

Distribution: Limited

CC-90/CONF.004/2
17 October 1990
Original: French/English

UNITED NATIONS EDUCATIONAL,
SCIENTIFIC AND CULTURAL ORGANIZATION

CONVENTION CONCERNING THE PROTECTION
OF THE WORLD CULTURAL AND NATURAL HERITAGE

World Heritage Committee
Fourteenth Session

(Banff, Alberta, Canada. 7-12 December 1990)

Item 7 of the Provisional Agenda: Nominations of cultural properties to the World Heritage List and to the List of World Heritage in Danger.

1. At its fourteenth Session, the Bureau of the World heritage Committee considered 27 nominations of cultural properties to the World Heritage List. It recommended that 8 properties be included and that one property be not considered for inscription on the List. Furthermore, it referred 7 files back to the nominating States Parties, in order to receive further information or documentation. Finally, 11 nominations were deferred.

2. This document has been drawn up taking into account the submission of additional information by the States parties concerned. For 2 sites, Santo Domingo and El Vizcaino, additional evaluation material can be brought to the notice of the Committee. The examination of these 2 sites was deferred by the Bureau but they are under Category C to bring the updated dossiers to the Committee's attention. Additional information has also been received on another 7 sites which were referred back to the nominating States for further information and are now listed in Category C. One site (Rio Abiseo, Peru) which was referred back to the nominating State for further information should now be deferred as the additional information has not been received.

Section A	:	Properties recommended for inclusion in the World Heritage List	8
Section B	:	Property which the Bureau did not recommend for inclusion in the World Heritage List	1
Section C	:	Nominations for which further information or evaluation has been received	8
Section D	:	Proposal to extend a property inscribed on the World Heritage List	1
Section E	:	Nomination to the List of World Heritage in Danger	1

A - Properties recommended for inclusion in the World Heritage List

Name of property	Identification N°.	Contracting State having submitted the nomination of the property in accordance with the Convention	Criteria
Palaces and Gardens of Potsdam	532	Germany	C(i)(ii)(iv)

The Bureau recommended that this property be included in the List and noted with satisfaction the intention expressed by the Governments of the Federal Republic of Germany and of the German Democratic Republic to submit a joint nomination concerning the entire site of Potsdam-Sanssouci.

This nomination was received by the Secretariat and transmitted to ICOMOS for examination.

Mount Huangshan	547	People's Republic of China	N(iii)(iv) C(ii)
-----------------	-----	-------------------------------	---------------------

The Bureau recommended that this site be included in the List and wished to commend the three levels of government responsible for the management of the site for their co-operative efforts in addressing the problems caused by intense recreational use. The Bureau encouraged the Chinese authorities to implement the management plan which aims at reducing excessive human impacts on the natural scenery. As concerns the cultural heritage, the Bureau requested the Chinese authorities to provide, if possible in time for the fourteenth session of the Committee, a list of the cultural monuments within this site.

Delos	530	Greece	C(ii)(iii) (iv)(vi)
-------	-----	--------	------------------------

Monasteries of Daphni, Hossios Luckas and Nea Moni of Chios	537	Greece	C(i)(iv)
--	-----	--------	----------

The Bureau recommended that these properties be included in the List and that the Greek authorities continue to take all necessary measures to ensure the safeguarding of these properties and their environment.

Leningrad	540	USSR	C(i)(ii)(iv)(vi)
-----------	-----	------	------------------

The Bureau recommended that this property be included in the List and that the Soviet authorities reinforce control over the development of polluting industries and ensure a better balance between industrial and listed areas.

By letter of 23 August 1990, the Permanent Delegation of the USSR to Unesco sent additional documents on this site to the Secretariat. These documents were transmitted to ICOMOS for examination.

Itchan Kala 543 USSR C(iii)(iv)(v)

The Bureau recommended that this property be included in the List and that the Soviet authorities safeguard a large buffer-zone corresponding to the area of Dichan-Kala, and respect very strict urban standards to the north of Itchan-Kala, in the area corresponding to the new urban centre of Khiva, where buildings of an excessive height have already been constructed.

By letter of 4 October 1990, the Permanent Delegation of the USSR to Unesco sent additional documents on this site to the Secretariat. These documents were transmitted to ICOMOS for examination.

Kizhi Pogost 544 USSR C(i)(iv)(v)

The Bureau recommended that this property be included in the List and that the responsible authorities maintain the present balance between the natural and built environment. Adding homes or wooden churches to the southern end of the island of Kizhi would alter the historical and visual characteristics of the site.

Moscow Kremlin ensemble and Red Square 545 USSR C(i)(ii)(iii)(iv)(vi)

The Bureau recommended that this property be included in the List and expressed the wish to receive from the Soviet authorities further details on alterations, either completed or planned, to the interiors of palaces not open to the public.

By letter of 23 August 1990, the Permanent Delegation of the USSR to Unesco sent additional documents on this site to the Secretariat. These documents were transmitted to ICOMOS for examination.

B - Property which the Bureau did not recommend for inclusion in the World Heritage List

Dresden (Baroque ensemble) 533 Germany

Although the Bureau recognized the importance of this property for the cultural heritage of the German Democratic Republic, it considered that this site did not meet the criteria for entry on the World Heritage List, as defined for the purpose of implementing the Convention.

C - Nominations for which further information or evaluation has been received

Jesuit Missions 529 Bolivia
of the Chiquitos

The Bureau recommended that this property be included in the List on condition that the Bolivian authorities provide assurances as to the adequate protection of the environment of the six properties nominated, before the next session of the Committee.

By letter of 26 September 1990, the Permanent Delegation of Bolivia to Unesco sent the Secretariat documents drawn up by the Bolivian authorities and the local authorities guaranteeing the preservation of the churches and of the sites where the Missions are located. These documents were transmitted to ICOMOS for examination.

Historic Centre 550 Italy
of San Gimignano

The Bureau recommended that this property be included in the List and requested the Italian authorities to provide, before the next session of the Committee, assurances concerning the global conservation plan of the city and the safeguarding of the surrounding landscape. Furthermore, the Bureau recommended that the Italian authorities continue to combat the effects of increased tourism.

By letter of 4 September 1990, the Permanent Delegation of Italy to Unesco sent the Secretariat maps on the protection of the landscape, historical and artistic protection and hydrogeological protection of this site. These documents were transmitted to ICOMOS for examination.

El Vizcaino Biosphere 554 Mexico
Reserve

The Bureau recommended that the examination of this nomination be deferred. It noted that the nominated site as a whole corresponded well to the biosphere reserve concept of Unesco's Man and the Biosphere (MAB) programme and encouraged the Mexican authorities to propose it for official inclusion in the international biosphere reserve network.

As concerns world heritage values, the Bureau noted that only the two major coastal lagoons and their associated shorelines were of outstanding universal value as breeding and parturition areas for grey whales under criterion (iv). The Bureau therefore requested the Mexican authorities to revise the boundaries of the nominated area to include only these areas and to provide information on the management measures that would be implemented in the future to ensure the protection of the whale populations. As the whales are migratory, the Bureau recalled that the condition of integrity in paragraph 36(v) of the 'Operational Guidelines' concerning arrangements for the protection of areas used by the grey whales for the rest of their life cycle needed to be met as much as possible. The Bureau furthermore requested ICOMOS to evaluate the cultural values of the important rock art sites at El Vizcaino.

The evaluation of ICOMOS was undertaken and is brought to the attention of the Committee, before its fourteenth session.

Santo-Domingo

526

Dominican Republic

The Bureau recommended that the examination of this nomination be deferred until the Dominican authorities have provided it with a revised nomination concerning the site of Santo Domingo alone. The new file should include the necessary information concerning the state of the restoration, with mention of the reconstruction operations carried out and the proportion they represent compared with the original construction, as well as indications on the management and protection of the urban fabric and on the protection extended to the environment.

By letter of 1st October 1990, the Permanent Delegation of the Dominican Republic to Unesco sent the Secretariat additional documents concerning the nomination of this site. In addition, an expert will undertake a mission to Santo Domingo on 4-10 November 1990, in order to prepare a report on the state of this site, for presentation to the Committee at its fourteenth session.

**Saint Sophia
Cathedral of Kiev**

527

Ukrainian SSR

The Bureau recommended that this property be included in the World Heritage List. It suggested, however, that the Ukrainian authorities draw up a nomination concerning not only Cathedral Saint Sophia, but also the Kiev-Petchersk Lavra, and submit this overall file, showing the complementarity of the two properties, before the next session of the Committee.

**State Reserve of
Kievo Petchersk in Kiev
(see Saint Sophia Cathedral
of Kiev)**

528

Ukrainian SSR

By letter of 31 August 1990, the Commission of the SSR of Ukrain to Unesco sent the Secretariat a revised nomination taking into account the recommendations of the Bureau. This new nomination was transmitted to ICOMOS for examination.

Vilnius

541

USSR

The Bureau referred this file back to the Soviet authorities to provide, before the next session of the Committee, additional information on the town planning schemes which exist in the immediate vicinity of the historic centre, until such time as ICOMOS has provided an additional evaluation of this property.

By letter of 23 August 1990, the Permanent Delegation of the USSR to Unesco sent additional documents on this site to the Secretariat. These documents were transmitted to ICOMOS for examination.

Old Nissa

542

USSR

The Bureau referred this file back to the Soviet authorities with the request that they provide, before the next session of the Committee, additional information on the archaeological and historical date concerning Nissa, and indicate clearly, with the support of maps, the boundaries of the area nominated to the World Heritage List as well as the measures for its protection.

By letter of 4 October 1990, the Permanent Delegation of the USSR to Unesco sent additional documents on this site to the Secretariat. These documents were transmitted to ICOMOS for examination.

D - Proposal to extend a property inscribed on the World Heritage List

Extraterritorial
properties of the

91bis

Holy See/Italy

Holy See situated in the
Historic Centre of Rome,
and Basilica San-Paolo-
Fuori-le-Mura

The Committee is requested to examine this nomination submitted jointly by the Holy See and Italy, in accordance with the wishes expressed previously. Also, the Italian authorities have sent the Secretariat documents showing the extension of the Historic Centre of Rome to the walls of Urban VIII. This extension of the boundaries comes as a follow-up to the Committee's recommendation at its 4th session, in 1980, when the Historic Centre of Rome was inscribed on the World Heritage List.

E - Nomination of a cultural property to the List of World Heritage in danger

Timbuktu

119Rev

Mali

When inscribing in 1988 three great mosques and sixteen cemeteries and mausoleums of Timbuktu, the Committee suggested that the Malian authorities consider inscription of this property on the List of World Heritage in Danger because of the threat of sand encroachment at Timbuktu.

Consequently, in April 1989, the Government of Mali presented this property for inclusion in the List of World Heritage in Danger. At its thirteenth session, the Bureau considered that, if the Government of Mali so wished, a preparatory assistance mission could be organized with a view to helping the authorities concerned to work out an appropriate nomination file on Timbuktu (mosques, cemeteries, and mausoleums) to the List of World Heritage in Danger. A mission was organized from 23 June to 21 July 1990, and a programme of safeguard drawn up, the first phase of which is presented in the document related to the Request for international assistance (CC-90/CONF.004/7).