

UNITED NATIONS EDUCATIONAL,  
SCIENTIFIC AND CULTURAL ORGANIZATIONCONVENTION CONCERNING THE PROTECTION OF THE  
WORLD CULTURAL AND NATURAL HERITAGEWORLD HERITAGE COMMITTEE  
Eleventh session

(Unesco Headquarters, 7-11 December 1987)

Item 8 of the Provisional Agenda : Nominations of cultural properties to the World Heritage List

1. At its eleventh session, the Bureau of the World Heritage Committee examined forty-six nominations of cultural properties to the World Heritage List. It recommended that the Committee inscribe thirty-three properties on the List; these nominations are listed in section A below. Furthermore, the Bureau recommended that the ten nominations which are listed in section B below be deferred. Finally, the Bureau recommended that three properties should not be considered for inscription on the World Heritage List; these nominations are listed in section C below.
2. With respect to several of the nominations, the Bureau requested additional information and/or formulated recommendations. The comments of the Bureau are given below; also, information is provided on the responses received at the date of preparation of this document. Any additional information received will be brought to the attention of the Committee at its meeting.
3. The three mixed properties (both natural and cultural) examined by the Bureau at its eleventh session are listed in document SC-87/CONF.005/3.

A. Properties recommended for inscription on the World Heritage List

<u>Name of Property</u>	<u>Identification</u> N°	<u>Contracting State</u> having submitted the nomination of the property in accordance with the Convention	<u>Criteria</u>
<u>City of Potosi</u>	420	Bolivia	C(ii)(iv)(vi)
<u>Brasilia</u>	445	Brazil	C

The Bureau recommended that this property be inscribed on condition that the Brazilian authorities adopt a legislation that would ensure the safeguarding of the urban creation of Costa and Niemeyer.

The text of the Decree dated 14 October 1987 on the "preservation of the urban conception of Brasilia" has been received by the Secretariat.

<u>The Great Wall</u>	438	China (People's Rep. of)	C(i),(ii),(iii), (iv),(vi)
<u>Imperial Palace of the Ming and Qing Dynasties</u>	439	"	C(iii),(iv)
<u>Mogao Caves</u>	440	"	C(i),(ii),(iii), (iv),(v),(vi)
The Bureau drew the attention of the Chinese authorities to the need to adopt a very active policy for safeguarding and conserving not only the cliff itself but also its environment.			
<u>Qin Shi Huang Mausoleum</u>	441	"	C(i),(iii), (iv),(vi)
The Bureau was aware that the Qin Shi Huang Mausoleum constituted one of the largest archaeological reserves in the world and asked to have a clear definition of the archaeological and museological policy of the Chinese authorities, before the next session of the Committee.			
<u>Peking Man Site at Choukoutien</u>	449	"	C(iii),(vi)
<u>Hanseatic City of Lübeck</u>	272Rev.	Germany (Fed.Rep.of)	C(iv)
<u>Archaeological Site of Delphi</u>	393	Greece	C
The Bureau took note with satisfaction of a cable from the Greek Minister of Cultural Affairs, announcing that the planned aluminium plant would not be built at Aghia Euthimia, but 55 kms away from Delphi. Furthermore, the observer from Greece specified that the aluminium would be transported towards Beotia and that the servicing of the plant would be carried out from the same direction, that is, to the North of Mt. Parnassus, thus not endangering Delphi.			

<u>The Acropolis, Athens</u>	404	Greece	C(i),(ii),(iii), (iv),(vi)
------------------------------	-----	--------	-------------------------------

<u>Budapest, the banks of the Danube with the district of Buda Castle</u>	400	Hungary	C(ii),(iv)
---	-----	---------	------------

The Bureau recommended that the Hungarian authorities adopt a policy for safeguarding the whole built-up area, particularly with a view to stopping the development of tower blocks built on a different scale to the old buildings.

<u>Hollókő</u>	401Rev.	"	C(v)
----------------	---------	---	------

<u>Group of monuments at Pattadakal</u>	239Rev.	India	C
---	---------	-------	---

<u>Elephanta Caves</u>	244Rev.	"	C
------------------------	---------	---	---

The Bureau recommended inscription of this property on condition that the Indian authorities provide indications on the exact boundaries of the site before the next session of the Committee. Furthermore, the Bureau requested that a management plan for this property, threatened by the industrial development of Bombay, be adopted as soon as possible.

<u>Brihadisvara Temple, Thanjavur</u>	250Rev.	"	C
---------------------------------------	---------	---	---

The Bureau recommended inscription of this property on condition that the Indian authorities provide indications on the exact boundaries of the site nominated before the next session of the Committee.

<u>Venice and its lagoon</u>	394	Italy	C(i),(ii),(iii), (iv),(v),(vi)
------------------------------	-----	-------	-----------------------------------

The Bureau noted that the inscription of Venice and its lagoon on the World Heritage List could only reinforce the safeguarding activities already implemented within the framework of the international campaign.

<u>Piazza del Duomo, Pisa</u>	395	"	C(i),(ii),(iv), (vi)
-------------------------------	-----	---	-------------------------

<u>Archaeological Park of Selinunte</u>	396	"	C(i),(ii),(iv)
---	-----	---	----------------

The Bureau expressed the wish that the Italian authorities provide updated development and management plans, before the next session of the Committee.

<u>Castel del Monte</u>	398	Italy	C(i)
<p>The Bureau drew the attention of the Italian authorities to the need to protect the surrounding landscape in the same way as the castle.</p>			
<u>Pre-Hispanic City and National Park of Palenque</u>	411	Mexico	C(i),(ii),(iii),(iv)
<p>The Bureau recommended that the Mexican authorities ensure the protection of the forest surrounding the site, which is of great interest, due to the fact that it contains species carefully selected by ancient civilizations.</p>			
<u>Historic Centre of Mexico City and Xochimilco</u>	412	"	C(ii),(iii),(iv),(v)
<p>The Bureau recommended inscription of zone A of Mexico City, as defined in the nomination, and of Xochimilco. The Bureau insisted on the need to safeguard the traditional cultivation system which is characteristic of Xochimilco.</p>			
<u>Pre-Hispanic City of Teotihuacan</u>	414	"	C(i),(ii),(iii),(iv),(vi)
<p>The Bureau took note with satisfaction of the extension of the protected zone to the whole of the valley of Teotihuacan. The Bureau requested that the written confirmation of the text pertaining to this measure reach the Secretariat before the next session of the Committee.</p>			
<u>Historic Centre of Oaxaca, and archaeological site of Monte Alban</u>	415	"	C(i),(ii),(iii),(iv)
<p>The Bureau recommended inscription of this cultural property, to be restricted to the two neighbouring sites of Oaxaca and Monte Alban.</p>			
<u>Puebla-Cholula Monument area</u>	416	"	C
<p>The Bureau recommended inscription of the centre of Puebla alone, and recommended that the inscription of Cholula be deferred.</p>			
<u>Ksar of Ait-Ben-Haddou</u>	444	Morocco	C(iv),(v)
<p>The Bureau recommended that protection measures, which should include strict <u>non aedificandi</u> measures in the area surrounding the Ksar of Ait-Ben-Haddou, should not be undertaken on a restricted basis, but should also be applied to the entire Valley of the Ounila, which formed a distinct, coherent whole.</p>			

Bahla Fort 433 Oman C

The Bureau declared that it was ready to recommend inscription of this site, if the nomination was extended so as to include also the old mosque, the oasis and the adobe walls. The Bureau requested that a revised nomination be presented to ICOMOS for examination, before the next session of the Committee.

By letter dated 7 September 1987, the authorities of the Sultanate of Oman informed the Secretariat that the nomination of Bahla Fort has been extended so as to include also the old mosque, the oasis and the adobe walls. Furthermore, a mission was undertaken to the Sultanate of Oman by a Unesco consultant who brought back additional information which has made possible the completion of the nomination of Bahla Fort.

The Cathedral, the Alcazar and the Archivo de Indias in Seville 383Rev. Spain C(i),(ii),(iii),(vi)

Nemrut Dag 448 Turkey C(i),(iii),(iv)

The Bureau requested that all restoration work be preceded by specific studies, in order to avoid excessive anastylosis.

Blenheim Palace 425 United Kingdom C(ii),(iv)

City of Bath 428 " C

The Bureau recommended inscription of this site on condition that confirmation is received, before the meeting of the Committee, that the Draft City Plan, which is already being implemented, has been officially approved.

The British authorities sent to the Secretariat a communication on 30 October 1987 concerning the Draft City Plan. This communication will be presented to the Committee during its eleventh session.

Chaco Culture National Historical Park 353Rev. United States C(iii)

Monticello and University of Virginia in Charlottesville 442 " C(i),(iv),(vi)

The Bureau recommended that the authorities of the United States ensure that the architectural harmony achieved by Jefferson continue to be respected, and that the University environment be protected.

B. Nominations to be deferred

Cathedral "Unserer Lieben Frau" 418 Germany  
in Freiburg (Fed. Rep. of)

The Bureau recommended that the examination of this nomination be deferred until a comparative typological study has been carried out by ICOMOS, on the Gothic cathedrals in Europe. The Bureau noted that this study could provide the elements for a methodology applicable to other large categories of properties.

Ostia antica, Porto and 397 Italy  
the Isola Sacra

The Bureau recommended that the examination of this nomination be deferred until the Italian authorities have formally adopted the safeguarding measures which the development of the archaeological site entails.

Patzcuaro Lake Cultural Zone 413 Mexico

The Bureau recommended that the examination of this nomination be deferred until the Mexican authorities have adopted measures for the protection of this site and have provided a management plan for the whole of the region.

Bat Necropolis 434 Oman

The Bureau recommended that the examination of this property be deferred, and suggested that the nomination be extended to include the site of Al Aïn. The Bureau drew the attention of the authorities concerned to the absence of protection measures for these sites.

Old City of Salamanca 381Rev. Spain

The Bureau recommended that the examination of this property be deferred until the nomination has been reformulated, and that there is evidence of a commitment to an overall policy to safeguard the architectural heritage of Salamanca. The Bureau requested that a comparative study be carried out on the university towns of the Middle Ages and the Renaissance.

St. David's Close and  
Bishop's Palace

424

United Kingdom

The Bureau recommended that the examination of this property be deferred, since it considered that there exist a large number of cathedral and canon closes, some of which would undoubtedly constitute better examples of this type of property.

Palace of Westminster, London

426

"

The Bureau recommended that the examination of this property be deferred until the nomination has been reformulated to include Westminster Abbey.

Ecclesiastical sites of  
Lough Erne

427

"

The Bureau recommended that the examination of this property be deferred, since it noted the existence of other ecclesiastical sites, particularly in Ireland, which would undoubtedly constitute better examples of this type of property.

New Lanark

429

"

The Bureau recommended that the examination of this property be deferred, since it considered that criterion (vi) under which New Lanark could qualify in view of its association with the ideas of Robert Owen and their application, only justified inscription on the World Heritage List when it was applied together with other criteria.

The British authorities, by a communication dated 25 September 1987, sent to the Secretariat a commentary on the assessment made by ICOMOS on New Lanark, and they asked that ICOMOS should reassess the nomination in the light of the documents submitted.

Pu'uhonua o'Honaunau National  
Historical Park

443

United States  
of America

The Bureau recommended that the examination of this site be deferred until comparative studies concerning the whole of the Polynesian area have shown more clearly the present state of conservation of the most outstanding sites in the archipelagos of the Pacific Ocean.

C. Properties not recommended for inscription on the World Heritage List

Port of Khor Rori 431 Oman

Although the Bureau noted the importance of this property for the national and regional cultural heritage, it considered that the Port of Khor Rori did not fulfil the criteria of exceptional universal value, as defined by the World Heritage Committee.

Sur al-Luwatiya, the Historic Centre of Matrah 432 "

Although the Bureau noted the importance of this property for the national and regional heritage, it considered that there exist more notable examples of this type of property, which could qualify for inscription on the World Heritage List.

Dalt Vila (Ibiza) 417 Spain

Although the Bureau noted the importance of this property for the national and regional heritage, it considered that Dalt Vila did not fulfil the criteria of exceptional universal value, as defined by the World Heritage Committee.