## Arslantepe Mound

#### 1. World Heritage Property Data

#### **1.1 - Name of World Heritage property** Arslantepe Mound

#### 1.2 - World Heritage property details

#### 1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)		Inscription year
Arslantepe Mound	38.382 / 38.361	4.85	69.22	74.07		2021
Total (ha)		4.85	69.22	74.07		
I.4 - Map(s)						
Title     Date     Link to source						source
Arslantepe Mound - map of the inso	:	2021				

#### 1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

# 2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

#### 2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years? Not applicable

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

#### 2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

#### 2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

No

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

No

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

#### 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost	
3.2.1	An adobe monumental palatial complex from Period VI A	×				

3.2.2	An adobe Temple D from Period VII	×		
3.2.3	Wall paintings (3400 BCE)	×		
3.2.4	Iron Age fortification wall		×	
3.2.5				
3.2.6				
3.2.7				
3.2.8				
3.2.9				
3.2.10				
3.2.11				
3.2.12				
3.2.13				
3.2.14				
3.2.15				

#### 3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

State of conservation is good. Only slight damage has been provoked by the February 2023 Earthquake to the Iron Age fortification wall. Restoration shall be performed in August 2023 to bring it back to the previous conditions.

#### 4. Factors Affecting the Property

### 4.1. Buildings and Development

#### 4.1.1 - Housing

× Relevant	levant			Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Coutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×			×		<b>→</b>	
4.1.2 - Commercial develop	oment						
Relevant			X Not relevan	ıt			
4.1.3 - Industrial areas							
Relevant			X Not relevan	it			
4.1.4 - Major visitor accom	modation and a	associated infra	structure				
Relevant			X Not relevan	ıt			
4.1.5 - Interpretative and vis	sitation facilitie	es					
× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	C Outside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×		×	×			1
Negative							

## 4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

In the buffer zone, Arslantepe Mound is surrounded to the north, west and east by agricultural areas. The southern part of the mound is inhabited by the village. Outside the buffer zone, to the east of Arslantepe is the hill of Gelincik Tepe. This hill once hosted an Early Bronze Age settlement; today the value of Gelincik Tepe for locals and visitors is its natural habitat. Recent urban sprawling has impacted on this area, and also impacting on the visual integrity of the mound. Arslantepe Mound Archaeological Site 1/5000 and 1/1000 scale Conservation Plans are in place to ensure that development activities in the buffer zone does not negatively impact on both the Arslantepe Mound and its surrounding. It is emphasized in the management plan that construction activities in the buffer zone should be carefully supervised to preserve the authenticity of the traditional architecture and to preserve the visual integrity of the mound and the surrounding landscape, paying also attention to important areas in the immediate vicinity of the mound around the buffer zone, as is the case for Gelinciktepe.

#### 4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

#### 4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

X Relevant				Not relevant	levant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×	×		×		<b>→</b>		
Negative								

#### 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×			×		$\rightarrow$	
Negative							
2.4 - Marina transport infrastructura							

#### 4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Relevant

× Not relevant

#### 4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Relevant

🗙 Not relevant

# 4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Road, railroad and pier areas and their infrastructure that provide transportation opportunities such as the Karakaya Dam pier area, Old Malatya, Orduzu -Bahçeba I roads and the airport connections and are being developed by the relevant municipalities.

#### 4.3. Services Infrastructures

#### 4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Relevant	X Not relevant				
4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities					
Relevant	X Not relevant				
4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities					
Relevant	X Not relevant				
4.3.4 - Localised utilities					
Relevant	X Not relevant				
4.3.5 - Major linear utilities					
Relevant	X Not relevant				

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.4. Pollution

#### 4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

	Relevant	X Not relevant
4	.4.2 - Ground water pollution	
	Relevant	× Not relevant

#### 4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Relevant	X Not relevant			
4.4.4 - Air pollution				
Relevant	X Not relevant			
4.4.5 - Solid waste				
Relevant	X Not relevant			
4.4.6 - Input of excess energy				
Relevant	X Not relevant			

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.5. Biological resource use/modification

#### 4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Relevant			X Not relevant						
4.5.2 - Aquaculture									
Relevant			× Not relevan	X Not relevant					
4.5.3 - Land conversion									
Relevant			× Not relevan	ıt					
4.5.4 - Livestock farming/G	razing of dom	esticated animal	s						
Relevant			× Not relevan	ıt					
4.5.5 - Crop production									
X Relevant	X Relevant								
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×			×		$\rightarrow$			
Negative									
4.5.6 - Commercial wild pla	Int collection								
Relevant			× Not relevan	ıt					
4.5.7 - Subsistence wild pla	ant collection								
Relevant			X Not relevant						
4.5.8 - Commercial hunting									
Relevant		× Not relevan	ıt						
4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting	3								
Relevant			Y Net relation						
			× Not relevan	IL					
4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood pro	duction		<ul> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	IL					

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Crop production and gardening in the buffer zone contributes positively to the continuity of landscape use in the traditional sense.

4.6. Physical resource extraction

#### 4.6.1 - Mining

Relevant

× Not relevant

### 4.6.2 - Quarrying

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.6.3 - Oil and gas	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.6.4 - Water (extraction)	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

### 4.7.1 - Wind

X Relevant					Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Coutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×		×			$\rightarrow$		
4.7.2 - Relative humidity								
Relevant			X Not relevan	ıt				
4.7.3 - Temperature								
Relevant			X Not relevan	ıt				
4.7.4 - Radiation/Light								
X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X		×	×			$\rightarrow$		
4.7.5 - Dust								
You				Not relevent				

× Relevant			I	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×			$\rightarrow$	

### 4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×			<b></b>	

### 4.7.7 - Pests

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current Potential		<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	C Outside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing

O Positive					
Negative X	×	×		$\rightarrow$	
4.7.8 - Micro-organisms					
Relevant		× Not relevant			

# 4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Wind and Dust are two elements that have a potential impact on the archaeological remains at the site. The two are strongly linked with each other as wind brings dust on the adobe monument, plaster and wall paintings. This needs to be cleaned regularly with care. Removal of dust from the wall plasters is done once a year by professional conservators, whilst removal of dust from the rest of the monument (top of walls and floors) can also be carried out by the excavation trained workforce. Whilst wind in itself was a problem when temporary roofs covered the monuments, now that a permanent roof is built, wind itself constitutes no major problem. Direct light does not reach the painted parts of the adobe monument walls, except at the moment of sunset, but light tents are used to protect the paintings from the sun at that time of day, as well as from the dust itself.

#### 4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

#### 4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Relevant			X Not relevan	t				
4.8.2 - Society's valuing of	heritage							
X Relevant	Relevant							
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Current Potential •		C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×			×				
Negative								
4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting,	gathering and	collecting						
Relevant			X Not relevant					
4.8.4 - Changes in tradition	al ways of life	and knowledge	system					
Relevant			X Not relevan					
4.8.5 - Identity, social cohe	sion, changes	in local populat	ion and com	munity				
X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×	×		×			1	
4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/	/isitation/Recre	eation						

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Image: Current         Image:		<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×			×	8		

## 4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.9. Other human activities

#### 4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Relevant

× Not relevant

#### 4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Relevant

× Not relevant

### 4.9.3 - Military training

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.4 - War	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.5 - Terrorism	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.6 - Civil unrest	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

X Not relevant
X Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

### 4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Relevant			X Not relevant	X Not relevant				
4.11.2 - Earthquake								
X Relevant Not relevant								
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×		×	×		$\rightarrow$		
4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wav	e							
Relevant			X Not relevant					
4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide								
Relevant			X Not relevant					

### 4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Relevant			🗙 Not relevan						
4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)									
× Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact Orig								
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	9 Potential	<ul><li>Origin</li><li>Inside</li></ul>	C Outside	Trend of impact <sup>™</sup> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing		
Impact O Positive		9 Potential	-	Outside	•	<sup>⇒</sup> Stable	Increasing		

## 4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

In February 2023 a series of very strong earthquakes hit this part of Türkiye and Syria. Arslantepe was hit hard. Notwithstanding this, impact on the World Heritage outstanding value has been minimal. 1) Damage to the archaeological ruins is limited to a few places, all restorable. Non of the painted or plastered walls of the ancient palace have been ruined. Small damage has occurred to 2 small walls that were already fragile and damaged in antiquity (when the palace burned in 3200 BCE). In a few small areas, the mud plaster covering parts of the palace walls has collapsed, but can be easily restored. The X century BCE fortification wall has undergone some damage (part of the stone foundations have collapsed and the mudbricks that were covering the collapsed part of the foundations). These need restoration. 2) Damage has instead occurred to: the dig house and work area of the archaeological team; the roof cover of the exhibited part of the site; the vertical soil profiles delimiting the excavated and exhibited area. All these need to be repaired before the site can be opened to the public again. 3) Overall, the balance of damage after the earthquake has been good. The roof system covering the World heritage, even though slightly damaged, has held and protected the property. The storage facilities housing archaeological finds from the property have resisted to the earthquake (whilst the dig house hasn't). The analysis of the effects of the earthquake suggests that pre-earthquake conditions should be restored as they have proved to be most effective for such an event. Only the soil profiles need to be protected in a more effective way as it is clear that these are the most fragile parts of the property.

#### 4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

#### 4.12.1 - Translocated species

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.6 - Modified genetic material	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.13. Management and institutional factors

#### 4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×		×	×		$\rightarrow$			
Negative									
4.13.2 - Legal framework									
× Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				

Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×		<b></b>	
Negative							
4.13.3 - Governance							

#### Not relevant × Relevant Impact Origin Trend of impact Impact Current Potential Inside C Outside > Decreasing ⇒ Stable Increasing O Positive X × × × Negative

### 4.13.4 - Management activities

X Relevant Origi				Not relevant				
			Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×		×	×		<b>→</b>		
Negative								

### 4.13.5 - Financial resources

X Relevant				Not relevant						
	Impact Origin				Trend of impact					
Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	C Outside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive X	×		×	×			1			
Negative										

#### 4.13.6 - Human resources

X Relevant				Not relevant						
			Origin		Trend of impact					
Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive										
Negative X	×		×		<b>N</b>					

#### 4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

X Relevant			Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×	×	×			$\rightarrow$			
Negative									

### 4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Relevant

X Not relevant

## 4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Human resources dedicated to the management of the site, cleaning and taking around visitors is not sufficient. Visitors cannot wander alone in the monumental area because of its fragility, reason for which more guardians would be necessary.

#### 4.14. Other factor(s)

#### 4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

### 4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name 4.1 Buildings and Development	Impact			Origin		
4.1.1 Housing						
	9	4			Ċ	<b>→</b>
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	٢	9		٢	Ċ	
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	٢	9	9		Ċ	<b>→</b>
4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure	٢	9			Ċ	<b>→</b>
4.5 Biological resource use/modification						
4.5.5 Crop production	٩	9			Ċ	<b>→</b>
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.1 Wind						
	0	4		٢		<b>→</b>
4.7.4 Radiation/Light						
	0		9	٢		<b>→</b>
4.7.5 Dust						
	0	9		٢		<b>→</b>
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
	0		4	٢		<b>→</b>
4.7.7 Pests						
	9	9		٩		<b>→</b>
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage					-	
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	٩	4			ঙ	
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community		<i>•</i> 7	<b>a</b>		100	
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	0	4	-1		G	
	0	<b>a</b>			æ	<b>N</b>
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events					9	
4.11.2 Earthquake						
	9	4		٩	Ċ	<b>→</b>
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)						
	0		9	٢	Ċ	<b>→</b>
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	٢	4		٢	Ċ	<b>→</b>
4.13.2 Legal framework	٢	9		٩	Ċ	<b>→</b>

4.13.3 Governance				٢	4		٢	٢	<b>→</b>
4.13.4 Management activities				٢	9		٢	Ċ	<b>→</b>
4.13.5 Financial resources				٢	9		٢	Ċ	-
4.13.6 Human resources									
				0	9		٢		$\mathbf{y}_{i}$
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring ac	tivities			٢	9	9	٢		<b>→</b>
Legend Current	9 Potential	Negative	O Positive	<ul> <li>Insi</li> </ul>	de		C Outsid	de	

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

### 4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.1.1 Hous	ng					
		0	9		Ċ	<b>→</b>
Cratial and	le Area offented by the feature					
_	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					

Name		Impact	i	Origin		Trend
4.1.5 Interp	pretative and visitation facilities	٢	9	۲	٢	
Spatial sca	Ile - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	apact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					

### Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

### 4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name		Impact	:		Origin		Trend
4.2.1 Grou	4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure		9	9		Ċ	<b>→</b>
Creation and	le - Area affected by the factor						
Spatial Sca	e - Area anected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						

	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static

Increasing

Name		Impact			Origin	
4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure		9			Ċ	<b>→</b>

Spatial sc	ale - Area a	affected by	the factor
------------	--------------	-------------	------------

Spatial Sca	ale - Area anected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing

×	Static
	Increasing

### 4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
	4.5.5 Crop production		9		Ċ	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - In	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

### 4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.7.1 Wind	4.7.1 Wind				
			9	۲	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	Ile - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				

Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Impact		Impact		Impact		pact Origin		Trend
4.7.4 Radiation/Light											
	9	9	۲		<b>→</b>						

Creation and Area offected by	the feeter
Spatial scale - Area affected by	the factor

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor			
	Restricted			
×	Localised			
	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
×	On-going			
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes			
	Insignificant			
	Minor			
×	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	Management response - Capacity of management to respond			
×	High capacity			
	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			

No capacity and / or resources

#### Trend - D elopement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Impact		oact Origi		Origin	Trend
4.7.5 Dust							
	0	4		٢	<b>→</b>		

#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Spatial sca	ile - Area anected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static

Increasing

Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
4.7.6 Water	4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)					
				9	۲	<b>→</b>
0						_
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					

Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact		
	One off or rare		
×	Intermittent or sporadic		
	Frequent		
	On-going		
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes		
	Insignificant		
	Minor		
×	Significant		
	Major		
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond		
×	High capacity		
	Medium capacity		
	Low capacity		
	No capacity and / or resources		
Trend - De	Trend - Developement over the last 6 years		
	Decreasing		
×	Static		
	Increasing		

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.7.7 Pests			

### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted			
×	Localised			
	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
×	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
	On-going			
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes			
	Insignificant			
	Minor			
×	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	Management response - Capacity of management to respond			
×	High capacity			
	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			

	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	Trend - Developement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

### 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage		٢	9			Ċ	
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - In	npact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.5 Ident	ity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community		-	<i>a</i>		(F	
		9	4	4		দে	
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						

	Widespread
Temporal s	icale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name	

Name	ime		Impact			Origin		
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation								
			9			Ċ	\$	
Spatial so	cale - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted							
×	Localised							
	Extensive							

Widespread

### Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity

	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
×	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

### 4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name		Impact		_	Origin		Trend
4.11.2 Eart	hquake						
		0	9		٩	Ċ	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	Ile - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.11.6 Fire	(wildfire)			~		~	
		9		4	٢	٢	+
Spatial sca	Ile - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						

×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

### 4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.1 Man	agement system/Management plan	٢	4	٢	Ċ	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - In	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					

	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name	Impact	÷	Origin		Trend
4.13.2 Legal framework	٢	9	٢	Ċ	$\rightarrow$

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - In	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend	
4.13.3 Governance	٢	4		٢	Ċ	<b>→</b>
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
Restricted						

	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - In	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name		Impact		Or	igin	Trend
4.13.4 Man	agement activities	٢	9	٢	ঙ	r →
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - In	spact on the attributes					

	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	

Name		Impact			Origin	
4.13.5 Financial resources		9		۹	Ċ	1

### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing

	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend
13.6 Human resources				
	0	9	٢	\$
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor				
Restricted				

	Localised			
~				
×	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			
×	Frequent			
	On-going			
Impact - In	npact on the attributes			
	Insignificant			
×	Minor			
	Significant			
	Major			
Managemo	ent response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity			
×	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years			
×	Decreasing			
	Static			
	Increasing			
Name		Impact	Origin	Trend

Name		impact			Origin	Trena
4.13.7	4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities		9	9	٢	<b>→</b>
Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Tempo	ral scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact	- Impact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manag	ement response - Capacity of management to respond					

×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

#### 4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

#### 4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

## 4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	An adobe monumental palatial complex from Period VI A	×			
4.18.1.2	An adobe Temple D from Period VII	×			
4.18.1.3	Wall paintings (3400 BCE)	×			
4.18.1.4	Iron Age fortification wall	×			
4.18.1.5					

#### 5. Protection and Management of the Property

#### 5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

#### 5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The buffer zones **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

### 5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

#### 5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

#### 5.2. Protective Measures

#### 5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

### Comment

1) Law on the Conservation of Cultural and Natural Property (Kültür ve Tabiat Varlıklarını Koruma Kanunu) No. 2863, 23/07/1983 as amended by the Law No. 5226, 14/07/2004. 2) Regulation on the Substance and Procedures of the Establishment and Duties of the Site Management and the Monument Council and Identification of Management Sites (Alan Yönetimi ile Anıt Eser Kurulu ve Görevleri ile Yönetim Alanlarının Belirlenmesine ili kin Usul ve Esaslar Hakkında Yönetmelik) No.26006, 27/11/2005 3) Regulation on Research, Drilling and Excavation of Cultural and Natural Properties (Kültür ve Tabiat Varlıklarıyla iligili Olarak Yapılacak Ara tırma, Sondaj ve Kazılar Hakkında Yönetmelik) No.18485, 10/08/1984. 4) Rules and Principles on Conducting Survey, Sounding and Excavation of Cultural and Natural Properties (Kültür ve Tabiat Varlıklarıyla Igili Yapılacak Yüzey Ara tırması, Sondaj ve Kazı Çalı malarının Yürütülmesi Hakkında Yönerge) No. 39797, 14/01/2020 5) Arslantepe Mound Archaeological Site 1/5000 and 1/1000 scale Conservation Plans

## 5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

## 5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

## 5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

## 5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

#### 5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain

#### 5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

The mound of Arslantepe itself is scheduled as a 1st degree archaeological conservation site. The legislation states that within 1st degree archaeological conservation sites no building or any form of damage to archaeological layers is permitted, the boundaries of the protection zone need to be indicated on a city or town plan, and no excavation is permitted except for the scientific archaeological excavation. No tree plantation or intervention, including agriculture, is permitted, but only limited seasonal production and agricultural harvesting from existing plantation is allowed. Necessary infrastructural applications by legal and private enterprises shall be implemented upon the conservation council's assessment and approval based on the scientific reports of excavation teams and museum directorate. Interventions for visitor path, open parking area, toilets, ticket sales and guardhouse arrangements can be made upon conservation council's approval. Implementation works related to the World Heritage Site and its Buffer Zone are carried out within the framework of the principles determined by legal legislation and relevant regulations. Local administrations act in accordance with spatial planning and regulation and inform private property owners. Past practices that do not comply with legal regulations are also revised and made suitable for the protection of the area.

## 5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

#### 5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system at national level

### If 'Other', please specify

#### 5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

A management plan

An annual work plan or business plan

#### 5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

The current management is carried out with the participation of central government, local administrative bodies, educational institutions, NGOs and local community representatives.

#### 5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Management Plan	N/A	Available	2021	

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

The 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape is not relevant to this property

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

## 5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ? No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

#### 5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state;

local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved

#### 5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being fully implemented and monitored

#### **5.3.14** - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented? An annual work/action plan exists and many of its activities are being implemented

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				×	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone			×		
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women				×	
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

## 5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners				×	
5.3.16.5	Women				×	
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children				×	
5.3.16.7	Researchers					×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists				×	
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists				×	
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry				×	
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries				×	
5.3.16.12	NGOs				×	
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention* 

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality				×	

5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	×			
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status			×	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach			×	
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood			×	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property	×			

#### 5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

#### 5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

Action Plan of the Arslantepe Mound Management Plan 2019-2024 has been annually monitored. The corresponding reports reveal that many of the actions listed in the plan have been successfully implemented.

#### 6. Financial and Human Resources

#### 6.1. Funding

## 6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	%	%
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	%	%
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	%	%
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	%	%
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	50 %	50 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	10 %	10 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.12	Other	40 %	40 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

#### 6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

For the archaeological expedition, Sapienza University of Rome and the Italian Ministry of Foreign Affairs have been providing funding sources uninterruptedly since 1961 and continue to do so yearly.

#### 6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available **budget is acceptable** but **could be further improved** to fully meet the management needs

#### 6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term

#### 6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

## 6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	80 %	30 %
6.1.6.2	Women	20 %	70 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

#### 6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property

## 6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

# 6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Poor
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

#### 6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

#### 6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

Activities regularly carried out: - Training to site guardians on the meaning of the site and on its historical value, aimed at explanations to visitors and to better conservation and preservation. -Training to tourist guides on the meaning of the site and on its historical value. - Training for local workforce on conservation techniques and practices. - Training for local workforce on the significance of the site, on the historical interpretation and research aims.

## 6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and fully implemented; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally

#### 6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Since 2022, specific training for the site guardians has been organized by the excavating and research team. The training starts with an explanation of the history of the site and ends with a tour of the site and the exhibition area. Since 2021 training of young restorers in the conservation of adobe structures is carried out and young local restorers participate actively to the conservation campaigns.

#### 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

## 7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

#### 7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared widely with active outreach to local communities and national and international audiences

#### 7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

An international research group, based and directed by Sapienza University of Rome (Italy), is operating at the site since 1961. The group is formed by researchers from 25 different universities and research institutions from 9 different countries (Italy, Türkiye, France, Austria, USA, Spain, Hungary, Sweden, Germany). Research continues to day in a strong network of collaboration with the competent authorities of Türkiye and is planned to continue in the future.

#### 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

## 8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Women	Fair
Youth/children	Fair
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Good
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industries	Poor
NGOs	Poor
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected "Other specific groups" please describe	

If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe

## 8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs

#### 8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Local/municipal authorities
Landowners
Women
Youth/children
Researchers
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry
Local businesses and industries
NGOs

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Not needed

Information booths	Not provided but needed
Guided tours	Fair
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Fair
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Fair
Transportation facilities	Fair
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

#### 8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

Since 2015, workshops, seminars and conferences are organised for local school teachers, museum personnel, tourist guides, to enhance knowledge on the site. Since 2019, work with local community (women and men) has started for the production of video materials aimed at explaining finds made at Arslantepe. At the same time promoting communication and education for the local community as well as a continuous interaction process between archaeologists and local people aimed at promoting mutual learning and shared appropriation of a common history.

#### 9. Visitor Management

#### 9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

65000 / 34000 / 9565 / 43000 / 51000 /

#### 9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

Tourism industry

#### 9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One to three hours

#### 9.4 - Please provide the source of information

Records in the Provincial Directorate of Culture and Tourism of Malatya Governorship and observations made in the site.

#### 9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

0/0/5/0/0/0/

#### 9.6 - Please provide the source of information

Since the Arslantepe Mound visitor centre is not yet active, there are no food and beverage or souvenir units. No paid tickets are issued for visits to the site. Therefore, only transportation costs are included for the expenditures incurred at the site. Information on transportation expenditure was obtained from the records of the municipality and tourism agencies.

## 9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation

#### 9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

## **9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?** Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

No

### If a different system, please specify

## 9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

#### 9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted? The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted

#### 9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In one location and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property? No fees are collected

#### 9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

No

### If 'Yes', please specify

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities? No

If 'Yes', please specify

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

10. Monitoring

# 10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, integrated programme of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in	place for the following principal	aspects of the property?
10.5 - Ale key mulcators defined and m	place for the following principal	aspects of the property:

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				×
10.3.3	Character of governance				×
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations				×
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development				×
10.3.6	Capacity development				×

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

#### 10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Local communities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Poor
Women	Fair
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industry	Fair
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

#### **10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?** Implementation is underway

#### 10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

The State of Conservation Report was submitted in December 2022, as per paragraphs of decision 44 COM 8B 44 adopted at 44th session of the World Heritage Committee.

#### 10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

### 11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.6	There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain	
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved	×
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	×
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	×
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
8.2	There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs	×
9	Visitor Management	
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation	×
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made	×
9.11	There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation	×
10	Monitoring	
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	×
	se select 0 more issues. ease save this question to reflect changes	

### 12. Summary and Conclusions

4.7

### 12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

### 12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1	Wind	Criteria (iii) - All attributes	1) Construction of a new permanent roof to the north of the palace complex, adjacent to the existing permanent roof 2) Removal of dust from the wall plasters and the rest of the monument (top of walls and floors)	Monitoring of the state of preservation of specific points is carried out yearly	1) Construction of a new permanent roof - 1 year 2) Removal of dust from the wall plasters and the rest of the monument - Regularly	Excavation Team, Malatya Governorate, Malatya Museum Directorate, Erzurum Surveying and Monuments Directorate	Whilst wind in itself was a problem when temporary roofs covered the monuments (because strong winds could rarely compromise the roofs themselves), now that a permanent roof is built, wind itself constitutes no major problem.
4.7.4	Radiation/Light	Criteria (iii) - All attributes	1) Construction of a new permanent roof to the north of the palace complex, adjacent to the existing permanent roof 2) Maintain protective curtains in front of decorated surfaces	Monitoring of the state of preservation of specific points is carried out yearly	1) Construction of a new permanent roof - 1 year 2) Maintain protective curtains in front of decorated surfaces - Regularly	Excavation Team, Malatya Governorate, Malatya Museum Directorate, Erzurum Surveying and Monuments Directorate	Direct light does not reach the painted parts of the adobe monument walls, except at the moment of sunset.

4.7.5	Dust	Criteria (iii) - attributes	new per the north complex the exist roof 2) F dust from plasters of the m	truction of a manent roof to h of the palace c, adjacent to ting permanent Removal of m the wall and the rest ionument (top and floors)	of preservation of specific points is carried out yearly		of preservation of specific points is carried out yearly		1) Construction of a new permanent roof - 1 year 2) Removal of dust from the wall plasters and the rest of the monument -Continuously		permanent roof - 1 ye 2) Removal of dust fro the wall plasters and rest of the monument		permanent roof - 1 year 2) Removal of dust from the wall plasters and the rest of the monument		2) Removal of dust fro the wall plasters and t rest of the monument		permanent roof - 1 year 2) Removal of dust from the wall plasters and the rest of the monument		permanent roof - 1 yr 2) Removal of dust fr the wall plasters and rest of the monumen		permanent roof - 1 year 2) Removal of dust from the wall plasters and the rest of the monument		2) Removal of dust from the wall plasters and rest of the monument		permanent roof - 1 year 2) Removal of dust from the wall plasters and the rest of the monument		a of permanent is 2) Remova arly the wall pla rest of the r		permanent roof - 1 year 2) Removal of dust from the wall plasters and the rest of the monument		Malatya M Directorate Surveying	overnorate, useum , Erzurum	Whilst wind in its a problem when temporary roofs covered the monuments (bec strong winds cou rarely compromis roofs themselves that a permanent is built, wind itsel constitutes no mon problem.	ause Id se the s), now t roof If
4.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	Criteria (iii) - attributes	new pe the nor comple the exis roof 2) checkir	struction of a rmanent roof to th of the palace x, adjacent to sting permanent Regularly g that the water je system	Monitoring of the state of preservation of specific points is carried out yearly		of preservation of specific points is		of preservation of specific points is		of new permanent roof - is 1 year 2) Regularly		Malatya M Directorate Surveying	overnorate, useum , Erzurum	Whilst water in it was a problem w temporary roofs covered the monuments, now a permanent roo built, water itself constitutes no m problem.	vhen v that f is																		
4.7.7	Pests	Criteria (iii) - attributes	pests decora reduci	nitoring the spread 2) Closing holes or ated surfaces, and ng their number or ecorated surfaces	on of preservation of d specific points is on carried out yearly		eservation of ific points is		rly Excavation team		Wasps dig into the adobe and could potentially damage the plasters and walls of the monumento.																							
4.8	Social/Cultur	al uses of he	eritage																															
4.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	Criteria (iii) attributes	place agric locals Arsla Coop Orga cours produ Orga	locating appropriat as for sale of ultural products of s 2) Establishing intepe Tourism berative 3) nizing vocational ses on handicraft uction 4) nizing Arslantepe ire Festiv	impler	urrent status of nentation of ac ored twice a ye	n of activities is places for sale of Metropolitz e a year. places for sale of Metropolitz years 2) Establishing Orduzu Ne Arslantepe Tourism Administra			Metropolitar Battalgazi M Orduzu Nei Administrati Foundation	vernorate, Malatya n Municipality, Municipality, ghbourhood on, Orduzu Culture , Public Education																							
4.8.6	Impacts of tourism/Visitation/F		Criteria (iii) - All attributes	1) Adopting a and presental scenario 2) In the number of guides 3) Cor a visitor cente Updating and diversifying in and promotion materials	tation impleme Increasing is monitor of audio onstructing tter 4) ad informative		status of ion of act twice a y	tivities and p year. scena Increa of aud Year visitor 4) Up divers and p	opting a routing resentation rio - 1 Year 2) using the numb lio guides - 1 3) Constructing center - 1 Yea dating and ifying informati romotional ials - 1 Year	er Govern Museu Metrop a Munici r Develo Malaty	al Directorate for al Heritage and irms, Malatya norate, Malatya politan pality, Fırat opment Agency, a Museum orate, Excavation																							
4.11	Sudden ecol	ogical or geo	ological events																															
4.11.2	Earthquake	Criteria (iii) attributes	2) Plan protect profile of term cover steps profili soil fro area 5	aluation of anent roof cover nning of tive nets for s 3) Rebuilding porary roof 4) Excavation of and ripristino and removal of pm excavation b) Restoration ponservation	state of p of the Mo	state of preservation of the Mound is carried out regularly Re ten 1 y of f pro Re ten 2 y f of Re		lluation of nent roof cover - 2) Planning of tive nets for s - 1 year 3) ding of rary roof cover - 4) Excavation s and ripristino - 2 years 5) ration and rvation - 5 years	Excavation General Di for Cultural Heritage an Museums, Museum Directorate	rectorate c hd 5 Malatya N ii F t	n February 2023 a si of very strong earthqu hit this part of Türkiye Syria. Arslantepe har Votwithstanding this, mpact on the World Heritage outstanding has been minimal. Da o the archaeological s limited.	uakes and dly hit. value amage																						
4.11.6	Fire (wildfire)	Criteria (i attributes	fire- dry fire loca	Placing the appropri- extingusiher mate chemical powder) risk at the identifie ations 2) Eliminatio ed plants	rial (C12 against d	of preservation of		specific points is carried		12 of preservation of fire-extinguest specific points is carried dry chemic out yearly fire risk at t locations -		on of fire-extingus s is carried dry chemica fire risk at the locations - F Elimination		on of fire-extingus s is carried dry chemica fire risk at th locations - R Elimination of		rvation of fire-exting points is carried dry chemic ly fire risk at locations - Elimination		C12 Muni inst of Fir Batta Mala	tya Metropolitan cipality Department re Brigade, Ilgazi Municipality, tya Museum ttorate, Excavation n	-														
4.13	Management	and instituti	onal factors																															

4.13.6	Human resources	Criteria (iii) - All attributes	Increasing the number of security staff	The current status of the implementation of activities is monitored twice a year.	Increasing the number of security staff - 1 year	Central Directorate of Revolving Funds, General Directorate for Cultural Heritage and Museums, Malatya Governorate, Excavation Team	-			
Summary - Factors affecting the Property completed										

## 12.2. Summary - Management Needs

### 12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summar		-																							
5.3	Man	agement Sys		Management Plan																					
	Ac		Act	Actions Timeframe		ame	Lead agency (a involved)		l agency (and others lved)	More info / comm	ent														
5.3.7	been the F Docu the In Clim on W Herit Prop		ade of       make use of "the Policy Document         cy       on the Impacts of Climate Change         ent on       on World Heritage Properties" within         acts of       the revision of the Arslantepe Mound         Change       Management Plan.         d       e         es at			All re	levant institutions.	-																	
5.3.9	been the S Redu from at W Herit Prop		ma Rec Wo rev	a aimed to create projects to ke use of "the Strategy for ducing Risks from Disasters at rld Heritage Properties" within the ision of the Arslantepe Mound nagement Plan.	In 3 years.		In 3 years.		In 3 years.		In 3 years.		In 3 years.		In 3 years.		ars. A		1 3 years.		n 3 years. All relevant institu		levant institutions.		
5.3.11	betw rang admi bodie in the man the p <b>but i</b>	rdination veen the le of inistrative es involved	Ars inst net brie inte	Appointing focal points for ilantepe Mound in each partner titution and establishing a work among them. 2) Organizing efing meetings at 6 months ervals with participation of all focal nts and reporting the decisions	In every 6 months.		All re	levant institutions.	-																
6.1		Funding																							
6.1.7	1.7 Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property		t				In 1 year.		Central Directorate of Revo General Directorate for Cul Museums, Malatya Govern Team	tural Heritage and	-														
6.1.10 Some use hat been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development the World Heritage property		of	It is aimed to create projects to make use of "the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development" within the revision of the Arslantepe Mound Management Plan.		f	In 3 years. All relevant institutions.		All relevant institutions.		-															
8	Educat	ion, Informa	tion a	nd Awareness Building																					
	Education, Information and Awareness Building         There is a       1) Foundation of Arslantepe Student Club         planned       within elementary and intermediary schoo         education and       universities. 2) Application of an education         awareness       program for elementary schools.		s and	In 3 y	ears.	L	rovincial Directorate of Educa Iniversity, Malatya Turgut Oza iattalgazi Municipality, Malatya birectorate, Excavation Team	al University,	-																

programme for children and/or youth **but it** only partly meets the

	needs				
9	Visitor Managemer	nt			
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation	1) Determining the carrying capacity of the site. 2) Preparation of Visitor Management Plan.	1) Determining the carrying capacity of the site In 2 years. 2) Preparation of Visitor Management Plan In 3 years	f Heritage and Museums, Malatya	
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but <b>improvements</b> could be made	1) Adopting a routing and presentation scenario 2) Arranging a parking area at appropriate size near the site for cars and buses 3) Constructing a visitor center	In 1 year.	General Directorate for Cultural Heritage and Museums, Malatya Governorate, Malatya Metropolitan Municipality, Fırat Development Agency, Malatya Museum Directorate, Excavation Team	
9.11	There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation	Providing training courses on various topics for tourism establishments	Regularly.	Malatya Turgut Ozal University, Inonu University, Malatya Chamber of Trade and Industry	
10	Monitoring				
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	Improving the monitoring indicators within the revision of Arslantepe Management Plan.	ne In 3 years.	All relevant institutions.	

Summary - Management Needs completed

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

**12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?** The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved

**12.3.2** - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact

## 12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

#### 12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values are being partially degraded but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has not been significantly impacted

#### 12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

Impact of the earthquake of February 2023 has been important at Arslantepe. Its impact on the World Heritage itself is fortunately minimal, but collateral damage has taken place on the protective structures (roof covering the property), on the boundaries of the exhibited area (vertical profiles of the excavated area), on the storage areas for the finds and on the excavation dig house, that has been seriously compromised. All this means that the outstanding universal value of the property is safe, but many of the infrastructures around it and that permit the visit of tourists, for example, have been seriously compromised and need to be repaired.

#### 13. Impact of World Heritage Status

#### 13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Conservation	very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Very positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal/Policy framework	Very positive
Advocacy	Not applicable
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Positive
Gender equality	Positive
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Positive
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

#### 13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

#### 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

#### 14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

After many years of research and testing in the field, carried out together with a team of expert restorers who have monitored the condition of the structures from year to year, we are now able to say that these principles and conservation strategies have obtained excellent results and have therefore laid the foundations for the procedures to be followed in the future. The case of Arslantepe, with the vastness and monumentality of its buildings and the exceptional state of preservation of their high walls and plaster coverings, constituted an ideal laboratory for this experiment. The original walls and plasters of the Arslantepe 4th millennium palace complex are still in perfect condition 30-40 years after they were brought to light.

#### 14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

State of Conservation

#### 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

#### 15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

#### 15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The property's Outstanding Universal Value

The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity

Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value

Monitoring and reporting

## 15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Fair
Site Managers	Fair
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair

#### 15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans

Awareness raising

#### 15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

#### 15.3. Timing and resources

#### 15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

#### UNESCO National Commission

ICOMOS national/regional

## **15.3.2** - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance has **not been explicitly** considered or implemented in the process.

## 15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? Yes

#### 15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

120 / 48 / 90 /

#### 15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources	×	
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

#### 15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

### 15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

#### 15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions		×		

#### 15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

#### 15.5. Training and Guidance

#### 15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Good
ICOMOS International	Fair
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Good
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

#### 15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre

Fair

State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	Good
ICOMOS International	Fair
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Good
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.