Colonies of Benevolence

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Colonies of Benevolence

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Frederiksoord-Wilhelminaoord	52.857 / 6.167	555	0	555	
Wortel	51.403 / 4.824	550	0	550	
Veenhuizen	53.042 / 6.392	907	0	907	
Total (ha)		2012	0	2012	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Colonies of Benevolence - maps of the inscribed property	2021	

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

1. Colonies of Benevolence

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

The museums in the Colonies of Benevolence have been awarded the European Heritage Label in 2020. This label focuses mainly on education and young audiences.

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

No

No

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

No

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value Brief synthesis

The Colonies of Benevolence were an Enlightenment experiment in social reform which demonstrated an innovative, highly influential model of pauper relief and of settler colonialism – the agricultural domestic colony. Beginning in 1818, the Society of Benevolence founded agricultural colonies in rural areas of the United Kingdom of the Netherlands (now the Netherlands and Belgium). The Colonies of Benevolence created a highly functional landscape out of isolated peat and heath wastelands through the domestic colonisation of paupers. In the process, colonists would become morally reformed ideal citizens, adding to the nation's wealth and integrating marginal territories in emergent nation states.

Over a seven-year period, almost 80 square kilometres of wastelands, domestic territory considered unfit for settlement, were reclaimed in Colonies. The colonies featured orthogonal roads, ribbons of houses and small farms, and communal buildings. From 1819 onwards, 'unfree' colonies were also founded, the last in 1825; these featured large institutions and larger farms again set in an orthogonal pattern of fields and avenues, and housed particular groups of disadvantaged people with support from the State. At their peak some 18,000 people lived in the colonies, including those within the property.

The process of transforming its poorest landscapes and citizens through a utopian process of social engineering went on until well into the 20th century. After 1918, the colonies lost their relevance and evolved into 'normal' villages and areas with institutions for custodial care. The property comprises four former colonies in three component parts: the free colonies of Frederiksoord and Wilhelminaoord, the colony of Wortel which was a free colony that evolved into an unfree colony, and the unfree colony of Veenhuizen.

Criterion (ii): The Colonies of Benevolence bear testimony to an exceptional and nationwide Enlightenment experiment in social reform, through a system of large agricultural home colonies. They proposed a model of social engineering based upon the notion of 'productive labour', with the aim of transforming poor people into 'industrious' citizens and uncultivated 'wastelands' into productive land. In addition to work, education and moral upliftment were considered essential contributions to the aim of transforming poor people into self-reliant citizens. The Colonies of Benevolence were developed as systematic self-sustaining agricultural settlements with state-of-the-art social facilities. As such, the Colonies of Benevolence pioneered the domestic colony model, attracting considerable international attention. For more than a century, they exerted an influence on various types of custodial care in Western Europe and beyond.

Criterion (iv): The Colonies of Benevolence are an outstanding example of domestic agricultural colonies created in the 19th century with the social aim of poverty alleviation. Deliberately cultivated as 'islands' in remote domestic heath and peatland areas, the Colonies implemented the ideas of a panoptic institution for the poor in their functional and spatial organisation. They are an outstanding example of a landscape design that represents an agricultural home colony with a social aim. The landscape patterns reflect the original character of the different types of Colonies and their subsequent evolution, and illustrate the extent, the ambition and the evolution of this social experiment in its flourishing period (1818-1918).

Integrity

The property contains all the attributes which convey the Outstanding Universal Value. It includes key examples of both free and unfree colonies. All component parts consist of a combination of relict landscape layers which together illustrate the flourishing period of the Colony model. In the case of the free colonies, attributes include the long ribbons of houses and small farms set in a pattern of orthogonal roads and fields. The unfree colonies include larger building complexes, housing, and larger farms set in an orthogonally organised landscape of avenues and fields. Features of the landscapes include their orthogonal structure with roads, avenue plantings, other plantings, meadows, fields and forests, and with the characteristic houses, farms, institutions, churches, schools and industrial buildings. While there have been changes and evolution over time, the property reflects the best-preserved cultural landscapes of the free and unfree colonies.

Authenticity

The authenticity of the property is based on its location, form and design, and materials. The distinctive cultural landscape with its structured form, plantings, surviving buildings and archaeological sites from the period when the colonies were created and flourished, truthfully and credibly tell the story of the Colonies of Benevolence and reflect the Outstanding Universal Value.

The use of the Colonies for agriculture and the social objectives formulated by the Society of Benevolence over two centuries were mainly continued and supplemented with new functions, which redefined the original social significance of the Colonies, in the spirit of the Colonies and adapted to changing times. The connecting factor is not one single 'authentic' period, but the landscape structure which has developed in two determining phases: the first phase of the creation (1818-1859), the phase of the further evolution, the phase of state institutions and privatisation (1860-1918).

Protection and management requirements

The property is protected by various and very different tools that range in scale from national laws to municipal codes, covering both natural and cultural values. These provide sectorial guidelines or criteria for intervention and conservation of the property. Legal protection is adequate for individual buildings. In both countries, representative buildings have been granted monument status and are protected. This includes a number of buildings and building ensembles within the colonies which are protected as individual monuments. At the national level, all the Dutch colonies are fully or partially protected as villagescapes. In Belgium, Wortel is a protected cultural heritage landscape. Consideration should be given to ensuring the national villagescape protection should cover the full extent of Wilheminaoord. In the Netherlands, a new Environment & Planning Act will enter into force in 2021 to regulate the protection of heritage values, replacing the existing Spatial Planning Act. The new Act provides opportunities for the integral protection of Outstanding Universal Value, and for the assessment of new developments. The organisation of the management system for the property seems effective. This includes an intergovernmental committee to address issues between the States Parties, a transnational steering group, the designation of site holders in each country, a technical advisory committee, site managers and staff. There is a management plan consisting of a main document related to the whole property, as well as three specific plans for the component parts. The focus of the management plan is the preservation and reinforcement of the Outstanding Universal Value for the series as a whole and for the individual colonies. Risk preparedness is addressed through existing mechanisms rather than a specific strategy. Visitor management is achieved through a range of measures including visitor centres, interpretive materials and support facilities, and further measures are planned. Traffic management is recognised a

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	typology free Colony of Benevolence (landscape)	×			
3.2.2	typology unfree Colony of Benevolende (landscape)	×			
3.2.3	avenues	×			
3.2.4	water structures	×			

3.2.5	measurement system applied	×		
3.2.6	representative buildings	×		
3.2.7				
3.2.8				
3.2.9				
3.2.10				
3.2.11				
3.2.12				
3.2.13				
3.2.14				
3.2.15				

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

To assess the condition of the attributes, the managementeam has made use of environmental permits, logging permits, a check of changes in zoningplans, and the logbooks of the site management. In november 2021 in Wilhelminaoord (Cp A, NL) a second-phase colonyhouse dating from 1910 caught fire. coord 52.86092010223066, 6.163939097717549. The contribution of the house to the OUV is mainly the main shape in the grid, the house is no monument The retained hull of the front house has been restored

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.1.2 - Commercial development	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.1.3 - Industrial areas	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

X Relevant			l	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×			×			1
Negative							

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×			1
Negative							

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Area signage has been placed. Public leaflets have been made available, as well walking and cycling routes, 'listening tours' and podcasts. Lodging facilities have been added in Merksplas Colony (outside the property)

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

X Relevant			Not relevant	
	Impact	Origin		Trend of impact

Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×		×			→			
Negative									
4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure									
Relevant			X Not relevan	X Not relevant					
4.2.3 - Air transport infrast	ructure								
Relevant			X Not relevant						
4.2.4 - Marine transport inf	rastructure								
Relevant			X Not relevant						
4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure									
X Relevant		Not relevant							
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				

	•		Ū		•		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×			\rightarrow	

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Maximum speed and use of roads are significant for a pleasant environment

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

× Relevant			I	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×			\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×	×	×			
Negative X		×	×	×		\rightarrow	

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

X Relevant			1	Not relevant				
	Impact Origin		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
Negative X		×		×		\rightarrow		
4.3.4 - Localised utilities								
Relevant			× Not relevant					

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.4.2 - Ground water pollution	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.4.3 - Surface water pollution	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.4.4 - Air pollution	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.4.5 - Solid waste	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.4.6 - Input of excess energy	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.2 - Aquaculture	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.3 - Land conversion	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Coutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×			1
Negative X	×	×	×	×	N		

4.5.5 - Crop production

X Relevant			1	Not relevant				
	Impact Origi		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×			→		
Negative								
4.5.6 - Commercial wild pla	ant collection							
Relevant			× Not relevant	t				
4.5.7 - Subsistence wild pl	ant collection							

× Not relevant

Relevant

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

4.5.9 - Subsistence nunting	9									
Relevant			× Not relevant	X Not relevant						
4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood pro	duction									
X Relevant			I	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact					
	Impact		ongin		Trend of impact					
Impact	Current Potential		 Inside 	Coutside	Solution Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive X	×		×	×		\rightarrow				
Negative										

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Due to the inscription on the list, more effort has been done to reintroduce ancient crops and varieties, to replant lanes and zones of production woods with relevant species.

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Relevant			× Not relevan	X Not relevant					
4.6.2 - Quarrying									
Relevant			× Not relevan	X Not relevant					
4.6.3 - Oil and gas									
X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin Trend of impact						
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
Negative X		×		×		\rightarrow			
4.6.4 - Water (extraction)									

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Intention extraction of gas near Wilhelminaoord comp A (NL) The possibility of gas extraction was known when the nomination was submitted. (ch. 7, p 7.5 Management Plan) In selecting the optimum extraction site, the importance of the World Heritage Site will be taken into account. Directly through a HIA and indirectly through a EIA report More information regarding decision-making on a location is expected medio 2023. A final decision about the request to extract gas is foreseen for 2024

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.7.2 - Relative humidity	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.7.3 - Temperature	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.7.4 - Radiation/Light	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.7.5 - Dust	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Relevant

4.7.7 - Pests

4.7.7 - Fests								
X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×			→		
Negative								
4.7.8 - Micro-organisms								

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

the trees in the avenues get regular maintenance because of funghi and incidental pests

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×			\rightarrow		
Negative								
4.8.2 - Society's valuing of	heritage							
Relevant			× Not relevant	t				
4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting,	gathering and	collecting						
Relevant			X Not relevant	t				
4.8.4 - Changes in tradition	al ways of life	and knowledge	system					
Relevant			X Not relevant					
4.8.5 - Identity, social cohe	sion, changes	in local populat	ion and com	munity				
X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×				1	
Negative								
4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/\	/isitation/Recro	eation						
X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×				1	
Negative								

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Restauration and reuse of (empty) monuments, programming of cultural festivals and adequate sustainable tourism create vibrant livelihoods, bring prosperity, and enhance the feeling of pride and belonging of local citizens.

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.3 - Military training	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.4 - War	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.5 - Terrorism	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.6 - Civil unrest	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Relevant			× Not relevant	t			
4.10.2 - Flooding							
Relevant			× Not relevant	t			
4.10.3 - Drought							
× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×			1
4.10.4 - Desertification							
Relevant			× Not relevant	t			
4.10.5 - Changes to oceani	c waters						
Relevant			X Not relevant	t			
4.10.6 - Temperature chang	ge						
Relevant			× Not relevant	t			

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Increased drought is the most present effect of climate change in Flanders and the Netherlands and impacts fauna and flora negatively (trees). Measures to reduce drought to buffer water are part of new policies.

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Relevant	X Not relevant	
4.11.2 - Earthquake		
Relevant	X Not relevant	

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Transl	ocated species
-----------------	----------------

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.6 - Modified genetic material	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

Relevant			🗙 Not relevan	X Not relevant					
4.13.2 - Legal framework									
Relevant			X Not relevan	t					
4.13.3 - Governance									
Relevant			X Not relevan	t					
4.13.4 - Management activ	vities								
Relevant			X Not relevan	t					
4.13.5 - Financial resource	es								
X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
Positive X	×		×			\rightarrow			
Negative									

4.13.6 - Human resources

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×			\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×				1
Negative							

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

× Not relevant

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development						
Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure		9			Ċ	
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	٥	9		٢	Ċ	
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	٢	9		٢		⇒
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
	0		9	۲		→
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.1 Water infrastructure	٢	9		٢		→
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities	٢		9	٢	Ċ	
	0		9	٢	Ċ	→
4.3.3 Non-renewable energy facilities						
	0		9		Ċ	→
4.5 Biological resource use/modification						
4.5.4 Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals	٢	9	9	٢	Ċ	
	0	4	9	٢	Ċ	N
4.5.5 Crop production	٢	9		٢		→

4.5.10 Forestry/Wood production	٢	9		۲	Ċ	→
4.6 Physical resource extraction						
4.6.3 Oil and gas						
	0		9		Ċ	→
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.7 Pests	٢	9		٢		→
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	٢	9		٢		→
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	٥	4		٢		1
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	٢	9		۲		
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.3 Drought						
	0	9	9	٢	Ċ	
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.5 Financial resources	٢	9		٢		→
4.13.6 Human resources	٩	4		۲		→
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	٢	9		٢		1

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.1.4 Majo	4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure		9			1	
Spatial sca	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						

	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name		Impact				Trend
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	٢	9		۲	Ċ	

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - In	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years

Decreasing

×	Static
	Increasing

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name		Impac	t		Origin	Trend	
4.2.1 Gi	ound transport infrastructure	٢	9		۲	→	
Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Tempor	al scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact	- Impact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manage	ment response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
						_	
Name		Impac	t		Origin	Trend	
4.2.5 Ef	fects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
		9		9	٢		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare

×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.3 Services Infrastructures

				_		
Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
4.3.1 Wate	.3.1 Water infrastructure		9		٢	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

Name	e		Impact			Origin		
4.3.2 R	3.2 Renewable energy facilities			9	٢	Ċ		
		9		9	۹	Ċ	→	
Snatia	scale - Area affected by the factor							
opatia	Restricted							
×	Localised							
	Extensive							
	Widespread							
Tempo	ral scale - Occurence of the impact							
	One off or rare							
	Intermittent or sporadic							
×	Frequent							
	On-going							
Impact	- Impact on the attributes							
	Insignificant							
×	Minor							
	Significant							
	Major							
Manag	ement response - Capacity of management to respond							
	High capacity							
×	Medium capacity							
	Low capacity							
	No capacity and / or resources							
Trend	- Developement over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing							
×	Static							
	Increasing							
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend	

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.3.3 Non-I	4.3.3 Non-renewable energy facilities					
			9		Ċ	→
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.5.4 Lives	stock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals	٢	9	9	۲	Ċ	
		0	9	9	۲	Ċ	\$
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - In	npact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						

No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.5.5 Crop production	٢	9	۲		→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Spatial sca	ie - Area affected by the factor
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin	Origin		
4.5.10 Forestry/Wood production	٢	9		۲	Ċ	\rightarrow
On all all and a share affected by the factor						_
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
Restricted						

Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.6 Physical resource extraction

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.6.3 Oil an	d gas					
		0	9		Ċ	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					

	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.7.7 Pests		٢	9	۲	→
Spatial cas	e - Area affected by the factor				
Spatial Sca					
	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
×	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going .				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	٢	4		٢		→
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						

	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	t	Origin	Trend
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	٢	4	۲	

Spatial sca	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor			
	Restricted			
	Localised			
×	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			
×	Frequent			
	On-going			
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes			
	Insignificant			
×	Minor			
	Significant			
	Major			

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

g	
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	٢	9	۲		/

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

kaikaidkaika	Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor
kensile kensile Vessead Temetations Temetations institutions sporadic instext sporadic in		Restricted
Keepeed Temperature Construction of the impact Informitient or sporadio Keepeed Informitient or sporadio	×	Localised
Temporal Cocurance of the impact Intermittent or sporadic Intermittent or sporadic X Frequent. On-going Intermittent or sporadic Import Inspiritional X Inspiritional Minor Inspiritional X Inspiritional Minor Intermittent or sporadic		Extensive
No e off or rare Intermittent or sporadic X Pequent Intermittent or sporadic X In-going Intermittent or sporadic Import - Unit off transport Intermittent or the attributes Intermittent of transport Int		Widespread
intermittent or sporadic intermitte	Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
* Frequent frequent frequent 0-going frequent funct funct 1 Significant 2 Significant 2 Significant 2 Significant 3 Significant 3 Significant 3 Significant 4 Significant 4 Significant 5 Significant 5 Significant 6 Significant 6 Significant 7 Significant 7 Significant		One off or rare
Image:		Intermittent or sporadic
Impact - Impact	×	Frequent
inignificant		On-going
Minor Significant Marger Management to respond High capacity of management to respond Moin capacity of management to respond No capacity of management to respond No capacity of management to respond Decempting the sponder Part of the sponder Decempting the sponder Site	Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
k k k k k k k k k k k k k k k k k k k k k k k k k k k k k k k k k k k k	×	Insignificant
Major Management to respond Management to respond Major Mijor apacity Medium capacity Medium capacity Iw capacity Moior apacity Deceasing Maior		Minor
Hanagement to respond I ligh capacity Medium capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Ternet - Verter tast 6 years Static		Significant
High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity tow capacity and / or resources Trend - Decreasing Decreasing Static		Major
 keium capacity kow capacity kow capacity and / or resources Trend - Decreasing Static	Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Decreasing Decreasing Static		High capacity
No capacity and / or resources Trend - Decreasing Decreasing Static	×	Medium capacity
Trend - Decreasing X		Low capacity
Decreasing X Static		No capacity and / or resources
X Static	Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years
		Decreasing
Increasing	×	Static
		Increasing

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.10.3 Drought						
	0	4	9	۹	Ċ	

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	lame Impact		Origin	Trend	
4.13.5 Fina	ncial resources	٢	9	۲	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				

×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.6 Human resources	٢	9	۹		→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Increasing

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
_		_			
Name	impact records/mentaring activities	Impact		Origin	Trend
4.13.7 Low	impact research/monitoring activities	٢	4	٢	

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

Component A Frederiksoord-Wilhelminaoord (NL) is possibly impacted by 4.6.3 Oil and gas

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Typology free Colony of Benevolence	×			
4.18.1.2	Typology unfree Colony of Benevolence	×			
4.18.1.3	Avenues	×			
4.18.1.4	Water structures	×			
4.18.1.5	Representative buildings	×			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The property has no buffer zone, but there is a need for one

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The property has no known and recognised buffer zone

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

A proposed minor boundary modification with bufferzones for the Colonies of Benevolence has been submitted to Unesco in January '23. The proposed minor boundary modification met all of the technical requirements outlined in the Operational Guidelines and a copy of the submission has been sent to ICOMOS for evaluation. The recommendation by the Advisory Body will be examined by the World Heritage Committee at its extended 45th session.

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

Comment

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The property has ${\bf no}\ {\bf buffer\ zone}$

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

National and regional laws in NL and BE are different. Cp A & C (NL): As central, provincial and municipal government each bear its own additional responsibility in spatial planning, cooperation is organized in a special working group of the management team. In the new Environment & Planning Act protection of OUV is clearer defined than before.

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

In its decision on inscription, the WHC advises the Netherlands to consider expanding the protected villagescape to the full extent of Wilhelminaoord. The State Party has carefully considered the recommendation. The articles in the new Environment and Planning Act dealing with World Heritage, have the same objective of protection. Implementation of the core qualities expressing the OUV is compulsory and provides sufficient protection. The law enters into force 1-1-'24 and protects sufficiently

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system joint regional/local

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)

Mechanisms to promote equal participation among and within groups, including different levels of authority, local communities, indigenous people, women and men, and other specific groups

A management plan

An annual work plan or business plan A visitor/visitation management plan

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

The transnational steering group takes decisions concerning the whole site and works with an annual plan. The siteholder organises deliberation and consultation with municipalities, landowners and museums. Cooperation with local communities is organised by the municipalities.

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Management Plan	N/A	Available	2018	
Management Plan 2020	N/A	Available	2021	

Comment

The Management Plan 2018 can be deleted. It is not relevant any more because the inscription is based on the Management Plan 2020.

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

No use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ? No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The management system/plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being only partially implemented

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and many of its activities are being implemented

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.15.2	Local authorities					×
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone				×	
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women	×				
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups			×		
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify	the museums/ v	visitor centres			

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

Not applicable Non-existent Poor Fair Good

5.3.16.1	Local communities			×
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities			×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×		
5.3.16.4	Landowners			×
5.3.16.5	Women	×		
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children		×	
5.3.16.7	Researchers		×	
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists			×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists			×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry			×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries			×
5.3.16.12	NGOs		×	
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×		
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify			

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention*

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality		×			
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)			×		
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				×	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach				×	
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood					×
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property				×	

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

Because of the essence of the Colonies of Benevolence as an outstanding example of a social experiment, development of people in general and issues concerning individual freedom have a strong place in education and sustainable tourism. Therefore the management contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all.

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	40 %	0 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	30 %	50 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	30 %	50 %

6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over both the medium- and long-term

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

The need for budget for restorations and redevelopment of heritage is not included.

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	80 %	50 %
6.1.6.2	Women	20 %	50 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good

Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property? Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done. Staff participated in capacitytraning-days organised by focal points and national unesco commissions.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained? Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is acceptable for most key areas but there are gaps

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving

understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a small amount of research, but it is not planned

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared widely with active outreach to local communities and national and international audiences

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

In may 2023 the first international symposium concerning the Colonies of Benevolence took place in Veenhuizen (component C): 'The Dutch Colonies of Benevolence in international context'. Subject: What made the agricultural colonies of Benevolence unique?

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Women	Fair
Youth/children	Fair
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industries	Fair
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned and effective education and awareness programme for children and youth that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities

Local/municipal authorities

Youth/children

Researchers	
Local Visitors	
National/international tourists	
Tourism industry	
Local businesses and industries	
NGOs	

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Good
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Fair
Guided tours	Fair
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Good
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

Educational material has been provided for the regular school system and the museums/visitor centers.

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

650000 / - / - / - / - /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries	
Accommodation establishments	
Visitor surveys	

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One to three hours

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

Information from the museums and the marketing organisation, Econometer Veenhuizen, awareness research.

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

-/-/-/-/-/

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

There are partial data from museums and local impact research initiatives such as Econometer Veenhuizen for specific zones. But there is no overarching image of expenditure in the different areas as large zones are open to the public without entrance fee and no major effort of monitoring impact and expenditure has been done yet.

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a planned and effective strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

In 2021 a future plan for the collaboration in marketing and sustainable tourism for the Colonies of Benevolence was adopted. Focus is on an optimal quality of life through balance between visitors, inhabitants, companies and the location. Local communities must profit sustainably. Focus is on individual cultural tourists.

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

periodic research plan for the collaboration in marketing and sustainable tourism for the Colonies of Benevolence

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the

Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is good cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations, but not easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property? Fees are collected, and make some contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

Guides, hotel, garden, walking and cycling routes, concerts etc.

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

the level of facilities stays sufficient

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

An overarching sustainable tourism and audience development strategy has been adopted and to be uptaken for further implementation. Museums and visitor facilities have been strengthened in the past years, but the plan foresees additional actions in interpretation and guidance for visitors, research and monitoring. The intended tourism has to support the vitality of the areas and enhance the quality of life for local communities and contribute to the conservation of the property.

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving the understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, but this has not been done

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation			×	
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system		×		
10.3.3	Character of governance		×		
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations	×			
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development		×		
10.3.6	Capacity development		×		

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

To assess the condition of the attributes and state of conservation, key indicators are: the amount and content of environmental permits and logging permits, (the result of) changes in zoning plans, the difference in visual situation of waterways and planting compared to earlier years, and the difference in visual state of conservation of the representative buildings compared to earlier years. All attributes are rated.

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Local communities	Non-existent
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable

Landowners	Fair
Women	Not applicable
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Non-existent
Local businesses and industry	Non-existent
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is underway

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

A proposal for a minor boundary modification with bufferzones is submitted. Advise concerning protected villagescapes: see 5.2.8

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

The focus in monitoring in the first year of the WH site Colonies of Benevolence has been put on the attributes of the ouv, the number of visitors, and a survey on knowledge on Colonies of Benevolence (awareness) and support for tourism among residents.

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones	
5.1.3	The property has no buffer zone, but there is a need for one	×
5.1.4	The property has no known and recognised buffer zone	×
5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.4	The property has no buffer zone	×
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	No use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved	×
5.3.13	The management system at the property is only being partially implemented	
5.3.17	 The management system of the World Heritage property does not contribute to gender equality In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does provide ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants) 	
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	×
6.1.12	There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred	
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects	
7.2	There is a small amount of research in the World Heritage property but it is not planned	×
9	Visitor Management	
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	×
10	Monitoring	
10.1	There is considerable monitoring at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	×
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, but this has not been done	×

Please save this question to reflect changes

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.2	Tra	ansportatior	n Infrastructure													
4.2.5	from us transpo				follow developments together			environmental permits and next zoning plans, visual check of attributes			tt 6 years siteholder prov of Drenthe (NL				only potentia affection of attributes	al
4.3	Ser	Services Infrastructures														
4.3.2					developments together			environmental permits and ne zoning plans, visual check of attributes			xt 6 years siteholders a points			focal	only potentia affection of attributes	al
4.3.3	Non-renewable energy facilities facilities facilities facilities facilities facilities facilities facilities facilities				follow and accompany developments together		environmental permits and next 6 solution in the solution of attributes next 6 solution of attributes next 6 solution of the s		6 years Ministry of Ecor Affairs and Clin Policy (NL) Site and focal points		nd Clim L) Sitel	ate holders	only potent affection of attributes			
4.5 Biological resource use/modification																
4.5.4	Livestock ii and iv, only potential affection follow and accompany visual check of of attributes (planted avenues, of domesticated animals for animals for animals for a straight of the straight of attributes of a								-							
4.6	Phy	ysical resou	Irce extraction													
4.6.3	potential follow and affection of developme attributes together in World Heri (letter 3/2/' notification intention o extraction		HIA and EIA follow and ac development together infor World Heritag (letter 3/2/22 notification of intention of g extraction Cc Benevolence	accompany and zoning plans, ents visual check of forming the attributes tage Centre 22 Subject: of the f gas Colonies of		plans,	nits Decision-making on a location is foreseen for medio 2023, the final decision about the request to extract gas is foreseen for 2024		en for ïinal e : gas is	Ministry of Economic Affairs and Climate Policy (NL) Siteholders and focal points			The possibility of gas extraction near Wilhelminaoord (c. A, NL) v known when the nomination was submitted. (ch. 7, p 7.5 Managementplan).		nation	
4.10	Clin	mate chang	e and severe w	eather events												
4.10.3	Drought ii and iv, only affection of a (planted aver		of attributes	utes developments togethe			visual check of attributes			next 6 years siteh		eholders				
Summa	Summary - Factors affecting the Property completed															

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones											
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment							
5.1.3	The property has no buffer zone, but there is a need for one	A proposed minor boundary modification with bufferzones for the Colonies of Benevolence has been submitted to UNESCO in January '23.	The recommendation by the Advisory Body concerning the proposed bufferzones will be examined by the World Heritage Committee at its extended 45th session.	Flanders Heritage Agency, focal point (BE)								
5.1.4	The property has no known and recognised buffer zone	A proposed minor boundary modification with bufferzones for the Colonies of Benevolence has been submitted to UNESCO in January '23.	2023	state parties and siteholders								

5.2	F	Protec	ctive Meas	sures	5								
has no buffer v zone E			w B	A proposed minor boundary modification with bufferzones for the Colonies of Benevolence has been submitted to UNESCO in January '23.			cerning the pro	tion by the Advisory B oposed bufferzones w World Heritage Comm ssion.					
5.3		м	lanageme	nt Sy	ster	m/Management Plan							
5.3.7		No use has to been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property			t	o be planned		to be planned s			siteholders		
c b ra a b ir ir n t t t b b		be ra ac bo in m th b	here is oordinatic etween the ange of dministrativ odies involo the nanagemer ne property ut it could nproved	ve lved nt of /,	The transnational Steering Group gene meets twice a year and siteholders org work and activities with municipalities a stakeholders.			anise			siteholders and focal points -		
6.1			Funding										
been m the Wo Heritag Strateg Capacit Develop the Wo Heritag		Some use been mad the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Developm the World Heritage property	le of or ient at	t	We have been informed and participa in capacity building meetings.		Neth		Netherla	ultural Heritage Agency of the etherlands (NL) and Flanders Heritage gency (BE)			
7	Scient	tific S	Studies an	nd Re	sea	rch Projects							
7.2	amour resear World proper	mount of University Concert		pecial Chair Frederiksoord, Wageningen Iniversity first international conference ioncerning the Colonies of Benevolence on 9 nay 2023		Chair Frederiksoord: 2021-2025		d: 2021-2025	to be organised, see are connected		rganised, several universities nnected	-	
9	Visi	tor M	lanageme	nt									
9.12	presentation com and edu interpretation of The the Outstanding guid		com educ Ther guide	OUV has been actively municated to stakeholders involved in cation and audience development. re is a strategic support tool (brand e) to disseminate values and stories a broad range of partners.		next 6 years siteholders				The involvement of tourism pro and local inhabitants in the dissemination of the message important.			
10		Moni	itoring										
10.1		Monitoring There is The monitoring started in 2021 and focuss considerable the attributes of the ouv and spatial devel monitoring at Management needs and improving the the World understanding of the ouv can follow in futter Heritage plans. property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding				attributes of the ouv and spatial develoagement needs and improving the erstanding of the ouv can follow in fut	opmen	ts.	g 6 years	siteho	olders		-

10.2 Information on the values of the World Key indicators concerning the attributes are in use, key indicators concerning other subjects are not yet defined. coming 6 years siteholders - Heritage property is sufficient to define key sufficient to - - - -
indicators, but this has not been done

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property? The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	No impact
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	Positive
Advocacy	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	No impact
Gender equality	No impact
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Positive
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

The way of dealing with poverty in the past by providing access to work, education and housing in the Colonies of Benevolence provides inspiration for the present. The World Heritage status helps in exploring the possibilities hereof in the coming years.

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value

Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Not needed
Site Managers	Not needed
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Not needed
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Not needed

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage
Update of management plans
Awareness raising

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

Staff from other World Heritage properties

Non-Governmental Organizations

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance has **not been explicitly** considered or implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? Yes

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

36 hours / 40 hours / 40 hours /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire? Most required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			×	

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	No support
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.