

UNITED NATIONS EDUCATIONAL,  
SCIENTIFIC AND CULTURAL ORGANIZATION

CONVENTION CONCERNING THE PROTECTION OF THE WORLD CULTURAL  
AND NATURAL HERITAGE

WORLD HERITAGE COMMITTEE  
Seventh Ordinary Session  
Florence, Italy, 5-9 December 1983

Item 7 of the Provisional Agenda : Nominations to the World Heritage List

1. At its seventh session, the Bureau of the World Heritage Committee examined 47 nominations to the World Heritage List of which 46 had been received in 1982 and one in 1978: this latter concerns the Ancient City of Aleppo in the Syrian Arab Republic which had been deferred in order to receive further information.
2. After examining these nominations, the Bureau recommended that the Committee inscribe 35 properties, which are listed below.
3. For certain of these properties, the Bureau expressed the wish that the States concerned complete or modify the nominations and that additional information be sent to the Secretariat as soon as possible. The information received in reply has been sent to the competent non-governmental organization and has been added to the summary of the Bureau's comments on the property concerned. Additional information which was received too late for it to be taken into account in the new evaluations of the competent non-governmental organization will be presented to the members of the Committee at its seventh session.
4. The Bureau deemed that it was preferable to defer the following nominations as they are currently presented: the City and Monuments of Herat and the Minaret of Jam in Afghanistan ; Rila Monastery and the Ancient City of Plovdiv in Bulgaria ; Bia National Park and the Traditional Mosques of Northern Ghana in Ghana ; the Churches and Convents of Goa in India ; Ancient Samarra in Iraq ; Sanganeb Atoll in Sudan and the Old City of Berne in Switzerland.
5. The Federal Republic of Germany decided to withdraw the two following nominations: the Church of St. Elizabeth of Marburg and the Hanseatic City of Lübeck.

<u>Contracting State having submitted the nomination of the property in accordance with the Convention</u>	<u>Identifi- cation No.</u>	<u>Name of property</u>	<u>Criteria</u>
Syrian Arab Republic	21	<u>Ancient City of Aleppo</u>  - on the condition that the authorities  (1) define the area of the historic centre to be protected (intra-mural and buffer zone) and present a map indicating the boundaries of these zones (2) complete the juridical protection by effective urban regulations, and (3) provide the documents pertaining to this protection.	C (iii)
Afghanistan	208	<u>The Monuments of the Bamiyan Valley</u>  - on the condition that the authorities define a large perimeter of protection which would include the cliffs and the valley, and provide a map indicating the delimitation of this zone.	C (ii) (iv)
Afghanistan	209	<u>The Archaeological City of Ai Khanum</u>  - on the condition that the authorities provide a safeguarding plan for the structures brought to light by the excavations of 1965-1978, and in particular the earthen wall.	C (ii)(iii) (iv)
Federal Republic of Germany	271	<u>The Pilgrimage Church of Wies</u>	C (i)(iii)
Brazil	275	<u>São Miguel das Missões</u>  It was pointed out that this property belongs to a series of Jesuit missions of South America.	C (iv)
Bulgaria	217	<u>The Ancient City of Nessebar</u>	C (iii)(iv)

<u>Contracting State having submitted the nomination of the property in accordance with the Convention</u>	<u>Identification No.</u>	<u>Name of property</u>	<u>Criteria</u>
Bulgaria	219	<u>Srebarna Reserve</u>  The Bureau has requested the authorities to provide additional details concerning the buffer zone foreseen in the perimeter of protection. A letter from the Bulgarian authorities to this effect will be presented to the Committee.	N (iv)
Bulgaria	225	<u>Pirin</u>	N (i)(ii)(iii)
Canada	256	<u>Wood Buffalo National Park</u>  The Bureau calls attention to the harmful consequences that the eventual construction of a dam on the Slave River could have for the integrity of the site, and urges the authorities to inform the Bureau in the event that such a project were planned.	N (ii) (iii)(iv)
Costa Rica	205	<u>Talamanca Range-La Amistad</u>  The Bureau expresses the wish that the Panamanian authorities take the initiative of nominating that part of the site included in their territory.	N (i)(ii)(iii)(iv)
Ivory Coast	227	<u>Comoé National Park</u>  It was recommended that the authorities strengthen the protective measures and that the zone of protection of the site be extended to include the Mts. Gorowi and Kongoli.	N (ii)(iv)
Ecuador	260	<u>Sangay National Park</u>	N (ii)(iii)(iv)

<u>Contracting State having submitted the nomination of the property in accordance with the Convention</u>	<u>Identification No.</u>	<u>Name of property</u>	<u>Criteria</u>
United States of America	259	<u>Great Smoky Mountains National Park</u>	N (i)(ii) (iii)(iv)
United States of America	266	<u>La Fortaleza and San Juan Historic Site (Porto Rico)</u>  - on the condition that the authorities (1) extend the envisaged zone of protection (2) provide a map indicating the delimitation of this zone (3) take safeguarding measures to ensure the equilibrium between the historic zone and the modern town and (4) provide the documents relevant to these measures. The documents received to this effect will be presented to the Committee.	C (vi)
France	228	<u>Palais des Papes, Old Cathedral of Notre-Dame-des-Doms, Pont Saint-Bénézet and ramparts of Avignon</u>  - on the condition that the authorities (1) more coherently define the perimeter of protection (2) foresee the inclusion of Villeneuve-lès-Avignon within this perimeter, and (3) provide a map indicating the delimitations of the chosen zones.	to be specified
France	229	<u>Place Stanislas, Place de la Carrière and Place d'Alliance, Nancy</u>  It was recommended that the perimeter of protection be extended.	C (i)(iv)
France	230	<u>Church of Saint-Savin-sur-Gartempe</u>  It was noted that this property becomes part of the series of important groups of Romanesque mural paintings.	C (i)(iii)

<u>Contracting State having submitted the nomination of the property in accordance with the Convention</u>	<u>Identification No.</u>	<u>Name of property</u>	<u>Criteria</u>
France	258	<u>Classified site of Cape Girolata and Cape Porto and Scandola Nature Reserve</u>	N (ii)(iii)(iv)
India	240	<u>Khajuraho group of monuments</u>  - on the condition that the authorities (1) define a large perimeter <u>non aedificandi</u> in order to protect the entire site, including the area containing unexplored temples, and (2) provide a map indicating the delimitation of this perimeter of protection	C (i)(iii)
India	241	<u>Group of monuments at Hampi</u>  - on the condition that the authorities (1) provide a map which precisely indicates the delimitation of the zone of protection (2) plan of protection.	C (i)(iii)(iv)
India	242	<u>Ajanta Caves</u>  It was recommended that the authorities provide further details on the safeguarding measures, especially as concerns the construction on the summit of the cliff.	C (i)(ii)(iii)(vi)
India	243	<u>Ellora Caves</u>  It was recommended that the authorities establish a protection zone which would safeguard the surrounding landscape and the cliff, and provide a map indicating the delimitation of this zone.	C (i)(iii)(vi)
India	251	<u>Agra Fort</u>  It was recommended that the authorities create a buffer zone of protection between the Fort and the Taj Mahal so as to safeguard the landscape and the environment between these two monuments.	C (iii)

<u>Contracting State having submitted the nomination of the property in accordance with the Convention</u>	<u>Identification No.</u>	<u>Name of property</u>	<u>Criteria</u>
India	252	<u>Taj Mahal</u>	C (i)
Iraq	277	<u>Hatra</u>  - on the condition that the authorities (1) more precisely define the perimeter of protection and (2) provide a map indicating the delimitation of this perimeter.	C (vi)
Iraq	278	<u>Babylon</u>  - on the condition that the authorities (1) more precisely define the perimeter of protection (2) provide a map indicating the delimitation of this perimeter, and (3) provide a safeguarding plan giving details on restoration work in progress or envisaged.	C (i)(ii) (iii)(vi)
Peru	273	<u>City of Cuzco</u>  It was recommended that the zone of protection be extended to the surroundings of the city in order to include the Canchas and the old Inca villages. Once these measures have been taken an addendum to the nomination could be presented.	C (iii)(iv)
Peru	274	<u>Santuario historico de Machu Picchu</u>  It was recommended that the zone of protection include the important archaeological sites of the Valley of Urubamba and their landscapes, in accordance with the recommendations of ICOMOS. Once these measures have been taken, an addendum to the nomination could be presented. The Bureau specified that the natural aspects of the site will be evaluated by IUCN.	C (i)(iii)

<u>Contracting State having submitted the nomination of the property in accordance with the Convention</u>	<u>Identification No.</u>	<u>Name of property</u>	<u>Criteria</u>
Portugal	206	<u>Central Zone of the town of Angra do Heroismo (Azores)</u>	C (iv)(vi)
Portugal	263	<u>The monastery of the Hieronymites (Lisbon)</u>  - on the condition that the "Tower of Belem" be included in this inscription. The Portuguese authorities have agreed to this suggestion and have provided a dossier on this monument, which will be presented to the Committee for examination.	C (iii)(vi)
Portugal	264	<u>The Monastery of Batalha</u>  The Bureau requested the authorities to state their intentions concerning the project for the re-routing of the highway next to the Monastery. This information has been provided and will be presented to the Committee.	C (i)(ii)
Portugal	265	<u>The Convent of Christ (Tomar)</u>	C (i)(vi)
Seychelles	261	<u>Vallée de Mai Nature Reserve</u>  - on the condition that the authorities extend the proposed site to include the entire National Park of Praslin or an equivalent protected area. The Seychelles authorities find this proposal of interest. The letter of reply which was received by the Secretariat has been transmitted to IUCN for examination.	N (i)(ii) (iii)(iv)
Switzerland	268	<u>The Convent of St. Gall</u>	C (ii)(iv)
Switzerland	269	<u>The Benedictine Convent of St. John at Mustair</u>	C (iii)