

UNITED NATIONS EDUCATIONAL, SCIENTIFIC AND
CULTURAL ORGANIZATION

CONVENTION CONCERNING THE PROTECTION OF THE WORLD
CULTURAL AND NATURAL HERITAGE

Bureau of the World Heritage Committee

Fifth Session

Paris, 4 - 7 May 1981

REPORT OF THE RAPPORTEUR

I. INTRODUCTION

1. The fifth session of the Bureau of the World Heritage Committee was held at Unesco Headquarters in Paris from 4-7 May 1981 and was attended by Mr. Michel Parent (France), Chairman, H. Exc. Ambassador Mr. Slatyer (Australia), Mrs. Reina Torres de Arauz (Panama), Mr. David Rowe (United States of America), Vice-Chairman, and Mr. Azedine Beschaouch (Tunisia), the Rapporteur. Two other States Members of the Committee were represented by observers. Representatives of the International Council of Monuments and Sites (ICOMOS) and of the International Union for the Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources (IUCN) attended in an advisory capacity. The full list of participants is to be found in the Annex of this report.
2. After the meeting had been opened by the Chairman, the Bureau adopted the Agenda for the session.
3. As foreseen on the Agenda, the representative of the Director-General, Mr. Gérard Bolla, Deputy Assistant Director-General for Operations, Sector of Culture and Communication, reported on activities undertaken since the fourth session of the Committee. In particular, Mr. Bolla noted that six more States had ratified or accepted the Convention since September 1980, raising the total number of ratifications or acceptances to fifty-nine. Mr. Bolla also referred to the absence of delegates of Ghana and Yugoslavia; these two States Parties which had been elected Vice-Chairmen at the 4th session of the World Heritage Committee were not re-elected to the Committee by the General Assembly of States Parties which met during the 21st session of the Unesco General Conference held in Belgrade in 1980 and therefore, according to Rule 12.1 of the Committee's Rules of Procedure were no longer in office.

4. Mr. Bolla also noted that the nomination for inscription of the Old City of Jerusalem and its Walls presented by the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan had been forwarded to ICOMOS for evaluation and was presented at this session of the Bureau. In this connection he informed the Bureau of resolution 21 C/4/14 adopted by the General Conference which recommends that the World Heritage Committee "speed up the procedure for the inscription of the City of Jerusalem on the World Heritage List and envisage its inscription on the List of World Heritage in Danger".
5. Mr. Michel Batisse, Deputy Assistant Director-General for Natural Resources and Environmental Sciences, states that every effort was being made to improve the balance between natural sites and cultural sites. Mr. Batisse added, furthermore, that events such as the IUCN General Assembly to be held in New Zealand during the same period as the 5th session of the World Heritage Committee, as well as the 10th Anniversary of the Unesco programme Man and the Biosphere in September 1981, would hopefully reinforce those efforts.

II. NOMINATIONS TO THE WORLD HERITAGE LIST

6. The Bureau had before it 49 nominations in all, twenty-one of which had been deferred by the Committee or by the Bureau at their last sessions. Twenty-seven properties were recommended for inclusion in the World Heritage List. Twenty nominations were deferred, of which fifteen were not re-examined by the Bureau for lack of supplementary information; the additional information requested should be communicated to the Secretariat before the end of August 1981 so that it can be transmitted to members of the Committee prior to the meeting of the fifth session of the Committee to be held in October. The delegate from the United States of America withdrew the nomination of the Wright Brothers National Memorial. The proposal concerning The Old City of Jerusalem and its Walls was the object of special attention and is taken up in section C below. After taking note of the evaluation of each nomination made by the competent advisory organization and/or of the supplementary information provided by the State Party, the Bureau formulated the following recommendations to the Committee :

A. Properties to be inscribed on the World Heritage List

<u>Name of Property</u>	<u>Id. No.</u>	<u>Nomination submitted by</u>	<u>Criteria fulfilled</u> (N = natural) (C = cultural)
<u>Los Glaciares</u>	145	Argentina	N (i) + (ii) + (iii) + (iv)

The Bureau noted the importance of controlling the population of the European hare if the integrity of the site is to be maintained.

<u>Name of Property</u>	<u>Id. No.</u>	<u>Nomination submitted by</u>	<u>Criteria fulfilled</u>
<u>Kakadu National Park</u> IUCN reported that the area would have greater ecological integrity if more of the catchment area of the Alligator River were included. The Bureau agreed that it would be desirable to extend the site so as to include as much as possible of this area and recommended that the Committee accept any such extensions if they were to be proposed in the future	147	Australia	N (iii) + (iv) C (iii)
<u>Great Barrier Reef</u> IUCN noted that the Reef extended beyond the northern boundary of the property nominated. The Bureau agreed to recommend acceptance of the additional area concerned should it be nominated in the future.	154	Australia	N (i) + (ii) + (iii) + (iv)
<u>Willandra Lakes Region</u> The Bureau decided to ask the Australian authorities to provide a management plan for the entire area nominated.	167	Australia	N (i) + (ii) C (iii)
<u>Anthony Island</u> The Bureau took note of the plan, adopted by the Canadian Government on 31 March 1981, to safeguard the cedar totems from erosion by the marine climate and of ICOMOS' recommendation that this site be inscribed on the List of World Heritage in Danger.	157	Canada	C (iii)
<u>Head-Smashed-In Bison Jump</u>	158	Canada	C (vi)
<u>Speyer Cathedral</u>	168	Federal Republic of Germany	C (ii)

<u>Name of Property</u>	<u>Id. No.</u>	<u>Nomination submitted by</u>	<u>Criteria fulfilled</u>
<u>Wurzburg Residence with the Court Gardens and Residence Square</u>	169	Federal Republic of Germany	C (iv)
<u>Palace and Park of Fontainebleau</u>	160	France	C (ii) + (vi)
<u>Château and Estate of Chambord</u>	161	France	C (i)
<p>The possibility was evoked of including this site at some later date in a series such as the Château of the Loire or 16th century Royal Castles.</p>			
<u>Amiens Cathedral</u>	162	France	C (i) + (ii)
<p>ICOMOS expressed its apprehension at the possibility of future nominations concerning other French cathedrals of comparable importance. Both ICOMOS and the Bureau underlined the need for drawing up a typology based on tentative lists from all States Parties since this would give ICOMOS the possibility to evaluate each nomination within a comparative context rather than as isolated cases.</p>			
<u>The Roman Theatre and its surroundings and the "Triumphal Arch" of Orange</u>	163	France	C (iii) + (vi)
<u>Roman and Romanesque Monuments of Arles</u>	164	France	C (ii) + (iv)

ICOMOS informed the Bureau that supplementary information provided by the French authorities provided a satisfactory delimitation of the site which covers ancient Roman and Romanesque monuments of Arles and the Alyscamps but excludes the Abbey of Montmajour

<u>Name of Property</u>	<u>Id. No.</u>	<u>Nomination submitted by</u>	<u>Criteria fulfilled</u>
<u>Cistercian Abbey of Fontenay</u> ICOMOS specified that this site includes the Valley of the Egrevies	165	France	C (iv)
<u>Archaeological Park and Ruins of Quiriguá</u>	149	Guatemala	C (i)+(ii)+(iv)
<u>Nimba Strict Nature Reserve</u> The Bureau stressed the need to work towards joint international management of Nimba Strict Nature Reserve and the adjacent areas which include territory within the Ivory Coast and Liberia (Tai National Park in the Ivory Coast adjoins the Reserve as does some unprotected territory within Liberia.) The Bureau asked the Secretariat to explore with the permanent delegations to Unesco of these three States the possibility of establishing a transfrontier World Heritage site at some later stage. IUCN went on record as encouraging the Ivory Coast to propose Tai National Park as a World Heritage site and also expressed its willingness to finance in part technical co-operation relating to the protection of the area situated in Liberia.	155	Guinea	N (vi)+(ii)
<u>The Medina of Fez</u>	170	Morocco	C (ii)+(v)
<u>Thatta</u>	153	Pakistan	C (iii)
<u>Lahore Fort</u>	171	Pakistan	C (i)+(ii)+(iii)
<u>Shalimar Gardens</u> It was recommended that the nominations of Lahore Fort and Shalimar Gardens be combined into one.	172	Pakistan	C (iii)

<u>Name of Property</u>	<u>Id. No.</u>	<u>Nomination submitted by</u>	<u>Criteria fulfilled</u>
<u>Darien National Park</u> IUCN suggested that the government of Panama apply for training support for the management of this park.	159	Panama	N (iii)+(iv)
<u>Djoudj National Bird Sanctuary</u> The Bureau was informed that the first part of a consultant mission to draw up a plan for protective measures took place in January 1981 and that the second part would be carried out in June 1981 during the dry season, the most critical time for the survival of bird populations in this area. The Bureau expressed the hope that a detailed consultant report covering the whole mission would be available for the Committee in October 1981. IUCN recommended that the consultants should also plan for future monitoring of bird populations in this area.	25	Senegal	N (iv)
<u>Niokolo-Koba National Park</u> IUCN expressed concern that development plans for the Gambia River might threaten the integrity of this outstanding area and recommended that assurances be sought from the Senegalese Government that full protection would be provided through a detailed management plan.	153	Senegal	N (iii)+(iv)
<u>Ruins of Kilwa Kisiwani and Ruins of Songo Mnara</u> ICOMOS suggested that the government of Tanzania provide further information concerning the extent of deterioration of these ruins for the forthcoming Committee meeting.	144	Tanzania	C (iii)

<u>Name of Property</u>	<u>Id. No.</u>	<u>Nomination submitted by</u>	<u>Criteria fulfilled</u>
<u>Serengeti National Park</u>	156	Tanzania	N (ii)+(iii)+(iv)
<u>Mammoth Cave National Park</u>	150	United States of America	N (i)+(ii)+(iii)+(iv)
<u>Olympic National Park</u>			
IUCN expressed concern for the integrity of this site and recommended that a management plan be drawn up to reduce and gradually phase out the population of mountain goats introduced into the park.	151	United States of America	N (iii)+(iv)

B. Nominations to be deferred

<u>Name of Property</u>	<u>Id. No.</u>	<u>Nomination submitted by</u>
<u>Dey's Palace at Algiers</u>	101	Algeria
The Bureau noted that no further information had been received since its last meeting. It had then expressed its agreement with the opinion of ICOMOS that the Dey's Palace could not be considered in isolation and had felt that Algeria might wish to study the possibility of extending the nomination to cover the whole of the Casbah.		
<u>Citadel Quarter of Setif</u>	103	Algeria
Further information is necessary as to the actual measures taken to create an archaeological reserve (expropriations, demolitions, etc.). An aerial photograph taken after 1979 would provide useful information in this respect. This information, requested by the Bureau at its last session has not been received.		

<u>Name of Property</u>	<u>Id. No.</u>	<u>Nomination submitted by</u>
<u>Sydney Opera House in its setting with the Sydney Harbour Bridge and the surrounding waterways of Sydney Harbour from Bradley's Head to McMahon's Point</u>	166	Australia

The Bureau considered that modern structures should only be accepted when there was clear evidence that they had established, or were outstanding examples of, a distinctive architectural style. However, the Bureau expressed interest in receiving a revised nomination based on the outstanding features of Sydney Harbour, both as a bay and as the site of the first permanent European settlement in Australia. Such a nomination could include structures such as the Opera House and the Sydney Harbour Bridge, but they would not constitute the primary elements.

<u>National Archaeological Park of Guayabo de Turrialba</u>	106	Costa Rica
---	-----	------------

The Bureau agreed with the recommendation of ICOMOS to defer this nomination until the excavations on this site are completed.

<u>Santa Rosa Historic Mansion</u>	107	Costa Rica
------------------------------------	-----	------------

At its previous meeting, the Bureau had been informed by the IUCN representative that the Historic Mansion was situated in Santa Rosa National Park which had important natural features. The Bureau had considered therefore that Costa Rica might wish to extend the site nominated to cover also the natural heritage of this area. No reaction has been received yet to this suggestion.

<u>Ruins of Ujarras</u>	109	Costa Rica
-------------------------	-----	------------

The possibility of considering this site, not as an isolated property but as one of a series of missionary establishments found in several States Parties remains to be studied.

<u>Name of Property</u>	<u>Id. No.</u>	<u>Nomination submitted by</u>
<u>Bale Mountain</u>	111	Ethiopia
<u>Abiyata-Shala Lakes</u>	112	Ethiopia
<p>The Bureau deferred these two nominations since the sites were not yet legally defined and protected under Ethiopian legislation.</p>		
<u>Adulis</u>	11	Ethiopia
<u>Melka-Kontoure</u>	13	Ethiopia
<u>Matara</u>	14	Ethiopia
<u>Yeha</u>	16	Ethiopia
<p>Following the recommendation made by ICOMOS at the last meeting of the Bureau, these four nominations were deferred until the tentative list of properties which Ethiopia intends to nominate has been received. This list has not been received so far.</p>		
<u>Convent of Santa Giulia-San Salvatore</u>	92	Italy
<p>The Bureau deferred this nomination at its last meeting until the tentative list of properties which Italy intends to nominate had been received. This list has not been received so far.</p>		
<u>Town of Djenné</u>	116	Mali
<p>The information on the state of urbanization of Djenné and on the measures for its protection, requested by the Bureau at its last meeting, has not been received.</p>		
<u>National Park of the Baoulé Loop</u>	117	Mali
<p>Additional information is still required to enable IUCN to make an evaluation of the site.</p>		
<u>Land of the Dogon</u>	118	Mali
<p>Both IUCN and ICOMOS had expressed the opinion at the last meeting of the Bureau that the property nominated should be more clearly defined. No reaction has been received to this suggestion.</p>		

<u>Name of Property</u>	<u>Id. No.</u>	<u>Nomination submitted by</u>
<u>Town of Timbuktu</u> Details are still needed on the delimitation of the area nominated and on administrative measures for the preservation of the site, as well as an inventory of existing monuments, to enable ICOMOS to evaluate the nomination. The Bureau recalled that it had expressed its willingness at its last meeting to grant preparatory assistance to Mali, if requested, for completing the nominations of cultural properties.	119	Mali
<u>Birni Gazargamu and Gambaru</u> The necessary additional information on the exact delimitation of the two archaeological sites nominated, as well as an inventory of the main elements that compose the sites, have not been received so far.	122	Nigeria
<u>Rock carvings at the Sacred Rock of Hunza and near Gilgit and Chilas</u> At the previous meeting of the Bureau, ICOMOS had expressed the opinion that further research was necessary to ascertain the cultural and historical interest of the site and secondly that additional documentation and information was necessary to complete the nomination file (precise map of the area showing the location of the engraved rocks and of the archaeological sites, together with brief descriptions of each major group of rock carving. The Bureau noted that this additional information had not yet been received.	142	Pakistan
<u>Aleppo</u> While reaffirming the importance of this site, the Bureau nevertheless considered that as recommended by ICOMOS, the Syrian authorities should take the following action before a recommendation could be made as to the inclusion of Aleppo on the World Heritage List : 1) provide a clear definition of the zones granted absolute protection in Aleppo; and 2) adopt an urbanization policy analogous to that advocated in the report of the recent Unesco mission to Aleppo.	21	Syrian Arab Republic

C. Old City of Jerusalem and its Walls

7. The Bureau examined the nomination of the "Old city of Jerusalem and its walls" presented by the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan.

8. It noted that this inscription raised for some members problems of procedure and legality which were referred to the Committee for consideration.

9. The Bureau examined the report of ICOMOS which recommended inscription of the "Old City of Jerusalem and its walls" on the World Heritage List. However, some members of the Bureau drew attention to the lack of balance in the list of monuments given in Annex III and indicated that it would be necessary that other historical buildings and monuments be included.

10. It has taken note of the agreement of the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan to include in the list of monuments the historic buildings which ICOMOS recommends to add. Some States considered that the "Old City of Jerusalem and its walls" constituted a historic ensemble which should be considered in its totality as a coherent whole whose balance and specific character depend on the synthesis of the elements of which it is composed, and where, since some elements belong to different historical periods, the preservation should be carried out taking into account the manifestations of all these periods.

11. These States considered that for this reason the inscription of the "Old City of Jerusalem and its walls" should be recommended to the Committee.

12. Other States expressed concern about the adequate protection and management of the site and requested the inscription not to be recommended to the Committee.

13. Under these conditions, since a general agreement could not be reached in the Bureau, it will be for the Committee to take in this respect the decision which in any case has to be taken by the Committee.

III. REQUESTS FOR TECHNICAL CO-OPERATION

14. The Bureau examined the deferred and new requests received from States Parties to the Convention and made the following recommendations to the Committee.

A. Technical Co-operation projects recommended for approval

Malta - Hal Saflieni Hypogeum
(request n° 130.1)

The Bureau recommended that the Committee grant technical co-operation consisting of equipment and one month's consultant mission for a total amount of \$9,000 to help with the preservation of the Hal Saflieni Hypogeum.

Malta - Ggantija and Valetta
(request n° 131.1/132.1)

The Bureau recommended that the Committee grant technical co-operation consisting of a two-week consultant mission to advise on setting up a stone research and conservation unit necessary for the preservation of the sites of Ggantija and Valetta, at a cost of \$3,250.

Poland - Cracow's Historic Centre
(request n° 29.1)

The Bureau recommended that technical co-operation in the form of equipment the cost of which amounts to \$75,500 be granted to Poland for the safeguarding of Cracow's Historic Centre.

Syrian Arab Republic - City of Damascus
(request n° 20.1 revised)

The Bureau recommended that the Committee grant technical co-operation amounting to \$120,000 in the form of equipment and financial assistance for conservation work in the City of Damascus.

B. Technical co-operation requests deferred

Algeria - the Qal'ah of Beni Hammad
(request n° 102.1)

The Algerian authorities had submitted a request for assistance in connection with the preservation of the above site. The Bureau was informed that a preparatory assistance consultant mission would take place in July 1981 in order to prepare a revised, detailed request for technical co-operation for this site, which will be submitted to the Bureau at its 6th session.

Algeria - Dey's Palace and Citadel Quarter of Setif
(requests n° 101.1 and 103.1)

These requests had to be deferred until a decision as to the inscription of these sites on the World Heritage List was reached.

Ethiopia - Abiyata-Shala and Bale Mountain
(requests n° 111.1/112.1)

The Bureau deferred these requests for technical co-operation as both properties were not yet legally protected and thus did not qualify yet for inscription in the World Heritage List.

Syrian Arab Republic - Bosra, Palmyra
(requests n° 22.1/23.1)

The Syrian government had requested equipment in connection with conservation work at these sites. The Bureau deferred these requests as further clarification was necessary.

Syrian Arab Republic - Aleppo
(request n° 21.1)

This request had to be deferred until a decision as to the inscription of the site on the World Heritage List was reached.

C. Other technical Co-operation requests

Guinea - Mount Nimba
(request n° 155.1)

The Guinean authorities had requested equipment amounting to \$48,510 for a scientific research programme for Mount Nimba. However, the Bureau felt that priority should be given to protective measures and to the establishment of a management plan for this site. The Bureau therefore encouraged Guinea to re-formulate and to re-submit a request along these lines.

15. The Bureau was informed by the Secretariat that a number of technical co-operation requests were imminent and would be presented for consideration by the Committee at its 5th session. It is estimated that these requests will amount to a total of \$260,000, distributed as follows :

1. Ethiopia - Simen National Park : radio communication equipment, camping gear and vehicles for Park rangers, at a cost of about \$ 100,000.
2. Guinea - Mount Nimba : financial support for the elaboration of a management plan and improvement of protective measures amounting to about \$50,000.
3. Panama - Darien National Park : financial support for elaborating and implementing a management plan for this Park before the completion of the Pan-american highway, amounting to about \$50,000.
4. Tanzania - College of African Wildlife Management, Mweka : Financial support for improving field training at this regional training centre for English-speaking African countries amounting to \$60,000.

IV. REQUESTS FOR EMERGENCY ASSISTANCE

16. The Bureau granted emergency assistance to :

- (a) Pakistan : Salaries for workmen and purchase of equipment for emergency restoration work at Lahore Fort and Shalimar Gardens at the cost of \$44,000 and \$12,000 respectively.
- (b) Tunisia : Contribution of \$95,000 for emergency restoration work at the Dar Haddad Palace in the Medina of Tunis.

V. GUIDELINES FOR THE EVALUATION OF TECHNICAL CO-OPERATION REQUESTS

17. The Bureau examined document CC-81/CONF/002/3, prepared by the Secretariat, proposing guidelines for the evaluation of technical co-operation requests. The Bureau recommended that the Committee should approve these guidelines with the following amendments.

par. 45bis (2nd sub-paragraph) should read :

This schedule does not apply, however, to projects not exceeding a ceiling of \$20,000 for which the following simplified procedure will be applied : The Secretariat, after examining the dossier and receiving the advice of ICCROM, ICOMOS or IUCN as appropriate, will forward the request accompanied by all other relevant documents directly to the Chairman, who is authorized to approve the financing of such projects up to the total amount set aside for this purpose. *

* The Bureau recommended that in each future annual budget the Committee set aside a sum equivalent to one quarter of the total amount allocated for technical co-operation to be used for the financing of projects the cost of which does not exceed \$20,000 each.

par. 48 should read :

The Secretariat will request the country concerned to provide further information if necessary. This information should be made available to the Secretariat at least two months before the forthcoming session of the Committee. The Secretariat can also ask for expert advice from the appropriate organization (ICCRROM, ICOMOS, IUCN).

par. 49 should read :

The Secretariat will present the Bureau with a brief description of the technical co-operation requests which exceed \$20,000.

VI. OTHER BUSINESS

18. The Bureau was informed that the Australian authorities will arrange for the 5th session of the World Heritage Committee to take place at Sydney, in the Sydney Opera House from 26 to 30 October 1981, with an optional pre-conference excursion on 25 October. The Australian authorities will also organize post-conference tours to the Great Barrier Reef and to Kakadu National Park.

19. A member of the Bureau expressed the concern of the African and Arab States which are members of the Committee at the high cost of travel to Australia, which may prevent some States members from attending the 5th session of the World Heritage Committee. For the Muslim countries an additional difficulty existed because the dates foreseen for the meeting coincided with those of the pilgrimage to Mecca. In this connection the Australian delegate stressed that the authorities of his country were conscious of the high costs involved and would do their utmost to make arrangements for cheaper fares. For instance from Europe reduced fares were available which were of the order of \$1,200. As to the dates it had been very difficult to find a period suitable to both Unesco and the Australian authorities because of the many meetings scheduled in the autumn and he regretted that therefore virtually no flexibility existed now in respect to the dates.

20. The Bureau agreed with the provisional agenda prepared by the Secretariat for the forthcoming meeting of the Committee.

21. The Secretariat reported on the implementation of the various public information activities decided on by the Committee at its fourth session, notably the preparation of a slide series with accompanying text on the World Heritage Convention and the completion of the manuscript of an illustrated volume describing the World Heritage sites.

22. The representative of IUCN presented the activities that IUCN has recently undertaken to promote the Convention. He drew the Bureau's attention to an article published in Parks Magazine (vol. 4, n° 4, 1980) written by a former Chairman of the World Heritage Committee, Mr. David Hales. Furthermore, he mentioned that IUCN's Commission on National Parks and other Protected Areas had drawn up a tentative list of potential natural World Heritage properties in Africa. IUCN intends to draw up such tentative lists for all other regions of the world. This means that in a few years' time, there will be a full world list of potential natural World Heritage properties.

23. The Rapporteur announced the government of Tunisia's plan to organize in June a ceremony for the placing of a plaque at Carthage, as well as its intention to prepare at its own expense a series of postcards on World Heritage sites to be distributed under the title "World Heritage, Unesco".

24. Furthermore, the Chairman informed the Bureau of the ceremony held in Cyprus to inaugurate the plaque commemorating the inclusion of Paphos in the World Heritage List and of the publication by the authorities of Cyprus of a booklet describing the site.

ANNEX / ANNEXE

BUREAU OF THE WORLD HERITAGE COMMITTEE/BUREAU DU COMITE DU PATRIMOINE MONDIAL
5th session/ 5ème session
Paris, 4-7 May 1981/Paris, 4-7 mai 1981

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS / LISTE DES PARTICIPANTS

I. STATES MEMBERS OF THE BUREAU / ETATS MEMBRES DU BUREAU

Australia / Australie

H. Exc. Prof. Ralph O. Slatyer Vice-Chairman/Vice-président
Ambassador
Permanent Delegate to Unesco
Paris

France

Mr. Michel Parent Chairman / Président
Inspecteur général des Monuments
historiques
Paris

Mr. Jean-Pierre Bady
Directeur de la Caisse nationale
des Monuments historiques et des Sites
Paris

Mr. Lucien Chabason
Chef de Service au Ministère de l'Environnement
et du Cadre de Vie
Paris

Mlle Mireille Jardin
Ministère de l'Environnement et du Cadre de Vie
Paris

Panama

Mrs. Reina Torres de Arauz Vice-Chairman/Vice-président
National Director
Historical Heritage
Panama

III. ORGANIZATIONS attending in an advisory capacity
ORGANISATIONS participant avec un statut consultatif

- International Council of Monuments and Sites (ICOMOS /
Conseil international des monuments et des sites

Mr. François Leblanc
Directeur du Secrétariat
Paris

Prof. Léon Pressouyre
Paris

- International Union for Conservation of Nature and
Natural Resources (IUCN) / Union internationale pour la
conservation de la nature et de ses ressources

Mr. Jeffrey McNeely
Executive Officer
Commission on National Parks and Protected Areas
Switzerland

IV. SECRETARIAT

Mr. Gérard Bolla
Deputy Assistant Director-General (Operations)
Sector of Culture and Communication

Mr. Michel Batisse
Deputy Assistant Director-General (Programme Coordination)
Science Sector

Mr. Daniel de San
Chief,
International Standards Division
Office of International Standards and Legal Affairs

Mr. Bernd Von Droste
Division of Ecological Sciences

Mrs. Anne Raidl
Chief, International Standards Section
Division of Cultural Heritage