Distribution limited

SC-84/CONF.001/3

## UNITED NATIONS EDUCATIONAL, SCIENTIFIC AND CULTURAL ORGANIZATION

# CONVENTION CONCERNING THE PROTECTION OF THE WORLD CULTURAL AND NATURAL HERITAGE

## BUREAU OF THE WORLD HERITAGE COMMITTEE Eighth Session

Paris, 4-7 June 1984

Item 5 of the Provisional Agenda: Review of nominations to the World Heritage List

Α.		ns deferred by the Committee and for which addit	ional information is
	available		
	Id. No.	Name of Property	<u>Contracting State having</u> <u>submitted the nomination</u> <u>of the property (in</u> accordance with Article
			11 of the Convention)
	106	National Archaeological Park of Guayabo de Turrialba	Costa Rica
	240	Khajuraho group of monuments	India
	241	Group of monuments at Hampi	11
в.	New Nomina	ations to be reviewed by the Bureau	
	288	The Castles of Augustusburg and Falkenlust at Brühl	Germany (Fed. Rep. of)
	291	Ruins of the Jesuit Missions of the Guaranis	Argentina
	303	Iguazu National Park	11
	321	The Historic Mosque City of Bagerhat	Bangladesh
	322	Ruins of the Buddhist Vihara at Paharpur	11
	309	Historic Centre of the City of Salvador	Brazil
	300	Historic area of the City of Quebec	Canada
	304	Canadian Rockies	**
	285	Fort, fortresses and group of monuments, Cartagena	Colombia

2.-

Β.	New nomin	nations to be reviewed by the Bureau (cont'd)	
	313	The Mosque of Cordoba	Spain
	314	The Alhambra and the Generalife, Granada	11
	316	The Cathedral, Burgos	"
	318	Monastery and site of the Escurial, Madrid	*1
	320	Architectural works of Gaudí (Parque Güell, Palacio Güell, Casa Milá), Barcelona	"
	307	The Statue of Liberty	United States of America
	308	Yosemite National Park	11
	246	The Sun Temple, Konarak	India
	249	Group of Monuments at Mahabalipuram	11
	255	Fatehpur Sikri Group of Monuments	11
	287	Prehistoric Rock-Art Sites of Tadrart Acacus	Libya <b>n</b> Arab Jamahi <b>r</b> iya
	301	Archaeological Site of the City of Ptolemais	"
	293	Anjar	Lebanon
	294	Baalbek	11
	295	Byblos	11
	296	Deir el-Qamar and Beit Ed-Dine	11
	297	Sidon	**
	298	Tripoli	11
	299	Tyre	11
	289	Lake Malawi National Park	Malawi
	290	Nyika National Park	Ħ
	284	Royal Chitwan National Park	Nepal
	176 Add.	Rani Kot Fort (Kirthar National Park)	Pakistan
	305	Serra da Arrabida Nature Park	Portugal
	286	Vatican City	Holy See
	280	Salonga National Park	Zaire
	281	Maiko National Park	11
	282	Upemba National Park	"
	283	Kundelungu National Park	"
	302	Mana Pools National Park, Sapi and Chewore Safari Areas	Zimbabwe
	306	The Matobo Hills	"

SC-84/CONF.001/3

Annex I

### THE WORLD HERITAGE CONVENTION

# IUCN NOMINATION SUMMARIES AND TECHNICAL REVIEW MARCH 1984

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

This Technical Review of natural sites nominated for inclusion on the World Heritage List has been conducted by the Commission on National Parks and Protected Areas (CNPPA) of the International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources (IUCN). CNPPA is the leading international scientific and technical organization dealing with the selection, establishment, and management of national parks and other protected natural areas. It is responsible for the part of the IUCN Programme which promotes the establishment of a worldwide network of effectively managed terrestrial and marine reserves.

The CNPPA membership network now totals over 260 professional managers of protected areas systems from 90 countries, academic specialists in land-use planning, wildlife management, resource economics, and other related fields, and top officials from relevant non-governmental organizations. It is this network which provides the intellectual resource for conducting the IUCN Technical Review. In addition, CNPPA has been able to call on experts from IUCN's other five Commissions, (Law, Education, Ecology, Environmental Planning, and Species Survival).

#### 2. FORMAT

In response to a request from the Unesco World Heritage Secretariat, IUCN has prepared a new format for documentation and presentation of Technical Reviews. First, a <u>summary</u> of the original nomination including supplementary information, is presented. Second, the <u>evaluation</u> and recommendations are presented. Third, <u>data sheets</u> for the nomination are provided from the information held at <u>IUCN's</u> Protected Area Data Unit (to be presented at the Committee meeting).

### 3. SITES REVIEWED

ŧ

Thirteen sites were reviewed:

- a) Iguazu National Park (303) Argentina
- b) Canadian Rockies (304) Canada
- c) Lake Malawi National Park (289) Malawi
- d) Nyika National Park (290) Malawi
- e) Royal Chitwan National Park (284) Nepal
- f) Parc naturel Serra da Arrabida (305) Portugal
- g) Yosemite National Park (308) USA
- h) Salonga National Park (280) Zaire
- i) Maiko National Park (281) Zaire
- j) Upemba National Park (282) Zaire
- k) Kundelunga National Park (283) Zaire
- 1) Mana Pools National Park, Sapi and Chewore Safari Areas (302) Zimbabwe
- m) Maboto Hills (306) Zimbabwe.

### 4. REVIEW PROCESS

In carrying out the Technical Review, CNPPA is guided by Article 2 of the Convention and section 'D' of the Operational Guidelines (January 1984). Data sources for the review include the nomination itself, IUCN data sheets and project files, relevant literature, and evaluations by CNPPA members from the region. In some cases, missions can be sent to evaluate a site on the ground.

In the 1984 evaluations, more use of the Biogeographic Province concept is used as the most appropriate method for comparison of nominations with other similar sites. This method makes comparisons of natural sites relatively more objective as a practical means of assessing similarity. At the same time, World Heritage sites are expected to contain special features, habitats and faunistic or floristic peculiarities that can also be compared on a broader biome basis.

It is stressed that the Biogeographical Province concept is used as a basis for comparison only and does not imply that World Heritage Sites are to be selected on this criteria. World Heritage Sites are seen as the most universally outstanding areas and their selection is not made on the basis of biogeographic representativeness as are other protected area categories such as biosphere reserves.

> IUCN April 1984