Region with its Cultural and Historical Aspect and its Natural Environment**, inscribed on the World Heritage List in 1979.

Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.9

*The World Heritage Committee,*

1. Having examined Document **WHC-06/30.COM/8B**,

2. Approves the proposed name change to **Ohrid Region with its Cultural and Historical Aspect and its Natural Environment** as proposed by the Macedonian authorities. The name of the property becomes the **Natural and Cultural Heritage of the Ohrid region** in English and **Patrimoine naturel et culturel de la région d'Ohrid** in French.

10. At the request of the Norwegian authorities and as a follow up to the Periodic Report, the Committee is asked to approve a change to the English and French names of **Røros**, inscribed on the World Heritage List in 1980.

Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.10

*The World Heritage Committee,*

1. Having examined Document **WHC-06/30.COM/8B**,
  2. Approves the proposed name change to **Røros** as proposed by the Norwegian authorities. The name of the property becomes the **Røros Mining Town** in English and **Ville minière de Røros** in French.
11. At the request of the Norwegian authorities and as a follow up to the Periodic Report, the Committee is asked to approve a change to the English and French names of the **Rock Drawings of Alta**, inscribed on the World Heritage List in 1985.

Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.11

*The World Heritage Committee,*

1. Having examined Document **WHC-06/30.COM/8B**,
  2. Approves the proposed name change to the **Rock Drawings of Alta** as proposed by the Norwegian authorities. The name of the property becomes the **Rock Art of Alta** in English and **Art rupestre d'Alta** in French.
12. The Polish authorities requested the World Heritage Committee to change the English and French names of **Auschwitz Concentration Camp**, inscribed on the World Heritage List in 1979, to **Former Nazi German Concentration Camp Auschwitz-Birkenau** in English and **Ancien camp de concentration nazi allemand d'Auschwitz-Birkenau** in French.

Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.12

*(see Document WHC-06/30.COM/8B.Add)*

13. At the request of the Slovak authorities, as a follow up to the Periodic Report and in order to correct an error in the original nomination document, the Committee is asked to approve a change to the English and French

names of **Banská Štiavnica**, inscribed on the World Heritage List in 1993.

Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.13

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Document **WHC-06/30.COM/8B**,
  2. Approves the proposed name change to **Banská Štiavnica** as proposed by the Slovak authorities. The name of the property becomes the **Historic Town of Banská Štiavnica and the Technical Monuments in its Vicinity** in English and **Ville historique de Banská Štiavnica et les monuments techniques des environs** in French.
14. At the request of the Thai authorities and in order to correct a typographical error carried over for years, the Committee is asked to approve a change to the English and French names of the **Historic City of Ayutthaya and Associated Historic Towns**, inscribed on the World Heritage List in 1991.

Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.14

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Document **WHC-06/30.COM/8B**,
  2. Approves the proposed name change to **Historic City of Ayutthaya and Associated Historic Towns** as proposed by the Thai authorities. The name of the property becomes the **Historic City of Ayutthaya** in English and **Ville historique d'Ayutthaya** in French.
15. At the request of the Turkish authorities, as a follow up to the Periodic Report and in order to adequately reflect the significance of the property, the Committee is asked to approve a change to the English and French names of **Hattusha**, inscribed on the World Heritage List in 1986.

Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.15

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Document **WHC-06/30.COM/8B**,
  2. Approves the proposed name change to **Hattusha** as proposed by the Turkish authorities. The name of the property becomes **Hattusha: the Hittite Capital** in English and **Hattousa : la capitale hittite** in French.
16. At the request of the American authorities and as a follow up to the Periodic Report the Committee is asked to approve a change to the English and French

names of the **Mesa Verde**, inscribed on the World Heritage List in 1978.

Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.16

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Document **WHC-06/30.COM/8B**,
  2. Approves the proposed name change to **Mesa Verde** as proposed by the American authorities. The name of the property becomes the **Mesa Verde National Park** in English and **Parc national de Mesa Verde** in French.
17. At the request of the American authorities and as a follow up to the Periodic Report, the Committee is asked to approve a change to the English and French names of **Yellowstone**, inscribed on the World Heritage List in 1978.

Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.17

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Document **WHC-06/30.COM/8B**,
  2. Approves the proposed name change to **Yellowstone** as proposed by the American authorities. The name of the property becomes **Yellowstone National Park** in English and **Parc national de Yellowstone** in French.
18. At the request of the American authorities and as a follow up to the Periodic Report, the Committee is asked to approve a change to the English and French names of **La Fortaleza and San Juan Historic Site in Puerto Rico**, inscribed on the World Heritage List in 1983.

Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.18

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Document **WHC-06/30.COM/8B**,
  2. Approves the proposed name change to **La Fortaleza and San Juan Historic Site in Puerto Rico** as proposed by the American authorities. The name of the property becomes **La Fortaleza and San Juan National Historic Site in Puerto Rico** in English and **La Fortaleza et le site historique national de San Juan à Porto Rico** in French.
19. At the request of the American authorities and as a follow up to the Periodic Report, the Committee is asked to approve a change to the English and French names of the **Chaco Culture National Historical Park**, inscribed on the World Heritage List in 1987.

**Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.19**

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Document **WHC-06/30.COM/8B**,
2. Approves the proposed name change to Chaco Culture National Historical Park as proposed by the American authorities. The name of the property becomes the **Chaco Culture** in English and **La culture Chaco** in French.

## **II. Examination of nominations of natural, mixed and cultural properties to the World Heritage List**

### **Summary**

At its 30th session, the Committee will be examining a total of **39** nominations, **28** of which are "new nominations", having not been presented previously. In addition, the Committee will be examining:

- 5 extensions or minor modifications of boundaries,
- 6 nominations deferred or referred by previous sessions of the Committee.

### **Nominations withdrawn at the request of the State Party**

At the time of the preparation of this Document, the following nominations had been withdrawn by the State Party concerned on the date indicated.

- **Tel Dan – The Triple Arched Gate** (Israel), 06/03/2006
- **Baltic Klint** (Estonia), 31/05/2006

### **Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.20**

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Document **WHC-06/30.COM/8B**,
2. Takes note that the following States Parties had requested that their nominations not be examined at the 30th session of the Committee in 2006:
  - **Tel Dan – The Triple Arched Gate** (Israel)
  - **Baltic Klint** (Estonia)

As a result of the above mentioned withdrawal, the Committee will be examining **37** nominations. Of these ICOMOS and IUCN are recommending 14 for inscription. The detailed information is summarized in the table on the following page.

### **Presentation of Nominations**

This year, within the natural, mixed and cultural groups, nominations are being presented by IUCN and ICOMOS in regional order. Both the printed Advisory Bodies evaluation Documents and this working Document are presented in this order. As in the past, for ease of reference, an alphabetical summary table and index of recommendations is presented at the beginning of the Document (pp. 5-6).

**Alphabetical Summary Table and Index of Recommendations by IUCN and ICOMOS  
to the 30th session of the World Heritage Committee (8 - 16 July 2006)<sup>1</sup>**

State Party	World Heritage nomination	ID No.		Recommend.	Criteria	Pp
	<b>NATURAL PROPERTIES</b>					
Azerbaijan	Hirkan Forests of Azerbaijan	1212		D	N (i)(ii)(iii)(iv)	8
China	Sichuan Giant Panda Sanctuary – Wolong, Mt. Siguniang and Jiayin Mountains	1213		I	N (i)(ii)(iii)(iv)	7
Colombia	Gorgona and Malpelo Islands, Coastal and Oceanic national Marine Parks of Colombia's Eastern Tropical Pacific	1216		I*	N (i)(ii)(iii)(iv)	10
<b>Estonia</b>	<del>Baltic Klint</del> <i>Withdrawn at the request of the State Party by letter of 31/05/2006</i>	<del>1210</del>		<del>N</del>	<del>N (i)(iii)(iv)</del>	<del>–</del>
Finland / Sweden	The Kvarken Archipelago (Extension to the 'High Coast')	898	Bis	OK	N (i)	9
Indonesia / Malaysia	Transborder Rainforest Heritage of Borneo	1197		D	N (i)(ii)(iv)	8
Israel	The Great Rift Valley Migration Flyway, the Hula	1219		N	N (ii)(iii)(iv)	9
Morocco	Toubkal	1168		N	N (i)(ii)(iv)	7
Spain	Dinosaur Ichnite sites of the Iberian Peninsula	1204		D	N (i)(ii)(iii)	9
	<b>MIXED NATURAL AND CULTURAL PROPERTIES</b>					
Gabon	Ecosystem and Relict Cultural Landscape of Lopé-Okanda	1147	Rev	D - D	C (iii)(iv) N (ii)(iv) + CL	10
Malawi	Nyika National Park	290	Rev	N - N	C (iii) N (iii)(iv)	11
	<b>CULTURAL PROPERTIES</b>					
Austria	Schloss Eggenberg (Extension to "City of Graz - Historic Centre")	931	Bis	D	C (ii)(iv)(vi)	17
Bolivia	Incallajta: the fundamental rock of the Inca power in the Collasuyo	1218		D	C (i)(ii)(vi)	19
Bulgaria	Ancient Plovdiv	218	Rev	N	C (ii)(iv)(vi)	18
Burkina Faso	Ruines of Loropéni	1225		D	C (ii)(iv)(vi)	11
Chile	Sewell Mining Town	1214		I	C (ii)(iii)(v)	19
China	Yin Xu	1114		I	C (i)(ii)(iii)(iv)(vi)	14
Cyprus	Church of Agios Sozomenos, Galata and Church of Agios Mamas, Louvaras (Extension to the "Painted Churches in the Troodos Region")	351	Ter	NA	C (ii)(iii)(iv)	17
Czech Republic	Renaissance Houses at Slavonice	1172		N	C (i)(ii)(iv)	15
France	The Causses and the Cévennes	1153		D	C (v)(vi) + CL	15
Gambia / Senegal	Senegambian Stone Circles	1226		I	C (i)(iii)(iv)	11
Germany	Old town of Regensburg with Stadtamhof	1155		D	C (i)(ii)(iii)	15
Ethiopia	Harar Jugol, the fortified historical town	1189	Rev	I	C (ii)(iv)(v)	12
India	River Island of Majuli in midstream of Brahmaputra River in Assam	1206		D	C (ii)(iii)(v)(vi) + CL	14
Iran (Islamic Rep. of)	Bisotun	1222		I	C (ii)(iii)	15
<del>Israel</del>	<del>Tel Dan – The Triple Arched Gate</del> <i>Withdrawn at the request of the State Party by letter of 06/03/2006</i>	<del>1105</del>		<del>I</del>	<del>C (i)(ii)(iv)</del>	<del>–</del>
Italy	Genoa: Le Strade Nuove and the system of the Palazzi dei Rolli	1211		I	C (ii)(iii)(iv)(vi)	16
Malawi	Chongoni Rock Art Area	476	Rev	R	C (iii)(vi)	12
Mauritius	Aapravasi Ghat	1227		D	C (iv)(vi)	12
Mexico	Agave Landscape and Ancient Industrial Facilities of Tequila	1209		I	C (ii)(iv)(v)(vi) + CL	19
Nepal	Kathmandu Valley (minor modification)	121	Bis	NA	C (iii)(iv)(vi)	15
Oman	<i>Aflaj</i> irrigation system of Oman	1207		R	C (ii)(iv)(v)	13

<sup>1</sup> On the recommendation of the Committee's Task Force on the Implementation of the *Convention* (1999-2000), and of the Bureau at its 24th session (2000), a single summary table records the recommendation of the Advisory Bodies for inscription (I), referral (R), deferral (D), non-inscription (N), or approval of an Extension (OK). For Mixed properties, the recommendations of both ICOMOS and IUCN are shown. The 27 properties highlighted in **bold** (withdrawals are not counted) are considered "new" nominations, having not been presented to the Committee or its Bureau previously.

State Party	World Heritage nomination	ID No.		Recommend.	Criteria	Pp
Poland	Centennial Hall in Wroclaw Poland	1165		I	C (i)(ii)(iv)	16
Portugal	Site of <b>Marvão</b>	1177		N	C (iv)(v)	16
Serbia and Montenegro	Medieval Monuments in Kosovo (Extension to “Dečani Monastery”)	724	Bis	OK	C (ii)(iii)(iv)(vi)	18
Spain	Vizcaya Bridge	1217		I	C (i)(ii)(iii)(iv)	17
Syrian Arab Republic	Castles of Syria	1229		R	C (ii)(iv)	14
United Kingdom	Cornwall and West Devon Mining Landscape	1215		R	C (ii)(iii)(iv) + CL	17
United Republic of Tanzania	Kondoa Rock Art Sites	1183	Rev	I	C (ii)(iii)(vi)	13

## KEY

I	Recommended for inscription
I*	Recommended for inscription with exceptions
R	Recommended for referral
D	Recommended for deferral
OK	Approval Recommended of an extension or a modification
OK*	Approval Recommended of an extension with exceptions
N	Not recommended for inscription
NA	Not approved extension
C/N (i) (ii) etc	Cultural or Natural criteria recommended. <i>Italicized C/N indicates that the original property has already been inscribed on the World Heritage List</i>
CL	Proposed as a Cultural Landscape

In the presentation below, **IUCN Recommendations and ICOMOS Recommendations** are both presented in the form of **Draft Decisions** and are abstracted from *WHC-06/30.COM/INF.8B.1* (ICOMOS) and *WHC-06/30.COM/INF.8B.2* (IUCN). Both Documents were announced electronically to States Parties on 30 May 2006.

Though Draft Decisions were taken from IUCN and ICOMOS evaluations books, in some cases, a few modifications were required to adapt them to this Document.

## A. NATURAL PROPERTIES

### A.1 ARAB STATES

#### A.1.1 New nominations

Property	<b>Toubkal</b>
Id. N°	<b>N 1168</b>
State Party	<b>Morocco</b>
Criteria proposed by State Party	<b>N (i)(ii)(iv)</b>

See IUCN Evaluation Book, May 2006, page 1.

#### Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.21

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Documents **WHC-06/30.COM/8B** and **WHC-06/30.COM/INF.8B.2**,
2. Decides not to inscribe **Toubkal, Morocco**, on the World Heritage List on the basis of natural criteria;
3. Encourages the State Party to address the range of issues impacting on the integrity of Toubkal National Park, and take the following actions:
  - a) to provide effective legal protection for Toubkal National Park, and other protected areas in Morocco;
  - b) to reinforce Toubkal National Park's management capacity both in relation to human and financial resources;
  - c) to implement suitable measures to bring the use of natural resources in Toubkal National Park and its buffer zone within sustainable limits; and
  - d) to consider the scope for including Toubkal National Park within the framework of other Biosphere Reserves existing in this region.

## A.2 ASIA / PACIFIC

### A.2.1 New nominations

Property	<b>Sichuan Giant Panda Sanctuary – Wolong, Mt. Siguniang and Jiajin Mountains</b>
Id. N°	<b>N 1213</b>
State Party	<b>China</b>
Criteria proposed by State Party	<b>N (i)(ii)(iii)(iv)</b>

See IUCN Evaluation Book, May 2006, page 9.

#### Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.22

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Documents **WHC-06/30.COM/8B** and **WHC-06/30.COM/INF.8B.2**,
2. Inscribes the **Sichuan Giant Panda Sanctuary – Wolong, Mt. Siguniang, and Jiajin Mountains, China**, on the basis of natural criterion (iv):

**Criterion (iv):** The Sichuan Giant Panda Sanctuary includes more than 30% of the world's population of giant panda and constitutes the largest and most significant remaining contiguous area of panda habitat in the world. It is also the most important source of giant panda for establishing the captive breeding population of the species. The property is also one of the botanically richest sites of any temperate region in the world or indeed anywhere outside of the tropical rain forests. Underlining the outstanding value is the large size of the nominated property and the fact that it protects a wide variety of topography, geology, and plant and animal species. The nominated property has exceptional value for biodiversity conservation and can demonstrate how ecosystem management systems can work across the borders of national and provincial protected areas.

3. Requests the State Party to:
  - a) ensure the "Sichuan World Heritage Management Committee" has sufficient powers, resources and authority to ensure it can effectively carry out its role in relation to management of the property, including in relation to the review and approval of any major development proposals which may impact on the natural values of the nominated property;
  - b) review existing infrastructure within the property with a view to better controlling impacts and, where possible, removing infrastructure and allowing habitat restoration with native species;
  - c) review the possibilities for future addition of areas of high nature conservation value to the property, with priority on those areas which are particularly important for panda habitat and which are close to but outside the property. Options for developing conservation corridors linking the property with other suitable areas of panda habitat should also be reviewed;
  - d) progressively increase the level of staffing and resources within all reserves within the property, with the aim of ensuring that the level of staffing and management in all areas of the property is



equivalent to that within the Wolong Nature Reserve within a ten year period;

- e) in relation to the existing and proposed dams, ensure that: (a) the impact of the dam at Yaoji, and the associated relocation of people, on the values of the property be closely monitored; (b) effective mitigation measures are applied at Yaoji to minimize the impacts associated with dam construction, the impoundment and the relocation of the village; with priority to implementing measures to encourage the establishment of panda habitat; and (c) no additional dams are built within the property;
  - f) in relation to the Wolong Tourism Development Plan undertake an independent expert review of the existing plan, under the direction of the World Heritage Management Office, to assess the impacts of the proposals on values within the nominated property and to recommend modifications that may be required. The World Heritage Office should also play a role in establishing tourism development guidelines, review of proposals and development of recommendations for mitigation of impacts for any major tourism development which may affect the values of the property;
  - g) address other management issues included in this evaluation report, including in relation to local populations, scientific research and education; and
  - h) consider changing the name of the nominated property to “**The Sichuan Giant Panda Sanctuaries**” from the currently proposed name of: “The Sichuan Giant Panda Sanctuary: Wolong, Mt Siguniang and Jiabin Mountains”;
4. Encourages the State Party to invite a mission to the property in 3 years to assess the implementation of the above recommendations and other recommendations outlined in the IUCN Evaluation Report;
  5. Commends the State Party for the process of consultation and scientific research involved in the preparation of the nomination dossier for this property, and for effectively addressing IUCN recommendations to enhance the conservation and management of the property.

Property	<b>Transborder Rainforest Heritage of Borneo</b>
Id. N°	<b>N 1197</b>
State Party	<b>Indonesia / Malaysia</b>
Criteria proposed by State Party	<b>N (i)(ii)(iv)</b>

See IUCN Evaluation Book, May 2006, page 19.

**Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.23**

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Documents WHC-06/30.COM/8B and WHC-06/30.COM/INF.8B.2,
2. Defers the inscription of the **Transborder Rainforest Heritage of Borneo, Indonesia and Malaysia**, on the World Heritage List on the basis of natural criterion (iv)

as the transboundary property does not meet the required conditions of integrity at present;

3. Commends the States Parties of Indonesia and Malaysia for their efforts in promoting transboundary cooperation for the conservation and management of the **Transborder Rainforest Heritage of Borneo**;
4. Notes that the future inscription of the transboundary property is dependent on the effective implementation by the States Parties of Indonesia and Malaysia of the following recommendations:
  - a) joint preparation and implementation of a bilateral management framework for the transboundary property, which should be supported by adequate institutional arrangements and adequate human and financial resources to ensure the effective implementation of joint conservation and management actions in the field;
  - b) joint preparation and implementation of an emergency action plan in order to prevent, detect and control illegal, unregulated activities impacting the long-term integrity of the property;
  - c) rationalisation by the State Party of Indonesia of the southern boundary of Betung Kerihun National Park and the necessary statutory measures enacted to ensure effective control of illegal logging;
5. Urges the States Parties of Indonesia and Malaysia to implement the above recommendations as a matter of urgency.

**A.3 EUROPE / NORTH AMERICA**

**A.3.1 New nominations**

Property	<b>Hirkan Forests of Azerbaijan</b>
Id. N°	<b>N 1212</b>
State Party	<b>Azerbaijan</b>
Criteria proposed by State Party	<b>N (i)(ii)(iii)(iv)</b>

See IUCN Evaluation Book, May 2006, page 29.

**Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.24**

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Documents WHC-06/30.COM/8B and WHC-06/30.COM/INF.8B.2,
2. Defers examination of the nomination of the **Hirkan Forests of Azerbaijan, Azerbaijan**, to the World Heritage List on the basis of natural criterion (iv), to allow the State Party to consider options for renominating the property as part of a transnational serial property with other Hirkanian forest areas in Iran;
3. Recommends that the State Party also consider the following issues relating to the integrity of the nominated property:
  - a) options for expanding the boundaries of the property to include additional forest areas of high conservation value;
  - b) the need to formally establish the buffer zone for the property;

- c) the need to finalise and adopt the management plan and ensure adequate resources for its implementation, including for patrolling; and
- d) the need to effectively address threats to the property, including the removal of illegal settlements and the management of grazing.

Property	<b>The Great Rift Valley Migration Flyway, the Hula</b>
Id. N°	<b>N 1219</b>
State Party	<b>Israel</b>
Criteria proposed by State Party	<b>N (ii)(iii)(iv)</b>

See IUCN Evaluation Book, May 2006, page 45.

**Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.25**

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Documents **WHC-06/30.COM/8B** and **WHC-06/30.COM/INF.8B.2**,
2. Decides not to inscribe the **Great Rift Valley Migration Flyway, the Hula, Israel**, on the World Heritage List on the basis of natural criteria;
3. Congratulates the State Party of Israel for its efforts in promoting a serial nomination for the Great Rift Valley Migration flyway;
4. Encourages other States Parties located within this region to work together in preparing a serial transnational nomination addressing the different values existing in the Great Rift Valley.

Property	<b>Dinosaur Ichnite sites of the Iberian Peninsula</b>
Id. N°	<b>N 1204</b>
State Party	<b>Spain</b>
Criteria proposed by State Party	<b>N (i)(ii)(iii)</b>

See IUCN Evaluation Book, May 2006, page 55.

**Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.26**

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Documents **WHC-06/30.COM/8B** and **WHC-06/30.COM/INF.8B.2**,
2. Defers examination of the nomination of the **Dinosaur Ichnite Sites of the Iberian Peninsula, Spain**, to the World Heritage List on the basis of natural criterion (i), in view of the need for in-depth assessment and substantial revision of this nomination;
3. Recommends that, in reconsidering the potential to strengthen the possible case for Outstanding Universal Value of the nomination, the State Party give particular attention to:
  - a) a definition of a more focused conceptual framework that can clearly demonstrates the relationship of dinosaur ichnite sites in Spain to other important fossil sites in Portugal;
  - b) the relationship of any revised nomination to the interests of the footprint site in Bolivia, currently

the subject of preparatory assistance funded by the World Heritage Fund;

- c) the need for a thorough, global comparative analysis, including justification for a property based on dinosaur ichnites to be considered as being of outstanding universal value;
  - d) the need for a serial nomination to be coherent and manageable, focussed around a much smaller number of localities and with all the elements selected relating to global significance;
4. Commends the State Party for the exemplary cooperative and participatory approach to site conservation and research, and the significant commitment that has been given to the recognition of the values of the nominated serial property by the State Party, the Autonomous Communities, the different communes involved and their citizens.

**A.3.2 Extension of properties inscribed on the World Heritage List**

Property	<b>Kvarken Archipelago (Extension to the "High Coast")</b>
Id. N°	<b>N 898 Bis</b>
State Party	<b>Finland / Sweden</b>
Criteria proposed by State Party	<b>N (i)</b>

See IUCN Evaluation Book, May 2006, page 75.

**Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.27**

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Documents **WHC-06/30.COM/8B** and **WHC-06/30.COM/INF.8B.2**,
2. Approves the extension of the **High Coast, Sweden**, to include the Kvarken Archipelago, Finland, on the basis of natural criterion (i):

**Criterion (i):** The Kvarken Archipelago with its 5600 islands and surrounding sea is of exceptional geological value for two main reasons. First, it is an area of rapid glacio-isostatic uplift with rates that are among the highest in the world. The uplift is ongoing and is associated with major changes in the water bodies in post glacial times. The Kvarken, along with the existing High Coast, its Swedish equivalent on the west coast of the Gulf of Bothnia, are key areas for the understanding of the processes of crustal response to the melting of the continental ice sheet. Second, the Kvarken area possesses a distinctive array of glacial depositional landforms, such as De Greer moraines, which add to the variety of glacial landscapes features in the region and reinforce the previous validity of the High Coast inscription.

3. Notes that the property thus becomes a serial transboundary property of both Finland and Sweden with the new name of **High Coast / Kvarken Archipelago, Sweden and Finland**. The total size of the transboundary serial property will be 336,900 hectares.

## A.4 LATIN AMERICA / CARIBBEAN

### A.4.1 New nominations

Property	<b>Gorgona and Malpelo Islands, Coastal and Oceanic national Marine Parks of Colombia's Eastern Tropical Pacific</b>
Id. N°	<b>N 1216</b>
State Party	<b>Colombia</b>
Criteria proposed by State Party	<b>N (i)(ii)(iii)(iv)</b>

See IUCN Evaluation Book, May 2006, page 65.

#### **Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.28**

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Documents WHC-06/30.COM/8B and WHC-06/30.COM/INF.8B.2,
2. Inscribes the Malpelo Fauna and Flora Sanctuary, Colombia, on the World Heritage List on the basis of natural criteria (ii) and (iii):

**Criterion (ii):** As the largest no-fishing zone in the Eastern Tropical Pacific, the Malpelo Fauna and Flora Sanctuary is a globally significant and largely pristine marine protected area with unaltered and non-threatened ecosystems, free of invasive species, that is essential to maintain and replenish the population of sharks, giant grouper and billfish in the Eastern Tropical Pacific, whilst providing unique opportunities for ecosystem conservation, research, and recreational diving.

**Criterion (iii):** Malpelo's pristine underwater environment is of striking natural beauty because of the incredibly rich and diverse marine life; and major aggregations of large predator fish. Visitation to this site is an exhilarating experience for divers, and has been featured in specialized diving periodicals around the world.

3. Decides not to inscribe the Gorgona Natural National Park, Colombia, on the World Heritage List on the basis of natural criteria;
4. Commends the State Party for its continued efforts in conserving these important marine protected areas, as well as the NGOs, other institutions and private partners that are contributing to their conservation;
5. Recommends the State Party to:
  - a) improve the management of Malpelo Fauna and Flora Sanctuary, including through the implementation of a programme to ensure that illegal fishing pressure is avoided permanently in the areas in and around the sanctuary;
  - b) strengthen tourism management and develop the funding base for long term management of both Gorgona Natural National Park and Malpelo Fauna and Flora Sanctuary; and
  - c) commence research on the deeper waters in both areas, including seamounts within them.

## B. MIXED PROPERTIES

### B.1 AFRICA

#### **B.1.1 Properties deferred, referred back or recommended for not inscription by the World Heritage Committee at previous sessions**

Property	<b>Ecosystem and Relict Cultural Landscape of Lopé-Okanda</b>
Id. N°	<b>N/C 1147 Rev</b>
State Party	<b>Gabon</b>
Criteria proposed by State Party	<b>C (iii)(iv) N (ii)(iv) CL</b>

See IUCN Evaluation Book, May 2006, page 91.

See ICOMOS Evaluation Book, May 2006, page 5.

#### **Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.29**

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Documents WHC-06/30.COM/8B, WHC-06/30.COM/INF.8B.1 and WHC-06/30.COM/INF.8B.2,
2. Defers examination of the nomination of the Ecosystem and Relict Cultural Landscape of Lopé-Okanda, Gabon, on the World Heritage List under natural criterion (ii) in order to:
  - a) allow the State Party to provide a full and thorough global comparative analysis that demonstrates the Outstanding Universal Value of the property, considering other protected areas in Gabon and the region, and in relation to detailed inventories of fauna and flora, as available;
  - b) address the need for increased management capacity at the property to effectively meet the conditions of integrity and to support the implementation of the new Management Plan of Lopé National Park, placing priority on confirming staffing arrangements and long term financing commitments, to ensure the overall sustainable management of the property, including the relationship of its cultural and natural values;
3. Expresses its appreciation to the State Party for the work that has been carried out to improve the management plan for Lopé National Park;
4. Invites the States Parties of Gabon, Congo and Cameroon to discuss the feasibility of preparing a serial transnational nomination of rainforest protected areas, whilst enhancing their existing collaboration in the framework of COMIFAC and RAPAC initiatives;
5. Defers examination of the nomination of the Ecosystem and Relict Cultural Landscape of Lopé-Okanda, Gabon, on the World Heritage List under cultural criteria to allow the State Party to provide:
  - a) a lesser area nominated for its cultural value based on a more detailed analysis of archaeological sites and petroglyphs and their relationship with each other and the river corridor;
  - b) a detailed list of cultural sites, detailing for archaeological sites their type and age, if known, and whether they have been excavated, and for rock art sites the number of images, if known, within each site or if not an approximate number

to provide a record of what might be inscribed on the World Heritage List;

- c) a detailed map of cultural sites, so that their precise location is known;
  - d) how archaeological expertise will be provided to take forward the actions in the management plan;
6. Considers that any nomination of cultural sites should encompass the River Ogooue valley and further tributaries if the significances of the archaeological sites can be justified;
7. Suggests that assistance is requested to research the appropriate value that adequately reflects what appears to be the richness of archaeological finds in the River Ogooue corridor of the area and define boundaries which reflect this value. As no details are given of the special qualities of Mount Iboundji, this should be excluded from the area considered for inscription.

Property	<b>Nyika National Park</b>
Id. N°	<b>N/C 290 Rev</b>
State Party	<b>Malawi</b>
Criteria proposed by State Party	<b>C (iii) N (iii)(iv)</b>

See IUCN Evaluation Book, May 2006, page 83.  
See ICOMOS Evaluation Book, May 2006, page 1.

**Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.30**

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Documents **WHC-06/30.COM/8B**, **WHC-06/30.COM/INF.8B.1** and **WHC-06/30.COM/INF.8B.2**,
2. Decides not to inscribe **Nyika National Park, Malawi**, on the World Heritage List on the basis of natural criteria;
3. Decides not to inscribe **Nyika National Park, Malawi**, on the World Heritage List on the basis of cultural criteria;
4. Commends the State Party of Malawi for acknowledging the cultural value of the Nyika National Park;
5. Encourages the State Party to undertake a more thorough inventory of the smelting sites in order that they may be given necessary protection and conservation;
6. Encourages the State Party to recognise fully the values of places in the Park that have sacred associations for local communities and manage appropriate access to them;

## C. CULTURAL PROPERTIES

### C.1 AFRICA

#### C.1.1. New nominations

Property	<b>Ruines of Loropéni</b>
Id. N°	<b>C 1225</b>
State Party	<b>Burkina Faso</b>
Criteria proposed by State Party	<b>C (ii)(iv)(vi)</b>

See ICOMOS Evaluation Book, May 2006, page 11.

**Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.31**

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Documents **WHC-06/30.COM/8B** and **WHC-06/30.COM/INF.8B.1**,
2. Defers the examination of the nomination of the **Ruins of Loropéni, Burkina Faso**, to the World Heritage List to allow the State Party to:
  - a) explore further the values and significance of the site through surveys and targeted excavations of the ruins and their hinterland, to establish the role and function of Loropéni and its association with trade across the Sahara and towards the West African coast;
  - b) gather existing research on Loropéni and ruins in the wider Lobi area to strengthen understanding of the relationship between Loropéni and other fortified settlements in the Lobi area;
  - c) formulate a detailed project to stabilise the walls of the Loropéni ruin and suggest how this might be funded.

Property	<b>Senegambian Stone Circles</b>
Id. N°	<b>C 1226</b>
State Party	<b>Gambia / Senegal</b>
Criteria proposed by State Party	<b>C (i)(iii)(iv)</b>

See ICOMOS Evaluation Book, May 2006, page 16.

**Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.32**

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Documents **WHC-06/30.COM/8B** and **WHC-06/30.COM/INF.8B.1**,
2. Inscribes the **Stone Circles of Senegambia, Gambia and Senegal**, on the World Heritage List on the basis of **criteria (i) and (iii)**:

**Criterion (i):** The finely worked individual stones display precise and skilful stone working practices and contribute to the imposing order and grandeur of the overall stone circle complexes.

**Criterion (iii):** The nominated stone circles, represent the wider megalithic zone, in which the survival of so many circles is a unique manifestation of construction and funerary practices which persisted for over a millennia across a large sweep of landscape, and reflects a sophisticated and productive society.

3. Recommends that the States parties give consideration to extending the nominated sites to include quarry sites;
4. Recommends that the States Parties consider nominating further sites as part of a wider cultural landscape serial nomination in order to sustain more of the megalithic landscape of Senegambia;
5. Also recommends that a research strategy be put in place to address the wider associations of the stone circles and to record in more detail the megalithic zone.

Property	<b>Aapravasi Ghat</b>
Id. N°	<b>C 1227</b>
State Party	<b>Mauritius</b>
Criteria proposed by State Party	<b>C (iv)(vi)</b>

See ICOMOS Evaluation Book, May 2006, page 21.

**Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.33**

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Documents WHC-06/30.COM/8B and WHC-06/30.COM/INF.8B.1,
2. Defers the examination of the nomination of **Aapravasi Ghat, Mauritius**, on the World Heritage List to allow the State Party to:
  - a) undertake research on indentured labour to consider the extent, scope and impact of the indentured labour Diaspora around the world;
  - b) complete the management plan for Aapravasi Ghat, to include the development and conservation of the buffer zone and archaeological and tourism strategies, and to regularise the restoration work so far undertaken at the nominated site;
3. Suggests that consideration should be given to changing the name of Aapravasi Ghat to **Immigration Depot** in order to signal that the place has significance for immigrants of all creeds;
4. Also suggests that consideration should be given to putting forward the Aapravasi Ghat archives for the UNESCO's Memory of the World Register.

**C.1.2. Properties deferred, referred back or recommended for not inscription by the World Heritage Committee at previous sessions**

Property	<b>Harar Jugol, the Fortified Historical Town</b>
Id. N°	<b>C 1189 Rev</b>
State Party	<b>Ethiopia</b>
Criteria proposed by State Party	<b>C (ii)(iv)(v)</b>

See ICOMOS Evaluation Book, May 2006, page 27.

**Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.34**

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Documents WHC-06/30.COM/8B and WHC-06/30.COM/INF.8B.1,
2. Inscribes Harar Jugol, the Fortified Historical Town, Ethiopia, on the World Heritage List on the basis of **criteria (ii), (iii), (iv) and (v)**:

**Criterion (ii):** The historic town of Harar Jugol exhibits an important interchange of values of original Islamic culture, expressed in the social and cultural development of the city enclosed within the otherwise Christian region. Such influences have been merged with traditions that relate to the inland of Africa and particularly to southern Ethiopia, giving a particular characteristic form to its architecture and urban plan.

**Criterion (iii):** Harar Jugol bears exceptional testimony to cultural traditions related to Islamic and African roots. It is considered "the fourth holy city" of Islam, having been founded by a holy missionary from the Arabic Peninsula. Though a trading place and thus a melting pot of various influences, Harar has been in relative isolation in its region, contributing to a cultural specificity, expressed in its characteristic community structure and traditions, which are still alive.

**Criterion (iv):** Harar Jugol is an outstanding example of a type of architectural and urban ensemble which illustrates the impact of African and Islamic traditions on the development of specific building types. The building types and the entire urban layout reflect these traditions, which give a particular character and even uniqueness to Harar Jugol.

**Criterion (v):** Harar Jugol with its surrounding landscape is an outstanding example of a traditional human settlement, representative of cultural interaction with the environment. The social and spatial structure (afocha) and the language of the people all reflect a particular and even unique relationship that there developed with the environment. The cultural and physical relationships with the territory have survived till today, but they are also vulnerable to irreversible change under the impact of modern globalizing world.

3. Recommends that the name of the nominated property be changed into: "**Harar Jugol, the fortified historic town**";
4. Requests the State Party to inform the World Heritage Committee at its 31st session on the completion of the Urban Master Plan revision.

Property	<b>Chongoni Rock Art Area</b>
Id. N°	<b>C 476 Rev</b>
State Party	<b>Malawi</b>
Criteria proposed by State Party	<b>C (iii)(vi)</b>

See ICOMOS Evaluation Book, May 2006, page 33.

**Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.35**

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Documents WHC-06/30.COM/8B and WHC-06/30.COM/INF.8B.1,
2. Refers the nomination of the **Chongoni Rock Art Area, Malawi**, back to the State Party to allow it to put

in place legal protection for all the shelters through their designation as national monuments;

3. Suggests that a written agreement with the traditional leaders and with the Department of Forestry be produced to set out guidelines for use of individual sites and the forest in general for religious and traditional ceremonies;
4. Also suggests that the Management Plan could be amended to reflect the need to integrate forestry work with other community initiatives in the nominated area;
5. Commends the State Party for arranging for some staff to work on the site;
6. Encourages the State Party to put in place staff with conservation training and authority to oversee the implementation of the Management Plan and to seek political commitment from local and traditional leaders, relevant government departments and national governments.

Property	<b>Kondoa Rock Art Sites</b>
Id. N°	<b>C 1183 Rev</b>
State Party	<b>United Republic of Tanzania</b>
Criteria proposed by State Party	<b>C (ii)(iii)(vi)</b>

See ICOMOS Evaluation Book, May 2006, page 39.

**Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.36**

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Documents **WHC-06/30.COM/8B** and **WHC-06/30.COM/INF.8B.1**,
2. Inscribes the **Kondoa Rock Art Sites, United Republic of Tanzania**, on the World Heritage List on the basis of **criteria (iii) and (vi)**:

**Criterion (iii):** The rock art sites at Kondoa are an exceptional testimony to the lives of hunter-gathers and agriculturalists who have lived in the area over several millennia, and reflect a unique variation of hunter-gather art from southern and central Africa and a unique form of agro-pastoralist paintings.

**Criterion (vi):** Some of the rock art sites are still used actively by local communities for a variety of ritual activities such as rainmaking, divining and healing. These strong intangible relationships between the paintings and living practices reinforce the links with those societies that created the paintings, and demonstrate a crucial cultural continuum.

3. In order to support management and monitoring, encourages all State Parties with relevant records of the rock paintings to allow copies to be made to contribute to a date-base in Kondoa of existing records of the site, now scattered across many institutions in several countries;
4. Commends the State Party for appointing a site manager and assistant, beginning the process of management involving the local community, working on a detailed inventory, putting in place strategy to deal with the provision of firewood for local communities from areas away from the nominated site

and setting out a timetable for trained staff and a database to be in place.

**C.2 ARAB STATES**

**C.2.1. New nominations**

Property	<b>Aflaj Irrigation System of Oman</b>
Id. N°	<b>C 1207</b>
State Party	<b>Oman</b>
Criteria proposed by State Party	<b>C (ii)(iv)(v)</b>

See ICOMOS Evaluation Book, May 2006, page 46.

**Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.37**

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Documents **WHC-06/30.COM/8B** and **WHC-06/30.COM/INF.8B.1**,
2. Refers the **Aflaj irrigation system of Oman, Oman**, back to the State Party to allow them to consider how:
  - a) the enlarged areas can be given adequate protection;
  - b) further information can be provided in support of the proposed criteria;
  - c) a management plan or system can be developed for the settlement areas, to complement traditional management arrangements, which addresses the restoration, and conservation of the traditional structures such as mosques, watchtowers, forts, houses, and wash places, the re-introduction of traditional mortars, the control of development, the management of visitors, and the presentation of the aflaj;
3. Congratulates the State Party for extending the nominated areas to include the wider landscape created by the aflaj irrigation system to include the demand areas in settlements and thus reflect social and community involvement;
4. Suggests to consider how the wider landscape can be managed through the development of a management process involving local communities and perhaps based, as suggested in the nomination, on an extension of the traditional falaj committees and supported by the Ministry of Heritage and Culture;
5. Recommends to create a short-term action plan to address how the traditional buildings that are in a state of considerable decay can be stabilized and where appropriate be given viable uses;
6. Also recommends that a management plan be produced to articulate these approaches and to address the need for control of new development, access for tourists and presentation of the aflaj systems;
7. Urges to protect the settlement patterns and key structures of the nominated areas in the settlements.

Property	<b>Castles of Syria</b>
Id. N°	<b>C 1229</b>
State Party	<b>Syrian Arab Republic</b>
Criteria proposed by State Party	<b>C (ii)(iv)</b>

See ICOMOS Evaluation Book, May 2006, page 53.

**Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.38**

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Documents WHC-06/30.COM/8B and WHC-06/30.COM/INF.8B.1,
2. Refers the nomination of Castles of Syria, Syrian Arab Republic, back to the State Party in order to:
  - a) *provide a firm commitment that the cable cars would not be built within the core or buffer zones of the nominated properties;*
  - b) *report back on the implementation of the new management system and initiatives regarding the clearance of illegal structures;*
3. Notes that the State Party has agreed to change the name of the nominated property into: "Crac des Chevaliers and Qal'at Salah El-Din";
4. Recommends that the State Party keep the World Heritage Committee informed about any changes that are planned within the nominated areas and their buffer zones.

**C.3 ASIA / PACIFIC**

**C.3.1. New nominations**

Property	<b>Yin Xu</b>
Id. N°	<b>C 1114</b>
State Party	<b>China</b>
Criteria proposed by State Party	<b>C (i)(ii)(iii)(iv)(vi)</b>

See ICOMOS Evaluation Book, May 2006, page 58.

**Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.39**

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Documents WHC-06/30.COM/8B and WHC-06/30.COM/INF.8B.1,
2. Inscribes Yin Xu, China, on the World Heritage List on the basis of criteria (ii), (iii), (iv) and (vi):

**Criterion (ii):** *Yin Xu, capital of the Late Shang dynasty exhibits an exchange of important influences and the highest level of development in China's ancient bronze culture, including the system of writing.*

**Criterion (iii):** *The cultural remains at Yin Xu provide exceptional evidence to cultural traditions in Late Shang Period, and are testimony to many scientific and technical achievements and innovations, such as the solar and lunar calendar system, and the earliest evidence of systematic written Chinese language in oracle bones.*

**Criterion (iv):** *The palaces, ancestral shrines and the royal tombs of Yin Xu are outstanding examples of*

early Chinese architecture. They have great significance establishing the early prototypes for Chinese palace architecture and royal tomb complexes.

**Criterion (vi):** *The material remains discovered at Yin Xu provide tangible evidence to the early history of the system of Chinese writing and language, ancient beliefs, social systems, and major historical events, which are considered of outstanding universal significance.*

Property	<b>River Island of Majuli in midstream of Brahmaputra River in Assam</b>
Id. N°	<b>C 1206</b>
State Party	<b>India</b>
Criteria proposed by State Party	<b>C (ii)(iii)(v)(vi) + CL</b>

See ICOMOS Evaluation Book, May 2006, page 63.  
See IUCN Evaluation Book, May 2006, page 97.

**Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.40**

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Documents WHC-06/30.COM/8B and WHC-06/30.COM/INF.8B.1,
2. Defers the examination of the nomination of the River island of Majuli in midstream Brahmaputra River in Assam, India, to the World Heritage List to allow the State Party to:
  - a) *assemble more information on the Sattras remaining on the island and on the way they have influenced and continue to influence landscape patterns and the overall interaction between people and nature in Majuli and further a field;*
  - b) *create an inventory of architecture and spatial patterns in the landscape associated with the Sattras and their movable heritage;*
  - c) *put in place legal protection;*
  - d) *amplify the Management Plan to take account of the specific nature of Sattras landscapes and buildings, the interrelationship between people and nature, the potential for traditional farming practices to sustain biodiversity, the need to codify traditional knowledge, the conservation and development of traditional architecture, a cultural tourism strategy and approaches to sustainable development;*
  - e) *undertake an appraisal of the overall river basin in which Majuli lies, and the potential impact of climate change, in order to ascertain the chances of the island surviving in the medium term;*
  - f) *develop and implement a Risk Preparedness Strategy;*
  - g) *carefully consider the impact of the proposed bridges on the special characteristics of the Majuli cultural landscape;*
3. Encourages the State Party to produce an inventory of flora and fauna of the site with particular emphasis on threatened and endangered species that may be protected as result of local management practices.

Property	<b>Bisotun</b>
Id. N°	<b>C 1222</b>
State Party	<b>Iran (Islamic Republic of)</b>
Criteria proposed by State Party	<b>C (ii)(iii)</b>

See ICOMOS Evaluation Book, May 2006, page 70.

**Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.41**

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Documents WHC-06/30.COM/8B and WHC-06/30.COM/INF.8B.1,
2. Inscribes Bisotun, Islamic Republic of Iran, on the World Heritage List on the basis of criteria (ii) and (iii):

**Criterion (ii):** The monument created by Darius I The Great in Bisotun in 521 BC is an outstanding testimony to the important interchange of human values on the development monumental art and writing. The symbolic representation of the Achaemenid king in relation to his enemy reflects traditions in monumental bas-reliefs that date from ancient Egypt and the Middle East, and which were subsequently further developed during the Achaemenid and later empires.

**Criterion (iii):** The site of Bisotun is located along one of the main routes linking Persia with Mesopotamia and associated with the sacred Bisotun mountain. There is archaeological evidence of human settlements that date from the prehistoric times, while the most significant period was from 6th century BC to AD 6th century. The Bisotun inscription is unique being the only known monumental text of the Achaemenids to document a specific historic event, that of the re-establishment of the Empire by Darius I The Great. It was the first cuneiform writing to be deciphered in the 19th century.

3. Requests the State Party to report on the design and construction of access routes to the site and the development and design of eventual visitor facilities, before such works are undertaken.

**C.3.2. Minor Modifications to the boundaries**

Property	<b>Kathmandu Valley</b>
Id. N°	<b>C 121 Bis</b>
State Party	<b>Nepal</b>
Criteria proposed by State Party	<b>C (iii)(iv)(vi)</b>

See ICOMOS Evaluation Book, May 2006, page 75.

**Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.42**

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Documents WHC-06/30.COM/8B and WHC-06/30.COM/INF.8B.1,
2. Considers that the proposed modification to the boundaries of the Kathmandu Valley, Nepal, constitutes a major modification and therefore requests the State Party to:
  - a) submit, as per paragraph 165 of the Operational Guidelines, a full new nomination document

based on modified boundaries, including a Statement of Outstanding Universal Value, integrated management plan and appropriate legal protection as specified in paragraphs 129 - 132 of the Operational Guidelines;

- b) continue with the establishment and implementation of the Integrated Management Plan for the safeguarding of the property.

**C.4 EUROPE / NORTH AMERICA**

**C.4.1. New nominations**

Property	<b>Renaissance Houses at Slavonice</b>
Id. N°	<b>C 1172</b>
State Party	<b>Czech Republic</b>
Criteria proposed by State Party	<b>C (i)(ii)(iv)</b>

See ICOMOS Evaluation Book, May 2006, page 88.

**Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.43**

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Documents WHC-06/30.COM/8B and WHC-06/30.COM/INF.8B.1,
2. Decides not to inscribe the Renaissance Houses at Slavonice, Czech Republic, on the World Heritage List.

Property	<b>The Causses and the Cévennes</b>
Id. N°	<b>C 1153</b>
State Party	<b>France</b>
Criteria proposed by State Party	<b>C (v)(vi) + CL</b>

See ICOMOS Evaluation Book, May 2006, page 93.  
See IUCN Evaluation Book, May 2006, page 99.

**Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.44**

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Documents WHC-06/30.COM/8B and WHC-06/30.COM/INF.8B.1,
2. Defers the examination of the nomination of The Causses and the Cévennes, France, to the World Heritage List to allow the State Party to consider further the qualities of the property.

Property	<b>Old Town of Regensburg with Stadthof</b>
Id. N°	<b>C 1155</b>
State Party	<b>Germany</b>
Criteria proposed by State Party	<b>C (i)(ii)(iii)</b>

See ICOMOS Evaluation Book, May 2006, page 101.

**Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.45**

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Documents WHC-06/30.COM/8B and WHC-06/30.COM/INF.8B.1,



2. Defers the examination of the nomination of the **Old Town of Regensburg with Stadtamhof, Germany**, to the World Heritage List to allow the State Party to:

- a) revise the justification of the Outstanding Universal Value, taking into account the historic town as an urban entity;
- b) present a detailed study of the losses during the Second World War and the post-war changes in the urban fabric that have effected the integrity of the Old Town;
- c) provide a comprehensive report on the new development projects that would have an impact on the Old Town, i.e. within the proposed core zone and buffer zone;
- d) verify and eventually redefine the core zone (and buffer zone) in view of the values and the integrity resulting from the above studies.

Property	<b>Genoa: Le Strade Nuove and the system of the Palazzi dei Rolli</b>
Id. N°	<b>C 1211</b>
State Party	<b>Italy</b>
Criteria proposed by State Party	<b>C (ii)(iii)(iv)(vi)</b>

See ICOMOS Evaluation Book, May 2006, page 106.

**Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.46**

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Documents **WHC-06/30.COM/8B** and **WHC-06/30.COM/INF.8B.1**,
2. Inscribes **Genoa: Le Strade Nuove and the system of the Palazzi dei Rolli, Italy**, on the World Heritage List on the basis of **criteria (ii) and (iv)**:

**Criterion (ii):** The ensemble of the Strade Nuove and the related palaces exhibits an important interchange of values on the development of architecture and town planning, in the 16th and 17th centuries. Through the architectural treatises of the time, these examples were publicized making the Strade Nuove and the late-Renaissance palaces of Genoa a significant landmark in the development of Mannerist and Baroque architecture in Europe.

**Criterion (iv):** The ensemble of Strade Nuove in Genoa is an outstanding example of an urban ensemble consisting of aristocratic palaces of high architectural value, illustrating the economy and politics of the mercantile city of Genoa at the height of its power in the 16th and 17th centuries. The project proposed new and innovative spirit, representing the siglo de los Genoveses (1563-1640). In 1576, the Republic of Genoa established a legally based list of Rolli recognizing the most outstanding palaces for official lodging of distinguished guests.

3. Encourages the State Party to eliminate the section of the motorway in front of the historic centre by building it into a tunnel.

Property	<b>Centennial Hall in Wroclaw, Poland</b>
Id. N°	<b>C 1165</b>
State Party	<b>Poland</b>
Criteria proposed by State Party	<b>C (i)(ii)(iv)</b>

See ICOMOS Evaluation Book, May 2006, page 111.

**Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.47**

The World Heritage Committee,

2. Having examined Documents **WHC-06/30.COM/8B** and **WHC-06/30.COM/INF.8B.1**,
3. Inscribes the **Centennial Hall in Wroclaw, Poland, Poland**, on the World Heritage List on the basis of **criteria (i), (ii) and (iv)**:

**Criterion (i):** The Centennial Hall of Wroclaw is a creative and innovative example in the development of construction technology in large reinforced concrete structures. The Centennial Hall occupies a key position in the evolution of methods of reinforcement in architecture, and one of the climax points in the history of the use of metal in structural consolidation.

**Criterion (ii):** The Centennial Hall is a pioneering work of modern engineering and architecture, which exhibits an important interchange of influences in the early 20th century, becoming a key reference in the later development of reinforced concrete structures.

**Criterion (iv):** As part of the exhibition grounds of Wroclaw, the Centennial Hall is an outstanding example of modern recreational architecture that served a variety of purposes, ranging from conferences and exhibitions to concerts, theatre and opera.

3. Recommends that the name of the nominated property be changed to: "**Centennial Hall in Wroclaw**";
4. Recommends that due care be continued in the efforts already made for the conservation and management of the Centennial Hall, and particular attention be given to the planning and design of any new structures to house the services and facilities in the Exhibition Grounds, respecting the values of the existing historic context.

Property	<b>Site of Marvão</b>
Id. N°	<b>C 1177</b>
State Party	<b>Portugal</b>
Criteria proposed by State Party	<b>C (iv)(v)</b>

See ICOMOS Evaluation Book, May 2006, page 116.

**Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.48**

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Documents **WHC-06/30.COM/8B** and **WHC-06/30.COM/INF.8B.1**,
2. Decides not to inscribe the **Site of Marvão, Portugal**, on the World Heritage List.

Property	<b>Vizcaya Bridge</b>
Id. N°	<b>C 1217</b>
State Party	<b>Spain</b>
Criteria proposed by State Party	<b>C (i)(ii)(iii)(iv)</b>

See ICOMOS Evaluation Book, May 2006, page 120.

**Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.49**

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Documents WHC-06/30.COM/8B and WHC-06/30.COM/INF.8B.1,
2. Inscribes the Vizcaya Bridge, Spain, on the World Heritage List on the basis of criteria (i) and (ii):

**Criterion (i):** The Vizcaya Bridge is a dramatic and aesthetically pleasing addition to the river estuary and an exceptional expression of technical creativity, reflecting an entirely satisfactory relationship between form and function.

**Criterion (ii):** Vizcaya Bridge, through the development of the hanging transporter mechanism and its fusion of iron working technology with new steel cables, created a new form of construction that influenced the development of bridges around the world over the next three decades and exported French and Spanish technologies.

3. Recommends that the State Party re-consider plans for the development of a large car-park complex in the buffer zone because of the adverse impact of the scheme on the setting of the bridge in visual and physical terms.

Property	<b>Cornwall and West Devon Mining Landscape</b>
Id. N°	<b>C 1215</b>
State Party	<b>United Kingdom</b>
Criteria proposed by State Party	<b>C (ii)(iii)(iv) + CL</b>

See ICOMOS Evaluation Book, May 2006, page 125.  
See IUCN Evaluation Book, May 2006, page 105.

**Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.50**

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Documents WHC-06/30.COM/8B and WHC-06/30.COM/INF.8B.1,
2. Refers the nomination of Cornwall and West Devon Mining Landscape, United Kingdom, back to the State Party to allow them to:

- a) re-define the nominated areas to reflect the key assets of the Cornwall and west Devon mining cultural landscape, consistent with their history, and surviving testimonies to industrialised mining processes, where they can be given protection from large-scale development that might impinge on their integrity and value;
- b) put in place appropriate legal protection;
- c) create buffer zones around those areas not set within protected landscapes;

- d) put in place policies for the protection of distinctive habitats and plant communities related to mining, and also for contamination control;

3. Recommends that any proposals concerning the re-opening of mines in the nominated areas be forwarded to the World Heritage Committee for debate and scrutiny;
4. Recommends that the natural values of the cultural landscape should be fully integrated into the future management of the site in a way that demonstrates the link between biodiversity and landscape protection and that policies should be developed for biodiversity, the protection of natural landscapes and contamination control.

**C.4.2. Extension of properties already inscribed on the World**

Property	<b>Schloss Eggenberg (Extension to "City of Graz – Historic Centre")</b>
Id. N°	<b>C 931 Bis</b>
State Party	<b>Austria</b>
Criteria proposed by State Party	<b>C (ii)(iv)(vi)</b>

See ICOMOS Evaluation Book, May 2006, page 133.

**Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.51**

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Documents WHC-06/30.COM/8B and WHC-06/30.COM/INF.8B.1,
2. Defers the examination of the extension of the City of Graz – Historic Centre to include Schloss Eggenberg, Austria, on the World Heritage List to allow the State Party to improve the management system and relevant plans for the whole property in question and in order to reinforce the link between the Castle and the City.

Property	<b>Church of Agios Sozomenos, Galata and Church of Agios Mamas, Louvaras (Extension to the "Painted Churches in the Troodos Region")</b>
Id. N°	<b>C 351 Ter</b>
State Party	<b>Cyprus</b>
Criteria proposed by State Party	<b>C (ii)(iii)(iv)</b>

See ICOMOS Evaluation Book, May 2006, page 137.

**Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.52**

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Documents WHC-06/30.COM/8B and WHC-06/30.COM/INF.8B.1,
2. Decides not to approve the extension of the Painted Churches in the Troodos Region to include the Church of Agios Sozomenos, Galata, and the Church of Agios Mamas, Louvaras, Cyprus, on the World Heritage List;

3. Recommends that the list of churches already inscribed could be made more readily available together with their particular characteristics and values in order that visitors may understand them as a group.

Property	<b>Medieval Monuments in Kosovo (Extension to "Dečani Monastery")</b>
Id. N°	<b>C 724 Bis</b>
State Party	<b>Serbia and Montenegro</b>
Criteria proposed by State Party	<b>C (ii)(iii)(iv)(vi)</b>

See ICOMOS Evaluation Book, May 2006, page 141.

Note: The Committee is asked to consider two draft decisions, concerning approval of the proposed extension and inscription on the List of World Heritage in Danger.

### Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.53

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Documents **WHC-06/30.COM/8B** and **WHC-06/30.COM/INF.8B.1**,
2. Approves the extension of the **Dečani Monastery** to include the **Patriarchate of Peć Monastery, Gračanica Monastery and the Church of the Virgin of Ljeviša, Serbia and Montenegro**, on the World Heritage List on the basis of **criteria (ii), (iii) and (iv)**:

**Criterion (ii):** The Patriarchate of Peć Monastic Church, the Gračanica Monastic Church and the Church of the Virgin of Ljeviša played a decisive role in the development of ecclesiastical building and mural painting in the Balkans between the 14th to the 16th centuries, in the discrete Balkan Palaiologian Renaissance architectural style, which reflects a fusion of eastern Orthodox Byzantine with western Romanesque styles.

**Criterion (iii):** The wall paintings in the three churches are an exceptional testimony to the manifestations of the cultural tradition of the Palaiologian Renaissance of Byzantium in the Balkans. They show the height of the development of Balkan art from the first half of the 14th century in Gračanica and Ljeviša, similar only to the church of the Holy Apostles in Thessaloniki and the Monastery of Protaton at Mount Athos, while the paintings at the churches at Peć, dating from around 1300 until 1673-74, are a powerful demonstration of the emergence of this style and its aftermath.

**Criterion (iv):** The Patriarchate of Peć Monastic Church, the Gračanica Monastic Church and the Church of the Virgin of Ljeviša reflect the development of a discrete Palaiologian Renaissance style of architecture and mural decoration in the Balkans in the 14th century, when the combined forces of Church and State were harnessed to create a strong identity for Serbia, in line with its political orientations.

3. Also recommends that, in the case of the Patriarchate of Peć and Gračanica, as churches are being nominated, rather than monastic complexes, the names of the two properties should be changed to **Patriarchate of Peć Monastic Church and Gračanica Monastic Church**;

4. Notes the changing of the name of the property which as extended becomes: **"Medieval Monuments in Kosovo"**;
5. Recommends that the State Party put in place stronger protection for the buffer zones;
6. Also recommends that the State Party give consideration to extending the boundaries of the Patriarchate of Peć Monastic Church to include more of its valley setting;
7. Requests the State Party to give consideration to putting in place appropriate guarding arrangements for the Church of the Virgin of Ljeviša.

### Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.54

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Documents **WHC-06/30.COM/8B** and **WHC-06/30.COM/INF.8B.1**,
2. Inscribes the **Medieval Monuments in Kosovo, Serbia and Montenegro**, on the List of World Heritage in Danger in order to allow support to be engaged for urgent conservation reports and conservation work;
3. Requests the State Party to prepare detailed state of conservation reports on their murals, and on underlying problems with their fabric, as acknowledged in their management plans, and in the case of Ljeviša, on the impact of the recent fire on both fabric and murals;
4. Requests the State Party to consider ways of putting in place appropriate guarding arrangements for Ljeviša.

### **C.4.3. Properties deferred, referred back or recommended for not inscription by the World Heritage Committee at previous sessions**

Property	<b>Ancient Plovdiv</b>
Id. N°	<b>C 218 Rev</b>
State Party	<b>Bulgaria</b>
Criteria proposed by State Party	<b>C (ii)(iv)(vi)</b>

See ICOMOS Evaluation Book, May 2006, page 83.

### Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.55

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Documents **WHC-06/30.COM/8B** and **WHC-06/30.COM/INF.8B.1**,
2. Decides not to inscribe the **Ancient Plovdiv, Bulgaria**, on the World Heritage List.

## C.5 LATIN AMERICA / CARIBBEAN

### C.5.1. New nominations

Property	<b>Incallajta: the fundamental rock of the Inca power in the Collasuyo</b>
Id. N°	<b>C 1218</b>
State Party	<b>Bolivia</b>
Criteria proposed by State Party	<b>C (i)(ii)(vi)</b>

See ICOMOS Evaluation Book, May 2006, page 149.

#### **Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.56**

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Documents WHC-06/30.COM/8B and WHC-06/30.COM/INF.8B.1,
2. Defers the examination of the nomination of the Incallajta: the fundamental rock of the Inca power in the Collasuyo, Bolivia, on the World Heritage List to allow the State Party to:
  - a) continue with its research to establish the wider significances of Incallajta in relation to Inca routes and other parts of the Inca Empire;
  - b) determine whether or not the site was the fundamental rock of Inca power in the Collasuyo;
  - c) integrate Incallajta into the proposed World Heritage Inca routes project in order to link it to other nearby Inca sites.
  - d) involve the local population in the management of the site, as envisaged in the management plan.
3. Recommends that the buffer zone be extended to match with the wider area that in the map of the dossier is called visual protection;
4. Urges the State Party to enhance the present state of conservation of the property that is on the whole very poor and in places critical.

Property	<b>Sewell Mining Town</b>
Id. N°	<b>C 1214</b>
State Party	<b>Chile</b>
Criteria proposed by State Party	<b>C (ii)(iii)(v)</b>

See ICOMOS Evaluation Book, May 2006, page 154.

#### **Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.57**

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Documents WHC-06/30.COM/8B and WHC-06/30.COM/INF.8B.1,
2. Inscribes the Sewell Mining Town, Chile, on the World Heritage List on the basis of criterion (ii):

**Criterion (ii):** Sewell town in its hostile environment is an outstanding example of the global phenomena of company towns, established in remote parts of the world through a fusion of local labour with resources from already industrialised nations, to mine and process high value copper. The town contributed to the global spread of large-scale mining technology.

3. Recommends that, while supporting the principle of adaptive re-use, a minimum number of dwelling units be restored rather than adapted to display the realities of mining life in the town and to keep sufficient of the internal layout of the buildings to ensure that their original functions can be discerned.

Property	<b>Agave Landscape and Ancient Industrial Facilities of Tequila</b>
Id. N°	<b>C 1209</b>
State Party	<b>Mexico</b>
Criteria proposed by State Party	<b>C (ii)(iv)(v)(vi) + CL</b>

See ICOMOS Evaluation Book, May 2006, page 160.  
See IUCN Evaluation Book, May 2006, page 103.

#### **Draft Decision: 30 COM 8B.58**

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Documents WHC-06/30.COM/8B and WHC-06/30.COM/INF.8B.1,
2. Inscribes the Agave Landscape and the Ancient Industrial Facilities of Tequila, Mexico, on the World Heritage List on the basis of criteria (ii), (iv), (v) and (vi):

**Criterion (ii):** The cultivation of agave and its distillation have produced a distinctive landscape within which are a collection of fine haciendas and distilleries that reflect both the fusion of pre-Hispanic traditions of fermenting mescal juice with the European distillation processes and of local and imported technologies, both European and American.

**Criterion (iv):** The collection of haciendas and distilleries, in many cases complete with their equipment and reflecting the growth of tequila distillation over the past two hundred and fifty years, are together an outstanding example of distinct architectural complexes which illustrate the fusion of technologies and cultures.

**Criterion (v):** The agave landscape exemplified the continuous link between ancient Mesoamerican culture of the agave and today, as well as the contours process of cultivation since the 17th century when large scale plantations were created and distilleries first started production of tequila. The overall landscape of fields, distilleries, haciendas and towns is an outstanding example of a traditional human settlement and land-use which is representative of a specific culture that developed in Tequila.

**Criterion (vi):** The Tequila landscape has generated literary works, films, music, art and dance, all celebrating the links between Mexico and tequila and its heartland in Jalisco. The Tequila landscape is thus strongly associated with perceptions of cultural significances far beyond its boundaries.

3. Requests to reinforce objectives to improve the environmental integrity of the property;
4. Suggests that the involvement of all stakeholders could deliver benefits to local communities, and

*particularly farmers, through the traditional processes that have shaped the landscape;*

5. *Congratulates the State Party on documenting the collection of distilleries and setting in place plans for their conservation in situ;*
6. *Recommends that the State Party define buffer zones to protect against development immediately outside the boundaries;*
7. *Recommends that increased attention be given to the interplay between nature and culture in the management plan and in the monitoring of the property.*

### III. Record of the physical attributes of each site being discussed at the 30th session of the World Heritage Committee

Of the 37 properties being discussed, 16 are serial proposals containing a total of 106 new serial elements (excluding those components already inscribed of serial sites now being extended). While some of these serial components are clustered together in the same vicinity, sometimes in the same buffer zone, others are located at great distance from one another.

A total of 5.1 million hectares is proposed for inscription, of which the majority (82%) are for natural and mixed sites, although numerically natural and mixed sites represent only 27% of the 37 nominations being discussed.

The following table displays the relevant figures for the last three years:

Session	Number of properties proposed (including extensions)	Ratio of Natural and Mixed to Cultural properties	Total hectares proposed for inscription	Ratio of Natural and Mixed to Cultural properties	Number of serial nominations (including extensions)
27 COM (2003)	45	33% N/M - 66% C	7.8 mil. ha	94.6% N/M - 5.4% C	22
28 COM (2004)	48	25% N/M - 75% C	6.7 mil. ha	94.4% N/M - 5.6% C	18
29 COM (2005)	47	30% N/M - 70% C	4.5 mil. ha	97.9% N/M - 2.1% C	22
30 COM (2006)	37	27% N/M - 73% C	5.1 mil. ha	81.9% N/M - 18.1% C	16

The tables below present the information in two parts:

- a table of the total surface area of the property and any buffer zone proposed, together with the geographic coordinates of each site's approximate centre point; and
- a set of separate tables presenting the component parts of each of the 16 proposed serial properties.

#### A. Physical attributes of properties proposed for inscription at the 30th session

A row surrounded by a box indicates a serial nomination, whose details may be found in Table II.

ng = information not given

-- = property has no buffer zone

State Party		ID N	Area	Buffer zone	Centre point coordinates
	<b>NATURAL PROPERTIES</b>				
Azerbaijan	Hirkan Forests of Azerbaijan	1212	21 435 ha	--	N38 29 00 E48 42 00
China	Sichuan Giant Panda Sanctuary – Wolong, Mt. Siguniang and Jiujin Mountains	1213	924 500 ha	527 100 ha	N30 50 00 E103 00 00*
Colombia	Gorgona and Malpelo Islands, Coastal and Oceanic national Marine Parks of Colombia's Eastern Tropical Pacific	1216	919 187.5 ha	--	N02 58 00 W78 11 00
Finland / Sweden	The Kvarken Archipelago (Extension to the 'High Coast')	898	Bis 194 400 ha	--	N63 18 00 E21 18 00*
Indonesia / Malaysia	Transborder Rainforest Heritage of Borneo	1197	1 051 000 ha	ng	N01 27 29 E112 14 18*
Israel	The Great Rift Valley Migration Flyway, the Hula	1219	869 ha	5 227 ha	N33 04 44 E35 35 55*
Morocco	Le Toubkal	1168	38 526 ha	65 152 ha	N31 03 57 W07 55 28*
Spain	Dinosaur Ichnite sites in the Iberian Peninsula	1204	209 326 ha	1 867 885 ha	N43 32 00 W05 22 57
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>INCREASE to the World Heritage List proposed</b>		<b>3 359 243.5 ha</b>	<b>2 465 364 ha</b>	
	<b>MIXED PROPERTIES</b>				
Gabon	Ecosystem and Relict Cultural Landscape of Lopé-Okanda	1147	491 291 ha	150 000 ha	S00 30 E11 30*
Malawi	Nyika National Park	290	Rev 313 400 ha	--	S10 33 50 E33 51 56
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>INCREASE to the World Heritage List proposed</b>		<b>804 691 ha</b>	<b>150 000 ha</b>	

State Party		ID N	Area	Buffer zone	Centre point coordinates	
	<b>CULTURAL PROPERTIES</b>					
Austria	Schloss Eggenberg (Extension to "City of Graz - Historic Centre")	931	Bis	19.2701 ha	147.2538 ha	N47 04 27 E15 23 30
Bolivia	Incallajta: la pierre fondamentale du pouvoir inca dans le collasuyo	1218		28.3605 ha	~ 15.5 ha	S17 36 44.10 W65 24 56.63
Bulgaria	Ancient Plovdiv	218	Rev	28.29 ha	53.55 ha	N42 09 00 E24 45 00
Burkina Faso	Les ruines de Loropéni	1225		1.1130 ha	278.40 ha	N10 15 00 W03 35 00
Chile	Sewell Mining Town	1214		17.2 ha	33 ha	S34 05 04 W70 22 58*
China	Yin Xu	1114		414 ha	720 ha	N36 07 36 E114 18 50*
Cyprus	Church of Agios Sozomenos, Galata and Church of Agios Mamas, Louvaras (Extension to the "Painted Churches in the Troodos Region")	351	Ter	0.068 ha	ng	N34 59 49 E32 53 53 N34 50 14 E33 02 34
Czech Republic	Renaissance Houses at Slavonice	1172		1.1906 ha	48 ha	N48 59 53.57 E15 21 11.51
Ethiopia	Harar Jugol, the fortified historical town	1189	Rev	48 ha	ng	N09 18 32 E42 08 16*
France	Les Causses et les Cévennes	1153		476 400 ha	162 600 ha	N44 08 23 E03 39 57*
Gambia/ Senegal	The Senegambian Stone Circles	1226		9.85 ha	110.05 ha	N13 45 W15 42 N13 41 17 W15 32 10
Germany	Old town of Regensburg with Stadtamhof	1155		182.8 ha	775.6 ha	N49 01 13 E12 05 50*
India	River Island of Majuli in midstream of Brahmaputra River in Assam	1206		142 535 ha	380 062 ha	N26 57 00 E94 10 00
Iran (Islamic Rep. of)	Bisotun	1222		187 ha	361 ha	N34 23 18 E47 26 12
Italy	Genoa: Le Strade Nuove and the system of the Palazzi dei Rolli	1211		15.81 ha	113 ha	N44 24 33 E08 55 48*
Malawi	Chongoni Rock Art area	476	Rev	12 640 ha	ng	S14 17 36 E34 16 45
Mauritius	Aapravasi Ghat	1227		0.164 ha	28.9 ha	S20 09 31.1 E57 30 11.4
Mexico	The Agave Landscape and the Ancient Industrial Facilities of Tequila	1209		35 018.852 ha	51 261.334 ha	N20 51 47 W103 46 43*
Nepal	Kathmandu Valley (minor modification)	121	Bis	167.37 ha	70.29 ha	N27 42 14 E85 18 30
Oman	Afalj irrigation system of Oman	1207		1 455.949 ha	16 404.33 ha	N22 59 56 E57 32 09.8
Poland	Centennial Hall in Wroclaw Poland	1165		36.69 ha	189.68 ha	N51 06 25.01 E17 04 37.25
Portugal	Site of Marvão	1177		76 ha	307 ha	N39 23 40.2 W07 22 31.7
Serbia and Montenegro	Medieval Monuments in Kosovo (Extension to Dečani Monastery)	724	Bis	2.8802 ha	115.3879 ha	N42 39 40 E20 15 56
Spain	Vizcaya Bridge	1217		0.8595 ha	12.36 ha	N43 19 23.43 W03 01 00.60
Syrian Arab Republic	Castles of Syria	1229		8.87 ha	167.21 ha	N34 46 54 E36 15 47
United Kingdom	Cornwall and West Devon Mining Landscape	1215		19 719 ha	--	N50 08 10 W05 23 01
United Republic of Tanzania	Kondoa Rock Art Sites	1183	Rev	233 600 ha	ng	S04 43 28 E35 50 02*
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>INCREASE to the World Heritage List proposed</b>			<b>922 614.6 ha</b>	<b>613 873.8 ha</b>	

\* coordinates taken from *Google Earth*

## B. Serial Properties to be examined by the 30th session of the World Heritage Committee

### Natural Properties

<b>Colombia</b>				
<b>N 1216</b> Gorgona and Malpelo Islands, Coastal and Oceanic National Marine Parks of Colombia's Eastern Tropical Pacific				
Serial ID No.	Name	Area	Buffer zone	Centre point coordinates
1216-001	Malpelo Flora and Fauna Sanctuary	857 500 ha 350 ha terrestrial 857 150 ha marine area	--	N03 58 W81 37
1216-002	Gorgona Natural National Park	61 687.5 ha 1 333.29 ha terrestrial 60 353.71 ha marine area	--	N02 58 W78 11
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>919 187.5 ha</b>	--	

<b>Finland / Sweden</b>					
<b>N 898 Bis</b> The Kvarken Archipelago (Extension to the "High Coast")					
Serial ID No.	Name	Inscribed	Area	Buffer zone	Centre point coordinates
898-001	High Coast – Sweden	2000	142 500 ha	--	N63 00 E18 30
898bis-002	The Kvarken Archipelago - Zone A - Finland	proposed	160 000 ha 16.6 % is land 83.4 % is sea	--	N63 18 00 E21 18 00
898bis-003	The Kvarken Archipelago - Zone B - Finland	proposed	34 400 ha 7.8 % is land 92.2 % is sea	--	N62 58 00 E20 57 00
TOTAL of proposed area for extension			194 400 ha 15.1 % is land 84.9 % is shallow sea	--	
<b>TOTAL</b>			<b>336 900 ha</b>	--	

<b>Indonesia / Malaysia</b>				
<b>N 1197</b> Transborder Rainforest Heritage of Borneo				
Serial ID No.	Name	Area	Buffer zone	Centre point coordinates
1197-001	Betung Kerihun National Park (BKNP) - Indonesia	800 000 ha	ng	N01 15 E113 10
1197-002	Lanjak Entimau Wildlife Sanctuary (LEWS) - Malaysia	219 000 ha	--	N01 30 E112 10
1197-003	Batang Ai National Park (BANP) - Malaysia	32 000 ha	--	N01 15 E112 05
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>1 051 000 ha</b>	<b>ng</b>	

<b>Israel</b>				
<b>N 1219</b> The Great Rift Valley Migration Flyway, the Hula				
Serial ID No.	Name	Area	Buffer zone	Centre point coordinates
1219-001	Hula and Einan Nature reserves	387 ha		N33 04 44 E35 35 55*
1219-002	Lake Agmon	482 ha		N33 06 10 E35 36 30*
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>869 ha</b>	<b>5 227 ha</b>	



<b>Spain</b>						
<b>N 1204</b> Dinosaur Ichnite sites in the Iberian Peninsula						
<b>Serial ID No.</b>	<b>Autonomous Community</b>	<b>No. sites selected</b>	<b>No. sites all together</b>	<b>Area</b>	<b>Buffer zone</b>	<b>Centre point coordinates</b>
1204-001	Faro de Tazones			1 198 ha	25 356 ha	N43 32 00 W05 22 57
1204-002	Playa de la Griega			7 594 ha	23 489 ha	N43 30 02 W05 15 37
1204-003	Tereñes			58 431 ha	56 901 ha	N43 28 27 W05 06 02
1204-004	Playa de Ribadesella			16 909 ha	24 606 ha	N43 28 12 W05 04 30
	<b>Principado de Asturias</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>84 132 ha</b>	<b>130 352 ha</b>	
1204-005	La Pedraja			335 ha	249 600 ha	N42 05 58 W03 27 08
1204-006	Costalomo			2 109 ha	160 257 ha	N42 00 55 W03 14 47
1204-007	El Frontal			543 ha	32 289 ha	N41 57 47 W02 58 57
1204-008	Los Tormos			344 ha	8 189 ha	N42 03 48 W02 27 20
1204-009	Fuente La Corte El Frontal			1 038 ha	300 601 ha	N42 03 46 W02 23 25
1204-010	Serrantes			4 000 ha	37 341 ha	N42 04 10 W02 21 58
1204-011	Fuentesalvo			61 ha	31 862 ha	N42 03 14 W02 20 21
1204-012	Los Campos (El Salgar)			1 053 ha	41 859 ha	N41 59 06 W02 20 43
1204-013	Valles de Valdelalosa			54 741 ha	293 344 ha	N41 58 23 W02 12 34
	<b>Castilla y León</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>64 224 ha</b>	<b>1 155 342 ha</b>	
1204-014	La Cela			140 ha	653 ha	N42 13 36 W03 29 19
1204-015	Soto 2			30 ha	196 ha	N42 17 24 W03 34 51
1204-016	La Pellejera			6 600 ha	1 425 ha	N42 13 12 W03 32 54
1204-017	Peñaportillo La Canal			378 ha	841 ha	N42 11 50 W02 16 58
1204-018	La Virgen del Campo			1 142 ha	1 095 ha	N42 08 45 W03 43 44
1204-019	Valdecillo			1 800 ha	3 332 ha	N42 03 18 W03 54 39
1204-020	El Villar - Poyales			160 ha	505 ha	N42 07 38 W02 13 43
1204-021	Navalsaz			530 ha	605 ha	N42 07 21 W02 12 26
1204-022	La Cuesta de Andorra			60 ha	432 ha	N42 07 40 W03 48 27
1204-023	Las Losas			620 ha	976 ha	N42 07 40 W02 11 32
1204-024	Los Cayos			1 878 ha	2 378 ha	N42 04 57 W03 54 52
1204-025	Era del Peladillo			2 194 ha	2 866 ha	N42 05 06 W03 57 28
1204-026	Las Navillas			466 ha	904 ha	N42 04 11 W03 58 04
	<b>La Rioja</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>15 998 ha</b>	<b>16 208 ha</b>	
1204-027	Arén			150 ha	18 158 ha	N40 15 26 W00 44 07
1204-028	Las Cerradicas			30 ha	9 90 ha	N40 39 53 W00 52 35
1204-029	Los Corrales del Pelejón			100 ha	66 458 ha	N40 37 36 W00 50 43
1204-030	El Castellar			200 ha	32 116 ha	N42 21 46 W00 10 57
	<b>Aragon</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>480 ha</b>	<b>126 622 ha</b>	
1204-031	La Massana			5 512 ha	69 822 ha	N41 56 55 W05 07 29
1204-032	Abella de la Conca			174 ha	24 486 ha	N42 09 24 W04 55'26
1204-033	Fumanya Nord			14 522 ha	122 477 ha	N42 11 40 W04 12 00
1204-034	Fumanya Sud			18 284 ha	82 599 ha	N42 10 57 W04 12 12
	<b>Cataluña</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>38 492 ha</b>	<b>299 384 ha</b>	
1204-035	Tambúc			6 000 ha	139 977 ha	N 39 10 45 W0°23 23
	<b>Comunidad Valenciana</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6 000 ha</b>	<b>139 977 ha</b>	
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>216</b>	<b>209 326 ha</b>	<b>1 867 885 ha</b>	

## Cultural Properties

<b>Austria</b>					
<b>C 931 Bis</b>	Schloss Eggenberg (Extension to "City of Graz - Historic Centre")				
Serial ID No.	Name	Inscribed	Area	Buffer zone	Centre point coordinates
931-001	City of Graz - Historic Centre	1999	71.97 ha	75.723 ha	N47 04 23 E15 26 19
931bis-002	Schloss Eggenberg	proposed	19.2701 ha	147.2538 ha	N47 04 27 E15 23 30
	<b>TOTAL of proposed area for extension</b>		19.2701 ha	147.2538 ha	
	<b>TOTAL</b>		91.2401 ha	222.9768 ha	

<b>China</b>					
<b>C 1114</b>	Yin Xu				
Serial ID No.	Name	Area	Buffer zone	Centre point coordinates	
1114-001	Palace and Royal Ancestral Shrines Area	200 ha		N36 07 17 E114 19 01*	
1114-002	Royal Tombs Area	214 ha		N36 08 07 E114 18 17*	
	<b>TOTAL</b>	414 ha	720 ha		

<b>Cyprus</b>					
<b>C 351 Ter</b>	Church of Agios Sozomenos, Galata and Church of Agios Mamas, Louvaras (Extension to the "Painted Churches in the Troodos Region")				
Serial ID No.	Name	Inscribed	Area	Buffer zone	Centre point coordinates
351-001	Church of Ayios Nikolaos (St. Nicholas) tis Steyis	1985	2.7548 ha	--	N34 58 E32 53
351-002	Ayios Ionannis (St. John) Lambadhistis Monastery	1985	0.1951 ha	--	N34 59 E32 49
351-003	Church of Panayia (The Virgin) Phorviotissa (Asinou)	1985	0.1154 ha	--	N35 02 E32 58
351-004	Church of Panayia (The Virgin) tou Arakou	1985	0.4370 ha	--	N34 58 E33 00
351-005	Church of Panayia (The Virgin)	1985	0.0353 ha	--	N35 00 E32 50
351-006	Church of Archangelos Michael (Archangel Michael)	1985	0.0283 ha	--	N34 58 E32 49
351-007	Church of Timios Stavros (Holy Cross)	1985	0.0533 ha	--	N34 53 E32 58
351-008	Church of Panayia (The Virgin) Podhithou	1985	0.0212 ha	--	N35 00 E32 53
351-009	Church of Stavros (Holy Cross) Ayiasmati	1985	0.0184 ha	--	N34 58 E33 02
351bis-010	Church of Ayia Sotira (of the Transfiguration of the Savior) tou Soteris	2001	0.0342 ha	--	N34 55 13 E33 05 45
351ter-011	Church of Agios Sozomenos, Galata	proposed	0.048 ha	ng	N34 59 49 E32 53 53
351ter-012	Church of Agios Mamas, Louvaras	proposed	0.02 ha	ng	N34 50 14 E33 02 34
	<b>TOTAL of proposed area for extension</b>		0.068 ha	ng	
	<b>TOTAL</b>		3.761 ha	ng	

<b>Czech Republic</b>					
<b>C 1172</b>	Renaissance Houses at Slavonice				
Serial ID No.	Name	Area	Buffer zone	Centre point coordinates	
1172-001	House no. 449	0.0109 ha		N48 59 56.12 E15 21 07.60	
1172-002	House no. 450	0.0089 ha		N48 59 55.66 E15 21 07.38	
1172-003	House no. 451	0.0574 ha		N48 59 55.10 E15 21 06.68	
1172-004	House no. 459	0.0586 ha		N48 59 54.65 E15 21 05.78	
1172-005	House no. 460	0.0737 ha		N48 59 54.43 E15 21 06.39	
1172-006	House no. 461	0.0604 ha		N48 59 54.07 E15 21 06.46	
1172-007	House no. 462	0.0353 ha		N48 59 53.88 E15 21 07.43	
1172-008	House no. 463	0.0384 ha		N48 59 53.64 E15 21 07.81	
1172-009	House no. 464	0.0400 ha		N48 59 53.64 E15 21 07.81	
1172-010	House no. 465	0.0374 ha		N48 59 53.20 E15 21 08.53	
1172-011	House no. 476	0.0800 ha		N48 59 53.57 E15 21 11.51	
1172-012	House no. 479	0.0863 ha		N48 59 54.25 E15 21 09.65	
1172-013	House no. 480	0.0762 ha		N48 59 54.43 E15 21 08.97	

1172-014	House no. 514	0.0367 ha		N48 59 51.35 E15 21 19.05
1172-015	House no. 517	0.0910 ha		N48 59 51.86 E15 21 16.86
1172-016	House no. 518	0.0626 ha		N48 59 52.10 E15 21 16.10
1172-017	House no. 519	0.0473 ha		N48 59 52.33 E15 21 15.54
1172-018	House no. 520	0.0418 ha		N48 59 52.58 E15 21 15.30
1172-019	House no. 522	0.0409 ha		N48 59 52.85 E15 21 14.70
1172-020	House no. 528	0.0252 ha		N48 59 52.69 E15 21 13.70
1172-021	House no. 533	0.0445 ha		N48 59 51.23 E15 21 16.54
1172-022	House no. 536	0.0360 ha		N48 59 50.89 E15 21 18.03
1172-023	House no. 537	0.0345 ha		N48 59 50.77 E15 21 18.59
1172-024	House no. 538	0.0665 ha		N48 59 50.61 E15 21 19.20
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1.1905 ha</b>	<b>48 ha</b>	

	<b>Gambia / Senegal</b>			
<b>C 1126</b>	The Senegambian Stone Circles			
Serial ID No.	Name	Area	Buffer zone	Centre point coordinates
1126-001	Kerbatch – Gambia	0.79 ha	20.19 ha	N13 45 W15 42
1126-002	Wassu – Gambia	1.63 ha	25.29 ha	N13 42 W14 52
1126-003	Sine Ngayène – Senegal	5.26 ha	35.22 ha	N13 41 17 W15 32 10
1126-004	Wanar – Senegal	2.17 ha	29.35 ha	N13 51 392 W15 37 38
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>9.85 ha</b>	<b>110.05 ha</b>	

	<b>Mexico</b>			
<b>C 1209</b>	The Agave Landscape and the Ancient Industrial Facilities of Tequila			
Serial ID No.	Name	Area	Buffer zone	Centre point coordinates
1209-001	Zona nucleo 01	34 658.670 ha		N20 51 47 W103 46 43*
1209-002	Zona nucleo 02	360.182 ha		N20 41 25 W103 49 57*
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>35 018.852 ha</b>	<b>51 261.334 ha</b>	

	<b>Nepal</b>				
<b>C 121 Bis</b>	Kathmandu Valley 9minor modification)				
Serial ID No.	Name	Inscribed in 1978	Area	Buffer zone	Centre point coordinates
121bis-001	Kathmandu Darbar Square	14.72 ha	5.09 ha	6.47 ha	N27 42 14.236 E85 18 30.88
121bis-002	Patan Darbar Square	12.73 ha	5.3 ha	13.55 ha	N27 40 36.85 E85 19 47.60
121bis-003	Bhadgaon (Bhaktapur) Darbar Square	14.23 ha	3.61 ha	10.71 ha	N27 40 16.18 E85 25 54.09
121bis-004	Swayambhu	32.13 ha	32.63 ha	25.18 ha	N27 42 52.90 E85 17 33.85
121bis-005	Bauddhanath	78.54 ha	1.27 ha	2.83 ha	N27 43 16.36 E85 21 51.48
121bis-006	Pashupsti	78.38 ha	83.55 ha	11.55 ha	N27 41 09 E85 20 12
121bis-007	Changu Narayan	45.13 ha	35.92 ha	--	N27 42 58.12 E85 25 48.65
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>275.86 ha</b>	<b>167.37 ha</b>	<b>70.29 ha</b>	

	<b>Oman</b>			
<b>C 1207</b>	Aflaj irrigation system of Oman			
Serial ID No.	Name	Area	Buffer zone	Centre point coordinates
1207-001	Falaj Al-Katmeen	135.028 ha	1 756.4 ha	N22 56 15.5 E57 40 32.8
1207-002	Falaj Al-Malki	600 ha	4 255.71 ha	N22 44 22.3 E57 46 35.6
1207-003	Falaj Daris	389.468 ha	3 370.1 ha	N22 59 56.0 E57 32 09.8
1207-004	Falaj Al-Jeela	30.952 ha	3 839.46 ha	N22 47 15.9 E59 10 26.1
1207-005	Falaj Al-Muyasser	300.501 ha	3 182.66 ha	N23 21 08.2 E57 27 57.6
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1 455.949 ha</b>	<b>16 404.33 ha</b>	

<b>Serbia and Montenegro</b>					
<b>C 724 Bis</b> Medieval Monuments in Kosovo (Extension of "Dečani Monastery")					
Serial ID No.	Name	Inscribed	Area	Buffer zone	Centre point coordinates
724-001	Dečani Monastery	2004	1.8011 ha	111.644 ha	N42 32 48 E20 16 18
724bis-002	Patriarchate of Peć Monastery	proposed	1.5335 ha	99.8054 ha	N42 39 40 E20 15 56
724bis-003	Church of the Virgin of Leviša	proposed	0.1239 ha	3.4729 ha	N42 12 41 E20 44 09
724bis-004	Gračanica Monastery	proposed	1.2228 ha	12.1096 ha	N42 35 54 E21 11 36
	<b>TOTAL of proposed area for extension</b>		<b>2.8802 ha</b>	<b>115.3879 ha</b>	
	<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>4.6813 ha</b>	<b>227.0319 ha</b>	

<b>Syrian Arab Republic</b>					
<b>C 1229</b> Castles of Syria					
Serial ID No.	Name	Area	Buffer zone	Centre point coordinates	
1229-001	Crac des Chevaliers	2.38 ha	37.69 ha	N34 46 54 E36 15 47	
1229-002	Forteresse de Saladin	6.49 ha	129.52 ha	N35 37 17 E36 02 06	
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>8.87 ha</b>	<b>167.21 ha</b>		

<b>United Kingdom</b>					
<b>C 1215</b> Cornwall and West Devon Mining Landscape					
Serial ID No.	Name	Area	Buffer zone	Centre point coordinates	
1215-001	St Just Mining District	2 671 ha	--	N50 08 53 W05 39 21	
1215-002	The Port of Hayle	207 ha	--	N50 11 07 W05 25 49	
1215-003	Tregonning and Gwinear Mining Districts(003i) with Trewavas (003ii)	4 436 ha 48 ha	--	N50 08 10 W05 23 01 N50 05 35 W05 21 48	
1215-004	Wendron Mining District	810 ha	--	N50 09 58 W05 12 47	
1215-005	Camborne and Redruth Mining District(005i) with Wheal Peevor (005ii) and Portreath Harbour (005iii)	1 403 ha	--	N50 13 12 W05 15 18	
1215-006	Gwennap Mining District (006i) with Devoran and Perran (006ii) and Kennall Vale (006iii)	2 712 ha 296 ha 37 ha	--	N50 14 50 W05 09 39 N50 12 15 W05 05 39 N50 11 35 W05 09 12	
1215-007	St Agnes Mining District	1 225 ha	--	N50 18 28 W05 12 48	
1215-008	The Luxulyan Valley (008i) and Charlestown (008ii)	240 ha 34 ha	--	N50 21 39 W04 45 00 N50 19 58 W04 45 30	
1215-009	Caradon Mining District	1 436 ha	--	N 50 30 42 W04 26 41	
1215-010	Tamar Valley Mining District (010i)with Tavistock (010ii)	4 087 ha 77 ha	--	N50 31 13 W04 13 34 N50 32 54 W04 08 54	
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>19 719 ha</b>	--		

#### IV. Nominations received for review by the 31<sup>st</sup> session of the World Heritage Committee in 2007

List of World Heritage Nominations received between 2 February 2005 and 1 February 2006 (Divided by region and in alphabetical order by State Party)

STATE PARTY	PROPOSED WORLD HERITAGE PROPERTY	ID_NO		Actual date received	Date made complete	STATUS
<b>AFRICA</b> (Total submitted: 9 of which 4 are INCOMPLETE)						
Kenya	Sacred Mijikenda Kaya Forests	1231		01/02/2006	01/02/2006	COMPLETE
Madagascar	Forêts humides de l'Atsinanana	1257		30/01/2006	30/01/2006	COMPLETE
Malawi	Mulanje Mountain Forest Reserve	1201		30/01/2006		INCOMPLETE
Mauritius	Le Morne	1259		30/01/2006		INCOMPLETE
Namibia	Twyfelfontein / /Ui-//aes	1255		30/01/2006	30/01/2006	COMPLETE
Niger	Vieille ville d'Agadez	1268		01/02/2006		INCOMPLETE
South Africa	Richtersveld Cultural and Botanical Landscape	1265		01/02/2006	01/02/2006	COMPLETE
South Africa	Prince Edward Islands	1266		01/02/2006	01/02/2006	COMPLETE
United Republic of Tanzania	Ngorongoro World Heritage Mixed Site [renomination under cultural criteria]	39	Bis	31/01/2006		INCOMPLETE
<b>ARAB STATES</b> (Total submitted: 2 of which 1 is INCOMPLETE)						
Iraq	Samarra Archaeological City	276	Rev	01/02/2006		COMPLETE
Yemen	Socotra Archipelago	1263		31/01/2006		INCOMPLETE
<b>ASIA-PACIFIC</b> (Total submitted: 21 of which 9 are INCOMPLETE)						
Australia	Sydney Opera House	166	Rev	26/01/2006	26/01/2006	COMPLETE
Cambodia	Site sacré du temple de Preah Vihear	1224		30/01/2006	30/01/2006	COMPLETE
China	Kiaping Diaolou and Villages	1112		13/01/2006	13/01/2006	COMPLETE
China	South China Karst	1248		16/01/2006	16/01/2006	COMPLETE
India	Red Fort Complex	231	Rev	31/01/2006	31/01/2006	COMPLETE
Indonesia	Marine Mega-Diversity Heritage of Indonesia	1232		07/02/2005		INCOMPLETE
Indonesia	Sites of Balinese Cosmology	1194		04/04/2005		INCOMPLETE
Indonesia	Tana Toraja Traditional Settlement	1038		07/04/2005		INCOMPLETE
Iran	Monastery of St. Tadeus	1262		31/01/2006		INCOMPLETE
Japan	Iwami-Ginzan Silver Mine Site and its Cultural Landscape	1246		04/01/2006	04/01/2006	COMPLETE
Kazakhstan	Saryarka - Steppe and lakes of Northern Kazakhstan	1102	Rev	01/02/2006		INCOMPLETE
Kyrgyzstan	Sulamain-Too Cultural Landscape (Sacred Mountain)	1230		30/01/2006	30/01/2006	COMPLETE
Mongolia	Hovsgol Lake and its Watershed	1082		24/11/2005		INCOMPLETE
Papua New Guinea	Kuk Early Agricultural Site of Papua New Guinea	887		26/01/2006		INCOMPLETE
Pakistan	Mehrgarh, Rehman Dheri and Harappa	138	Bis	04/02/2005		INCOMPLETE
Philippines	Batanes Cultural Landscape	1184		30/01/2006	30/01/2006	COMPLETE
Republic of Korea	Jeju Volcanic Island and Lava Tubes	1264		31/01/2006	31/01/2006	COMPLETE
Tajikistan	Tajik National Park	1252		26/01/2006		INCOMPLETE
Tajikistan	Sarazm	1141		30/01/2006	30/01/2006	COMPLETE
Turkmenistan	Parthian Fortresses of Nisa	1242		30/01/2006	30/01/2006	COMPLETE
Vietnam	Ba Be National Park	1249		20/01/2006	20/01/2006	COMPLETE
<b>EUROPE / NORTH AMERICA</b> (Total submitted: 28 of which 5 are INCOMPLETE)						
Albania	Ville historique de Berat	568	Rev	16/01/2006	16/01/2006	COMPLETE
Austria	Bregenzerwald Cultural Landscape	1228		31/01/2006	31/01/2006	COMPLETE
Azerbaijan	Gobustan Rock Art Cultural Landscape	1076	Rev	30/01/2006	30/01/2006	COMPLETE
Bosnia and Herzegovina	Mehmed paša Sokolović's Bridge in Višegrad	1260		31/01/2006	31/01/2006	COMPLETE
Bulgaria	Pirin National Park	225	Bis	10/01/2006		INCOMPLETE
Canada	Rideau Canal	1221		26/01/2006	26/01/2006	COMPLETE
Croatia	Stari Grad Plain	1240		12/10/2005		INCOMPLETE
Czech Republic	Hand Paper Mill at Velke Losiny	1235		20/12/2004	20/12/2004	COMPLETE
Finland	Paimio Hospital	1251		25/01/2006	25/01/2006	COMPLETE
France	Bordeaux, Port de la Lune	1256		30/01/2006	30/01/2006	COMPLETE
France	Les concrétions des grottes françaises, témoins exceptionnels du fonctionnement du karst et archives des paléoclimats	1045		24/01/2006	24/01/2006	COMPLETE
France / Spain	Rivage méditerranéen des Pyrénées	1261		31/01/2006	31/01/2006	COMPLETE
Germany	Housing Estates in the Berliner Modern Style	1239		30/09/2005	30/01/2006	COMPLETE
Greece	Old Town of Corfu	978		31/01/2006	31/01/2006	COMPLETE
Iceland	Surtsey Nature Reserve	1267		01/02/2006		INCOMPLETE
Israel	Bahá'í Holy Places in Haifa and the Western Galilee	1220		26/01/2006	26/01/2006	COMPLETE
Italy	The Dolomites	1237		28/09/2005	28/09/2005	COMPLETE
Italy	Valnerina e Cascade delle Marmore	1254		27/01/2006	27/01/2006	COMPLETE
Poland	Gdansk – Town of Memory and Freedom	1241		21/10/2005	30/11/2005	COMPLETE
Romania	Sibiu	1238		29/09/2005	07/12/2005	COMPLETE
Russian Federation	Putorana Plateau	1234		27/01/2006		INCOMPLETE
San Marino	Mount Titano	1245		02/01/2006		INCOMPLETE
Serbia and Montenegro	Gamzigrad – Romuliana, the Palace of Galerius	1253		26/01/2006	26/01/2006	COMPLETE
Slovakia / Ukraine	Beech Primeval Forests of the Carpathians	1133		31/01/2006	31/01/2006	COMPLETE
Spain	Teide National Park	1258		30/01/2006	30/01/2006	COMPLETE
Switzerland	Lavaux, vignoble en terrasses face au lac et aux alpes	1243		21/12/2005	21/12/2005	COMPLETE
Switzerland	Jungfrau – Aletsch – Bietschhorn – extension	1037	Bis	02/01/2006	02/01/2006	COMPLETE
United Kingdom	Darwin at Down	1247		12/01/2006	12/01/2006	COMPLETE
<b>LATIN AMERICA / CARIBBEAN</b> (Total submitted: 5 of which 2 are INCOMPLETE)						
Argentina	Foundational City Area of La Plata	979		26/01/2006	26/01/2006	COMPLETE
Mexico	Banco Chichorro Biosphere Reserve	1244		02/01/2006	02/01/2006	COMPLETE
Mexico	Campus Central de la Ciudad Universitaria	1250		23/01/2006	23/01/2006	COMPLETE
Nicaragua	Cathédrale de Léon	1236		30/06/2005		INCOMPLETE
Peru	Ciudad Sagrada de Caral	1269		01/02/2006		INCOMPLETE