The Porticoes of Bologna

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

The Porticoes of Bologna

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Santa Caterina e Saragozza	44.491 / 11.333	2.67	1089.37	1092.04	
Santo Stefano e Mercanzia	44.492 / 11.348	2.39	?	2.39	
Galliera	44.497 / 11.342	3.58	?	3.58	
Baraccano	44.486 / 11.355	1.71	?	1.71	
Pavaglione, Banchi e Piazza Maggiore	44.493 / 11.343	7.07	?	7.07	
San Luca	44.485 / 11.302	1.6	?	1.6	
Università e Accademia	44.497 / 11.351	6.53	?	6.53	
Certosa	44.496 / 11.311	8.44	?	8.44	
Cavour, Farini e Minghetti	44.491 / 11.344	2.62	?	2.62	
Strada Maggiore	44.491 / 11.356	10.99	?	10.99	
MamBo	44.502 / 11.337	3.25	?	3.25	
Treno della Barca	44.495 / 11.285	1.33	36.25	37.58	
Total (ha)		52.18	1125.62	1177.8	

Comment

Although the boundaries have not been so far modified, the information previously provided on the area in hectares cannot be validated, because of a mistake in the measurement of the surface of the buffer zone, which erroneously included the area of the property. The correct measurements are as follows: Buffer zone A 1.038,51 ha Buffer zone B 34,93 ha

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
The Porticoes of Bologna - maps of the inscribed property	2021	

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×

2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks	×

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years? Not applicable

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

Not aware

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

No

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Complex of different porticoes typologies and their surrounding areas	×			
3.2.2	The permanence of the original form, design and materials	×			
3.2.3	The public use of porticoes and their social function for a sustainable urban lifestyle, a place of continuous interchange of human values, civil and religious	×			
3.2.4	Interconnections of the porticoes system as a network of covered walkways within the perimeter of the property;	×			
3.2.5	The permanence of historic norms and conventions still alive to this very day	×			
3.2.6		×			
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.1.2 - Commercial development	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.1.3 - Industrial areas	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

×	Relevant

Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Coutside	> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×	×			\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×	×				
Negative							

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

(4.1.1 to 4.1.3) The municipal Building Code excludes any development, residential, commercial or industrial, within the "consolidated city", the part of the existing city having stable morphological and building typology characteristics, which in Bologna extends well beyond the city center. (4.1.4) The Municipality recently planned for the renovation of the Municipal Stadium. (4.1.5) The design of the commemorative plaques for each component of the property is currently under way.

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Orig		Origin	Origin Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×		×		→	
Negative							
4.2.2 - Underground transp	oort infrastruct	ure					
Relevant			× Not relevar	nt			
4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure							
Relevant			X Not relevant				
4.2.4 - Marine transport inf	rastructure						
Relevant			X Not relevant				
4.2.5 - Effects arising from	use of transpo	rtation infrastru	cture				
X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×		×			1
Negative							

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

(4.2.1 and 4.2.5) The construction of a new tram infrastructure is kicking off soon. This will bring about a significant reduction of private car traffic in the city, in turn contributing to reduce air pollution, enhancing walkability in the city and improving the legibility of the porticoes pathways.

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities	
X Relevant	Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×	×			
4.3.3 - Non-renewable ener	rgy facilities						
Relevant			× Not relevant				

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

		N	lot relevant			
Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
4 Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
×	×		×			/
	Current	Current Potential	Image: Current Image: Current Image: Current Image: Current	Image: Current Image: Current Image: Current Image: Current	Image: Current Image: Current Image: Current Image: Current Image: Current	Image: Current Image:

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Relevant × Not relevant

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or

positively

(4.3.2) Current sustainability standards in the energy sector require the installation of solar panels on roofs of residential buildings even in the historic city, with the reconfiguration of the quality of the historic urban landscape. (4.3.4) A private telecommunication company submitted a proposal for the installation of a new antenna on the hill of San Luca, including the reorganization of the currently existing ones. This proposal is currently under study by the Municipality and the Soprintendenze Archeologia Belle Arti e Paesaggio

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.4.2 - Ground water pollution	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.4.3 - Surface water pollution	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.4.4 - Air pollution

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Coutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×	×	N		
4.4.5 - Solid waste							
X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

×

Relevant

O Positive Negative X

× Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

(4.4.4) The implementation of a new tram line and of the 30 km/h zone will significantly contribute to reduce air pollution in the city.

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.2 - Aquaculture	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.3 - Land conversion	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.5 - Crop production	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.8 - Commercial hunting	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.6.2 - Quarrying	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.6.3 - Oil and gas	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.6.4 - Water (extraction)	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Relevant

× Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×	×		\rightarrow	
7.3 - Temperature							
X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×	×			
7.4 - Radiation/Light							
Relevant			X Not relevant				
.7.5 - Dust							
X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×	×	\$		
.7.6 - Water (rain/water tab	ole)						
Relevant			× Not relevant				
			X Not relevant				
Relevant				t Not relevant			
Relevant 7.7 - Pests	Impact				Trend of impact		
Relevant 7.7 - Pests	Impact	Potential			Trend of impact	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Relevant 7.7 - Pests X Relevant		Potential	Origin	Not relevant		→ Stable	

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

(4.7.5) The reduction of private car emissions resulting from the extension of pedestrian areas in the city center, the incentives to electric mobility and the implementation of the above-mentioned tram line, currently under way, foreshadows the prospect of a significant reduction of dust in the city.

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	9 Potential	Inside	Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×			1
Negative							

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

X Relevant	Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact Origin			gin Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×	×	N		

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

X Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×			1
Negative							

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.8.5 In large apartment buildings, new owners are sometimes unaware that their property includes porticoes as part of the condominium unit. Awareness raising campaigns are under way to address this among other issues.

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×		×	×		→		
4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage								
X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Or		Origin		Trend of impact			
	mpaor		3		inonia or impaor			
Impact	Current	9 Potential	Inside	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Impact O Positive		4 Potential	-	C Outside		⇔ Stable	Increasing	
		4 Potential	-	Outside		→ Stable	Increasing	
 Positive 	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 				Increasing	
 Positive Negative X 	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	×			Increasing	
 Positive Negative X 4.9.3 - Military training 	4 Current	Potential	Inside	×			Increasing	

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.9.1 Sometimes unlicenced street vendors interfere with public circulation 4.9.2 Graffitis extensively stain the masonry of the porticoes, not only during political demonstrations. The Municipality contributes to their removal.

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Relevant			X Not relevant				
4.10.2 - Flooding							
Relevant	Relevant			t			
4.10.3 - Drought							
Relevant	Relevant			t			
4.10.4 - Desertification							
Relevant			× Not relevant	t			
4.10.5 - Changes to oceanio	c waters						
Relevant			X Not relevant				
4.10.6 - Temperature chang	le						
X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×	×			1
4.10.7 - Other climate chang	ge impacts						

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.10.6 The urban heat island effect affects the microclimate under the porticoes, and is under monitoring by the municipal administration.

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Relevant			X Not relevant					
4.11.2 - Earthquake								
X Relevant	١	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X		×	×	×		\rightarrow		
4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wav	e							
Relevant			× Not relevant					
4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landsli	de							
Relevant			X Not relevant					

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.6 - Modified genetic material	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow		
Negative								

4.13.2 - Legal framework

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×	×	×	×			1	
Negative								

4.13.3 - Governance

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×			
Negative							

4.13.4 - Management activities

× Relevant

Not relevant

_	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×			1
Negative							

4.13.5 - Financial resources

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact					
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×	×	×	×					
Negative									

4.13.6 - Human resources

× Relevant			1	Not relevant				
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×	×	×	×			1	
Negative								

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

X Relevant				Not relevant						
	Impact Origin			gin Trend of impact						
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive X	×		×	×						
Negative										

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

X Relevant			1	Not relevant					
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact					
Impact	Gurrent	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×		×	×					
Negative									

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development				
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure		9	۲	→
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	0	9	٢	
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure				

	-		~		- 0					
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	٢		4		Ċ	⇒ 				
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	٢		9		Ċ					
4.3 Services Infrastructures										
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities										
	0		9	٢	Ċ					
4.3.4 Localised utilities										
	0	9	9		Ċ					
4.4 Pollution										
4.4.4 Air pollution										
	0	4		٢	Ċ	\$				
4.4.5 Solid waste										
	0	4		۲	Ċ	→				
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric										
4.7.2 Relative humidity										
	0	4		۲	C ^C	→				
4.7.3 Temperature										
	0	<i>ब</i>		۲	(F	7				
4.7.5 Dust										
	0	<i></i>		۲	100	~				
4.7.7 Pests		-1		Q	Ģ					
4.1.1 FOSIS	0	1		۲	1.C.	_				
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage	•	9		٢	Ġ.	-				
	•	~3	~		~					
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	٢	9	9	٢	Ċ	/				
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	0				107	→				
		-,	-,	Q.	9					
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community										
	0	4		٢	Ċ	N				
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	0	9			Č					
4.9 Other human activities										
4.9.1 Illegal activities										
	0	q		۲	Ċ	→				
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage										
	0	Ø		a	1	→				
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events	-	-1		C.	C)					
4.10.6 Temperature change										
		1 77		2	11	2				
	9	-1		্	G.					
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events										
4.11.2 Earthquake										

					0		9	۲	Ċ	→
4.13 Management an	nd institutional factors									
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan					٢	9	9	۲	Ċ	\rightarrow
4.13.2 Legal framewo	ork				٢	4	9	٩	٢	1
4.13.3 Governance					٢	4	9	۲	Ċ	1
4.13.4 Management a	activities				٢	9	9	۲	Ċ	
4.13.5 Financial reso	ources				٢	9	9	۲	Ċ	
4.13.6 Human resour	rces				٢	9	9	۹	Ċ	1
4.13.7 Low impact re	esearch/monitoring activ	vities			٢	9		٢	۴	1
4.13.8 High impact re	esearch/monitoring acti	ivities			٢	9		۲	Ċ	
Legend	4 Current	I Potential	Negative	O Positive	 Insid 	de		C Outsi	de	
					CO					

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name		Impact	t		Origin	Trend
4.1.4 Majo	r visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	٢		9	٩	→
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - I	npact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					

	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
	Trend - Developement over the last 6 years						
Trend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years						
Trend - De	Decreasing						
Trend - De							

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	٢		9	۲		

Spatial sca	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor							
×	Restricted							
	Localised							
	Extensive							
	Widespread							
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact								
	One off or rare							
	Intermittent or sporadic							
	Frequent							
×	On-going							
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes							
×	Insignificant							
	Minor							
	Significant							
	Major							
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond							
×	High capacity							
	Medium capacity							
	Low capacity							
	No capacity and / or resources							
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing							
	Static							

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Increasing

×

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend		
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	٢		9		Ċ	→
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						

	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	spact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
×	Major
Manageme	Int response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	٢	9		Ċ	

Spatial Sca	
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	ipact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
×	Major

Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.3.2 Rene	wable energy facilities					
		٢	9	٢	Ċ	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.3.4 Localised utilities						

		0	9	9	Ċ	
Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Tempor	al scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact ·	Impact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manage	ment response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

4.4 Pollution

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.4.4 Air po	ollution					
		0	9	۹	Ċ	\$
Spatial sca	Ile - Area affected by the factor					
opularoo	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	apact on the attributes					

	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Impact		npact Origin		Origin		Trend
4.4.5 Solid waste								
	0	4		٢	Ċ	→		

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name		Impact	:	Origin		Trend
4.7.2 R	slative humidity					
		0	9	۲	Ċ	→
Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Tempo	ral scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact	- Impact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manage	ement response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
				_		
Name		Impact	:	Origin		Trend
4.7.3 16	emperature	0	<i>i</i>		(F	
		•	4	۲	G.	
Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Tempo	ral scale - Occurence of the impact					

One off or rare

Intermittent or sporadic

	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend	
4.7.5 Dust						
	0	4		٢	Ċ	\$

Spatial Sca	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing

	Static
×	Increasing

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.7 Pests							
		0	4		۲	Ċ	→
Snatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
opullarood	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
×	Decreasing						
	Static						
	Increasing						

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.1 Ritu	4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses		9	9	۲	Ċ	1
Spatial so	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						

	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
×	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	٢	9	9	٢	Ċ	\rightarrow

Asticide Localised Asticular Monitor Second Monitor	Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
Access Access X Webspread Temporal Concerner of the impact Import I or rare Import I or sporadic X Request Y Request Y Request Import I or sporadic Import I or sporadic Y Request Import I or sporadic Import I or sporadic Y Request Import I or sporadic Import I or sporadic Y Request Import I or sporadic Import I or sporadic Y Request Import I or sporadic Import I or sporadic Y Media capacity of management to respord Import I or sporadic Import I or sporadic Y High capacity Import I or sporadic Y Media capacity Import I or sporadic Y Request Import I or sporadic Y Media capacity Import I or sporadic Y Request Import I or sporadic Y Request Import I or sporadic		Restricted
X Wespread Fequorat Cocurrence of the impact Immittent or sporadio Immittent or sporadio X Pequorat Immittent or sporadio Immittent or sporadio		Localised
Tenporal Occurance of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Xet Frequent Inspiritent or sporadic Xet Inspiritent or sporadic Xet Inspiritent or sporadic Xet Inspiritent or sporadic Xet Inspiritent or sporadic Inspiritent or sporadic <th></th> <th>Extensive</th>		Extensive
intermittent or sporadic insignificant	×	Widespread
Internition or sporadic Internition or sporadic Frequent In-ging Import - Unitativities Insignificant X Minor Import - Sporadic of Management to respond Migh capacity of management to respond X High capacity Medium capacity of management to respond X Medium capacity of resources X Medium capacity and / or resources	Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
Kequent Frequent On-going Impact - Urititation Impact - Urititation Insignificant Minor Significant Xing of Construction Significant Minor Significant Minor Significant Minor Significant Minor Significant Minor Significant Minor Significant Maagement to respond Significant to respond Medium capacity Medium capacity No capacity Medium capacity Imagement of resources Significant to resources		One off or rare
Impact -		Intermittent or sporadic
Impact - impact on the attributes Insignificant X Minor Significant X Significant Minor Significant Magor Major Hanagement to respond Minor Management to respond Minor <tr< th=""><th>×</th><th>Frequent</th></tr<>	×	Frequent
Insignificant Minor Significant Major Hanagement to respond Kender High capacity of management to respond Kender Kender Low capacity No capacity and / or resources		On-going
 kinor kinor Significant Major Management to respond Management to respond Management to respond Medium capacity of management to respond kinopic dium capacity ko capacity Ivo capacity and / or resources Frend- U-U-U-U-U-U-U-U-U-U-U-U-U-U-U-U-U-U-U	Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
Significant Major Management to respond Main capacity of management to respond Medium capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Deve the last 6 years		Insignificant
Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond Migh capacity High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources	×	Minor
Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Addium capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources		Significant
High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources		Major
Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources	Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years		High capacity
No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	×	Medium capacity
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years		Low capacity
		No capacity and / or resources
X Decreasing	Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	×	Decreasing

Static	

ŝ

Increasing

Name		Impac	t	Origin	Trend
4.8.5 Id	entity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community				
		٢	9	۲	G 🖌
Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
×	Widespread				
Tempo	ral scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
×	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact	- Impact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manage	ement response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
		Impac		Origin	

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	٢	9	۲	Ċ	1

opullar ool	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic

	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.9 Other human activities

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.9.1 Illega	.9.1 Illegal activities						
		0	9		٢	Ċ	→
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Ir	npact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	Trend - Developement over the last 6 years						

	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Name 4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage		Impact			Origin	
4.9.2 Delibe							
		0	9		٢	Ċ	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name	ame Impact		Origin	Trend			
4.10.6 Tem	.10.6 Temperature change						
		9	9		٢	Ċ	
Spatial sca	spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact						

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.11.2 Eart	4.11.2 Earthquake						
		0		9	٢	٢	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						

	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
×	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	ame		t		Origin	Trend	
4.13.1 N	.13.1 Management system/Management plan		9	9	۲	Ċ	→
Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
	al scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
	Impact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
~	Major						
Manage	ment response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
~	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trond -	Developement over the last 6 years						
irenu -	Decreasing						
	Static						
~							
×	Increasing						
Name		Impac	t		Origin		Trend
4.13.2 L	egal framework	0	9	9		Ċ	
Snatial	scale - Area affected by the factor						
opatial	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Localised						

Extensive

×	Widespread			
Temporal s	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
×	On-going			
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes			
	Insignificant			
	Minor			
	Significant			
×	Major			
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond			
×	High capacity			
	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
	Static			
×	Increasing			

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.3 Governance	0	9	9	٢	Ċ	1

opullar soc	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
×	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity

No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name

4.13.4 Management activities

Impact			Origin	Trend			
٢	4	9	۲	Ċ			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Spatial SC	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - In	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
×	Major
Managemo	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing

2	Decreasing
S	Static
× Ir	Increasing

Name	Impac	t		Origin		Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources		9	9	۲	Ċ	1

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread

Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
×	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Impact			Origin		Trend	
4.13.6 Human resources	٢	4	9	۲	Ċ	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
×	Major					
Manageme	Management response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name		Impac	t	Origin		Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities		٢	9	۲	Ċ	
Snatial	scale - Area affected by the factor					
opulla	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
	ral scale - Occurence of the impact					
Tempo	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact	- Impact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manag	ement response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
				_		
Name		Impac	t	Origin		Trend

4.13.8 High in	npact researc	h/monitorin	g activitie
----------------	---------------	-------------	-------------

٢ es 9 Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread × Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

termittent or sporadic
requent
n-going
or the attributes
significant
inor
ignificant
ajor
esponse - Capacity of management to respond
igh capacity
edium capacity
ow capacity
o capacity and / or resources
pement over the last 6 years
ecreasing
tatic
creasing
re in is lir ig la ig le o p e e ta

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Complex of different poticoes typologies and their surrounding built areas	×			
4.18.1.2	Authenticity of form and design, construction techniques, materials	×			
4.18.1.3	urban planning prescriptions regulating the pubbic use of porticoes	×			
4.18.1.4	social function for a sustainable urban life styles, a place of continuos interchange of human values, civil and religious	×			
4.18.1.5	interconnections of the portico system, as a network covered walkways within the perimeter of the property	×			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The boundaries do not limit the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The buffer zones do not limit the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

Following the recommendations of the World Heritage Center and Icoms, changes to the boundaries of the property and the buffer zone will be proposed in the coming months

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

Comment

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

Italian urban planning legislation abides by the principle of subsidiarity. Each level of government contributes to the protection system according to this principle. Overall this structure enables control mechanisms for every physical transformation of the urban fabric in the whole municipal area, in the whole buffer zone, and on each component of the serial property.

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

The first conservation plan for the historical city center in Bologna dates back to the end of the 1960's. Since then the Municipality thoroughly and constantly ensured the maintenance of historic buildings, not only in the city center but also in the suburbs. This long tradition of conservation is now a given, and a precondition for the city approach to the new urgent challenges concerning the climate and housing emergencies.

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system joint national/ local

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

Agreed 'Memorandums of Understanding' between different managing institutions, groups or others, including documents agreed with local communities for management

A management plan

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

The Municipality set up an office called Portici Patrimonio Mondiale for the day-to-day follow up of the activities of the Permanent Steering Committee, which has the responsibility to manage, enhance and protect the property. The members of the Steering Committee represent the local community, with reference to 4 areas of competence: conservation, maintenance, communication, research and monitoring.

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Management Plan	N/A	Available	2021	

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

Some use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

The General Urban Plan (PUG) outlines a detailed sets of strategies and actions for each of the three objectives of the Plan, concerning the whole city. The Habitability and Inclusion objective includes the strategy for the historic urban landscape. Under this objective, PUG regulations set provisions for conservation, and specific rules for certain uses of the different fabrics of the historic city.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

During the last decade the city has adopted a number of policies to mitigate the impacts of climate change. These policies benefit the whole city including the World Heritage property and they are: Piano di adattamento al cambiamento climatico 2020 PUG 2021 PUMS 2019 Bilancio ambientale every year

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ? Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state;

local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is adequate coordination between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being only partially implemented

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

No annual work/action plan exists and it is not needed

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.15.2	Local authorities					×
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone			×		
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women				×	
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					×
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners				×	
5.3.16.5	Women					×
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					×
5.3.16.7	Researchers					×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists				×	
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists				×	
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries					×
5.3.16.12	NGOs				×	
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×				

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention*

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality			×		
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)			×		
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				×	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach				×	
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood					×
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property					×

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

The management system adopted specific provisions to ensure the appropriate physical conservation of the porticoes, while at the same time sustaining the public use of these private properties, as they provide gathering places fostering social inclusion.

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

The first management plan of the porticoes of Bologna integrates the many different actions promoted by each Steering Committee member and other stakeholders into a coherent strategy. The city of Bologna is particularly vital in terms of initiatives towards social inclusion and sustainable development. This vitality creates an enabling environment for the implementation of the plan.

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	100 %	100 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available **budget is adequate** for effective management of the World Heritage property

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	40 %	40 %
6.1.6.2	Women	60 %	60 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are adequate for management needs

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Not applicable
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Not applicable
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not applicable

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following

disciplines	
Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

The porticoes of Bologna were inscribed in the WHL in July 2021, therefore direct stakeholders engagement with the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building was not yet possible. However, the management approach reflects the methodology proposed in the strategy document, and conservation provisions are consistent with its recommendations.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and fully implemented; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained? Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is adequate

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable research but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated? Research results are shared with local communities and some national agencies

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Poor
Women	Good
Youth/children	Fair
Researchers	Fair
Local visitors	Good
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Fair
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Landowners
Youth/children
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry
local businesses and industrias

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Good
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Not needed
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good

Transportation facilities	Fair
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

1.447.619 / 881.171 / 537.889 / 1.592.490 / 1.543.053 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Visitor surveys

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

Two overnight stays

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

Bologna Welcome visitor survey

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

percentage not available / percentage not availa

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

According to Bologna Welcome Monitoring System the total daily visitor expenditure in 2018 was 108 USD

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

There is **some management** of the visitor use of the World Heritage property

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

Bologna Welcome monitoring system

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is good cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property? Not displayed at all

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property? Fees are collected, but make no contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

urban trekking, cycle tours

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

The Tourist Tax is part of the municipal budget. Thanks to the growth of tourism, events in the city are much more than before

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

The hospitality industry in Bologna is largely made of local small businesses, generating revenues for local enterprises and creating jobs for the local community. Moreover, the public use of the protected property is inherent in its very constitution, as the porticoes of Bologna are an integral and defining part of the public realm

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving the understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				×
10.3.3	Character of governance				×
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations				×
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development				×
10.3.6	Capacity development				×

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Local communities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Women	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industry	Fair
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee? Implementation is underway

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones	
5.1.2	The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners	
5.1.4	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known and recognized by local communities/landowners	×
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	Some use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	×

5.3.7	Some use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.13	The management system at the property is only being partially implemented	×
5.3.17	 In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to gender equality In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does provide ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants) 	×
6.1	Funding	
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	×
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects	
7.2	There is considerable research in the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
8.2	There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth	×
9	Visitor Management	
9.7	There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property	
9.9	There is some management of the visitor use of the World Heritage property	
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	×
10	Monitoring	
10.1	There is considerable monitoring at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	
Pleas	se select 1 more issues.	
D Ple	ease save this question to reflect changes	

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.3	Services Infi	rastructures								
4.3.2	Renewable energy facilities	the replacement o traditional brick ro with solar panels f production of elec affect the attribute	ofs or the tricity	the the roof must be city authorised		data are available as individual installations of solar panels on the roof must be authorized	on a rolling basis	Soprintendenza and Municipality and private owners		Red solar panels are required for the historical buildings, in order to match the color of the brick roof
4.3.4	Localised utilities	Request to install new tv towers affected the attribute 3.2.1	the Sup evaluat	e municipality together with Superintendence is luating the impact of the posed installation of a new ower		data are available as individual installations must be authorized	on a rolling basis	Soprintendenza and Municipality	fa o b fo	ne municipality is not in avor of the installation f the new TV tower, ut a court decision proced the authorization rocedure to continue
4.4	Pollution									
4.4.4	Air pollution	The air pollution has negative effects on the walls, columns, pillars and ceilings of Porticoes. Therefore the attributes 3.2.2 is affected	reduce private conse	the use of envir vehicles and make quently air avail on will be moni d chec daily oper Envir		ional Agency of ronmental protection es air quality data lable thanks to daily itoring. The data is sked and validated v by the Arpae ators of the ronmental rention Areas (Apa).	the first tram linu will start operating in 202	and Regional Agency of	net bee inte whe ope of p	e new tramline work of Bologna has in organized on four rconnected lines, en it will be in irration the circulation rrivate vehicles will be ingly reduced and so air pollution

4.4.5	Solid waste	Door-to-door waste collection involves bag under the Porticoes during the night hours 3 days a week. This affects the attribute 3.2	gs differe garbay of launch mainta 2.4 of diffe collect	In the coming months a different method of garbage collection will be launched which will maintain the same levels of differentiated collection in the historic center		collection are vill be constantly monitore by Hera, the compa evels that deals with it, a by the Municipality		of the year He pred 2023 the pany ma and co ty ma (w an		Hera the I majo com mair (was and	Municipality of Bologna and Herambiente, a company of the Hera group, one of the major national multi-utility companies, operating mainly in the environment (waste management), wate and energy (electricity, gas sectors.		of the cor dec por par er nee) sep	e challenge for next years is to nbine urban xorum, of the ticcoes in ticular, with the ed to increase parate waste ection		
4.7	Local condit	ions affecting physical	fabric													
4.7.2	Relative humidity	Rising damp damage the walls, columns an pillars of some porticoes. This affect the attribute 3.2.2	nd mitigat by risin		ce can help age caused	none		on a ro basis	olling	building) owners	the dan damp v have co	nage cau vhen the	vners remedy used by rising se damages nces on the ildings		
4.7.3	Temperature	waves take effectEalso under theDporticoes, so thedactivities ofdwalking andastanding becomeLless pleasant.FThese affectedDthe attributesF3.2.4d	The Municipali Bologna joined Covenant of M or energy and limate in 2013 upproved, in A 2021, the Actio Plan for Energ Climate (Paes Plan contains limate neutral cenarios	i the ayors and pril on y and c). The	The Action Plan for Energy and Climate (Paesc) contains climate monitoring of the inventory of emissions, assessment of climate vulnerability and risks. The Action Plan for Energy and Climate aims to achieve a 40% reduction in climate-changing emissions by 2030 and carbon neutrality by 2050. Municipality of Bologna		r of	has p 100 C the E Com by th progr millio two-y 2022 and e action	inable urban							
4.7.5	Dust	Dust has negative effects on the ceilings walls, ornaments, columns and capitals of Porticoes. Therefore the attributes 3.2.2 is affected	The new tran reduce the us private vehic consequently be reduced	se of es and	environr makes a available monitori checked daily by operator Environr		ection ata daily a is ted	will sta	will start and Regional Agency of networks operating in environmental bee 2026 protection interview operation operat		network been org interconi when it v operation of private	tramline of Bologna has janized on four nected lines, vill be in n the circulation e vehicles will be reduced and so				
4.8	Social/Cultur	al uses of heritage														
4.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	Many new citizens are the fact that the portico of the building and the the same ownership o building.Therefore the 3.2.5 is affected	pes are part refore have f the	art collaboration with the basis owners' associations ave building owners' associations, uses		•	g Bologna is a city that attracts many new citizens and it is important that they are informed when they buy a house they also buy a percentage of the portico									
4.9	Other human	activities														
4.9.1	Illegal activities	Illegal activicties ca place under the por as a covered space public use under th porticoes. Therefore attributes 3.2.4 is a	rticoes mo e for ille e pla e the	nitoring an gal activitie	in charge of id fighting es that take he porticoes	ghting monit hat take take			charge of on a rolling ities that r the		-	Local and Police	State	none		
4.10	Climate char	ige and severe weather	revents													
4.10.6	Temperature change	Climate change in Bologna involves above all the increase in temperatures, particularly in summe Therefore the attribute 3.2.4 is affected	Bologna covena for ene r. climate es approve 2021, ti Plan fo and Cli (Paesc contain	Jogna joined the wvenant of Mayors Energy (Paes clima mate in 2019 and proved, in April 21, the Action 21, the Action asses an for Energy		logna joined theEnergyvvenant of Mayors(Paesc)energy andclimatemate in 2019 andof the inproved, in Aprilemissic21, the Actionassessian for Energyclimated Climateand riskaesc). The Planntains climate		e Action Plan for ergy and Climate aesc) contains mate monitoring the inventory of nissions, sessment of mate vulnerability id risks.		The Action Plan for Er and Climate aims to a a 40% reduction in climate-changing emis by 2030 and carbon neutrality by 2050.		achieve	Municipa Bologna		has the Mis Eu Coo fina Ho with eur two 202 ano	ce 2022 Bologna a participated in 100 Cities sison of the opean mmunity, nnced by the rizon program n 360 million os for the -year period 22-23, for mobility d energy saving ion and

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones Actions Timeframe Lead agency (and More info / comment others involved) 5.1.4 The buffer zones of the The iscription of the Portici di A new website dedicated to Municipality Following the recommendations of World Heritage property Bologna to the WHL is very institutional communication will the World Heritage Center and are known and recent, the technical issues be ready by 2024 and plaques Icoms, changes to the boundaries of have not yet been widely recognised by the will be posted in correspondence the property and the buffer zone will management authority communicated with every componentof the serial be proposed in the coming months but are not known and property recognized by local communities/landowners 5.3 Management System/Management Plan 5.3.5 The policies of General Urban Plan (PUG) Municipality of Bologna The PUG was drafted Some use has been made of few years the Historic Urban Landscape are consistent with the HUL before the award of the Recommandations The next MP will nomination Therefore it Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for strengthen the synergies between the MP was not possible to the protection of the property and PUG. establish strong synergies between the two tools. 5.3.7 Some use has been made of In the next edition of the Management Plan. Next 4 years Municipality none the Policy Document on the relations with other sectors of the Impacts of Climate Change on Municipality will be strengthened. The topics World Heritage Properties at the of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage property Properties are covered in other Council documents 5.3.9 Some use has been made of In the next edition of the Management Plan, Next 4 years Municipality and Agency for none the Strategy for Reducing Risks relations with other public insitutions will be territorial security and civil from Disasters at World strengthened. The topics of theStrategy protection of the Emilia Heritage Properties at the Risks from Disater at World Heritage Romagna Region property Properties are covered in other public institution documents 5.3.13 Based on the UNESCO reccomendations on Steering Committee The management system at the next year none property is only being partially the property boundaries, the protected implemented property will include new areas. The Steering Committee will engage new members to include the institutions in charge in the new areas included in the property. 5.3.17 In a limited In Bologna in particular the gender issue is none none none manner the not a problem, therefore the management management system does not act in this regard, as well system of the as the ecosystem benefits World Heritage property does contribute to gender equality In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage

6.1

property does provide ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)

Funding

6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Developmen the World Heritage property	of Building document will be taken into account in the next edition of the MP	2 years	Steering Committee and Municipality of Bologna	The porticoes of Bologna were inscribed in the WHL in July 2021, therefore direct stakeholders engagement with the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building was not yet possible. However, the management approach reflects the methodology proposed in the strategy document, and conservation provisions are consistent with its recommendations.
8	Education, Information	ation and Awareness Building			
8.2	There is a A project for an edu limited and ad within the schools is hoc education evaluation for fundir and awareness early months of 202 programme for collaboration with th children and/or Bologna and two se youth provided a testing g and educational act			sis University of Bologna a Municipality of Bologna	nd The possibility to run the educational program as a permanent activity is a very important opportunity that would be particularly prolific in Bologna.
9	Visitor Manage	ement			
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	Municipality is working on a new multilingual official website dedica to Unesco issues.		Municipality of Bologna	So far the Municipality could not proceed with the production of the plates because the Statement was provisional.

Summary - Management Needs completed

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property? The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive

Legal/Policy framework	Very positive
Advocacy	Very positive
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	No impact
Gender equality	No impact
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	No impact
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	No impact
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Very positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	No impact
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The property's Outstanding Universal Value

Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	No follow-up
Site Managers	No follow-up
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	No follow-up
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	No follow-up

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Update of management plans

Awareness raising

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

Focal points of other international conventions/programmes

Local communities

External experts

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? Yes

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

30 / 20 / 60 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources	×	
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			×	

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Fair
UNESCO National Commission	Fair
ICOMOS International	No support
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Fair
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

	-
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Fair
National Commission for UNESCO	Fair
ICOMOS International	No support
ICCROM International/regional	Fair
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• Geographic information table

Reason for update: Although the boundaries have not been so far modified, the information previously provided on the area in hectares cannot be validated, because of a mistake in the measurement of the surface of the buffer zone, which erroneously included the area of the property. The correct measurements are as follows: Buffer zone A 1.038,51 ha Buffer zone B 34,93 ha

Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.