Hidden Christian Sites in the Nagasaki Region

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Hidden Christian Sites in the Nagasaki Region

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Remains of Hara Castle	32.629 / 130.254	45.69	1184.39	1230.08	
Kasuga Village and Sacred Places in Hirado (Kasuga Village and Mt. Yasumandake)	33.339 / 129.444	320.5	1934.27	2254.77	
Kasuga Village and Sacred Places in Hirado (Nakaenoshima Island)	33.374 / 129.464	2.96	?	2.96	
Sakitsu Village in Amakusa	32.312 / 130.026	1.28	152.14	153.42	
Shitsu Village in Sotome	32.845 / 129.701	35.07	479.25	514.32	
Ono Village in Sotome	32.865 / 129.686	58.09	198.22	256.31	
Villages on Kuroshima Island	33.139 / 129.537	458.99	1581.65	2040.64	
Remains of Villages on Nozaki Island	33.187 / 129.129	704.75	1824.4	2529.15	
Villages on Kashiragashima Island	33.012 / 129.183	111.48	934.76	1046.24	
Villages on Hisaka Island	32.802 / 128.904	3732.72	3589.98	7322.7	
Egami Village on Naru Island (Egami Church and its Surroundings)	32.855 / 128.904	94.11	325.78	419.89	
Oura Cathedral	32.734 / 129.87	0.91	47.68	48.59	
Total (ha)		5566.55	12252.52	17819.07	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Hidden Christian Sites in the Nagasaki Region - Maps of the Inscribed Property	2018	

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

1. Hidden Christian Sites in the Nagasaki Region (Official Website)

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

At the property, part of Remains of Hara Castle is designated as UNESCO Global Geoparks.

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years? Not applicable

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

At the property, part of Remains of Hara Castle is designated as UNESCO Global Geoparks.

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

Yes

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

Traditional skills, techniques and knowledge for the conservation and transmission of wooden architecture in Japan (Japan, 2020)

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

Not aware

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

N/A

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief synthesis

Located in the Nagasaki and Kumamoto prefectures in the northwestern part of Kyushu Island of the Japanese Archipelago, the 'Hidden Christian Sites in the Nagasaki Region' is a serial property comprising 12 components, made up of ten villages, one castle remains, and one cathedral dating from between the 17th and 19th centuries. They reflect the era of prohibition of the Christian faith, as well as the revitalization of Christian communities after the official lifting of the prohibition in 1873. Hidden Christians survived as communities that formed small villages sited along the seacoast or on remote islands to which Hidden Christians migrated during the ban on Christianity. Hidden Christians gave rise to a distinctive religious tradition that was seemingly vernacular yet which maintained the essence of Christianity, and they survived continuing their faith over the ensuing two centuries.

Criterion (iii): The Hidden Christian Sites in the Nagasaki Region bear unique testimony to a distinctive religious tradition nurtured by Hidden Christians who secretly transmitted their faith in Christianity during the time of prohibition spanning more than two centuries in Japan, from the 17th to the 19th century.

Integrity

The 12 components not only include all of the elements necessary to express the Outstanding Universal Value of the property but are also of an adequate size and in a good state of conservation. Thorough and complete protection measures have been taken for each of the components in accordance with all relevant national laws and regulations – including the Law for the Protection of Cultural Properties. Within the buffer zones of the property, appropriate protection is provided not only by the Law for the Protection of Cultural Properties but also by the Landscape Act and other relevant laws and regulations. Therefore, the property does not suffer from any adverse effects of development or neglect, and it has been effectively conserved together with its surrounding landscape.

Authenticity

Each component of the property maintains a high degree of authenticity based on the attributes selected according to its nature. The villages possess a high degree of authenticity based on their attributes of 'form and design', 'use and function', 'traditions, techniques and management systems', 'location and setting', and 'spirit and feeling'. The component, 'Remains of Hara Castle', has lost its authenticity related to 'use and function', as it is an archaeological site, but it retains a high degree of authenticity in regard to the other attributes. Oura Cathedral and the Egami Church in Egami Village on Naru Island possess a high degree of authenticity in terms of 'materials and substance' in addition to the other attributes as they are architectural works.

Protection and management requirements

The property and its buffer zones are properly conserved under various laws and regulations including the Law for the Protection of Cultural Properties. Furthermore, Nagasaki Prefecture, Kumamoto Prefecture and relevant municipalities have formulated a robust Comprehensive Preservation and Management Plan from the perspective of safeguarding the Outstanding Universal Value of the property as a whole. The framework for implementing this plan comprises a World Heritage Preservation and Utilisation Council which works in cooperation with the owners of the components and other stakeholders. The Council is operated for the appropriate protection, enhancement and utilisation of the property. The Council receives guidance from, and consults with, experts comprising an academic committee (the Nagasaki World Heritage Academic Committee), as well as the Agency for Cultural Affairs, which is the principal agency in charge of protection of Japan's cultural properties.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Hidden Christians survived as communities that formed small villages sited along the seacoast or on remote islands to which Hidden Christians migrated during the ban on Christianity.	×			
3.2.2	Hidden Christians gave rise to a distinctive religious tradition that was seemingly vernacular yet which maintained the essence of Christianity, and they survived continuing their faith over the ensuing two centuries.	×			
3.2.3					
3.2.4					
3.2.5					

3.2.6			
3.2.7			
3.2.8			
3.2.9			
3.2.10			
3.2.11			
3.2.12			
3.2.13			
3.2.14			
3.2.15			

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value The property's Outstanding Universal Value and its attributes are appropriately preserved.

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing				
Relevant	X Not relevant			
4.1.2 - Commercial development				
Relevant	X Not relevant			
4.1.3 - Industrial areas				
Relevant X Not relevant				
4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure				

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

X Relevant			I	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×		→	
Negative							

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.1.5Each municipality in which a component exists has had information centers that present the component's value and attractiveness since before inscription. They have a consistently positive impact on the property by, for example, encouraging visitors' understanding of the OUV.

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×		\rightarrow	
Negative							
4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure							

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

× Not relevant

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.2.1Restrooms, car parks, information centers, and other facilities provided for visitor convenience are developed with the landscape in mind. They have a consistently positive impact on the property.

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Relevant		X Not relevant					
4.3.2 - Renewable energy f	acilities						
X Relevant			I	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×			
4.3.3 - Non-renewable ener	gy facilities						
Relevant			X Not relevant				
4.3.4 - Localised utilities							
Relevant		X Not relevant					
4.3.5 - Major linear utilities							
Relevant			× Not relevant				

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.3.2There are plans to develop onshore and offshore wind power near the property (outside the core zones and buffer zones), and we are currently implementing HIA in an appropriate manner.

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Relevant	X Not relevant			
I.4.2 - Ground water pollution				
Relevant	X Not relevant			
4.4.3 - Surface water pollution				
Relevant	X Not relevant			
4.4.4 - Air pollution				
Relevant	X Not relevant			
4.4.5 - Solid waste				
Relevant	X Not relevant			
4.4.6 - Input of excess energy				
Relevant	X Not relevant			

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

N/A

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

X Relevant			Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×	×		→		
Negative								
4.5.2 - Aquaculture								
Relevant			× Not relevant					
4.5.3 - Land conversion								
Relevant			× Not relevant					
4.5.4 - Livestock farming/G	razing of dome	esticated animal	s					
Relevant			× Not relevant					
4.5.5 - Crop production								
X Relevant			I	Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
OPositive X	×		×	×		→		
Negative								
4.5.6 - Commercial wild pla	int collection							
Relevant			X Not relevant					
4.5.7 - Subsistence wild pla	ant collection							
Relevant			X Not relevant					
4.5.8 - Commercial hunting	I							
Relevant			X Not relevant					
4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting	9							
Relevant			X Not relevant					
4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood pro	duction							
Relevant			× Not relevant					
4.5.11 - Please comment as positively 4.5.1 to 4.5.5Subsistence on ag	-					roperty either	negatively or	
4.6. Physical resource ex	traction							
4.6.1 - Mining								
Relevant			× Not relevant					
4.6.2 - Quarrying								
Relevant			× Not relevant					

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

× Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

× Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

N/A

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.7.2 - Relative humidity	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.7.3 - Temperature	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.7.4 - Radiation/Light	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.7.5 - Dust	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.7.7 - Pests

× Relevant	Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
Negative X	×		×	×		\rightarrow			

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7.7Wild boars, deers, and other pests cause damage to stoneworks, stone walls, and the like. We conduct repairs at damaged locations as necessary. We are also working to lessen damage by periodically capturing pests.

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Gurrent	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×			\rightarrow		
Negative								

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×	×			1	

Negative								
4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting								
Relevant			× Not relevan	nt				
4.8.4 - Changes in traditior	nal ways of life	and knowledge	system					
X Relevant	X Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×	×			1	
Negative								
4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community								
Relevant	× Not relevan	nt						
4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation								

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×		→	
Negative							

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

We are working to prevent the loss of faith-related memory by disseminating information on Christianity-related properties and conducting surveys of Hidden Christians' devotional items, resulting in growing positive impacts.

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.3 - Military training	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.4 - War	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.5 - Terrorism	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.6 - Civil unrest	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

N/A

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing

O Positive							
Negative X	×		×	×		\rightarrow	
4.10.2 - Flooding							
X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Impact	9 Potential	Origin Inside	C Outside	Trend of impact	→ Stable	Increasing
Impact Opsitive		Potential	-	C Outside	•	→ Stable	
		역 Potential ×	-	C Outside	•	→ Stable	Increasing

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.10.4 - Desertification	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.10.6 - Temperature change	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.10.1Typhoon damage occurs, although the severity varies from year to year depending on the courses that typhoons take. We conduct repairs at damaged locations as necessary. 4.10.2Typhoons and torrential rains could cause flood damage, including inflow of rainwater into the property and effluence of earth and sand.

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Relevant								
Relevant			× Not releva	nt				
4.11.2 - Earthquake								
X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X		×	×	×		→		
4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave	e							
Relevant	televant			nt				
4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslid	de							
Relevant			X Not relevant					
4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation	on/Deposition							
Relevant			X Not relevant					
4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)								
X Relevant			Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	

Positive					
Negative X	×	×	×	→	

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.11.2Although earthquakes are difficult to predict, one can never say in the Japanese archipelago that earthquakes have no impact. 4.11.6A great deal of wood is used in the buildings and other structures in the components, including churches, which can cause fires.

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.6 - Modified genetic material	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

N/A

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

× Relevant			1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×		×	×		→			
Negative									

4.13.2 - Legal framework

X Relevant			1	Not relevant					
	Impact C		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×		×	×		→			
Negative									

4.13.3 - Governance

× Relevant	evant				Not relevant					
	Impact C		Origin		Trend of impact					
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive X	×		×	×		\rightarrow				
Negative										

Not relevant

4.13.4 - Management activities

× .	Relevant	
	Relevant	

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×	×		→		
Negative								

4.13.5 - Financial resources

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact C		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×	×		→		
Negative								

4.13.6 - Human resources

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×		×	×		\rightarrow			
Negative									

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
Positive X	×		×	×		\rightarrow			
Negative									

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

For the management system, we set up a council to discuss preservation and utilization, promote the property's comprehensive preservation and utilization as well as conservation of the surrounding environment, and further strive to appropriately provide protection and conservation based on a comprehensive preservation and management plan and other plans for preserving individual components.

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

N/A

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	٢	9		۲	Ċ	→
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	٢	4		٢	۴	→
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities						

	0		9		Ċ	
4.5 Biological resource use/modification						
4.5.1 Fishing/collecting aquatic resources	٢	4		۲	۴	→
4.5.5 Crop production	٢	4		۲	Ċ	→
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.7 Pests						
	٢	9		٢	Ċ	→
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	٢	9		۲		→
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	٢	9		۲	Ċ	/
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	٢	9		۲	Ċ	1
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	0	4		٢	٢	→
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.1 Storms	0	a		۲	æ	→
4.10.2 Flooding	-				4	
	0		9	٢	Ċ	→
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.2 Earthquake						
	9		9	٢	Ċ	→
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)	9		4	٢	Ċ	→
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	٢	9		٢	Ċ	→
4.13.2 Legal framework	٢	4		٩	Ċ	→
4.13.3 Governance	٢	9		۲	Ċ	→
4.13.4 Management activities	٢	9		٢	٢	→
4.13.5 Financial resources	٥	4		٢	۴	→
4.13.6 Human resources	٢	4		٢	٢	→
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	٢	9		۲	Ċ	→

Legend	4 Current	9 Potential	Negative	O Positive	 Inside 	Cutside

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.1.5 Interp	1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities		4	٢	Ċ	→
Spatial cor	le - Area affected by the factor					
Spatial SCa	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
~						
×	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - In	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend
1 Ground transport infrastructure		9		۲	Ċ	→
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
Restricted						
Localised						

	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.3.2 Renew	3.2 Renewable energy facilities					
		0	9		Ċ	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					

	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.5 Biological resource use/modification

As Paria	Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
National Anterior	4.5.1 Fishir			9	٩	Ċ	→	
National Anterior								
National Anterior	Creation and	a Area affected by the feature						
A second of the second of t								
karaking in the second of the inpact is the second of t	×							
<pre> Family and a second of the speed of th</pre>								
Temperature - Courance of the impact Impact - Courance of the impact - Courance - C								
A constraint or range of a range of a sporadie of a spo								
<pre>intermited or spondic intermited or spo</pre>	Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
in equa is a serie of the se		One off or rare						
x or open of the strict of		Intermittent or sporadic						
Insignificant in		Frequent						
inignificant inign	×	On-going						
Minor Antipation of the second of the sec	Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
* Interface of the second s		Insignificant						
Major Magior Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity and / or resources Tend - Journal of Spaning Decreasing Maior Impact - Spaning Impact - Spaning Tend Spaning Impact - Spaning <td colspan:="" impa<="" td=""><td></td><td>Minor</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td>	<td></td> <td>Minor</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		Minor					
Management to respond High capacity High capacity Second	×	Significant						
High capacity Kedium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Intersection Service Serv		Major						
* Medium capacity keium capacity Low capacity ko capacity and / or resources Trend- Decreasing Static Increasing Name Name Associote Associote Main Associote (a) and a low a lo	Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
i constanting of the second		High capacity						
No capacity and / or resources Tend - Decreasing Static Increasing Name Name 1.55 Crop roluction Image: Tend - Decreasing	×	Medium capacity						
Image: second		Low capacity						
Increasing Origin Trend Association <		No capacity and / or resources						
Increasing Impact Origin Trend Asso Crown Impact Impact <td>Trend - Dev</td> <td>relopement over the last 6 years</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
Increasing Impact Origin Trend 4.5.5 Crop production Impact Impact <t< td=""><td></td><td>Decreasing</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		Decreasing						
Name Impact Origin Trend 4.5.5 Crop production Impact	×	Static						
4.5.5 Crop production		Increasing						
4.5.5 Crop production								
	Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	4.5.5 Crop	production	٢	4	٢	۴	→	
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor								
	Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						

	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurrence of the impact
Temporar	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name		Impact	:	Origin		Trend
4.7.7 Pest	S					
		0	9	۹	Ċ	→
Spatial co	ale - Area affected by the factor					
Spatial St						
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - I	mpact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					

	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name		Impact	:	Origin		Trend
	al/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	0	9	•		→
Spatial s	cale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Tempora	I scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact -	Impact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manager	nent response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - [evelopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact	t	Origin		Trend
4.8.2 So	iety's valuing of heritage	٢	9	۲	Ċ	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor Restricted

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - In	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static

×	Increasing
---	------------

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.8.4 Chan	4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system		9	٢	Ċ	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	Impact - Impact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					

Significant

Major ×

Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing

Static Increasing ×

me		Impact			Origin		
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	٢	9		۲	Ċ	→	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name

Trend

Origin

Impact



Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.10.2 Flooding						
	9		9	۲	Ċ	\rightarrow

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

•	•
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant

	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

		In the set		Origin		Trend		
Name			Impact			Origin		
4.11.2 Ear	hquake							
		9		9	٢	٢	→	
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted							
	Localised							
	Extensive							
×	Widespread							
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact							
×	One off or rare							
	Intermittent or sporadic							
	Frequent							
	On-going							
Impact - In	npact on the attributes							
	Insignificant							
	Minor							
	Significant							
×	Major							
Managemo	ent response - Capacity of management to respond							
	High capacity							
×	Medium capacity							
	Low capacity							
	No capacity and / or resources							
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing							
	Static							
×	Increasing							

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.11.6 Fire	4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)					
		0	9	٩	Ċ	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.13.1 Man	agement system/Management plan	٢	9		۲	Ċ	→
Spatial cos	ale - Area affected by the factor						
Spatial Sca							
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						

×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
×	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.13.2 Legal framework	٢	9		۹	Ċ	→

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
×	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static

Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.13.3 Governance	٢	9		٢	Ċ	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
×	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name		Impact			Origin	
4.13.4 Management activities		9		۲	Ċ	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

opullai oou	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent

×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
×	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

e		Impact			Origin		
4.13.5 Financial resources	٩	4		۲	Ċ	→	

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor			
	Restricted			
	Localised			
	Extensive			
×	Widespread			
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
×	On-going			
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes			
	Insignificant			
	Minor			
	Significant			
×	Major			
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond			
×	High capacity			
	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
×	Static			

Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.13.6 Human resources		4		٢	Ċ	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
×	Widespread				
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact - Im	apact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
×	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

Name	Impact	t	Origin		Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	٢	9	۲	Ċ	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

opatiai 302	ine - Alea anected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent

×	On-going				
Impact - Im	Impact - Impact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

Components affected by each factor are indicated on chapter1 (1.3) 4.3.21495-002,005,006,007,008,009 4.5.11495-004,007,009,010 4.5.51495-002,005,006,007,009,010 4.7.71495-002,005,006,007,009,010,011 4.8.11495-002,003,004,005,006,007,009,010,011 Other/ All component

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Hidden Christians survived as communities that formed small villages sited along the seacoast or on remote islands to which Hidden Christians migrated during the ban on Christianity.	×			
4.18.1.2	Hidden Christians gave rise to a distinctive religious tradition that was seemingly vernacular yet which maintained the essence of Christianity, and they survived continuing their faith over the ensuing two centuries.	×			
4.18.1.3					
4.18.1.4					
4.18.1.5					

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

We make information on each component widely available, and handle this issue by such means as indicating property boundaries within that information.

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

Comment

The components are fundamentally protected by the Act on Protection of Cultural Properties, and new development activities are restricted (one National Treasure, seven Important Cultural Properties, two Historic Sites, eight Important Cultural Landscapes, and one Important Preservation District for Groups of Historic Buildings). However, the Landscape Act is applied as a protective measure for topography solely in the case of "Egami Village on Naru Island (Egami Church and its Surroundings)."

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for** effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

We establish a preservation policy through a preservation and management plan that is based on the Act on Protection of Cultural Properties, and concerned local governments regulate changes to the property's status quo based on discussions with the Agency for Cultural Affairs as appropriate.

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

For "Egami Village on Naru Island (Egami Church and its Surroundings)," which is one of the components, local governments are working toward selection as an Important Cultural Landscape under national law. If the component is selected, its production under the Act on Protection of Cultural Properties will be secured and a stronger protection scheme can be built.

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system joint regional/local

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)

Traditional ways of management recognised by local communities and other specific groups

Agreed 'Memorandums of Understanding' between different managing institutions, groups or others, including documents agreed with local communities for management

A code of practice developed by local communities or other groups

A management plan

An annual work plan or business plan

A disaster, climate or conflict risk management plan

An environmental management framework

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

We are building an appropriate management system by establishing a World Heritage Preservation and Utilization Council as an organization for information-sharing, deliberations, and consensus building among concerned local governments, component owners, and groups that lead protection.

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Management Plan	N/A	Available	2018	

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

No use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done. N/A

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

N/A

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ? No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

N/A

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is adequate coordination between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being fully implemented and monitored

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented? An annual work/action plan exists and many of its activities are being implemented

An annual workaction plan exists and many of its activities are being implemented

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities					×
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone			×		
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women			×		
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify	N/A				

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					×
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners				×	
5.3.16.5	Women				×	
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children				×	
5.3.16.7	Researchers				×	

5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists		×	
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists		×	
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry		×	
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries		×	
5.3.16.12	NGOs	×		
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×		
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	N/A		

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention*

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality				×	
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)				×	
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				×	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach				×	
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				×	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property	×				

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

Local governments are, of course, fair and impartial organizations with respect to the local communities that provide daily management. Local governments support local communities' activities and maintain them in sound condition. When activities pick up momentum, economic development can occur and contribute to people's livelihood through the creation of new jobs and the like.

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

We are managing each component based on the Comprehensive Preservation and Management Plan that we submitted together with the nomination dossier. The Act on Protection of Cultural Properties (which provides legal protective measures) is functioning effectively. And we are managing the property after building a good relationship with local communities and local authorities.

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	20 %	2 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	29 %	3 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	51 %	95 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above $N\!/\!A$

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is adequate for effective management of the World Heritage property

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over both the medium- and long-term

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

We secure sufficient funding for the World Heritage property each year together with the national government, prefectural government, and local municipalities.

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	55 %	52 %
6.1.6.2	Women	45 %	48 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following

disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done. N/A

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Public awareness to promote understanding of the World Heritage property, training to improve guides' know-how and skills, and other such activities are underway within the local authorities that have components. However, there are still some staff members who have not yet received the necessary knowledge and know-how, and therefore we will continue devoting ourselves to this task.

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is adequate

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared with local communities and some national agencies

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

We implement training projects at local universities and components that produce a certain level of achievement each year. We share information on those achievements with local communities, the local authorities having jurisdiction over the components, and others.

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Women	Fair
Youth/children	Fair
Researchers	Fair
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Fair
NGOs	Not applicable
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	N/A

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned and effective education and awareness programme for children and youth that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities		
Local/municipal authorities		
Landowners		

Women	
Youth/children	
Researchers	
Local Visitors	
National/international tourists	

Tourism industry

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Good
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Good
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Fair
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	N/A

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

We provide training to improve the skills of component guides; this includes education for children that incorporates the World Heritage property. We are also working to disseminate information effectively by, for example, enhancing websites and setting up visitor centers within components, etc.

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

510,498 / 936,765 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Visitor surveys

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One to three hours

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

Interviews with people involved

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

100 / 57 / 34 / 49 /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

Tourism statistics of Nagasaki Prefecture

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

The Comprehensive Preservation and Management Plan that we submitted to UNESCO notes "visitation management and appropriate disclosure (harmonizing daily life/religious activity and tourism)" and includes measures and an action plan. We are considering conducting a study and taking other actions with respect to the "carrying capacity' and management of potential tourism" noted in the additional recommendations at the time of World Heritage inscription.

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

We engage in regularly scheduled dialogues with such bodies as the Catholic Church Archdiocese of Nagasaki (church owner) and the Hidden Christian Sites in the Nagasaki Region Information Centre (general contact point for receiving visitors) and share information with them. We also conducted periodic surveys (one year

and two years after inscription) to ascertain issues and existing circumstances following inscription.

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is good cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property? Fees are collected, and make some contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

Visitation is sustained through the holding of events and activities to disseminate information that make effective use of local resources. Such activities have good effects for the villages in that they produce not only economic effects but also non-economic effects, such as making villages more vibrant and giving local residents a feeling of purpose in life.

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

As an example of economic benefit, income from the sales of locally produced goods is increasing at information centers, etc. Examples of social benefit include more vibrant villages and feelings of purpose in life among local residents that come as products of interaction between residents and visitors.

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

The number of World Heritage property visitors is declining due to the COVID-19 pandemic. By ensuring the World Heritage's conservation and protection while also utilizing it as a tourism resource, we are building a framework that can receive economic benefits for local communities and provide good management as a result.

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, integrated programme of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system		×		
10.3.3	Character of governance		×		
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations		×		
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development		×		
10.3.6	Capacity development		×		

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

Various conditions that impact on the property (development, environmental change, natural disaster, tourism, community vitality) Protection of components and their elements (damage from deterioration, change in condition, etc.; protection of the property; protection of structures; protection of village land use patterns) Communication of the Outstanding Universal Value (communication of the OUV, visitor convenience, provision of information, private-sector activities)

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good

Local communities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Women	Fair
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industry	Poor
NGOs	Not applicable
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	N/A

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee? Implementation is underway

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

We are sequentially preparing records for components for which records do not exist. We actively notify communities and individual owners of assistance schemes for Important Cultural Landscapes, or when schemes are available to them. With advice from experts, we are surveying the current circumstances and issues of each component and conducting studies toward ascertaining carrying capacity and implementing tourism management. We conduct Heritage Impact Assessments on new developments.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

We are currently preparing revisions of monitoring indicators. We are also conducting a detailed arrangement of each element, such as property preservation management policies.

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	No use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	×
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×
6.1	Funding	
6.1.10	No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	×
6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff	×
9	Visitor Management	
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation	×
10	Monitoring	
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	×
Pleas	se select 0 more issues.	
D Ple	ease save this question to reflect changes	

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.3 Services Infrastructures

4.3.2	Renewable energy facilities	Although nothing will be constructed within the components, construction inside or outside the buffer zone could cause negative visual impacts viewed from the components.	we conduct a Heritage Impact Assessment as appropriate while holding discussions with experts and others and coordinate with the developer, local authorities, and others to ensure that the project does not affect World Heritage value.	With the implementatio of Heritage Impact Assessments from the planning stage, we wor to execute proper Heritage Impact Assessments that analyze impacts on the property and envision potential and current impacts and the like.	Impact Assessment report to the Agency k for Cultural Affairs following a process that involves discussions about		Government authorization of offshore wind power is progressing following the adoption of the Act on Promoting the Utilization of Sea Areas for the Development of Marine Renewable Energy Power Generation Facilities.
4.7	Local condit	tions affecting physical	fabric				
4.7.7	Pests	Wild boars and other pests cause damage to stone walls and other constructions in the fields of the villages.	The villages are working to prevent damage by installing fences to prevent entry into farmlands and other areas and cutting down thickets and bamboo groves. It should be noted that stone walls and the like are repaired as necessary.	We maintain the property's state by conducting regular confirmations and ascertaining its condition.	We conduct regular on-site confirmations. After ascertaining the property's condition, we conduct restoration and repair work. Pest extermination is conducted annually.	Local authorities, local communities	They are also capturing harmful wildlife while giving attention to the ecosystem in order to systematically reduce pest damage.
4.10	Climate cha	nge and severe weather	r events				
4.10.1	Storms	Typhoons cause direct damage in the components. Such damage includes the scattering of church roof tiles and damage to fixtures.	For the churches, we rethatch roofs, fix leaks, and the like during preservation repairs. In cases of minor damage, the local congregation that manages the damaged component conducts repairs and mending as appropriate.	After a typhoon passes, we quickly conduct on-site checks and conduct appropriate emergency measures and repairs if abnormalities are found. We also have a system in place for sharing damage information among stakeholders.	We implement typhoon countermeasures (such as preventing roof-tile scattering) before typhoons arrive. After a typhoon passes, we quickly check the damage situation and ascertain whether or not any abnormalities exist.	Local authorities, local communities, property owners	Geographically, the locations of Nagasaki and the Amakusa region make them susceptible to low pressure systems and fronts that develop in the East China Sea and typhoons that develop in the Pacific Ocean. We implement necessary measures accordingly.
4.10.2	Flooding	(flood) is also assumed as a kind of natural disaster that could occur in the future. Direct damage in such forms as inflow of rainwater into the property and effluence of earth and sand	Concerned local governments are executing construction projects to prevent earth and sand effluence, landslides, cliff collapses, and river flooding in areas where disasters have occurred and could occur based on regional disaster prevention plans.	Concerned local governments maintain a system to constantly observe water levels in major rivers and ocean tide levels, and must immediately notify the relevant authorities when abnormalities are observed.	make regular rounds	Local authorities, local communities, property owners	Geographically, the locations of Nagasaki and the Amakusa region make them susceptible to low pressure systems and fronts that develop in the East China Sea and typhoons that develop in the Pacific Ocean. We implement necessary measures accordingly.
4.11	Sudden eco	logical or geological ev	ents				
4.11.2	Earthquake	Recent earthquakes in the Kyushu region did not damage the property's components. However, earthquakes are expected to occur, depending on the region, and direct damage to buildings and other parts of the components is anticipated.	For churches and oth structures, we mainta a system for coping with earthquakes by conducting seismic diagnoses whenever making preservation repairs and making implementing necessary seismic reinforcement.	in place for quickly conducting on-site checks after an earthquake and	in We strive to prevent damage by systematically promoting disaster prevention measures based on the "regiona disaster prevention plan" formulated by th concerned local government and the component's "preservation and management plan.		As a nation, Japan experiences more earthquakes than other countries. Although Nagasaki and the Amakusa region have relatively fewer earthquakes than other parts of Japan, we implement necessary measures accordingly.

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.3 Management System/Management Plan

5.3	Management System/Management Plan					
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
5.3.5	No use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	We are gathering information on examples of leading practices at other World Heritage properties in Japan and studying actions for sustained protection of our property	We share information on current status and issues at each component, representative initiatives, and other matters amon stakeholders.	Local authorities, experts	While receiving advice from experts and others, we will endeavor to improve our practices for the sustained protection of the property.	
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	We formulated a "basic coastal conservation plan (latest version: 2015)" that considers climate change and rising sea levels and implement necessary measures accordingly.	We strive to alleviate damage through non-structural measures, such as establishing warning and evacuation systems, notifying the public about evacuation areas, and providing information.	Local authorities, national government	We review the plan as appropriate with consideration for changes and trends in social conditions, the climate, etc.	
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	The concerned local governments formulate a "regional disaster prevention plan" to reduce disaster risks. Various measures are implemented in accordance with the basic policies presented in this plan.	We strive to prevent damage by systematically promoting disaster prevention measures based on the "regional disaster prevention plan" formulated by the concerned local governments and the components "preservation and management plans."		Moreover, we will promote year-round activities that include holding disaster drills by local authorities and informing the public about disaster preparation.	
6.1	Funding					
6.1.10	No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	Training sessions (workshops) are held for groups that lead protection in concerned local governments. We also hold information exchange meetings to share information among components.	We will promote to develop human resources throughout the year, such as training sessions (workshops) and World Heritage education program for children, in an effort to foster an awareness of the need to "protect World Heritage sites together."	Local authorities, national government, experts	Also, we promote school education-linked measures for children, who will be responsible for the property in the future, to promote pride and affection for their home region and provide opportunities for learning about it.	
6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical	Training sessions (workshops) are held for groups that lead protection in concerned local governments. We also hold information exchange meetings to share information among components.	We will promote to develop human resources throughout the year, such as training sessions (workshops) and World Heritage education program for children, in an effort to foster an awareness of the need to "protect World Heritage sites together."	Local authorities, national government, experts	Also, we promote school education-linked measures for children, who will be responsible for the property in the future, to promote pride and affection for their home region and provide opportunities for learning about it.	

work is carried out by external

	staff							
9	Visitor Manageme	ent						
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation	The current plan lacks a sufficient long-te We will therefore study capacity building a appropriate visitation management based World Heritage Committee's additional recommendations.	and	We will study indicate present state analysi monitoring and gradu toward building a new framework.	s and ally move	Local autho	rities	N/A
10	Monitoring							
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	We conduct monitoring using indicators relating to property conservation, factors that can affect the property, and communication of the property's value.	time, the mu current state each year ar Prefecture s and grasps t	tion of the property ove inicipalities record the of their components ad then Nagasaki ummarizes those repor the present state of the and their environments	governme	norities, nation	we will st will make stepped receiving	ponitoring indicators, udy revisions and p proceed in a manner while guidance from the or Cultural Affairs irts.

Summary - Management Needs completed

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property? The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

We are maintaining the property's Outstanding Universal Value by providing effective property management and conducting appropriate Heritage Impact Assessments.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	No impact
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	Positive

Advocacy	No impact
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	No impact
Gender equality	No impact
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Not applicable
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	No impact
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Not applicable
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	N/A

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

The property is receiving positive impacts in all areas, including conservation activities and better awareness in education, as a result of its inscription on the World Heritage List.

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

The property is almost entirely located on islands and peninsulas. As the population shrinks and ages, various initiatives are being undertaken by community organizations associated with each component (region) and others to revitalize the World Heritage villages. They include the holding of events, the development and sales of specialty products, and exchanges and hospitality between local residents and visitors at regional information centers that lead to community rejuvenation and vitality. In addition, the property contains structures that have deteriorated and need repair as well as structures that require reinforcement as a result of seismic diagnoses. Because of this, we are working to protect the components and ensure the visitors' safety while alleviating the burden on owners by creating a fund for allocation to these needs.

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Management

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Fair
Site Managers	Fair
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Fair

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans

Awareness raising

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

We will effevtively utilize Periodic Reporting for such as improvement of monitoring guidelines.

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions re-	sponsible for cultural	and natural heritage

Local communities	
External experts	

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance has been given limited consideration and implementation is in process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? No

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

20 / 10 / 120 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources	×	
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire	×			
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions	×			

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire $\ensuremath{\text{N/A}}$

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	No support
UNESCO National Commission	No support
ICOMOS International	No support
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	No support
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Fair
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	No support
National Commission for UNESCO	No support
ICOMOS International	No support
ICCROM International/regional	No support

ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented? $N\!/\!A$

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise After concluding this Periodic Report Exercise, we believe there are no particularly major problems concerning the property's protection and management. Through the processes of responding to each item, our awareness of the issues deepened anew, and we were able to organize our responses based on study oriented toward resolving those issues.

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.