Victorian Gothic and Art Deco Ensembles of Mumbai

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Victorian Gothic and Art Deco Ensembles of Mumbai

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Victorian Gothic and Art Deco Ensembles of Mumbai	18.93 / 72.83	66.34	378.78	445.12	2018
Total (ha)		66.34	378.78	445.12	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Victorian Gothic and Art Deco Ensembles of Mumbai - Map of the Inscribed Property	2018	

- 1.5 Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)
- 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)
- 2.1 Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

- 2.2 Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary
- 2.3 Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

- 2.4 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

 No
- 2.5 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

 No.
- 2.6 Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

- 2.8 Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)
- 2.9 Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

 No
- 2.10 Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware
- 2.11 Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?
- 2.12 Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.
- 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
- 3.1 Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value Brief synthesis

Two waves of urban development of Mumbai in the 19th and 20th centuries transformed the city from a fortified trading outpost to the first city of India. The first expansion included the construction in the 1880s of a group of Victorian Gothic public buildings and the creation of the Oval Maidan.

The second expansion was the Backbay Reclamation Scheme in the early 20th century, which offered a new opportunity for Bombay to expand to the west with Art Deco residential, commercial and entertainment buildings and the creation of the Marine Drive sea front.

Today the Oval Maidan offers a spectacular ensemble of Victorian Gothic buildings on its eastern side, and another impressive ensemble of Art Deco buildings on its western side as a testimony to the modernization phases that Mumbai went through leading to a modern independent India in 1947.

Criterion (ii): Both the Victorian Gothic and the Art Deco ensembles exhibit an important exchange of European and Indian human values over a span of time. The Victorian assemblage of grand public buildings created an Indo-Gothic style by blending Gothic revival elements with Indian elements, with adaptations in response to the local climate by introducing balconies and verandas. Mumbai's Art Deco buildings of iconic cinema halls and apartment buildings blended Indian design with Art Deco imagery and created a unique style that became known as Indo-Deco. Its influence spread through the Indian sub-continent.

Criterion (iv): The Victorian Gothic and Art Deco ensembles reflect the developments in architecture and urban planning over two centuries. The two ensembles represent architectural styles, phases in the advancements of construction materials and techniques, urban planning philosophies, and historical phases which are distinctive and facing each other across the Oval Maidan. Both ensembles are the creation of the two major urban expansions of Bombay, which led to the development of the city to become the internationally important mercantile city of the twentieth century and up to the present.

Integrity

The assemblage of Victorian Gothic and Art Deco buildings retains a high degree of integrity in visual, spatial and planning terms with the Rajabai Clock tower as the visual high point and the Oval Maidan, which is a unifying element and a centrepiece offering to view both the Victorian and the Art Deco groups of buildings. It retains its integrity as a planned urban development. The wider settings of the property are vulnerable to urban development pressures.

Authenticity

The assemblage of Victorian Gothic and Art Deco buildings meets the conditions of authenticity in terms of architectural form, decorative motifs, design, scale and material. They also retain their original use. The Oval Maidan retains its authenticity as an urban open space and Marine Drive retains its setting as a sea-facing Art Deco development.

Even if individual buildings may have experienced modifications, their living nature, form and design are still authentic in general; in particular the use and function of each building remains almost unchanged in both the Victorian district and the Art Deco district.

Protection and management requirements

The legal protection of the property and buffer zone is based on the statute of the Government of Maharashtra, most importantly by the Heritage Regulations for Greater Bombay 1995, Regulation No. 67 (DCR 67). Under this regulation, buildings of the property are listed as Grade I, IIA, IIB or III. The property and its buffer zone fall within the two heritage precincts: Fort Precinct and Marine Drive Precinct.

The property is managed according to Section 52 of the Greater Mumbai Development Plan by the Heritage Conservation Committee, which was created by DCR 67. The Site Management Plan identifies nine objectives and presents an action plan consisting of 13 actions, with an indication of the stakeholders or agencies involved for each action, and whether it is an ongoing, short-, medium- or long-term action. It should be strengthened to include an organizational chart, the legal provisions of the management of the property, an implementation mechanism for the management action plan and a management tourism strategy.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a quideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	a conglomeration of 19 & 20th Century distinct architectural style	×			
3.2.2	Regulated topography & scale	×			
3.2.3	Indian influence in European architecture	×			
3.2.4	Indigenous motifs by Indian Master Craftsman	×			
3.2.5	Buildings still true to their original use	×			
3.2.6	Exhibit planning philisophies of a particular time int he planning of the city	×			
3.2.7		×			
3.2.8		×			
3.2.9		×			
3.2.10		×			
3.2.11		×			
3.2.12		×			
3.2.13		×			
3.2.14		×			
3.2.15		×			

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

The Property reflects the architectural value, which is of exceptional urban scale & planning. Such examples of two successive architectural styles of the highest order are seldom seen in such a complete form as at the property. Due to the exemplary quality of architectural planning and ornamental composition of the Victorian buildings, with key local adaptations and dexterity of use of material, they are of individual merit. This finely then blends into a 20th century city planning

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Relevant

** Not relevant

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Relevant

** Not relevant

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Relevant X Not relevant

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Relevant X Not relevant

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Relevant X Not relevant

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

None of the above are affecting the property

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Relevant X Not relevant

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

* Relevant			ſ	Not relevant			
Impact		Origin Tre		Trend of impact			
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×		×			
Negative							

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Relevant X Not relevant

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Relevant X Not relevant

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

X Relevant				Not relevant			
Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×		×			
Negative							

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The Underground metro line as described in the Site Management Plan as a potential transport infrastructure passing from under the Property, is now under construction along the edge of the Oval Maidan. The underground metro line being an underground line does not affect any vision lines of the site. However, this mode of transport promises to decongest the vehicular traffic coming into the Property with the availability of a new transport system

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Relevant X Not relevant

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Relevant X Not relevant

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Relevant X Not relevant

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

relevant
relevant

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Relevant X Not relevant

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

None of above factors are relevant and do not affect the property in any manner

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Relevant	www.r.
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Relevant	X Not relevant
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.4.4 - Air pollution

elevant	X Not relevant
---------	----------------

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

F	Relevant	X Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.5 - Crop production

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

✗ Not relevant	
✗ Not relevant	

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Relevant	X Not relevant				

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Relevant	* Not relevant
Noisvant	X Not relevant

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Relevant × Not relevant

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Relevant X Not relevant

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Relevant × Not relevant

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Relevant × Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

× Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Relevant × Not relevant

4.7.3 - Temperature

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Relevant × Not relevant

4.7.5 - Dust

Relevant × Not relevant

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Relevant × Not relevant

4.7.7 - Pests

Relevant × Not relevant

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

× Not relevant

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Relevant × Not relevant

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

× Not relevant

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

× Not relevant

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Relevant X Not relevant

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Relevant X Not relevant

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×			1
Negative							

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The city suddenly sees a surge in the number of visitors not only wanting to visit UNESCO Sites such as the VT (Chattrapati Shivaji Terminus) in isolation but have drawn to seeing local and administrative heritage such the the Victorian Buildings of the Oval Maidan, the Art deco of the OVal and the Marine Drive which have been identified unique to the city of Mumbai now. The locals also now identify these buildings as a part of their heritage and city character.

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.3 - Military training	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.4 - War	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.5 - Terrorism	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.6 - Civil unrest	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
4.10.2 - Flooding	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.10.3 - Drought	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.10.4 - Desertification

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts	

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

× Not relevant

The city of Mumbai has been prone to cyclones, thundershowers but none of these climatic alterations have dampened the stability of the buildings identified in the property. No negative impact has been seen.

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Relevant

•	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.11.2 - Earthquake	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)	

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Not relevant

Relevant

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species	
Relevant	★ Not relevant
4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species	
Relevant	✗ Not relevant
4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species	
Relevant	★ Not relevant
4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species	
Relevant	✗ Not relevant
4.12.6 - Modified genetic material	

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Not relevant

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

* Relevant			Not relevant	
	Impact	Origin		Trend of impact

Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
○ Positive ★	×		×			\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.13.2 - Legal framework

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact	Impact Origin			Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive 🗶	×		×				-	
Negative								

4.13.3 - Governance

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×				•
Negative							

4.13.4 - Management activities

D. L. C.	**
Relevant	X Not relevant
	Tot locality

4.13.5 - Financial resources

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin			Origin Trend of impact			
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×		×				•
Negative							

4.13.6 - Human resources

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin			Origin Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×		×			→	
Negative							

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

The management plan for the Property and Its Buffer commends the legislative policy framework protecting the heritage of the Fort Precinct with policies such as the DCR 67 under the Development Control Guidelines for Mumbai. The Property also sees a surge in the administrative buildings undergoing wonderful restoration practices, self funding initiatives and much more. Regular maintenance by owners is seen

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name					Impact			Origin		Trend
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure										
4.2.2 Underground transport infrastructure			O		9		G			
4.2.5 Effects arising fro	om use of transportati	ion infrastructure			O		9		Œ	
4.8 Social/Cultural uses	es of heritage									
4.8.6 Impacts of tourisi	m/Visitation/Recreation	on			0	9		•	Œ	-
4.13 Management and	institutional factors									
4.13.1 Management sys	stem/Management pla	an			O	9		•		→
4.13.2 Legal framework	k				O	9		•		7
4.13.3 Governance					O	9	q	•		7
4.13.5 Financial resour	rces				O	q		•		>
4.13.6 Human resource	es				O	9		•		→
Legend 9	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	de		Outside	de	
	343iii	, Storidar	<u> </u>	<u>. 3000</u>	G 11/310			- Culon		

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
	4.2.2 Underground transport infrastructure		q		G		
			•				
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Ir	npact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						

	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact	_	Origin		Trend
4.2.5 Effect	s arising from use of transportation infrastructure	•	9		(
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	O	9		•	G	P

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going On-going
Impact - In	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	Name			Origin	Trend
4.13.1 Man	4.13.1 Management system/Management plan		q	•	\rightarrow
0	he Assa effected by the factor				
Spatial Sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
×	Widespread				
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				

	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.13.2 Lega	framework	0	9	•	/
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
×	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Managemei	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				

Name

Trend

Origin

Impact

4.13.3 Gove	3.3 Governance	O	9	•	/
Spatial scale	e - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
×	Widespread				
Temporal so	ale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going On-going				
Impact - Imp	eact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Managemen	t response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Deve	elopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
	cial resources	()	q	@	<i>></i>
Spatial Scale	e - Area affected by the factor Restricted				
	Localised				
×	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal so	eale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
×	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Imp	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				

	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.13.6 Hum	an resources	O	9	•	→
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
	Increasing				

- 4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)
- 4.17.1 If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

Not Applicable

- 4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.
- 4.18.1 Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	a conglomeration of 19 & 20th Century distinct architectural style	×			
4.18.1.2	Regulated topography & scale	×			
4.18.1.3	Indian influence in European architecture	×			
4.18.1.4	Indigenous motifs by Indian Master Craftsman	×			
4.18.1.5	Buildings still true to their original use	×			

- 5. Protection and Management of the Property
- 5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones
- 5.1.1 Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

The boundaries defined in the Nomination are adequate and are well protected under the Heritage Regulations of Greater Bombay. The Buffer Zone was thus defined under the pre-protected Protected Fort Precinct

- 5.2. Protective Measures
- 5.2.1 Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

Comment

Mumbai has been a pioneer in India in the field of urban conservation as the first city to frame heritage regulations. Before this protection policy there was no other policy for built heritage outside the ambit of India's Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains Act of 1958 at the Central level. The Heritage Legislation for Mumbai is the Legislation of 1995, as a part of the Development Control Regulations No 67 protect the heritage buildings of the entire MMR Region

- 5.2.2 Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category
- 5.2.3 Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system joint regional/local

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A management plan

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

The Management Plan for the Property and its Buffer Zone is a comprehensive document that reinstates the Outstanding Universal Value of the site highlighting the various management tools, regulations, heritage norms, and urban issues which have been described in detail as per UNESCO guidelines. The Document was made with the Nomination Dossier for the Victorian Gothic and Art Deco Ensembles of Mumbai

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Management Plan	N/A	Available	2018	

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

Some use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

Various initiatives in capacity building, spreading awareness, stakeholder consultation are the premise on which this Property and its Buffer have been successfully identified and inscribed. Interactions with local occupants of the Art Deco residents, with local management bodies, has always been encouraged. Various regulatory and financial systems, funding initiatives have also been seen within the Property

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

- 5.3.8 If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:
- **5.3.9 Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ? Some use** has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

With the presence of various mitigative policies and Disaster management Acts, the governing bodies are in control of the Property's Management as mentioned in the site management plan submitted.

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is adequate coordination between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being fully implemented and monitored

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and all of its activities are being implemented and monitored

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				×	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone				×	

5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples		×	
5.3.15.5	Women			×
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×		
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify			

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					×
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples					×
5.3.16.4	Landowners					×
5.3.16.5	Women					×
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					×
5.3.16.7	Researchers					×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries					×
5.3.16.12	NGOs					×
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups					
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality			×		
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	×				
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status			×		
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach				×	
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				×	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property				×	

- 5.3.18 Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above
- ${\bf 5.3.19 \text{ -} Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan}$
- 6. Financial and Human Resources
- 6.1. Funding
- 6.1.1 If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	%	%

6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	%	%
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	%	%
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	%	%
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	%	%
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	100 %	100 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.12	Other	%	%
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available **budget is adequate** for effective management of the World Heritage property

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over both the medium- and long-term

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	50 %	50 %
6.1.6.2	Women	50 %	50 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Good

Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

- 6.1.11 If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.
- 6.1.12 Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and fully implemented; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally

- 6.1.13 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training
- 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects
- 7.1 Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **adequate**

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared widely with active outreach to local communities and national and international audiences

- 7.4 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects
- 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building
- 8.1 Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Good
Landowners	Good
Women	Good
Youth/children	Good
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Good
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Good
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Good
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned and effective education and awareness programme for children and youth that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Local/municipal authorities
Indigenous peoples
Landowners
Women
Youth/children
Researchers
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry
Local businesses and industries
NGOs

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Not needed
Site museum	Not needed
Information booths	Not needed
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Poor
Transportation facilities	Good
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

The site has flourished with various groups conducting heritage walks for citizens as well as tourist, local and international and is a very important part of Mumbai's tourist bucket list. The inscription of the site is also well promoted by various citizen initiatives such as Kala Ghoda Association, Art Deco Mumbai who spread awareness with heritage walks, tours, lectures, online interactions and via social media.

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Other

Can not be estimated

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

More than four overnight stays

$\ensuremath{\mathbf{9.4}}$ - Please provide the source of information

Being an expansive part of a city and a Historic Urban Landscape, the Victorian Gothic & Art Deco Ensembles of Mumbai do not have a designated site boundary that can be ticketed before a visitor moves in the site. Being a part of a larger city, the visitors are free to commute and appreciate the public architecture and the Art Deco residences fronting the Marine Drive bay. No ticketing is estimated within the property.

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

37/14/5/3/

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

As mentioned in 9.4 the site does not have any ticketing or statistical estimation that can be done as its a large urban landscape with various businesses, residences, open spaces and administrative buildings. None of these spaces charge a price for a ticketing as they are open to public access in their avenues. The pricing is given as range to average lower side and average higher side expenditure trends. cheaper/costlier also available

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a planned and effective strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

The Site Management Plan for the Property, speaks of various strategies that have been identified for the Property, with various schemes such as the Fort Management Plan, schemes for pedestrianisation, addition for street furniture etc.

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is good cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

Not displayed at all

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

No fees are collected

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Not applicable

If 'Yes', please specify

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Not applicable

If 'Yes', please specify

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme of monitoring**, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined for measuring the state of conservation and are being used in monitoring of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				X
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				X
10.3.3	Character of governance				X
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations				×
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development				×
10.3.6	Capacity development				×

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Local communities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Good
Landowners	Good
Women	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industry	Good
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

- 10.6 Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee? Implementation is complete
- 10.7 Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.
- 10.8 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring
- 11. Identification of Priority Management Needs
- 11.1 Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	Some use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.17	 In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to gender equality In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status 	×
6.1	Funding	
6.1 6.1.10	Funding No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	×
6.1.10		×

- 12. Summary and Conclusions
- 12.1. Summary Factors affecting the Property
- 12.1.1 Summary Factors affecting the Property

You have not marked any factor as current and negative/positive, therefore this assessment table is empty. Summary - Factors affecting the Property **completed**

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan					
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	

5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property		On going	Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai	No comments
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	wherever possible. Madhye	On going	Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai	No comments
5.3.17	In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to gender equality In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status	More programs are conducted in government sector to orient more female staff in the process, thus making the process, more inclusive. Programs shall be conducted for better community involvement and participation.	On going	Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai	No comments
6.1	Funding				
6.1.10	been made of cor the World mo	ograms in the field related to nservation, management and initoring shall be developed with more rus oriented approach.	On going	Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai	No comments

Development at the World Heritage property

Summary - Management Needs completed

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property? The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding **Universal Value?**

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

No comments

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Very positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Very positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal/Policy framework	Very positive
Advocacy	Very positive
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Very positive
Gender equality	Very positive
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Very positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Very positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Very positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Very positive
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	N.A.

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

No further comments

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

Various buildings in the Property have undergone continuous up-gradation & conservation processes. The High Court building now stands completely restored with upgraded interiors and its facade restored. The David Sassoon Library and Watson's hotel will also soon be undergoing restoration. The Art Deco buildings are also continuously maintained by the users in keeping with their architectural integrity.

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

State of Conservation

Management

Governance

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party Goo	Good
-----------------	------

Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Good

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Fundraising
Awareness raising
Advocacy

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff
Responsible persons for local designated sites under other international conventions/ programmes
UNESCO National Commission
External experts

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources	×	
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Not all required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire				×
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			×	

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Good
UNESCO National Commission	Good
ICOMOS International	Good
IUCN International	Good
ICCROM international/regional	Good
ICOMOS national/regional	Good
IUCN national/regional	Good

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Good
National Commission for UNESCO	Good
ICOMOS International	Good
ICCROM International/regional	Good
ICOMOS national/regional	Good
IUCN national/regional	Good
IUCN International	Good

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

No

- 15.5.4 If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?
- 15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
- 15.6.1 Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

- 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.2 Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.