Chankillo Archaeoastronomical Complex

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Chankillo Archaeoastronomical Complex

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Chankillo	-9.557 / -78.236	2112	43990	46102	
Cerro Mucho Malo	-9.518 / -78.181	2368	?	2368	
Total (ha)		4480	43990	48470	
1.4 - Map(s)					
Title				Date	Link to source

2021

Chankillo Archaeoastronomical Complex - map of the inscribed property

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years? Not applicable

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

2.7 - 1 16	ase indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under dimerent Conventions/Programmes	
2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

Chankillo is a cultural asset for this reason, it is not related to agreements on natural assets

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

Not aware

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

Not aware

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value Brief synthesis

The Chankillo Solar Observatory and ceremonial center is a prehistoric site, located on the north-central coast of Peru, in the Casma Valley, comprising a set of constructions in a desert landscape that, together with natural features, functioned as a calendrical instrument, using the sun to define dates throughout the seasonal

year.

The property includes a triple-walled hilltop complex, known as the Fortified Temple, two building complexes called Observatory and Administrative Centre, a line of thirteen cuboidal towers stretching along the ridge of a hill, and the Cerro Mucho Malo that complements the Thirteen Towers as a natural marker.

Criterion (i): Chankillo Archaeoastronomical Complex is an outstanding example of ancient landscape timekeeping, a practice of ancient civilizations worldwide, which used visible natural or cultural features. Incorporated in the Thirteen Towers, it permitted the time of year to be accurately determined not just on one date but throughout the seasonal year. Unlike architectural alignments upon a single astronomical target found at many ancient sites around the world, the line of towers spans the entire annual solar rising and setting arcs as viewed, respectively, from two distinct observation points, one of which is still clearly visible above ground. The astronomical facilities at Chankillo represent a masterpiece of human creative genius.

Criterion (iv): Chankillo was in use for a relatively brief period of time between 250 and 200 BC, during a late phase of the Early Horizon Period (500-200 BC) of Peruvian prehistory, after which it was destroyed and abandoned. The Chankillo Complex is a very particular type of building representing an early stage in the development of native astronomy in the Americas. It shows great innovation by using the solar cycle and an artificial horizon to mark the solstices, the equinoxes, and every other date within the year with a precision of 1-2 days. The solar observatory at Chankillo is thus a testimony of the culmination of a long historical evolution of astronomical practices in the Casma Valley.

Integrity

All the elements necessary to express the Outstanding Universal Value of Chankillo Complex centred on calendrical observations of the sun are included within the property boundaries. Chankillo and the wider setting of related monuments that form the property take advantage of built and natural horizon markers to track the progressive passage of the sun along the horizon throughout the entire year. The natural environment and climatic conditions, that are the basis of the good visibility needed for astronomical observations at the site, are maintained to a large extent. The viewsheds that contain the main astronomical sightlines are generally unobstructed, but their preservation has to be monitored closely. Also, the visual integrity of the general setting of the property has to be maintained. Any infringement on the property by urban development or expansion of agricultural areas has to be avoided.

The advancing collapse of structural elements, with the loss of clear edges (e.g. at the tower buildings and the observatories), jeopardises the exactness of the astronomical observations. The conservation of monumental elements is fragile and needs to be closely monitored in the future. In case the information from future research indicates relationships of the central monuments with other elements of the property and beyond, a boundary adjustment should be considered.

Authenticity

The position of the Western and Eastern Observation Points in relation to the Thirteen Towers at Chankillo, identified by archaeological excavation and geophysical survey, and supported by archaeolastronomical data, suggests that the primary purpose of all these structures was to act together as a calendrical instrument. Since the 3rd century BC the sun has shifted slightly at and around the solstices, less at other times in the year. This small change has a negligible effect on the solar and possibly lunar alignments around the site but does not affect the ability of a present-day spectator to observe and understand the way in which the Chankillo functioned. Some aspects of the archaeoastronomical interpretations of the property may need further discussion. Since no invasive conservation and reconstruction campaigns have changed the material substance of the property, the conditions of authenticity in terms of material and form, are met.

Protection and management requirements

The property has been declared as National Cultural Heritage, through National Direction Resolution 075/INC of January 18, 2008. The property has been inventoried nationally by the Ministry of Culture and is registered in the National Superintendence of Public Registry (SUNARP). The property is reinforced by a buffer zone that extends around the site and includes part of the San Rafael Valley, Cerro Mongón, Lomas Las Haldas, Pampa Los Médanos, Cerro Manchán, Cerro San Francisco, and Cerro Monte Grande.

The Management Plan, recently approved, identifies the current conservation and management conditions of the property and its context, the risks and threats to the cultural and natural features of the property and its surroundings, and establishes the policies that govern conservation and heritage management, the strategies and protection measures, and the regulation of the use of the property and its buffer zone through zoning, as well as the programmes and projects focused on sustainability in the conservation of the property.

The effectiveness of the management system will have to be proven in practice. Participation of local communities in future planning should be reinforced, and protection and conservation efforts, which will be key in avoiding any negative impacts through, for example, inadequate tourism development, should be closely monitored.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Templo fortificado	×			
3.2.2	Observatorio Solar		×		
3.2.3	Centro administrativo		×		
3.2.4	Trece Torres		×		
3.2.5	Cerro Mucho Malo	×			
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					

3.2.14			
3.2.15			

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

The property is in the process of investigation and conservation. Its attributes such as the 13 towers are being preserved and monitoring of the other structures is being carried out. The natural environment has regulatory protection by the municipal government through a Land Management Plan and monitoring is done by the Ministry of Culture.

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.1.2 - Commercial development	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.1.3 - Industrial areas	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infras	tructure
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The property does not have outstanding developments inside or adjacent to it. The buffer zone is duly regulated and the signaling infrastructure has not yet been developed

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

X Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×			×		→	
Negative							
4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure							
Relevant			× Not relevant				
4.2.3 - Air transport infrast	ructure						
Relevant	× Not relevant						
4.2.4 - Marine transport inf	rastructure						
Relevant			× Not relevant				
4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure							
Relevant			X Not relevant				
4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively							

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.3.4 - Localised utilities	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.3.5 - Major linear utilities	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The property does not have outstanding developments inside or adjacent to it.

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.4.2 - Ground water pollution	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.4.3 - Surface water pollution	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.4.4 - Air pollution	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.4.5 - Solid waste	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.4.6 - Input of excess energy	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The property does not have outstanding developments inside or adjacent to it.

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Relevant			× Not relevant	X Not relevant				
4.5.2 - Aquaculture								
Relevant	X Not relevant							
4.5.3 - Land conversion								
X Relevant	X Relevant Not relevant							
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X		×		×				
454 - Livestock farming/	vering of dom.		_					

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							

Negative X		×		×		\rightarrow	
4.5.5 - Crop production							
× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×			
4.5.6 - Commercial wild pla	ant collection						
Relevant			X Not relevant				
4.5.7 - Subsistence wild pla	ant collection						
Relevant			X Not relevant				
4.5.8 - Commercial hunting	I						
Relevant			X Not relevant				
4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting	g						
Relevant			X Not relevant				
4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood pro	duction						
Relevant			X Not relevant				

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The property does not have outstanding developments inside or adjacent to it.

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Relevant			× Not relevant				
4.6.2 - Quarrying							
X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X		×	×			\rightarrow	
4.6.3 - Oil and gas							
Relevant			X Not relevant				
4.6.4 - Water (extraction)							
X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

×

×

The property does not have outstanding developments inside or adjacent to it.

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Negative X

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×				~

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

× Not relevant

4.7.3 - Temperature

× Relevant			I	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×			→	

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×		×			\rightarrow		
4.7.5 - Dust								
Relevant			× Not relevant	t				

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.7.7 - Pests	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.7.8 - Micro-organisms	
Relevant	× Not relevant

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Conservation factors are monitored through conservation research.

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×			
Negative							

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Relevant			× Not releva	X Not relevant					
4.8.5 - Identity, social cohe	ion and com	munity							
Relevant			X Not releva	X Not relevant					
4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation									
X Relevant	Relevant			Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X		×	×				1		
Negative									

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

El turismo aun es muy limitado, pero ha generado gran expectativa y el interés es creciente. Se vienen gestionando convenios para implementar una interpretación apropiada que permita un turismo sostenible para el sitio.

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×				1
4.9.2 - Deliberate destructi	on of heritage						
Relevant			× Not relevant				
4.9.3 - Military training							
Relevant			× Not relevant				
4.9.4 - War							
Relevant			X Not relevant				
4.9.5 - Terrorism							
Relevant			X Not relevant				
4.9.6 - Civil unrest							

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

× Not relevant

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.10.2 - Flooding	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.10.3 - Drought	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.10.4 - Desertification	
X Relevant	Not relevant

	Impact	Impact O			Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Coutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×			×			/
4.10.5 - Changes to oceani	c waters						
Relevant			× Not relevant				
4.10.6 - Temperature chang	ge						
Relevant			× Not relevant				
4.10.7 - Other climate chan	ge impacts						
Relevant			× Not relevant				

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Relevant			X Not relevant						
4.11.2 - Earthquake									
X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
Negative X		×	×	×		→			
4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wav	e								
Relevant			X Not relevant						
4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landsli	de								
Relevant			X Not relevant						
4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation	on/Deposition								
X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
Negative X	×		×			→			
4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)									
Relevant			× Not relevar	nt					

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.6 - Modified genetic material	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin	jin Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×	×			/
Negative							

4.13.2 - Legal framework

X Relevant			1	Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin Trend of impact					
Impact	Gurrent	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×	×		→		
Negative								

4.13.3 - Governance

× Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact Origin				Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×		×			\rightarrow			
Negative									

4.13.4 - Management activities

X Relevant			1	Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact	rend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×			→		
Negative								

4.13.5 - Financial resources

X Relevant			I	Not relevant				
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×		×	×		→		

4.13.6 - Human resources

× Not relevant

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

- Tothe Low Impublic Cocure	on, morntoring (uotivities							
Relevant			× Not relevant	X Not relevant					
4.13.8 - High impact resear	ch/monitoring	activities							
X Relevant			Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin	Trend of impact					
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×	×	×	×			1		
Negative X									

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

El sitio cuenta con un marco legal apropiado para su protección, incluyendo su zona de amortiguamiento Se realiza investigación arqueológica y conservación de sus estructuras, especialmente de las 13 torres. Se ha generado un convenio de cooperación con el gobierno regional para la implementación de otras intervenciones de conservación, seguridad e interpretación. Sin embargo, aun no se cuenta con un equipo humano suficiente por parte del Ministerio de Cultura para su gestión.

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

La propiedad es gestionada por la Dirección Desconcentrada de Cultura de Ancash que aun no cuenta con los recursos necesarios para una optima implementación.

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	٢	9			Ċ	→
4.5 Biological resource use/modification						
4.5.3 Land conversion						
	0		9		Ċ	1
4.5.4 Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals						
	9		9		Ċ	\rightarrow
4.5.5 Crop production						
	0		9		Ċ	1
4.6 Physical resource extraction						
4.6.2 Quarrying						
	0		9	٢		→
4.6.4 Water (extraction)						
	0		9	۹		→
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.1 Wind						
	0	9		۹		1
4.7.3 Temperature						
	0	9		۹		→
4.7.4 Radiation/Light						
	9	9		٢		\rightarrow
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	٢	9	9	٢	Ċ	1

Chankillo Archaeoastronomical Complex

4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	٢		9	۲		/
4.9 Other human activities						
4.9.1 Illegal activities						
	0		9	٢		1
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.4 Desertification						
	0	9			Ċ	1
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.2 Earthquake						
	0		9	٢	Ċ	→
4.11.5 Erosion and siltation/Deposition						
	0	4		۲		→
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	٢	9	9	٢	Ċ	
4.13.2 Legal framework	٥	9		٩	Ċ	→
4.13.3 Governance	٥	9		٩		→
4.13.4 Management activities	٥	9		٢		→
4.13.5 Financial resources						
	9	9		٢	Ċ	→
4.13.8 High impact research/monitoring activities	0	4	4	٢	Ċ	1
	9					
Legend Current Potential O Negative O Positive	Insi	de		Coutsi 🖉	de	

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.2.1 Grour	d transport infrastructure	٢	9			Ċ	→
Spotial coo	le - Area affected by the factor						
Spatial Sca	e - Area anecieu by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						

	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name	Name		:		Origin		Trend
4.5.3 Land	conversion						
		9		9		Ċ	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						

Trend - D	evelopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.5.4 Live	stock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals					
		0	4		Ċ	→
Spatial s	cale - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Tempora	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact -	mpact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Managen	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - D	evelopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.5.5 Cro	o production					
		0	9		Ċ	1
Spatial s	cale - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					

Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.6 Physical resource extraction

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.6.2 Quarr	ying						
		0		9	٢		→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						

No capacity	and /	or	resources
-------------	-------	----	-----------

Trend - Developement over the last 6 year

	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin		Trend
4.6.4 Water (extraction)				
	9	9	٩	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

opatial 302	
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	Int response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.7.1 Wind					
		٢	9	۲	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
×	Extensive				

	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impac	t	Origin		Trend
4.7.3 Temperature					
	0	9	۲		\rightarrow

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity

	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	:	Origin		Trend
4.7.4 Radiation/Light					
	0	9	٢		→

Spatial Sca	ie - Area anected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name				Origin	Trend	
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage		9	9	۲	Ċ	1
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
Restricted						
Localised						

×	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - In	npact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.8.6 Impa	cts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation		9	٢	1
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				

Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity

×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.9 Other human activities

Name					Orderla		Trend
		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.9.1 meg	al activities			_			
		0		4	٢		1
Spatial sc	patial scale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - In	npact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name	Impact	pact		Origin		Trend
4.10.4 Desertification						
	0	9			Ċ	

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - In	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.11.2 Eart	nquake					
		0	9	٢	Ċ	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					

×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend
4.11.5 Erosion and siltation/Deposition				
	0	9	٢	\rightarrow

Spatial	scale -	Area	affected	by the	factor

opullarooa	
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name

Trend

Origin

Impact

4.13.1 M	anagement system/Management plan	٥	4	9	٢	٢	
Spatial s	scale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Tempora	al scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
x	On-going						
Impact -	Impact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manage	nent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - I	Developement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.2 Legal framework	٢	9		٢	Ċ	→

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	apact on the attributes
	Insignificant

	Minor
	Significant
×	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name	Impact	:	Origin		Trend
4.13.3 Governance	٢	9	٢		→

Maispread Tompore Job and or rare Intermittent or sporadic Intermittent or sporadic </th <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>					
Kan de la		Restricted			
Weiseread Torport Ore off or rare Memittent or sponde Fequent Ore off or rare Memittent or sponde Torport Magnal Main <		Localised			
Temporal Properties Accurate of the Impact Impact or spondic Impact or spondic Impact or spondic Impact or the attributes Impact or th	×	Extensive			
on-order rare intermittent or sporadic interesporadic		Widespread			
internition or spondic internition or spond	Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact			
Name I requent Fequent requent <		One off or rare			
A going mgaing mgaing mgaing mgaing mgaing mgaing maing maing <		Intermittent or sporadic			
mapad - metatributes pagnificant Minor Minor Major Major Magor M		Frequent			
inigrificant	×	On-going			
Minor Significant Major Major Manor Major Major Major Major Major Major Major Major Major Manor Manor Major Manor Manor <th>Impact - Im</th> <th>pact on the attributes</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	Impact - Im	pact on the attributes			
Significant Major Management to respond Main capacity of management to respond Medium capacity of management to respond Medium capacity Modium capacity Invo capacity and / or resources Version of the last 6 years Static Increasing Main capacity Main capacity Modium capacity Increasing		Insignificant			
Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Medium capacity Modernic Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Decreasing Static Increasing Name		Minor			
Management to response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Medium capacity Low capacity Mo capacity and / or resources Trend - Decreasing Static Increasing Name	×	Significant			
High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Decreasing Becreasing Static Increasing		Major			
Medium capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend-tower the last 6 years Becreasing Static Increasing Increasing Management	Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond			
 kw capacity ko capacity and / or resources k capacity and / or resources		High capacity			
No capacity and / or resources Trend - Deprement over the last 6 years Decreasing Static Increasing Name Impact Origin Trend	×	Medium capacity			
Trend - Decreasing Decreasing Static increasing Name Impact Origin Trend		Low capacity			
Decreasing Static Increasing Name Impact Origin Trend		No capacity and / or resources			
Xame Impact Origin Trend	Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years			
Increasing Impact Origin Trend		Decreasing			
Name Impact Origin Trend	×	Static			
		Increasing			
	Name	argement activities			Trend
	13.4 Widii		-1	C.	

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Name			Origin		Trend
4.13.5 Fina	ncial resources					
		0	9	٢	Ċ	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					

Significant
Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing

×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	t		Origin		Trend
4.13.8 High impact research/monitoring activities	٢	9	9	۲	Ċ	1
	0					

Restricted Localised Extensive Videspread Temport
kensive kensive Videspread remport remport internitent or sporadic inte
K Widespread Temporal Temporal One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Intermittent or sporadic Frequent V On-oping Impact - tert/butes Insignificant Insignificant Insignificant Minor
Temporal Sector and the impact Intermittent or sporadic Intermittent or sporadic Intermittent or sporadic Impact - Sector and the impact Impact - Sector and the
Intermittent or sporadic Frequent Impact - Impact - Intermittibutes Insignificant Minor
Intermittent or sporadic Frequent X On-going Impact - Unit tributes Insignificant Minor
Frequent X On-going Impact - Unitatributes Insignificant Insignificant
Impact - Impa
Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor
Insignificant Minor
Minor
X Significant
Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond
High capacity
Medium capacity
★ Low capacity
No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years
Decreasing
Static
X Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Templo fortificado	×			
4.18.1.2	Observatorio solar		×		
4.18.1.3	Centro administrativo		×		
4.18.1.4	Trece torres		×		
4.18.1.5	Cerro Mucho Malo	×			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The buffer zones are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

2008 / Resolución Directoral Nacional Nº 075/INC / The Chankillo Monumental Archaeological Zone has been declared Cultural Heritage of the Nation / Culture Ministry / 2017 /

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There are major deficiencies in capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

At the level of national legal regulations, all sites declared Cultural Heritage of the Nation, as well as Zones of Special Environmental Interest (or local conservation areas) declared, have the same level of protection in their corresponding category, regardless of whether they are located in the Intangible Zone or Buffer Zone. The zoning with the permitted and incompatible uses is described in the Chankillo Solar Observatory Management Plan – Management of an Ancient Astronomical Landscape.

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

The property has protection of its nuclear area through the Law for the Protection of Cultural Heritage and also of the buffer zone through the territorial ordering of the broad environment of the site. This protects both the property and the landscape generated by the conditions of conservation and protection.

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system at national level

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A management plan

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

The Decentralized Directorate of Ancash Culture, a decentralized entity of the Ministry of Culture in charge of the administrative, technical and financial management of the archaeological sites located in the Ancash region, among which is Chankillo, manages the necessary budgets for the execution of prioritized activities, that are contemplated in the Management Plan; It is also in charge of managing the site.

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Management Plan	N/A	Available	2021	

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

No use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ? The risk management policy is **fully based** on the agreed Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property There is adequate coordination between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The management system/plan is **only partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being only partially implemented

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists but few of its activities are being implemented

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				×	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone			×		
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women			×		
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities				×	
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners				×	
5.3.16.5	Women			×		
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children			×		
5.3.16.7	Researchers			×		
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists			×		
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists			×		
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry			×		
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries				×	
5.3.16.12	NGOs				×	
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups					
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention*

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality			×		
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)				×	
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status			×		
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach			×		
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				×	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property				×	

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

E Plan de Manejo del Observatorio Solar Chankillo: Gestión de un Paisaje Astronómico Antiguo en el valle de Casma, 2018-2028" es un instrumento para la investigación, la preservación y puesta en uso social del Observatorio Solar Chankillo. Presta atención a los procesos naturales y socioculturales que subyacen en él, mediante: S saneamiento físico legal, la seguridad y el uso público; fortalecimiento de la institucionalidad en torno al patrimonio y el desarrollo territorial; La articulación d

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

It is focused on the research, preservation and putting into social use of the Chankillo Solar Observatory with attention to the natural and socio-cultural processes that underlie it, through: Legal physical sanitation, security and public use; strengthening of the institutional framework around heritage and territorial development; The articulation of interventions in the archaeological heritage and the strengthening of local identities and capacities.

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %

6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	0 %	100 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	100 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

Financing for current expenses is assured through the Peruvian state, while research and conservation interventions are financed through cooperation agreements.

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	30 %	50 %
6.1.6.2	Women	70 %	50 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are inadequate for management needs

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Poor
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Poor
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Poor
Interpretation	Poor
Visitor management/tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Fair

Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not applicable

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme has been developed but it is not implemented and skills are not being transferred

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training There is a site-based capacity building plan or program, but the necessary human technical team for capacity transfer has not yet been formed. Most of the technical work is carried out by external specialists

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained? Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is adequate

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated? Research results are shared with local communities and some national agencies

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

Scientific research and conservation studies are carried out by external specialists with international funding and are supervised by the state

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Women	Poor
Youth/children	Poor
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industries	Poor
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Not applicable

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue? There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Not provided but needed
Information booths	Not provided but needed
Guided tours	Not provided but needed
Trails/routes	Not provided but needed
Printed information materials	Not provided but needed
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Not provided but needed
Transportation facilities	Not provided but needed
Other	Not provided but needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	research and conservation center

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

The state is in the process of implementing budgets for the development of education, information and awareness activities that are part of the management plan.

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

Sin datos / Sin datos / Sin datos / Sin datos / Sin datos /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Other

The DDC Ancash was consulted

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One to three hours

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

The site does not yet have a visitor registration system by the DDC Ancash. Tourism is still carried out on a limited basis. Records of the DDC Ancash - Ministry of Culture

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

25/15/10/0/0/0/

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

Tourist reports from MINCETUR for the province of Casma

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but it is not implemented

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

Collaboration with the regional government of Ancash is in process to develop tourist services on the property

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property? Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **not being actively managed despite an identified need**

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

No

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is not presented or interpreted

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property? Not displayed at all

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property? No fees are collected

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Not applicable

If 'Yes', please specify

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities? Not applicable

If 'Yes', please specify

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property Collaboration with the regional government of Ancash is in process to develop tourist services on the property

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, integrated programme of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **adequate and key indicators have been defined** for measuring the state of conservation and **are being used in monitoring** of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation			×	
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system			×	
10.3.3	Character of governance			×	
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations		×		
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development		×		
10.3.6	Capacity development			×	

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Poor
Local communities	Non-existent
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Women	Non-existent
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industry	Non-existent
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Not applicable

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee? Implementation is underway

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

The World Heritage Committee recommended carrying out conservation work on the site's structures. A conservation project is being developed for the most representative structures such as the 13 towers. This intervention is ongoing and has shown good results.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

A conservation project is being developed for the most representative structures such as the 13 towers. This intervention is ongoing and has shown good results.

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones	
5.1.4	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known and recognized by local communities/landowners	×
5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.5	An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	
5.2.6	There are major deficiencies in capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property	×
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	No use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.12	The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	×
5.3.13	The management system at the property is only being partially implemented	
5.3.14	An annual work/action plan exists for the property but few of the activities are being implemented	×
5.3.17	 In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to gender equality In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does integrate a human rights-based approach 	
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage the World Heritage property	
6.1.7	Human resources are inadequate for the management needs of the World Heritage property	×
6.1.10	No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	×
6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme has been developed but it is not implemented and skills are not being transferred	×
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
8.2	There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth	
9	Visitor Management	
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but it is not implemented	×
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is not being actively managed despite an identified need	×
9.11	There is contact but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory mattersThere is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters	
9.12	The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is not presented or interpreted	×
	e select 0 more issues. ase save this question to reflect changes	

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.5.5 4.6										
4.6				Crop production	n					
4.0		Physical res	source ext	raction						
4.6.2				Quarrying						
4.7		Local condi	itions affeo	cting physical fa	abric					
4.7.1	Wind		Criterion (Attributes towers, fo temple, ac center	: The 13	The winds impact with more intensity all the external walls, an erosion process is generated that affects the components. It is also necessary to consider the hauling and consequent accumulation of sand in the form of dunes of different sizes and shapes, as occurs in the northern part of the Thirteen Towers.	It is done through the conservation project on the site, with supervision from the Ministry of Culture.	Due to the characteristics site, it is a perm process	Culture M IDARQ Ar Research	chaeological	It is likely that the external faces of the southern part of Walls 1 and 2 could have been affected by this phenomenon, but at the moment it is not possible to know with certainty due to the fact that the collapses of the upper parts of the walls and the accumulations of sand prevent such observation.
4.7.3	Temp	perature	Criterion (Attributes towers, fo temple, au center	: The 13 rtified dministrative	Chankillo structures are subject to daily and seasonal temperature cycles that cause dilation and contraction phenomena, which affect the microstructure of the stones that make up the walls and platforms.	It is done through the conservation project on the site, with supervision from the Ministry of Culture.	Due to the characteristics site, it is a per process		Ministry - Archaeological h Institute	Humidity generates a series of physical processes that alter the structure of the stone, facilitating granular disintegration or fragmentation, which reduces its mechanical resistance.
4.7.4	Radi	ation/Light			Chankillo structures are subject to environmental effects that affect the microstructure of the stones that make up the walls and platforms.	It is done through t conservation proje on the site, with supervision from th Culture Ministry	ct characteris site, it is a p	IDAR	re Ministry - Q Archaeological arch Institute	Due to its location, the site is exposed to radiation conditions.
4.9		Other huma	an activitie	S						
4.9.1				Illegal activitie	5					
4.10		Climate cha	inge and s	evere weather e	events					
4.10.4	De	esertification	towers,	n (i). es: The 13 fortified administrative	Chankillo structures are subject to environmental effects that affect the microstructure of the stones that make up the walls and platforms.	It is done through the conservation project on the site, with supervision from the Culture Ministry	Due to the characteristic site, it is a pe process	IDARQ .	Ministry - Archaeological ch Institute	Due to its location, the site is exposed to desertification conditions.
4.11		Sudden ecc	ological or	geological ever	nts					
4.11.2	E	arthquake	Attributowers	on (i) y (iv). utes: The 13 s, fortified temple istrative center	They are a high ris factor for site structures, especially those whose walls remai standing, to their full height.	Ministry	Due to the chara of the site, it is a permanent proce		Vinistry - IDARQ logical Research	Regarding biological agents, there are a number of organisms in the stones that continue to act, mainly lichens, but the level of damage does not

							represent a risk factor.		
4.11.5	Erosion and siltation/Deposition	Criterion (i) y (iv) Attributes: The 1 towers, fortified temple, administ center	3 consequent accumulation of	It is done through the conservation project on the site, with supervision from the Culture Ministry	Due to the characteristics of the site, it is a permanent process	Culture Ministry - IDARQ Archaeological Research Institute	Since the wind carries sand and other particles in suspension, an erosion process is generated that affects the components		
4.13	Management a	nd institutional fa	ictors						
4.13.5			nancial sources						
Question	Question not completed								

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones								
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment				
5.1.4 The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known and recognized by local communities/landowners		but it was properly disseminated.	2022-2023 Municipality of Casma - Culture Ministry		Wide dissemination is required over the limits of the buffer zone				
5.2	Protective Measu	res							
5.2.6	There are major deficiencies in capacity/resource to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property	Buffer zone communities are not very informed about buffer zone regulation but negative actions are less close to property.	ì,	Municipality o	f Casma				
5.3	Management Sys	tem/Management Plan							
5.3.12	The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	The management system falls on the Decentralized Directorate of Culture, which does not have sufficient resources for optimal management of the property.	2022-2023	Culture Ministry	An investment project has been scheduled to finance the actions to implement the management plan				
5.3.14	An annual work/action plan exists for the property but few of the activities are being implemented	The property has a management plan but there are financial difficulties for its full implementation	2022-2023	Culture Ministry	An investment project has been scheduled to finance the actions to implement the management plan				
6.1	Funding								
6.1.7	Human resources are inadequate for the management needs of the World Heritage property	The management falls on the Decentralized Directorate of Culture that does not have sufficient human resources for optimal management of the property.	Financing is expected in 2022	Culture Ministry - Gobierno Regional de Ancash	A cooperation agreement was signed with the Regional Government of Ancash				

6.1.10	No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	The site was registered in 2021 and is in the process of implementation for capacity building	Activities are being incorporate into the 2023-2024 operating plans	d Culture Ministry	A cooperation agreement was signed with the Regional Government of Ancash	
6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme has been developed but it is not implemented and skills are not being transferred	The management plan contemplates actions for the strengthening of capacities, but the human technical team necessary for the transfer of capacities has not yet been formed.	2023-2024	culture Ministry	A cooperation agreement was signed with the Regional Government of Ancash	
9	Visitor Managemen	t				
9.7	strategy to	The tourism management strategy is contemplated in the management plan.	2022-2023	Culture Ministry	Se suscribió un convenio con el gobierno regional de Ancash para desarrollar la infraestructura de turismo	
9.9	the World	The tourism management strategy is contemplated in the management plan.	2022-2023	Culture Ministry	Se suscribió un convenio con el gobierno regional de Ancash para desarrollar la infraestructura de turismo	
9.12	Outstanding	The tourism management strategy is contemplated in the management plan.	2022-2023	Culture Ministry	Se suscribió un convenio con el gobierno regional de Ancash para desarrollar la infraestructura de difusión	
Summary - Ma	nagement Needs	completed				

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property? The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Positive

Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	No impact
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	Positive
Advocacy	No impact
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Gender equality	No impact
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	No impact
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	No impact
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Positive
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

The impacts of the property's World Heritage status have been positive for its appreciation by the community. The property was registered in 2021, for which other impacts have not yet been developed

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level Conservation project at Access 1 of the Fortified Temple financed through a collaboration agreement between the state, researcher and international entity. It intervened positively in the conservation.

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Synergies	
State of Conservation	
Management	
Governance	
15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise	

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention

Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value

Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Not needed
Site Managers	Not needed
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Not needed
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Not needed

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans	
Fundraising	
Awareness raising	

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

The collected data will contribute to the update prioritizing the interventions and programs of the site.

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance has been **explicitly considered** in the process **but there are still deficiencies in the implementation**.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? Yes

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

120 / 90 / 40 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			×	

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

It is recommended that the form has a section explaining the purpose of each question

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Fair
UNESCO National Commission	No support
ICOMOS International	Good
IUCN International	Good
ICCROM international/regional	No support
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	No support

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good

UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Fair
National Commission for UNESCO	No support
ICOMOS International	Fair
ICCROM International/regional	Fair
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	No support
IUCN International	No support

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

No

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented? Periodic training sessions and follow-up are recommended for the process of filling out the form

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise Chankillo was registered in 2021 and the management plan was approved in 2020, therefore, many of the management aspects are still in the process of being implemented and there is no history of previous periodic reports.

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.