

UNITED NATIONS EDUCATIONAL, SCIENTIFIC AND CULTURAL ORGANIZATION

CONVENTION CONCERNING THE PROTECTION OF THE
WORLD CULTURAL AND NATURAL HERITAGE

World Heritage Committee

Sixth Session

Paris, 13-17 December 1982

Item 7 of the provisional agenda :

Nominations to the World Heritage List and to the List of World Heritage in Danger

1. At its sixth session the Bureau of the World Heritage Committee examined 33 nominations to the World Heritage List, 32 of which were received during 1981 and one during 1979. This latter, a nomination from Mali for the National Park of the Baoulé Loop, had been deferred pending additional documentation.
2. After examining each nomination the Bureau recommended to the Committee the inscription of 24 of these properties which are listed in section A below.
3. The Bureau requested that certain nominations be completed or revised by the States Parties concerned and that supplementary information be sent to the Secretariat as soon as possible. Each reply received by 31 October 1982 was transmitted to the competent non-governmental organization and is mentioned in section A following the résumés of the Bureau's comments on the property concerned. The complementary information which has been received will be made available to the members of the Committee during its sixth session.
4. The Bureau recommended that the properties listed below in section B should not be inscribed on the World Heritage List. It also recommended not to inscribe the church of St Michael, Hildesheim on the List; however the Federal Republic of Germany stated their intention of presenting a revised dossier for this property and asked that this nomination be examined at the seventh session of the Committee in 1983.
5. The Bureau decided to defer examination of the following nominations pending additional information or safeguarding measures for these properties : Sidi Bou Mediène (Algeria), National Park of the Baoulé Loop (Mali), Sinharaja Forest Reserve (Sri Lanka), Indus Dolphin Reserve (Pakistan).

A. Properties recommended for inscription on the World Heritage List
and the state of the dossiers as of 31 October 1982

Contracting State
 having submitted
 the nomination of
 the property in
 accordance with
 Article 11 of the
 Convention

Identif-
 cation N^o

Name of property

Criteria
 fulfilled

Algeria

179

Tassili n'Ajjer

C(i) + (iii)
 N(ii) + (iii)

"

188

The M'Zab Valley

C(ii) + (iii) + (v)

"

191

Djemila

C(iii) + (iv)

"

193

Tipasa

C(iii) + (iv)

The Bureau recommended that the Algerian authorities should provide a plan for safeguarding the entire nominated area and to include the royal Mauritanian mausoleum, known as Kbor er Roumia (the tomb of the Christian) in this nomination. The Algerian authorities informed the Secretariat of their agreement to include the mausoleum in the nomination and announced they were sending the plan for safeguarding which has been prepared for this site.

"

194

Timgad

C(ii) + (iii) + (iv)

The Bureau shared the view of ICOMOS that a zone non aedificandi be defined in order to preserve not only the overall character but also the archaeological reserves of this site. The Algerian authorities sent to the Secretariat a plan of the site showing the existing zone non aedificandi.

Australia

181

Western Tasmania Wilderness National Parks

N(i), (ii), (iii), (iv)

The Bureau requested the Australian authorities to provide a statement of intent regarding the construction of dams, on the possibility of extending the protected area and of establishing a co-ordinating mechanism to manage this site. Since the Bureau meeting the Secretariat has received information concerning the cultural aspects of this site in view of recent archaeological finds.

Contracting State
having submitted
the nomination of
the property in
accordance with
Article 11 of the
Convention

Identif-
ication N°

Name of property

Criteria
fulfilled

Australia

186

Lord Howe Island Group

N(iii) + (iv)

The Bureau requested the Australian authorities to extend the nominated area to include the lagoon and the coral reef, to provide this property with protection equal to that of a national park and to supply information on the impact of the proposed telecommunication towers. In reply, the Australian authorities informed the Secretariat that :

- they have extended the nominated area to the following geographical co-ordinates :
 - . latitude 31°25'S to 31°50'S
 - . longitude 159°00'E to 159°20'E ;
- telecommunication towers have been constructed on Lord Howe Island ;
- it is proposed that a management plan be prepared by the Government of New South Wales under a grant from the Commonwealth.

Brazil

189

Historic Centre of the town of Olinda

Criteria to be
identified

The Bureau requested the Brazilian authorities to confirm their intention of protecting Olinda against the threats associated with the rapid urban development of Recife and to provide a report on the plan for safeguarding Olinda. The Secretariat received additional information from the Brazilian authorities indicating the safeguarding measures which have been taken. This information will be presented to the Committee by an addendum to the nomination (see document 189 Add.).

Cuba

204

Old Havana and its fortifications

Criteria to be
identified

The Bureau requested the Cuban authorities to complete the nomination dossier with a descriptive list of the main monuments of the old city and to provide details on the safeguarding measures foreseen. This descriptive list and additional information concerning the envisaged protection was sent to the Secretariat by the Cuban authorities, and will be presented to the Committee by an addendum to the nomination (see document 204 Add.).

Contracting State
having submitted
the nomination of
the property in
accordance with
Article 11 of the
Convention

Identif-
ication N°

Name of property

Criteria
fulfilled

| | | | |
|---------------------------|-----|---|--------------------------------------|
| France | 203 | The Royal Saltworks of Arc et Senans | C(i) + (ii) + (iv) |
| Haiti | 180 | National History Park - Citadel, Sans Souci Ramiers The Bureau recommended that the Haitian authorities exercise the greatest care as regards the on-going consolidation works. The Haitian authorities were informed of these observations. | C(iv) + (vi) |
| Honduras | 196 | Rio Platano Biosphere Reserve | N(i), (ii), (iii) + (iv) |
| Italy | 174 | The Historic Centre of Florence | C(i) + (ii) + (iii) + (iv) + (vi) |
| Ivory Coast | 195 | Tai National Park The Bureau requested the Ivory Coast authorities to examine the possibility of nominating this property to the List of World Heritage in Danger. | N (iii) + (iv) |
| Libyan Arab Jamahiraya | 183 | Archaeological site of Leptis Magna The Bureau requests the Libyan authorities to precisely delimit the protected area and to include the fortifications and the ancient necropolises therein. The Libyan authorities sent to the Secretariat a revised version of the nomination of Leptis Magna together with a plan indicating the delimitation of the proposed zone (see document 183 Rev.). | C (i) + (ii) + (iii) |
| " | 184 | Archaeological site of Sabratha The Bureau considered that the information in the documents supporting this nomination was insufficient and recommended the inscription of this property on the condition that the Libyan authorities complete the dossier with up-to-date information, define the limits of the zone of protection and make available an urban development plan for the new town which provides for the safeguarding of the archaeological site. The Libyan authorities sent to the Secretariat a revised nomination, information on the limits of the zone of protection, a plan indicating the proposed zone and photographs (see document 184 Rev.). | Criteria to be identified |

.../...

| Contracting State having submitted the nomination of the property in accordance with Article 11 of the Convention | Identification N° | Name of property | Criteria fulfilled |
|---|-------------------|--|----------------------|
| Libyan Arab Jamahiraya | 190 | Archaeological site of Cyrene | C(ii), (iii) + (vi) |
| Seychelles, Republic of | 185 | Aldabra Atoll | N(ii) + (iii) + (iv) |
| Sri Lanka | 200 | Sacred City of Anuradhapura | C(ii), (iii) + (vi) |
| " | 201 | Ancient City of Polonnaruwa | C(i), (iii) + (vi) |
| " | 202 | Ancient City of Sigiriya | C(ii), (iii) + (iv) |
| Tanzania | 199 | Selous Game Reserve | N(ii) + (iv) |
| United States of America | 198 | Cahokia Mounds State Historic Site | C(iii) + (iv) |
| Yemen, People's Democratic Republic of | 192 | The old walled City of Shibam The Bureau shared the opinion of ICOMOS that the protected area should be extended to include the valley of the wadi Hadramawt. | C(iii), (iv) + (v) |

B. Properties not to be considered for inclusion in the World Heritage List

| | | |
|----------|-----|--|
| Italy | 175 | Medici villas of the Florentine region |
| Pakistan | 176 | Kirthar National Park |
| " | 178 | Lal Sohanra National Park |
| Tanzania | 173 | Old Stone Town of Zanzibar |

.../...

Nomination to the List of World Heritage in Danger

6. On the proposal of the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan, the Bureau examined the request for the inclusion of the "Old City of Jerusalem and its Walls" in the List of World Heritage in Danger.
7. Several members of the Bureau considered that the "Old City of Jerusalem and its Walls", which is a property inscribed on the World Heritage List, corresponds to the situation referred to in Article 11, paragraph 4, of the Convention and that it was therefore appropriate to recommend that the Committee inscribe it on the List of World Heritage in Danger.
8. Other members considered that the Bureau did not have at its disposal all the information which could enable it to formulate a recommendation.
9. Under these conditions, since a consensus could not be reached in the Bureau on this nomination, it will be for the Committee, at its sixth session, to take in this respect the decision which in any case has to be taken by the Committee.
10. The Bureau recommended that the proposal be accompanied by an urban development plan for Jerusalem.
11. The Bureau also recommended :
 - (a) that ICOMOS and IUCN, in collaboration with ICCROM, if necessary, work out draft "Guidelines for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention with respect to the inclusion of properties in the List of World Heritage in Danger" ;
 - (b) that ICOMOS continue to assemble information concerning the nomination relating to the Old City of Jerusalem and its Walls, with a view to presenting authoritative and completely up-to-date advice to the Committee, benefitting, if possible, from experts' observations.
12. As a follow-up to the Bureau's recommendations, ICOMOS held a meeting of experts (1-2 October 1982) to draft proposed criteria for inscription of cultural properties on the List of World Heritage in Danger, as well as suggestions concerning a procedure and time schedule which could be adopted by the Committee for processing nominations to this List. ICOMOS sent the conclusions of this consultation to the members of the World Heritage Committee. IUCN also convened a working group in order to draft proposed criteria for the inscription of natural properties on the list of World Heritage in Danger. This working group met in conjunction with the World National Parks Congress which was held in Bali (Indonesia) from 11 to 22 October 1982. IUCN has undertaken the task of elaborating consolidated criteria taking into account the findings of the ICOMOS and IUCN working groups.
13. In addition, ICOMOS has informed the Secretariat that they are endeavouring to assemble all the information needed to formulate an opinion concerning the request for inclusion of the "Old City of Jerusalem and its Walls" in the List of World Heritage in Danger.