Sansa, Buddhist Mountain Monasteries in Korea

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Sansa, Buddhist Mountain Monasteries in Korea

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Tongdosa Temple	35.488 / 129.066	7.87	84.14	92.01	
Buseoksa Temple	36.999 / 128.688	7.08	47.09	54.17	
Bongjeongsa Temple	36.653 / 128.663	5.3	75.05	80.35	
Beopjusa Temple	36.542 / 127.833	11.22	190.03	201.25	
Magoksa Temple	36.559 / 127.012	3.91	62.66	66.57	
Seonamsa Temple	34.996 / 127.331	9.67	246.16	255.83	
Daeheungsa Temple	34.476 / 126.617	10.38	617.98	628.36	
Total (ha)		55.43	1323.11	1378.54	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Sansa, Buddhist Mountain Monasteries in Korea - Maps of the Inscribed Property	2018	

- 1.5 Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)
- 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)
- 2.1 Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is not designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

- 2.2 Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary
- 2.3 Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

- 2.8 Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)
- 2.9 Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

Not aware

- 2.10 Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware
- 2.11 Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

Not aware

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief synthesis

Sansa consists of seven Buddhist mountain monasteries—Tongdosa, Buseoksa, Bongjeongsa, Beopjusa, Magoksa, Seonamsa and Daeheungsa—located throughout the southern provinces of the Korean Peninsula. The seven monasteries established from the 7th to the 9th centuries have functioned as centres of religious belief, spiritual practice, and daily living of monastic communities, reflecting the historical development of Korean Buddhism. Sansa has accommodated diverse Buddhist schools and popular beliefs within its precinct, and many of its notable historic structures, halls, objects and documents reflect such assimilating features of Korean Buddhism. The distinctive intangible and historical aspects of Korean Buddhism can be recognized in the continuous traditions of self-sufficient temple management, education of monks, and coexistence of meditative practice and doctrinal studies of Korean Seon Buddhism. These mountain monasteries are sacred places, which have survived to the present as living centres of faith and religious practices despite suppression during the Joseon Dynasty and damages caused by wars and conflicts over the years.

Criterion (iii): Buddhism has a long history that has traversed a number of historical eras in the Korean Peninsula. The seven mountain monasteries – Tongdosa, Buseoksa, Bongjeongsa, Beopjusa, Magoksa, Seonamsa and Daeheungsa – offer a distinctively Korean instantiation of Buddhist monastic culture from the 7th century to the present day. These mountain monasteries are sacred places and provide an exceptional testimony to their long and continuing traditions of Buddhist spiritual practice.

Integrity

Together the seven temples contain the elements necessary to express the Outstanding Universal Value of Korean Buddhist mountain monasteries, including their mountain settings, well-preserved buildings for religious practice and daily living, worship halls and shrines, meditation areas, monastic academy spaces and dormitories for monks. Few pressures threaten the components and they are intact, free of major losses and alterations during the modern period, and retain their original functions, despite changes through history.

Authenticity

The authenticity of the serial property is based on the long and continuing uses of the components for Buddhist spiritual practices and rituals, and is based on their location and setting; traditions, techniques and management skills; and intangible heritage. The architectural elements have been carefully maintained according to principles of repair and restoration, using traditional construction techniques, although the function of some buildings have changed to support the operations of the temples. The religious traditions and functions of the Buddhist temples maintain a high degree of authenticity.

Protection and management requirements

The seven temples are all being protected and managed as State or City/Province designated Cultural Heritage under the Cultural Heritage Protection Act. Modern constructions to facilitate continuing use and developments around the temples are strictly controlled. Each of the seven components is also protected by the Korean Traditional Temples Preservation and Support Act.

Cultural Heritage Zones and Historical and Cultural Environment Protection Zones established by the Cultural Heritage Protection Act are in place for each of the components and their buffer zones. The Cultural Heritage Protection Act applies within areas of 500-metres of the outer boundary of each Cultural Heritage Zone. Heritage Impact Assessments are prepared within the provisions of the Cultural Heritage Protection Act. Each temple has various designated elements (including artworks, relics and architecture) at the national or provincial level.

The 'Conservation and Management Plan for Sansa, Buddhist Monasteries in Korea' is in place, and the management system and conservation strategy will be overseen by 'Sansa Conservation and Management', with representation from religious and government authorities. Staff are provided for administration, conservation management, monitoring, research and promotion, as well as the monks, temple management staff, cultural heritage management staff and cultural tourism guides.

Each temple is under the responsibility of a chief abbot. The Cultural Heritage Administration and provincial governments are responsible for the management of cultural heritage, and the development and implementation of related projects. The Laity Association of each temple participates in volunteer work to support Buddhist practices, maintaining the temple landscapes and cleaning the temples. Visitor infrastructure is provided at each temple.

The Cultural Heritage Administration formulates comprehensive 5-year plans for the conservation and management of the temples in consultation with provincial governments. There is a Cultural Heritage Maintenance Plan for Buseoksa and Seonamsa temples, and plans for the remaining components will be established in 2018-2020

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Monastic practice and daily lives of monks	×			
3.2.2	Setting and layout of monasteries	×			
3.2.3	Buildings	×			
3.2.4	Surrounding natural environment	×			
3.2.5					
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					

3.2.11			
3.2.12			
3.2.13			
3.2.14			
3.2.15			

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

- 4. Factors Affecting the Property
- 4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Relevant	✗ Not relevant	
4.1.2 - Commercial development		
Relevant	X Not relevant	

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

✗ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
○ Positive ★	×		×			→	
Negative							

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

- Visitor support facilities (coffee shop, restaurant, etc.) are installed for the convenience of visitors.
- 4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	G Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
○ Positive ★	×	×	×	×		→	
Negative							

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Relevant	Not relevant
2.4. Marina transpart infracturatura	

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×		×			→	
Negative							

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

★ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×			×		\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

※ Relevant				Not relevant			
Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	G Current	Potential	• Inside	G Outside	№ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×			→	
Negative							

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

- Tongdosa Temple and Beopjusa Temple have set up solar facilities to utilize eco-friendly energy. It is not expected to have a significant impact on the heritage.

4.4. Pollution

Relevant

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

4.4.1 - Poliution of marine waters						
Relevant	X Not relevant					
4.4.2 - Ground water pollution	4.4.2 - Ground water pollution					
Relevant	X Not relevant					
4.4.3 - Surface water pollution						
Relevant	X Not relevant					
4.4.4 - Air pollution						
Relevant	X Not relevant					
4.4.5 - Solid waste						
Relevant	X Not relevant					
4.4.6 - Input of excess energy						

× Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources					
Relevant	✗ Not relevant				
4.5.2 - Aquaculture					
Relevant	X Not relevant				
4.5.3 - Land conversion					
Relevant	X Not relevant				
4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals					
Relevant	✗ Not relevant				

4.5.5 - Crop production

X Relevant				Not relevant			
Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	♦ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×			×		\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

evant × N	ot relevant
-----------	-------------

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×			×		\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Relevant	X Not relevant
	** Not relevant

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Relevant	✗ Not relevant	
----------	----------------	--

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

- Cultivation and harvesting are carried out in the property area and the buffer area, but there is no effect on the heritage. - Seonamsa Temple and Daeheungsa Temple grow tea. - Seonamsa Temple collect maple sap. - Beopiusa Temple collect pine mushrooms.

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Relevant	× Not relevant
4.6.2 - Quarrying	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Relevant X Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

× Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
		×		×			7

4.7.3 - Temperature

× Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×			

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

evant	X Not relevant
-------	----------------

4.7.5 - Dust

ant	X Not relevant
-----	----------------

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

vant	✗ Not relevant
------	----------------

4.7.7 - Pests

× Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×				7

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

✗ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X		×	×	×			1

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

⁻ Concerns about wood damage caused by termites.

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×		\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

× Relevant	ı	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×			•
Negative							

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×			×		→	
Negative							

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

★ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×				7
Negative							

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

✗ Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×	×			P
Negative							

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

≭ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×		×				/
Negative							

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

ant	X Not relevant
-----	----------------

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Relevant

4.9.3 - Military training
Relevant

** Not relevant

4.9.4 - War
Relevant

** Not relevant

4.9.5 - Terrorism
Relevant

** Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

positively

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×	×		→	

4.10.2 - Flooding

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.10.3 - Drought

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.10.4 - Desertification

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

evant	X Not relevant

4.10.6 - Temperature change

★ Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact Orig				Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	№ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×		\Rightarrow	

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

≭ Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow	

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

- Concerns about temperature change and damage from strong winds related to climate change such as global warming.

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
4.11.2 - Earthquake	
Relevant	★ Not relevant
4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave	
Relevant	★ Not relevant
4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide	
Relevant	★ Not relevant
4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact Origin				Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×	×		→	

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

- As wooden cultural heritage are vulnerable to fire, fire prevention activities such as strengthening disaster prevention facilities are continuously being promoted.

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.6 - Modified genetic material	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

× Relevant			Not relevant	
	Impact	Origin		Trend of impact

Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×			-
Negative							

4.13.2 - Legal framework

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Origin				Trend of impact	act		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	№ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive 🗶	×		×	×		→		
Negative								

4.13.3 - Governance

× Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Origin			jin Trend of impact				
Impact	G Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×		×	×		\rightarrow		
Negative								

4.13.4 - Management activities

× Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
○ Positive ★	×		×	×		→		
Negative								

4.13.5 - Financial resources

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin						
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	G Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
○ Positive ★	×		×	×		→	
Negative							

4.13.6 - Human resources

× Relevant		Not relevant									
	Impact				gin Trend of impact						
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing				
Positive X	×		× ×			→					
Negative											

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact Origin Trend of impact						
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing ⇒ Stable		Increasing
Positive X	×		×	×		→	
Negative							

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

X Relevant Not relevant	
Relevant	

	Impact	Origin Trend of impact					
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×		\Rightarrow	
Negative							

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

- The heritage is thoroughly managed through constant and regular monitoring.

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Tuotelo Guillinally Tuote							
Name	Impact	i		Origin		Trend	
4.1 Buildings and Development							
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	O	9		•		\rightarrow	
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure							
			_				
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	•	A	4	•	F	→	
4.3 Services Infrastructures							
4.3.1 Water infrastructure	O	9		•		\rightarrow	
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities	O				<i>∕</i> €	→	
The International Control of the Internationa		-,			3		
4.3.4 Localised utilities	•	9		•		\rightarrow	
4.5 Biological resource use/modification							
4.5.5 Crop production	O	A			(\$	→	
		·			4		
4.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection	•	9			F	\rightarrow	
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric							
4.7.2 Relative humidity							
					₹	_	
			-1		G	Ť	
4.7.3 Temperature							
					(1	
4.7.7 Pests							
		q	q	•		1	
4.7.8 Micro-organisms							
			~3				
			4	•	Œ.		
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage							
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	O	q		•	©	\rightarrow	
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	()	q	q	•	E	,	
,		U	U		4	Ÿ	

4 8 3 Indigenous hu	ınting, gathering and co	llecting			(A			100	_
4.0.0 margenous no	ming, ganering and co					-,			G	
4.8.4 Changes in tra	aditional ways of life and	d knowledge system			O	q	A	@		/
4.8.5 Identity, socia	ıl cohesion, changes in I	ocal population and commu	unity		O	9	A	()	Œ	-
4.8.6 Impacts of tou	ırism/Visitation/Recreati	on			O	9		•		1
4.10 Climate change	e and severe weather ev	vents								
4.10.1 Storms										
							9	•	Œ	→
4.10.6 Temperature	change									
						9	q	•	G	\rightarrow
4.10.7 Other climate	e change impacts									
						9	9	•	G	\rightarrow
4.11 Sudden ecolog	gical or geological event	s								
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire))									
							9	•	G	→
4.13 Management a	nd institutional factors									
4.13.1 Management	system/Management pl	an			•	9	q	•	G	,
4.13.2 Legal framew	vork				0	9		•	Œ	\rightarrow
4.13.3 Governance					(9		•	G	→
4.13.4 Management	activities				()	9		@	F	→
4.13.5 Financial res	ources				•	q		()	A	→
4.13.6 Human resou	urces				•	A		()	<i>₹</i>	→
	-							3	3	
4.13.7 I ow impact r	research/monitoring acti	ivities			(q		•	₹	→
Low impact i	a. o., monitoring doll								Ç	
4.13.8 High impact	research/monitoring act	ivities			O	q		•	(→
gii iiipaot						•			3	
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Insi	de		Outsi	de	

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	O	A	•	\rightarrow

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	Impact - Impact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name		Impact		Origin			Trend
4.2.1 Groun	nd transport infrastructure	O	9	9	•	Œ	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						

	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name		Impact	Origin 7			Trend	
4.3.1 Water	infrastructure	•	q		•		\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.3.2 Rene	wable energy facilities	O	9		(→
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name	Read of Wilder	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.3.4 Local	lised utilities	②	4	Q		→
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					

Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name	Impact Impact		Origin		Trend		
4.5.5 Crop	4.5.5 Crop production		q			Œ	\rightarrow
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						

Increasing

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.5.7 Subsi	5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection				©	→	
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.7.2 Relative humidity					
		9		G	-
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor					

Spatial scale	- Area affected by the factor			
	Restricted			
	Localised			
×	Establish			
×	Extensive			
	Widespread			
	Widespread			
T	al. Common of the impact			
remporai sc	ale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			

	Frequent							
×	On-going							
Impact - Impact on the attributes								
	Insignificant							
	Minor							
×	Significant							
	Major							
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond							
	High capacity							
×	Medium capacity							
	Low capacity							
	No capacity and / or resources							
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing							
×	Static							
	Increasing							
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend	
4.7.3 Temp	erature			~3				
				4		G		
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted							
	Localised							
×	Extensive							
	Widespread							
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact							
	One off or rare							
	Intermittent or sporadic							
	Frequent							
×	On-going							
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes							
	Insignificant							
	Minor							
×	Significant							
	Major							
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond							
	High capacity							
×	Medium capacity							
	Low capacity							
	No capacity and / or resources							
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing							

×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend
4.7.7 Pests					
		4	9	•	7

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.7.8 Micro-organisms					
		a	•	Œ	1

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted				
	Localised				
×	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				

	Frequent
×	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread	→
Restricted Localised Extensive	
Restricted Localised Extensive	
Restricted Localised Extensive	
Localised Extensive	
Extensive	
Widespread	
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
One off or rare	
Intermittent or sporadic	
Frequent	
✗ On-going	
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
Insignificant	
Minor	
X Significant	
Major	
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
High capacity	
★ Medium capacity	
Low capacity	
No capacity and / or resources	
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	

	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.2 Societ	y's valuing of heritage	O	9	9	•	Œ	1
Spatial scale	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal so	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Imp	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Managemen	t response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.3 Indige	nous hunting, gathering and collecting	O	9			G	→
Spatial scale	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal so	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						

	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going On-going						
Impact - In	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact		~	Origin		Trend
4.8.4 Chan	ges in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	O	4	9	•		
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	Management response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						

	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.5 Identit	y, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	O	9	9	•	Œ	1
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact							
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Imp	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Managemer	t response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name	s of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	Impact	q		Origin		Trend
4.0.0 Impac	S of tourism visitation (Cereation		-1				
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal so	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						

	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name	Impact		Origin		
4.10.1 Storms					
		9	•	(\rightarrow
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor					

Spatial sca	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	Management response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
×	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing		
×	Static		
	Increasing		

Name	Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.10.6 Temperature change						
		P	9	•	(\rightarrow

		<i></i>	<i>-</i> 3		net.	→
		7	-1	Q	G	~
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	ppact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Мајог					
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

Name	Impact	Impact				Trend
4.10.7 Other climate change impacts						
		9	q	•	(\rightarrow

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
•	·
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare

×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	High capacity Medium capacity
×	
×	Medium capacity
	Medium capacity Low capacity
	Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources
	Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources velopement over the last 6 years

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name		Impac	t		Origin		Trend
4.11.6 F	4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)						
				9	•	Œ	\rightarrow
Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Tempo	ral scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact	- Impact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manage	ement response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						

Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.1 Man	4.13.1 Management system/Management plan		A	9	•	F	<i>P</i>
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name	al framework	Impact	q		Origin	Œ	Trend
4.13.2 Leg	an irainework	©	7		•	G	
Spatial sca	lle - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						

Temporal	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
×	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.3 Gov	rnance	•	4	•	G	→
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - In	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
×						
	Major					
Manageme	Major nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
Manageme						
	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					

	No capacity and / or resources					
Trand Day						
Trend - Dev	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
	agement activities	O	q	(G	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
	cale - Occurence of the impact					
remporars	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
	pact on the attributes					
iiipact - iiii						
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
×	Major					
	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin	···	Trend
4.13.5 Fina	ncial resources	O	9	•	G	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					

Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name	an resources	Impact	q	Origin	Œ	Trend
4.10.011411			-,	3	3	·
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
×						
	Major					
Manageme	Major nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
Manageme						
	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					

	No capacity and / or resources					
Trand Day						
Trend - Dev	Decreasing					
~						
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
	impact research/monitoring activities	•	q	•	Œ	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.8 High	impact research/monitoring activities	O	9	•	G	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					

Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	spact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

- Daeheungsa Temple has carried out HIA due to the construction plan of the Hogukdaejeon in the property area, and based on this, it is promoting construction by changing the plan to minimize the impact on heritage.
- 4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Monastic practice and daily lives of monks	×			
4.18.1.2	Setting and layout of monasteries	×			
4.18.1.3	Buildings	×			
4.18.1.4	Surrounding natural environment	×			
4.18.1.5					

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

Comment

In February 2020, the Republic of Korea enacted a Special Act on the Preservation, Management and Utilization of World Heritage properties in order to enhance public cultural pride and contribute to the promotion of international cooperation by preserving common heritage.

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

Although the Special Act on the Preservation, Management and Utilization of World Heritage properties was enforced in February 2021, Sansa(Buddhist Mountain Monasteries in Korea) is not subject to the law and is managed by the Korean Traditional Temples Preservation and Support Act.

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

Daeheungsa Temple, one of the serial properties of Sansa(Buddhist Mountain Monasteries in Korea), conducted a full HIA from November 2019 to March 2020 on the construction of the HogukDaejeon(Shrine in Honor of the Righteous Army) in Daeheungsa Temple. As a result, the construction of HogukDaejeon is approved by ICOMOS under the conditions of fully implementing the mitigation measures proposed by the HIA Implementation team.

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system at national level

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

- A comprehensive maintenance plan is established every five years pursuant to Article 34 of the Domestic Cultural Heritage Protection Act and Article 20 of the Enforcement Decree of the Cultural Heritage Protection Act. - Regular and periodic monitoring are being carried out. - Cultural heritage safety guards moniter regularly the cultural heritage and the surrounding environment. - Regular monitoring is carried out for safety of firefighting and electrical facilities.

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Management Plan	N/A	Available	2018	

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

The 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape is not relevant to this property

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?

Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is adequate coordination between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being fully implemented and monitored

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and all of its activities are being implemented and monitored

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				×	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone				×	
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women				×	
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					×
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners					×
5.3.16.5	Women					×
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					×
5.3.16.7	Researchers					×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries					×
5.3.16.12	NGOs	×				
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality				×	
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)				×	
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				×	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach				×	
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				×	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property				×	

- 5.3.18 Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above
- 5.3.19 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan
- 6. Financial and Human Resources
- 6.1. Funding
- 6.1.1 If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	70 %	0 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	15 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	15 %	0 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	50 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	50 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	%
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

- 6.1.2 Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above
- 6.1.3 Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over both the medium- and long-term

- 6.1.5 Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure
- 6.1.6 Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	50 %	50 %
6.1.6.2	Women	50 %	50 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

Heritage interpreter training to guide visitors and explain the property

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Conducted cultural heritage safety management education and interpreter training, etc.

- 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects
- 7.1 Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is $\ensuremath{\mathbf{adequate}}$

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared widely with active outreach to local communities and national and international audiences

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Women	Good
Youth/children	Good
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Good
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Good
NGOs	Not applicable
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned and effective education and awareness programme for children and youth that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities	
Local/municipal authorities	
Youth/children	
Local Visitors	
National/international tourists	

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Good
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Good
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Good
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

2,360,959 / 4,138,342 / 7,336,626 / . / . /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

Visitor surveys

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One day (no overnight stay)

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

18.04 / 36.08 / 2.71 / 45.11 / 9.02 /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

Surveys and program experience fees(temple stay, etc.)

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

After establishing plan, implementation needs to be carried out strategically.

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

Monitoring of local government

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is good cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In $\boldsymbol{many\ locations}$ and $\boldsymbol{easily\ visible}$ to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

Fees are collected, and make some contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

World Heritage Utilization Programs(BooSeok Temple Night Trip, Hashtag the Booseok Temple, Meditation in Daeheung Temple, etc.)

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

Local commercial areas

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme of monitoring**, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined for measuring the state of conservation and are being used in monitoring of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				X
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				X
10.3.3	Character of governance				X
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations	×			
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development	×			
10.3.6	Capacity development	×			

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Local communities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Women	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industry	Good
NGOs	Not applicable
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is underway

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

- Comprehensive Sansa(Buddhist Mountain Temple) maintenance plan in progress (Beopjusa Temple, Daeheungsa Temple, Bongjeongsa Temple, Tongdosa Temple). - In the case of Daeheungsa Temple, the heritage impact assessment related to the construction of a new Hall of National Protection (Hogukdaejeon) was conducted.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	×
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	×
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	×

6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff	×
9	Visitor Management	
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation	×
Pleas	se select 0 more issues.	
Ŋ Ple	ease save this question to reflect changes	

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

1:

4.7	Local cond	itions affecting ph	ysical fabri	ic								
4.7.2	Relative humidity	Relative humidit cause rotting of buildings and decomposition o paintworks (dang	wooden	The property is monitored at all times and repai when damaged	red are carried	onitoring	is conducted, a becomes inten- between June a August when re humidity increa due to a rainy	Regular monitoring is conducted, and it becomes intensified between June and August when relative humidity increases due to a rainy season and storms. Cultural Heritage Administration Yeo City Haenam Coun Gongju City Andon Yangsan City Boo County Suncheon Gounty Sunche		nty ng City peun City	Regular monitoring is crucial, and instant repair works are necessary whe damaged.	
4.7.3	Temperature	Temperature inc caused by global warming may ha potential impacts wooden buildings vegetation.	ve ti	The property is nonitored at all mes and epaired when lamaged.	Regular and 24-hour monito are carried out.	ring mo	anges are unitored at all es.	Admir City Gong City Boeur Sunch Herita	dministration Yeongju occurring points that the continuous ongju City Andong required, as		climate change is a globally ccurring phenomenon so the continuous observation is equired, and collaborative countermeasures are expected.	
4.7.7	Pests	Pests (termites) may have negative impacts on wooden buildings.	Termite-formonitoring prevention in place.		Termite-focused monitoring is cor and special atterpaid during May mating and swar season.	ntion is their	Regular monitoring is conducted, and becomes intensified between April and May.	Tit	Cultural Heritage Administration Yeongju City Ideanam County Gongju City Andong City Yangsan City Boeun County Suncheon City Ideritage Caretakers Every temple) Fermite extermination Companies	monii utilize preve monii carrie Daeh termii parts	ite-detection dogs an toring devices are sed, but they are not entire measures, so toring should be sed out at all times. eungsa Temple had te issues, so damage were dismntled and red in 2020.	
4.7.8	Micro-organisms	Micro-organisms negative impacts buildings and veg	on wooden	The property is monitored at al times and repaired when damaged.		nitoring	Changes are monitored at all times.	Ad Ye Co An Cit Su He			lar inspection by organism experts is ssary.	
4.10	Climate cha	ange and severe w	eather eve	nts								
4.10.1	Storms	Storms may cause damag wooden buildi fences, and ro tiles.	e in dar ngs, sto oof and rep	nen the property is maged from rms between June d September, it is paired as soon as assible.	Regular moni conducted, at becomes inte between June September.	nd it nsified	Special inspectic carried out betw June and Septe	een	Cultural Heritage Administration Yee City Haenam Cou Gongju City Andor Yangsan City Br County Suncheon Heritage Caretakers (Every temple).	nty ng City beun City	When damaged by storms, emergency funding is required for immediate repai works.	

4.10.6	Temperature change	Temperature change caused by global warming may have impacts on wooden buildings.	The property is monitored at all times and repaired when damaged.	Regular and 24-I monitoring are carried out.	hour	Changes are monitored at all times.		Cultural Heritage Administration Yeon; City Haenam County Gongju City Andong Yangsan City Boeun County Suncheon Ci Heritage Caretakers (Etemple).	City	None.
4.10.7	Other climate change impacts	Radical temperature change caused by global warming may have impacts on buildings.	The property is monitored at all times and repaired when damaged.	Regular and 24-h monitoring are car out.		Changes are monitored at all times.		Cultural Heritage Administration Yeong City Haenam County Gongju City Andong G Yangsan City Boeun County Suncheon Cit Heritage Caretakers (Entemple).	City	None.
4.11	Sudden ecolog	ical or geological events								
4.11.6	Fire (wildfire)	on wooden buildings and surrounding forests. part of the part of t	nd cultural is eritage guards be atrol the property be	egular monitoring conducted, and it ecomes intensified atween January and May.	preve and t place atten	inuous ention measures training are in a, and special tition is paid een January May.	Adm City Gon Ya Cou Heri	ultural Heritage inistration Yeongju Haenam County gju City Andong City Ingsan City Ingsan City Ingsan City Ity Ity Ity Ity Ity Ity Ity Ity Ity I	fire mo	nents such as onitors should ularly ted, repaired, operly
Summary - Factors affecting the Property completed										

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan						
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	The property is managed under the disaster preparation guidelines of the Cultural Heritage Protection Act.	A comprehensive maintenance plan is established every five years.	Cultural Heritage Administration Yeongju City Haenam County Gongju City Andong City Yangsan City Boeun County Suncheon City Heritage Caretakers (Tongdosa Temple, Buseoksa Temple, etc.)	Collaboration among the Cultural Heritage Administration, local governments, and temples is needed for optimal management.		
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	A comprehensive conservation and management plan is established, and regular monitoring and maintenance works are carried out for disaster risk reduction.	Monitoring is carried out annually.	Cultural Heritage Administration Yeongju City Haenam County Gongju City Andong City Yangsan City Boeun County Suncheon City Heritage Caretakers (Every temple) Security guards	Consistent disaster preparation activities are crucial.		
6.1	Funding						
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	Continual increment in the budget for the management of the property is requested.	Monitoring on budget and funding is carried out annually.	Cultural Heritage Administration Yeongju City Haenam County Gongju City Andong City Yangsan City Boeun County Suncheon City	Sufficient funding is necessary.		

6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	It is requested to increase the number of management staffs.	Monitoring on management nee and available human resources carried out annually.	_	Increment in the number of management staffs and professionals as well as capacity-building programmes are necessary.	
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	The World Heritage Strategies and action plan are being established with the legal basis of the Cultural Heritage Protection Act and the Korean Traditional Temples Preservation and Support Act.	Monitoring on capacity-building strategies is carried out annual	Cultural Heritage Administration Yeongju City Haenam County Gongju City Andong City Yangsan City Boeun County Suncheon City ICOMOS-KOREA	Professionals' advices on the World Heritage Strategies are necessary, and further programmes and opinion-sharing are crucial for capacity-building of the associated personnels in the local governments.	
6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff	Capacity-building programme is carried out, and training for establishing a conservation and management plan is offered.	Capacity-building programme is carried out annually.	Cultural Heritage Administration Yeongju City Haenam County Gongju City Andong City Yangsan City Boeun County Suncheon City	Consistent reinforcement of education programmes is necessary.	
9	Visitor Managemer	nt				
	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation	conservation and management plan for tourism is being established.	Monitoring on tourism pressure is carried out annually.	Cultural Heritage Administration Yeongju City Haenam County Gongju City Andong City Yangsan City Boeun County Suncheon City	Measures to deal with tourism should be implemented for the protection of the properties.	
Summary - Management Needs completed						

- 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property
- 12.3.1 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

 The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved
- 12.3.2 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact
- 12.3.3 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been ${\bf maintained}.$

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

- 12.3.5 Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.
- 13. Impact of World Heritage Status
- 13.1 Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive

Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	Positive
Advocacy	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Gender equality	Positive
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Positive
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

- Construction of a new hall of National Protection (Hogukdaejeon) of Daeheungsa temple.

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Sustainable Development

Synergies

Governance

Capacity Building

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Not needed
Site Managers	Not needed
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Not needed
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Not needed

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans

Other

Education, Research

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

- The data collected from the 3rd periodic report can be used as research data for the proposal for the periodic inspection of the World Heritage and for the 4th periodic report.

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

ICCROM International/regional

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

960 / 320 / 320 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training		×

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire		×		
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions		×		

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	No support
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	No support
UNESCO National Commission	No support
ICOMOS International	No support
IUCN International	No support
ICCROM international/regional	No support
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	No support

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	No support

State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	No support
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	No support
National Commission for UNESCO	No support
ICOMOS International	No support
ICCROM International/regional	No support
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	No support
IUCN International	No support

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

- 15.5.4 If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?
- 15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
- 15.6.1 Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

- 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.2 Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.