Naumburg Cathedral

- 1. World Heritage Property Data
- 1.1 Name of World Heritage property

Naumburg Cathedral

- 1.2 World Heritage property details
- 1.3 Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Naumburg Cathedral	51.155 / 11.804	1.82	56.98	58.8	2018
Total (ha)		1.82	56.98	58.8	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Naumburg Cathedral - Map of the Inscribed Property	2018	

- 1.5 Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)
- 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)
- 2.1 Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is not designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

- 2.2 Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary
- 2.3 Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

- 2.4 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

 No
- 2.5 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?
- 2.6 Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

 No

Naumburg Cathedral 1 of 29

No

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

- 2.8 Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)
- 2.9 Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

 No
- 2.10 Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware
- 2.11 Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?
- 2.12 Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.
- 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
- 3.1 Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value Brief Synthesis

Naumburg Cathedral, located in the south of the State of Saxony-Anhalt, is a unique testimony to medieval art and architecture. Most of the church building dates back to the 13th century. It is composed of a basilical Romanesque nave flanked by two Gothic choirs in the east and in the west. The west choir with the famous portrait statues of the twelve cathedral founders and the west rood screen are the masterpieces of pan-European workshop accordingly named the "Naumburg Master", who conceptualized all parts of the western choir as a whole, and carried out the western choir from the bottom to the roof within six years only. The

Naumburg Cathedral 2 of 29

polychrome reliefs and sculptures of the choir and the rood screen count among the most significant sculptures of the Middle Ages. The overall iconographic concept and the harmonious combination of architecture, sculpture and glass paintings reflect in a unique way the profound changes in the religious practice and the visual arts of the 13th century. These changes resulted in a hitherto unknown realism and observation of nature, as well as in the recourse to ancient sources.

Criterion (i): The episcopal church of Naumburg is unique among the medieval cathedrals due to the west choir conceptualized and designed by a brilliant sculptor—the "Naumburg Master" — and his workshop. The organic combination of architecture, sculpture and glass paintings created an extraordinary synthesis of the arts. The twelve life-sized, colored founder figures in the west choir, the passion reliefs of the west rood screen, the crucifixion group on its portal and the numerous capitals are outstanding examples of the architectural sculpture of the Middle Ages. One of the founder figures — Uta of Ballenstedt — is considered as one of the icons of Gothic sculpture. They are sculpted from the same blocks of stone as the pillar strips, and the various media are integrated in the fabric of the architecture and its manner of construction. A single intelligence stood behind the integrated conception of the architecture, sculpture, and stained glass and merged them into one integral piece of work.

Criterion (ii): The workshop organization of sculptors and stonemasons was established in the early 13th century and is known under the name Naumburg Master. It constitutes one of the decisive conveyors and pioneers of the ground-breaking innovations in architecture and sculpture in the second half of the 13th century. The migration of the workshop of the Naumburg Master, from northeastern France through the Middle Rhine areas to the eastern boundaries of the Holy Roman Empire and further to southwestern Europe, gives testimony to the extensive European cultural exchange during the High Middle Ages.

Integrity

The inscribed property contains all the attributes necessary to convey its Outstanding Universal Value, primarily, the Cathedral and associated architectural elements, sculptures and artworks, all retained in their original layout. The structural elements of the 13th century are intact and do not suffer from adverse effects of development or neglect. The visual qualities and functional relations to the surrounding urban and cultural landscape are undisturbed. The buffer zone reflects the urban morphology of the old town of Naumburg.

Authenticity

The authenticity of Naumburg Cathedral is demonstrated by the intact materials and form of the Cathedral and associated buildings, artworks and sculptures, which date to the High Middle Ages. All repairs have utilized stone from the original quarries used to build the Cathedral, and restoration works have occurred since the 19th century. The building has maintained its original functions, and services are conducted regularly. The location and setting of the cathedral within the centre of the old town of Naumburg is unchanged, and overall, the property demonstrates a good state of conservation.

Protection and management requirements

Naumburg Cathedral is protected by the Act for the Protection of Historic Monuments and Buildings of the State of Saxony-Anhalt (DenkmSchG LSA), which is the highest possible level of legal protection available. The Federal Building Code and Regional Planning Act support the protection of the property through the regulation of new development. All cultural monuments and sites within the buffer zone are listed in the register of monuments by the Federal State of Saxony-Anhalt. Building activities in the buffer zone are subject to land development plans, building development plans and municipal statutes. The town development plans of the city of Naumburg are basic instruments for sustainable tourism.

The cathedral and adjacent buildings are owned by the Combined Cathedral Chapters (Combined Chapters of the Cathedrals of Merseburg and Naumburg and the Collegiate Church of Zeitz). This public foundation is responsible for the protection and conservation of the cultural monuments entrusted to its care. The conservation and maintenance works on the building and the general management of the property are carried out by the owner in close cooperation with the State Ministry of Culture of Saxony-Anhalt and the City of Naumburg.

There are few pressures identified that impact on the Outstanding Universal Value of Naumburg Cathedral, although a range of factors require ongoing management, such as traffic issues and air pollution. Current and expected visitation to the property is well-managed and within the estimated carrying capacity, which is regularly reviewed.

There is no Management Plan for the inscribed property, a Management Plan was prepared in 2014 for a larger cultural landscape in which the cathedral is located and provides some general orientations. An adequate system for monitoring the state of conservation is in place.

The Saale-Unstrut World Heritage Association was founded in 2008 to guide the processes of World Heritage nomination and provides an avenue of participation for community interests, including both private and public stakeholders.

An international visitor centre is planned within the inscribed property, although the specific proposal is yet to be forwarded to the World Heritage Centre for review in accordance with Paragraph 172 of the Operational Guidelines.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	west choir conceptualised and designed by a brilliant sculptor - the Naumburg Master - and his workshop	×			
3.2.2	polychrome reliefs and life-sized sculptures of the choir and the roodscreen count among the most significant sculptures of the Middle Ages	×			
3.2.3	outstanding example of architectural sculpture (capitals , founderfigures, crucifixion group, portal)	×			
3.2.4	overall iconographic concept is a testimony of profound changes in the religious practice	×			
3.2.5	Founder figure Uta von Ballenstedt - is considered as one of the icons of gothic sculpture	×			
3.2.6	organic combination of architecture, sculpture and glass paintings created an extraordinary synthesis of the arts	×			
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					

Naumburg Cathedral 3 of 29

2011	
3.2.11	
3.2.13	
3.2.14	
3.2.15	
3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations re	lated to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
	lated to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
Factors Affecting the Property	
4.1. Buildings and Development	
4.1.1 - Housing	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.1.2 - Commercial development	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.1.3 - Industrial areas	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infras	structure
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors s positively	selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or
4.2. Transportation Infrastructure	
4.2. Transportation Infrastructure4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure	
·	✗ Not relevant
4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure	✗ Not relevant
4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure Relevant	➤ Not relevant ➤ Not relevant
4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure Relevant 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure	
4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure Relevant 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure Relevant	
4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure Relevant 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure Relevant 4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure	✗ Not relevant
4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure Relevant 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure Relevant 4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure Relevant	✗ Not relevant
4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure Relevant 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure Relevant 4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure Relevant 4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure	 Not relevant Not relevant Not relevant
4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure Relevant 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure Relevant 4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure Relevant 4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure Relevant	 Not relevant Not relevant Not relevant
4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure Relevant 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure Relevant 4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure Relevant 4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure Relevant 4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure Relevant	 Not relevant Not relevant Not relevant
4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure Relevant 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure Relevant 4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure Relevant 4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure Relevant 4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure Relevant 4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors seem to see the second secon	 Not relevant Not relevant Not relevant Not relevant Cture Not relevant
4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure Relevant 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure Relevant 4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure Relevant 4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure Relevant 4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure Relevant 4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors spositively	 Not relevant Not relevant Not relevant Not relevant Cture Not relevant
4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure Relevant 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure Relevant 4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure Relevant 4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure Relevant 4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure Relevant 4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors is positively 4.3. Services Infrastructures	 Not relevant Not relevant Not relevant Not relevant Cture Not relevant
4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure Relevant 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure Relevant 4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure Relevant 4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure Relevant 4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure Relevant 4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors apositively 4.3. Services Infrastructures 4.3.1 - Water infrastructure	X Not relevant X Not relevant X Not relevant Cture X Not relevant Selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or
4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure Relevant 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure Relevant 4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure Relevant 4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure Relevant 4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure Relevant 4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors apositively 4.3. Services Infrastructures 4.3.1 - Water infrastructure	X Not relevant X Not relevant X Not relevant Cture X Not relevant Selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or
4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure Relevant 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure Relevant 4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure Relevant 4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure Relevant 4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure Relevant 4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors spositively 4.3. Services Infrastructures 4.3.1 - Water infrastructure Relevant 4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities	 Not relevant Selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or Not relevant
4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure Relevant 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure Relevant 4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure Relevant 4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure Relevant 4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure Relevant 4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors of positively 4.3. Services Infrastructures 4.3.1 - Water infrastructure Relevant 4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities Relevant	 Not relevant Selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or Not relevant

Naumburg Cathedral 4 of 29

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.3.5 - Major linear utilities	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters	
Relevant	✗ Not relevant
4.4.2 - Ground water pollution	
Relevant	✗ Not relevant
4.4.3 - Surface water pollution	
Relevant	✗ Not relevant
4.4.4 - Air pollution	
Relevant	✗ Not relevant
4.4.5 - Solid waste	
Relevant	✗ Not relevant
4.4.6 - Input of excess energy	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

Relevant

Relevant

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

= 9	
4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.2 - Aquaculture	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.3 - Land conversion	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals	s
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.5 - Crop production	
Relevant	¥ Not relevant
4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.8 - Commercial hunting	

Naumburg Cathedral 5 of 29

× Not relevant

× Not relevant

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.6.2 - Quarrying	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.6.3 - Oil and gas	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.6.4 - Water (extraction)	

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

× Not relevant

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Relevant

≭ Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	№ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×			<i>></i>

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.3 - Temperature

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.7.5 - Dust

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.7.7 - Pests

positively

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

	The relevant
4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors s	elected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

X Relevant	Not relevant

Naumburg Cathedral 6 of 29

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
○ Positive ★	×		×	×			/
Negative							

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Relevant X Not relevant

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Relevant X Not relevant

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Relevant X Not relevant

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

★ Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×	×			7
Negative							

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Relevant X Not relevant

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	G Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×			×		\rightarrow	

4.9.3 - Military training

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.4 - War

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Naumburg Cathedral 7 of 29

× Relevant	elevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X		×		×			1	
4.10.2 - Flooding								
Relevant		X Not relevant						
4.10.3 - Drought								
Relevant			× Not relevant	t				

4.10.4 - Desertification

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.6 - Temperature change

× Relevant				Not relevant						
	Impact	Impact Origin			Trend of impact					
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive										
Negative X		×		×			/			

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

nt	X Not relevant
----	----------------

Naumburg Cathedral 8 of 29

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Relevant

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Relevant

** Not relevant

** Not relevant

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Relevant

** Not relevant

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

★ Relevant		Not relevant							
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact					
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive 🗶	×		×	×		→			
Negative									

4.13.2 - Legal framework

ant	X Not relevant
-----	----------------

4.13.3 - Governance

Relevant X Not relevant

4.13.4 - Management activities

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact				
Impact	Gurrent	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×	×	×	×		→		
Negative								

4.13.5 - Financial resources

× Relevant		Not relevant								
	Impact	Impact Origin			Trend of impact					
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing			
Positive X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow				
Negative										

4.13.6 - Human resources

✗ Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×	×			1	
Negative								

Naumburg Cathedral 9 of 29

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

≭ Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive	×	×	×	×		→		
Negative								

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors	Summary Table									
Name					Impact	t		Origin		Trend
4.7 Local condition	ns affecting physical fabr	ic								
4.7.1 Wind										
							9		Œ	<i>P</i>
4.8 Social/Cultural	uses of heritage									
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritu	al/Religious and associa	tive uses			O	q		•	G	1
4.8.5 Identity, soci	al cohesion, changes in I	ocal population and commu	unity		(q	q	•	G	<i>P</i>
4.9 Other human a	activities									
4.9.2 Deliberate de	estruction of heritage									
						9			G	\rightarrow
4.10 Climate chan	ge and severe weather ev	rents								
4.10.1 Storms										
							9		G	<i>P</i>
4.10.6 Temperatur	e change									
							q		Œ	,
4.13 Management	and institutional factors									
4.13.1 Managemen	nt system/Management pl	an				q		@	G	→
4.13.4 Managemer	nt activities				()	A	9	•	G	→
4.13.5 Financial re	sources				O	q	9	•	G	→
4.13.6 Human reso	ources				O	9	9	•	G	1
4.13.7 Low impact	research/monitoring acti	ivities			0	9	9	•	G	\rightarrow
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	de		Outside	de	

Naumburg Cathedral 10 of 29

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name	me		į		Origin		Trend
4.7.1 Wind							
				9		G	1
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - II	npact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.8.1 Ritua	//Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	•	9		•	Œ	-
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						

Naumburg Cathedral 11 of 29

Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.5 Identi	ty, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	(1)	F	9	•	G	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted						
Spatial sca							
	Restricted						
	Restricted Localised						
×	Restricted Localised Extensive						
×	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread						
×	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurrence of the impact						
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare						
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic						
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent						
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going						
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes						
X Temporal s X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant						
X Temporal s X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor						
X Temporal s X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant						
X Temporal s X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major						
X Temporal s X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Interesponse - Capacity of management to respond						
X Temporal s X Impact - Im	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major nt response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity						

Naumburg Cathedral 12 of 29

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing			
	Static			
×	Increasing			

4.9 Other human activities

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.9.2 Delibe	4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
			9			G	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.10.1 Storms						
			9		C	P
0	he Asses offered develop factors					
Spatiai sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					

Naumburg Cathedral 13 of 29

	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact							
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name	perature change	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.10.6 Telli	perature change			ma .		ng.	A
				9		F	7
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						

Naumburg Cathedral 14 of 29

On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

Insignificant

Minor
Significant
Major

High capacity

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

×

×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan		•	4	•	G	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					

Name	Impa	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.4 Management activities	•	9	9	•	(\rightarrow

Spatial sca	scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted	

Naumburg Cathedral 15 of 29

×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin	od.	Trend
	Increasing ncial resources	•	9	9	•	F	→
		•	9	9	•		→
4.13.5 Fina		•	9		•		→
4.13.5 Fina	ncial resources	•	9		•		→
4.13.5 Fina	ncial resources de - Area affected by the factor	•	9		•		→
4.13.5 Fina Spatial sca	ncial resources lle - Area affected by the factor Restricted	•	9		•		→
4.13.5 Fina Spatial sca	ncial resources lle - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised	•	9		•		→
4.13.5 Fina Spatial sca	ncial resources lle - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive	•	9		•		→
4.13.5 Fina Spatial sca	ncial resources Ile - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread	•	9		•		→
4.13.5 Fina Spatial sca	ncial resources Ide - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact	•	9		•		→
4.13.5 Fina Spatial sca	ncial resources Ide - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent	•	9		•		→
4.13.5 Fina Spatial sca	ncial resources Ide - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic	•	9		•		→
4.13.5 Fina Spatial sca	ncial resources Ile - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes	•	9		•		→
4.13.5 Fina Spatial sca	ncial resources Ide - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant	•	9		•		→
4.13.5 Fina Spatial sca	ncial resources Ile - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes	•	9		•		→
4.13.5 Fina Spatial sca	Ide - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Ipact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant	•	9		•		→
4.13.5 Fina Spatial sca X Temporal s	ncial resources Ide - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes Insignificant Minor	•	9		•		→
4.13.5 Fina Spatial sca X Temporal s	Ide - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Ipact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant	•	9		•		→

Naumburg Cathedral 16 of 29

×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.6 Hum	an resources	③	4	9	•	F	<i>></i>
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
	impact research/monitoring activities		9	9	(9	Œ	→
Snatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor						
opanai sca							
	Restricted						
	Restricted Localised						

Naumburg Cathedral 17 of 29

×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	west choir conceptualised and designed by a brilliant sculptor - the Naumburg Master - and his workshop	×			
4.18.1.2	polychrome reliefs and life-sized sculptures of the choir and the roodscreen count among the most significant sculptures of the Middle Ages	×			
4.18.1.3	outstanding example of architectural sculpture (capitals , founderfigures, crucifixion group, portal)	×			
4.18.1.4	overall iconographic concept is a testimony of profound changes in the religious practice	×			
4.18.1.5	Founder figure Uta von Ballenstedt - is considered as one of the icons of gothic sculpture	×			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries $\mbox{are known by both}$ the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

Naumburg Cathedral 18 of 29

- 5.1.5 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property
- 5.2. Protective Measures
- 5.2.1 Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).
- 5.2.2 Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category
- 5.2.3 Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for** effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

- 5.2.7 Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice
- 5.2.8 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property
- 5.3. Management System/Management Plan
- 5.3.1 Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system joint regional/local

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

An integrated management plan combining World Heritage and any other designations

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Management Plan	N/A	Available	2017	
Management Plan 2017	N/A	Available	2018	

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

Some use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

- 5.3.6 If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.
- 5.3.7 Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

- 5.3.8 If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:
- 5.3.9 Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property? Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties
- 5.3.10 If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done
- 5.3.11 Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state;

Naumburg Cathedral 19 of 29

local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is adequate coordination between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being fully implemented and monitored

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and all of its activities are being implemented and monitored

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities					×
5.3.15.2	Local authorities					×
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone					×
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women					×
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					×
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners					×
5.3.16.5	Women					×
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					×
5.3.16.7	Researchers					×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists				×	
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry				×	
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries				×	
5.3.16.12	NGOs					×
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality				×	
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	×				

Naumburg Cathedral 20 of 29

5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status			×
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach			×
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood		×	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property		×	

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	60 %	60 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	15 %	%
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	15 %	%
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	10 %	35 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	%	5 %
6.1.1.12	Other	%	%
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	40 %	60 %
6.1.6.2	Women	60 %	40 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are adequate for management needs

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Not applicable
Community participation and inclusion	Fair

Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Poor
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

- 6.1.11 If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.
- 6.1.12 Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and fully implemented; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally

- 6.1.13 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training
- 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects
- 7.1 Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

 Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is adequate
- 7.2 Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared widely with active outreach to local communities and national and international audiences

- 7.4 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects
- 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building
- 8.1 Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Good

Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Women	Good
Youth/children	Fair
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Good
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Fair
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned and effective education and awareness programme for children and youth that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities	
Women	
Youth/children	
Local Visitors	
National/international tourists	
Tourism industry	
Local businesses and industries	

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Good
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Good
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

116701 / 80361 / 98321 / 147938 / 137693 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

Naumburg Cathedral 23 of 29

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One to three hours

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

90/80/8/12/30/20/

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a planned and effective strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Nic

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is good cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

Fees are collected and make a substantial contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

No

If 'Yes', please specify

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

regional identity, jobs. touristic value

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme of monitoring**, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **adequate and key indicators have been defined** for measuring the state of conservation and **are being used in monitoring** of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				X
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system			×	
10.3.3	Character of governance	×			

10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations	×			
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development		×		
10.3.6	Capacity development			×	

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Local communities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Poor
Women	Fair
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industry	Fair
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee? Implementation is underway

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

The recommendation to establish a World Heritage Information Centre in cooperation with the World Heritage Centre in Paris is currently being implemented.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	Some use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	
5.3.7	Some use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	×
9	Visitor Management	
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made	
Please	e select 3 more issues.	
☑ Plea	ase save this question to reflect changes	

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Naumburg Cathedral 25 of 29

Wind	Criterion (i), affected attributes 3.2.1., 3.2.2., 3.2.3. (Cathedral and associated architectural elements)	Securing loose or open parts of the building as well as regular checking of the trees on the adjacent green spaces and avoiding damage by loose objects	Continuous observation	ongoing	Utilities management and restoration department	None
Other human a	activities					
Deliberate destruction of heritage	Criterion (i), affected attributes 3.2.1., 3.2.2., 3.2.3 (Cathedral and associated architectural elements)		individual cases, security of the sit been improved in	as e has	local security and staff	None
Climate chang	e and severe weather events					
Storms	Criterion (i), affected attributes 3.2.1., 3.2.2., 3.2.3. (Cathedral and associated architectural elements)	Securing loose or open parts of the building as well as regular checking of the trees on the adjacent green spaces and avoiding damage by loose objects	Continuous observation	ongoing	Utilities management and restoration department	None
Temperature change	Criterion (i), affected attributes 3.2.1., 3.2.2., 3.2.3, 3.2.4 (Cathedral and associated architectural elements, sculptures and artworks)	help of air conditioners a dehumidifiers, Regular	and prevent acute damage, prevention	on	Utilities management and restoration department	None
	Deliberate destruction of heritage Climate chang Storms	attributes 3.2.1., 3.2.2., 3.2.3. (Cathedral and associated architectural elements) Other human activities Deliberate destruction of heritage Criterion (i), affected attributes 3.2.1., 3.2.2., 3.2.3 (Cathedral and associated architectural elements) Climate change and severe weather events Criterion (i), affected attributes 3.2.1., 3.2.2., 3.2.3. (Cathedral and associated architectural elements) Temperature change Criterion (i), affected attributes 3.2.1., 3.2.2., 3.2.3. (Cathedral and associated architectural elements)	attributes 3.2.1., 3.2.2., 3.2.3. (Cathedral and associated architectural elements) Other human activities Deliberate destruction of heritage Criterion (i), affected attributes 3.2.1., 3.2.2., 3.2.3. (Cathedral and associated architectural elements) Climate change and severe weather events Criterion (i), affected attributes 3.2.1., 3.2.2., 3.2.3. (Cathedral and associated architectural elements) Criterion (i), affected attributes 3.2.1., 3.2.2., 3.2.3. (Cathedral and associated architectural elements) Criterion (i), affected attributes 3.2.1., 3.2.2., 3.2.3. (Cathedral and associated architectural elements) Criterion (i), affected attributes 3.2.1., 3.2.2., 3.2.3. (Cathedral and associated architectural elements) Temperature change Criterion (i), affected attributes 3.2.1., 3.2.2., 3.2.3. (Cathedral and associated architectural elements, attributes 3.2.1., 3.2.2. (Cathedral and associated architectural elements)	attributes 3.2.1., 3.2.2., 3.2.3. (Cathedral and associated architectural elements) Deliberate destruction of heritage Criterion (i), affected architectural elements) Installation of a new key system So far, only a few individual cases, security of the sit been improved in recent years and decades. Climate change and severe weather events	attributes 3.2.1., 3.2.2., 3.2.3. (Cathedral and associated architectural elements) Deliberate destruction of heritage Citerion (i), affected architectural elements) Deliberate destruction of heritage Citerion (ii), affected attributes 3.2.1., 3.2.2., 3.2.3. (Cathedral and associated architectural elements) Citerion (ii), affected attributes 3.2.1., 3.2.2., 3.2.3. (Cathedral and associated architectural elements) Citerion (iii), affected attributes 3.2.1., 3.2.2., 3.2.3. (Cathedral and associated architectural elements) Citerion (iii), affected attributes 3.2.1., 3.2.2., 3.2.3. (Cathedral and associated architectural elements) Citerion (iii), affected attributes 3.2.1., 3.2.2., 3.2.3. (Cathedral and associated architectural elements) Citerion (iii), affected attributes 3.2.1., 3.2.2., 3.2.3. (Cathedral and associated architectural elements) Citerion (iii), affected attributes 3.2.1., 3.2.2., 3.2.3. (Cathedral and associated architectural elements) Citerion (iii), affected attributes 3.2.1., 3.2.2., 3.3.3.4 (Cathedral and associated architectural elements) Citerion (iii), affected attributes 3.2.1., 3.2.2., 3.3.3.4 (Cathedral and associated architectural elements) Citerion (iii), affected attributes 3.2.1., 3.2.2., 3.3.3.4 (Cathedral and associated architectural elements) Citerion (iii), affected attributes 3.2.1., 3.2.2., 3.3.3.4 (Cathedral and associated architectural elements) Citerion (iii), affected attributes 3.2.1., 3.2.2., 3.2.3.4 (Cathedral and associated architectural elements) Deliberate tetres on the adjacent green spaces and avoiding damage by loose objects Continuous observation ongoing Continuous observation ongoing Continuous observation ongoing Monitoring can only prevention and dehumidifiers, Regular and only be done on a global scale	attributes 3.2.1., 3.2.2., 3.2.3. (Cathedral and associated architectural elements) Other human activities Deliberate destruction of heritage (Cathedral and associated architectural elements) Deliberate destruction of heritage (Cathedral and associated architectural elements) Storms Cimate change and severe weather events Storms Cimate change and severe weather events Securing loose or open parts of the building as well as regular checking of the trees on the adjacent green spaces and associated architectural elements) Cimate change and severe weather events Storms Circerion (i), affected attributes 3.2.1., 3.2.2., 3.2.3. (Cathedral and associated architectural elements) Circerion (i), affected attributes 3.2.1., 3.2.2., 3.2.3. (Cathedral and associated architectural elements) Circerion (i), affected attributes 3.2.1., 3.2.2., 3.2.3. (Cathedral and associated architectural elements) Continuous observation ongoing Utilities management and restoration department elements of the building as well as regular checking of the trees on the adjacent green spaces and avoiding damage by loose objects Temperature change Circlerion (i), affected attributes 3.2.1., 3.2.2., 3.2.3. (2.4 (Cathedral and associated architectural elements) Installation of a new key system So far, only a few individual cases, as security of the site has been improved in recent years and decades. Continuous observation ongoing Utilities management and restoration department and restoration department demained and elements of a definition of a new key system Storms Cimate change and severe weather events Circlerion (i), affected attributes and associated architectural elements and associated architectural elements, and avoiding as well as regular checking of the trees on the adjacent green spaces and avoiding attributes architectural elements and associated architectural elements, and associated architectural elements, and avoiding associated architectural elements, and avoiding associated architectural elements and avoiding a

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

	initiary interrugent							
5.3	Management System/Management Plan							
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead ag	ency (and others d)	More info / comment		
5.3.7	Some use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	None	ongoing	Site mar	nager	As a "young" World Heritage site, we are still constantly working on improving our management plan and monitoring, trying to incorporate the experiences of other sites and the recommendations of the advisory committees.		
5.3.9 Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property		None	ongoing	Site mar	nager	As a "young" World Heritage site, we are still constantly working on improving our management plan and monitoring, trying to incorporate the experiences of other sites and the recommendations of the advisory committees.		
6.1	Funding							
6.1.10	Some use h been made the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Developmer the World Heritage	of	ongoing	Site manager	cc pla ex	s a "young" World Heritage site, we are still onstantly working on improving our management an and monitoring, trying to incorporate the operiences of other sites and the recommendations the advisory committees.		

Naumburg Cathedral 26 of 29

	property							
6.1.12		None	None		None		None	
10	Monitoring							
10.1		None		None		None		None
Summary - Management Needs completed								

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

- 12.3.1 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

 The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved
- 12.3.2 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

- 12.3.5 Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.
- 13. Impact of World Heritage Status
- 13.1 Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Very positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Very positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	Positive
Advocacy	Very positive
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	No impact
Gender equality	No impact
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	No impact
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Very positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Positive
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

Naumburg Cathedral 27 of 29

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

- 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention
- 14.1 Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level
- 14.2 Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level
- 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting
- 15.1.1 Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Not needed
Site Managers	Not needed
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Not needed
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Not needed

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans

Advocacy

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

UNESCO National Commission

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? Yes

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

8/2/56/

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions	×			

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Good
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

- 15.5.4 If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?
- 15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
- 15.6.1 Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

- 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.2 Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.

Naumburg Cathedral 29 of 29