

# La Fortaleza and San Juan National Historic Site in Puerto Rico

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage property




La Fortaleza and San Juan National Historic Site in Puerto Rico

### 1.2 - World Heritage property details

### 1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
La Fortaleza and San Juan NHS	18.464 / -66.119	31.17	0	31.17	
Fort San Juan de la Cruz	18.467 / -66.136	2.07	0	2.07	
Bastion de las Palmas	18.464 / -66.117	0.15	0	0.15	
<b>Total (ha)</b>		<b>33.39</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>33.39</b>	

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
La Fortaleza and San Juan National Historic Site in Puerto Rico - Inscribed property	1983	
La Fortaleza and San Juan National Historic Site in Puerto Rico - Map of the inscribed property	2016	
La Fortaleza and San Juan National Historic Site in Puerto Rico - map of the inscribed minor boundary modification	2016	

### 1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

- [San Juan National Historic Site \(U.S. National Park Service\)](https://www.nps.gov/saju/index.htm)
- [World Heritage in the United States](https://www.facebook.com/SanJuanNPS)

### Comment

For San Juan National Historic Site: <https://www.nps.gov/saju/index.htm> <https://www.facebook.com/SanJuanNPS> <https://www.instagram.com/sanjuannps/> Twitter: San Juan NHS@SanJuanNPS Existing websites given are also valid. For La Fortaleza: <https://www.fortaleza.pr.gov/>

## 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

**2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.**

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	<b>International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection</b> (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		✗
2.1.2	<b>List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection</b> (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		✗
2.1.3	<b>The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List)</b> (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		✗
2.1.4	<b>World Network of Biosphere Reserves</b> Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		✗
2.1.5	<b>Global Geoparks Network</b> UNESCO Global Geoparks		✗

## 2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

### 2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

Not applicable

### 2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

No

### 2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

### 2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

## 2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	<b>1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	<b>Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	<b>Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	<b>Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	<b>UNESCO Global Geoparks</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	

## 2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

### 2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

No

### 2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the

Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

Not aware

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you are aware.

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief synthesis

La Fortaleza, along with the later fortifications of Castillo San Felipe del Morro, Castillo San Cristóbal and San Juan de la Cruz (El Cañuelo), and a large portion of the original San Juan City Wall, were built between the 16th and 20th centuries to protect the city and the Bay of San Juan. They are characteristic examples of the historic methods of construction used in military architecture over this period, which adapted European designs and techniques to the special conditions of the Caribbean port cities. La Fortaleza has served as a fortress, an arsenal, a prison, and residence of the Governor-General, and today as the seat and residence of the Governor of Puerto Rico.

These fortifications, which retain the general appearance of advanced 18th-century defense technology, clearly illustrate both a transfer of technology from Europe to America over a long period and its adaptation to the topography of a strategically significant yet difficult tropical site. Reflecting Italian Renaissance, Baroque, and French Enlightenment designs, the defenses express successive techniques and technologies in fortification construction. The varied examples of military architecture from the 16th to 20th centuries in the fortifications of San Juan are evidence of the imperial struggles that defined the development of the Americas. As one of the first as well as one of the last of the numerous seats of power in Spain's American empire, these structures are now potent symbols of the cultural ties that link the Hispanic world.

**Criterion (vi):** La Fortaleza and San Juan National Historic Site outstandingly illustrate the adaptation to the Caribbean context of European developments in military architecture from the 16th to 20th centuries. They represent the continuity of more than four centuries of architectural, engineering, military, and political history.

Integrity

Located within the boundaries of La Fortaleza and San Juan National Historic Site in Puerto Rico are all the elements necessary to understand and express the Outstanding Universal Value of the property, including La Fortaleza, Castillo San Felipe del Morro, Castillo San Cristóbal, San Juan de la Cruz (El Cañuelo) fort, and a large portion of the original San Juan City Wall, including San Juan Gate. The portion of the City Wall that belongs to the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico is not within the property. The property is nevertheless of sufficient size to adequately ensure a full representation of the features and processes that convey its significance. The property in general does not suffer from adverse effects of development or neglect, though urban encroachment near the north section of the City Wall and the deterioration of the San Juan del la Cruz fort's facade and Castillo San Cristóbal's outworks have been identified as concerns. There is no buffer zone for the property.

Authenticity

La Fortaleza and San Juan National Historic Site in Puerto Rico is authentic in terms of its forms and designs, materials and substance, and location and setting. The fortifications remain as a clear testimony to their original defensive purpose, and indeed continued to serve as such through the mid-20th century. The original construction methods, including those used in periodic expansions and improvements during the forts' active service, are still evident and can be used to guide continuing conservation. Repairs have been constantly necessary over the life of the structures due to their age and the vulnerable materials of which they are composed; regular monitoring now supports repair work.

The site is fundamentally in a good state of preservation, despite conservation challenges posed by susceptible materials such as sandstone, brick, and plaster in the presence of the erosive action of the sea and heavy traffic in the area. Some early repairs using concrete also caused damage, but current professional conservation policies, including regular monitoring, are addressing these issues.

Protection and management requirements

La Fortaleza, which was designated a National Historic Landmark in 1960, is owned in fee by the Government of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico; San Juan National Historic Site, which was established in 1949, is held by fee title by the Government of the United States of America. La Fortaleza is protected under the regulations of the Puerto Rico Legislative Assembly, as well as by the Commission of the Historic Zone of San Juan, an independent commission of the Capital of Puerto Rico. The Institute of Puerto Rican Culture has established standards of conservation and restoration in the historic zone. In addition, there is a Consultant Committee for the Restoration, Conservation, and Improvement of La Fortaleza. San Juan National Historic Site in Puerto Rico – comprised of San Felipe del Morro, San Cristóbal, and El Cañuelo forts and most of what remains of the of the old San Juan City fortress wall along with the San Juan Gate – is protected under the National Park system, which affords it the highest possible level of protection by the federal government and assures a high standard of interpretation and public access. The inclusion in 2013 of both La Fortaleza and San Juan National Historic Site within the Old San Juan Historic District National Historic Landmark affords another level of protection as well.

Formal agreements are in place for cooperative management of the property between the Government of Puerto Rico and the National Park Service. Guiding documents include a General Management Plan (1985), a Long-Range Interpretive Plan (2006), an Alternative Transportation Plan, and various interpretive plans for waysides and exhibits for San Juan National Historic Site; the Commonwealth government has committed to compiling current practice into a formal management plan for La Fortaleza. A new visitor center established in 2002 at Castillo San Cristóbal allows for improved public access and there are plans to further enhance information for visitors and reduce traffic.

Sustaining the Outstanding Universal Value of the property over time will require completing, approving, and implementing a general management plan for La Fortaleza; continuing to apply appropriate conservation measures aimed at protecting vulnerable materials, including at the San Juan del la Cruz fort and Castillo San Cristóbal outworks; and managing urban encroachment near the City Wall.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Castillo San Felipe del Morro	✕			

3.2.2	Castillo San Cristóbal	×			
3.2.3	La Fortaleza	×			
3.2.4	Fort San Juan de la Cruz	×			
3.2.5	City Wall	×			
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

### 3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

## 4. Factors Affecting the Property

### 4.1. Buildings and Development

#### 4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

✕ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive							
➖ Negative ✕	✕		✕			→	

#### 4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

✕ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕			✕			↗
➖ Negative							

#### 4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕		✕	✕		➡	
➖ Negative							

**4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively**

## 4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

### 4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
➕ Positive							
➖ Negative ✕	✕			✕	📉		

### 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant				✕ Not relevant			
----------	--	--	--	----------------	--	--	--

### 4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕			✕		➡	
➖ Negative							

### 4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕	✕		✕			📈
➖ Negative							

### 4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
➕ Positive							
➖ Negative ✕	✕			✕			📈

#### 4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Question 4.2.4: The Commonwealth has entered into a contract for a public/private partnership to improve infrastructure in the port area. This will positively impact the visitor experience as well as potentially increase the number of visitors. Question 4.2.5: The Municipality of San Juan had a system of public transportation that was destroyed because of the effects of hurricanes Irma and María. There is no plan to restore the system.

### 4.3. Services Infrastructures

#### 4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive ✕		✕	✕	✕		→	
➖ Negative							

#### 4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

✕ Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive							
➖ Negative ✕	✕			✕			↗

#### 4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive							
➖ Negative ✕	✕			✕		→	

#### 4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively














### 4.4. Pollution

#### 4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		

Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

#### 4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant













Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

 Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

#### 4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.5. Biological resource use/modification

#### 4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):


- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.6. Physical resource extraction

##### 4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant



#### 4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

##### 4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

✕ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕	✕	✕	✕				📈

##### 4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

##### 4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

##### 4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

##### 4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕	✕		✕	✕			📈

##### 4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕	✕		✕	✕			📈

##### 4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

✕ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing

Positive							
Negative							

#### 4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

#### 4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

##### 4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Outside

Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative							

##### 4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative							

##### 4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

##### 4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

##### 4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

##### 4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative							

#### 4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.9. Other human activities

##### 4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕	✕			✕		➡	

##### 4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕	✕			✕		➡	

##### 4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant				✕ Not relevant			
----------	--	--	--	----------------	--	--	--

##### 4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant				✕ Not relevant			
----------	--	--	--	----------------	--	--	--

##### 4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant				✕ Not relevant			
----------	--	--	--	----------------	--	--	--

##### 4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant				✕ Not relevant			
----------	--	--	--	----------------	--	--	--

#### 4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

##### 4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive							

Negative							
----------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

#### 4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

#### 4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

#### 4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

#### 4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

#### 4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

#### 4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative							

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

##### 4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

##### 4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative							

#### 4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕		✕	✕	✕		→	

#### 4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕		✕	✕	✕			↗

#### 4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕			↗

#### 4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕		✕	✕	✕		→	

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

##### 4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant				✕ Not relevant			
----------	--	--	--	----------------	--	--	--

##### 4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing

Positive							
Negative							

### 4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

### 4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

### 4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

### 4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

### 4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

## 4.13. Management and institutional factors

### 4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative							

### 4.13.2 - Legal framework

Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative							

### 4.13.3 - Governance










Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative							

### 4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside


Relevant	Not relevant		
	Impact	Origin	Trend of impact

Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕		✕	✕		→	
 Negative							

#### 4.13.5 - Financial resources

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕		✕			→	
 Negative							

#### 4.13.6 - Human resources

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕		✕			→	
 Negative							

#### 4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕			↗
 Negative							

#### 4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕		✕	✕			→	
 Negative							

#### 4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.14. Other factor(s)

##### 4.14.1 - Other factor(s)



























































































#### 4.15. Factors Summary Table

##### 4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.1 Housing						
	🔴	📌		🟡		➡️
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	🟢	📌			🔄	↗️

4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities						
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						
4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure						
4.2.4 Marine transport infrastructure						
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities						
4.3.3 Non-renewable energy facilities						
4.3.5 Major linear utilities						
4.4 Pollution						
4.4.1 Pollution of marine waters						
4.4.5 Solid waste						
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.1 Wind						
4.7.5 Dust						
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
4.7.7 Pests						
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses						
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage						
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation						
4.9 Other human activities						
4.9.1 Illegal activities						






4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
						
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.1 Storms						
						
4.10.7 Other climate change impacts						
						
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.2 Earthquake						
						
4.11.3 Tsunami/Tidal wave						
						
4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide						
						
4.11.5 Erosion and siltation/Deposition						
						
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)						
						
4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species						
4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species						
						
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan						
4.13.2 Legal framework						
4.13.3 Governance						
4.13.4 Management activities						
4.13.5 Financial resources						
4.13.6 Human resources						
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities						
4.13.8 High impact research/monitoring activities						
Legend	 Current	 Potential	 Negative	 Positive	 Inside	 Outside




## 4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

### 4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

#### 4.1 Buildings and Development





Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.1.1 Housing			
			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	





	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities						




Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
✕	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

## 4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure			
	 		 

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
✕	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure	 		 

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
X	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
X	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
X	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
X	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend
4.2.4 Marine transport infrastructure					





Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
X	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
X	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
X	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity

✕	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure			
	 		

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread






<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going

<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major

<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources




<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

### 4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities	 	 	





<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive

	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.3.3 Non-renewable energy facilities						
						





<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity

	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.3.5 Major linear utilities						
						




<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

## 4.4 Pollution

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.4.1 Pollution of marine waters						
						
<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>						
	Restricted					
✕	Localised					








	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✕	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
✕	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.4.5 Solid waste						
						





<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity

✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

#### 4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric




Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.7.1 Wind			
	  		

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>			
	Restricted		
	Localised		
	Extensive		
✕	Widespread		
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>			
	One off or rare		
	Intermittent or sporadic		
	Frequent		
✕	On-going		
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>			
	Insignificant		
✕	Minor		
	Significant		
	Major		
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>			
	High capacity		
	Medium capacity		
	Low capacity		
✕	No capacity and / or resources		
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>			
	Decreasing		
✕	Static		
	Increasing		

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.7.5 Dust			
	 	 	




<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>			
	Restricted		

	Localised
	Extensive
X	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
X	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
X	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
X	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
X	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)			
			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
X	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
X	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
X	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	

	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.7.7 Pests			
	 	 	

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread







<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going

<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major

<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

## 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	 		
	 		











<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
--	--

	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
✕	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	  		
	  		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

## 4.9 Other human activities

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.9.1 Illegal activities			






<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>							
	Restricted						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>							
	One off or rare						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>							
	High capacity						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>							
	Decreasing						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static						
	Increasing						

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
	Minor

	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

#### 4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.10.1 Storms			
			
			

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
✕	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
------	--------	--------	-------



4.10.7 Other climate change impacts							

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing






## 4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.11.2 Earthquake			



  

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
✕	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.11.3 Tsunami/Tidal wave						
						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
✕	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide			
			  

#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

#### Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

#### Impact - Impact on the attributes






	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major

#### Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

#### Trend - Development over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.11.5 Erosion and siltation/Deposition			
	  	 	






#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread

#### Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)						
						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
✕	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing






## 4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species							
<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>							
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>							
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>							
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>							
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>							
	Decreasing						
	Static						
	Increasing						

## 4.13 Management and institutional factors





Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan							
<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>							
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>							
	One off or rare						

	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
✕	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.2 Legal framework						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
✕	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	

	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.3 Governance	 	 	

#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread

#### Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going

#### Impact - Impact on the attributes





	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
✕	Major

#### Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

#### Trend - Development over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.4 Management activities	 	 	




#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread

#### Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
--	-----------------





	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
✕	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
✕	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	



	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.6 Human resources	 		

#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread

#### Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going

#### Impact - Impact on the attributes





	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major

#### Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

#### Trend - Development over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	  	 	





#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

#### Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
--	-----------------

✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.8 High impact research/monitoring activities						

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	

	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

#### 4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

##### 4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

The factors here affect the World Heritage Site property but some factors affect parts of the property more than others. The following factors affect more San Juan National Historic Site than La Fortaleza: 4.1.1 4.1.4 4.1.5 4.2.3 4.2.4 4.3.5 4.4.1 4.7.7 4.8.6 4.9.1 4.9.2 4.11.4 4.11.5 4.12.2 4.13.6 4.13.7 4.13.8

#### 4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

##### 4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Castillo San Felipe del Morro	✕			
4.18.1.2	Castillo San Cristobal	✕			
4.18.1.3	La Fortaleza	✕			
4.18.1.4	Fort San Juan de la Cruz	✕			
4.18.1.5	City Wall	✕			

#### 5. Protection and Management of the Property

##### 5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

###### 5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries **do not limit the ability to maintain** the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they **could be improved**

###### 5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries **are known by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

###### 5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property has **no buffer zone** and **does not need one**

###### 5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The property has **no known and recognised** buffer zone

###### 5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

##### 5.2. Protective Measures

###### 5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

The legal status of La Fortaleza and San Juan National Historic Site arises essentially from their forms of ownership. In addition, La Fortaleza has been designated a National Historic Landmark by the Secretary of the Interior of the United States. The San Juan National Historic Site was established in 1949 by Executive Order from the Secretary of the Interior (F.R. Doc 49-1402) under the authority of the Historic Sites Act of 1935. This order was repealed in 1976 when a new Executive Order from the Secretary of Interior (No. 2994) was adopted; the site was designated the San Juan National Historic Site, now incorporating the changes of a Cooperative Agreement Between the Commonwealth and the National Park Service.

Both components of the property are subject to preservation legislation by the Federal Government. La Fortaleza also is subjected to the regulations of the Puerto Rico Legislative Assembly, as well as to the protective purview of the Commission of the Historic Zone of San Juan, an independent commission of the Capital of Puerto Rico. The Institute of Puerto Rican Culture has established standards of conservation and restoration in the historic zone.

La Fortaleza is owned in fee by the Government of Puerto Rico and is the residence and office of the highest elected executive official of Puerto Rico, the Governor. Especially significant in matters related to the historic character of La Fortaleza, is a special committee established to assist in its preservation: Consultant Committee for the Restoration, Conservation, and Improvement of La Fortaleza.

San Juan National Historic Site was established as a unit of the National Park System by order of the Secretary of the Interior, as approved by the President of the United States in 1949. This order directed cooperative management by the National Park Service and the Department of the Army, both agencies of the Federal Government of the United States. This agreement was repealed in 1976 when a new Cooperative Agreement was signed with the Commonwealth clarifying boundaries at the Grounds of San Felipe del Morro Fort and confirming the cession of the area around the Ballajá Quarters Building (inclusive).

Over the period 1955-1973, all land presently constituting the National Historic Site, including portions formerly held by the U.S. Navy and Coast Guard, as well as the U.S. Army, has been acquired by the National Park Service, which holds fee title to the entire National Historic Site.

Cultural areas under the direct administration of the United States Government possess the highest level of protection available to such properties under U.S. law. Their management and administration are subject to exhaustive sets of codified standards. Internal mechanisms exist to report on dangers to their integrity; such examinations and findings are a matter of public record. Furthermore, such properties are immune to intervention from external private interests, except under license or contract. Finally, all matters affecting the cultural qualities of such property are also subject to review by the State Historic Conservation Office, and in case of a disagreement between the two agencies, by the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation; the administrative regulations governing such review provide for the participation of the public in the decision-making process.

In addition to the substantive protections specified by the laws of Puerto Rico, La Fortaleza was designated a National Historic Landmark by the Secretary of the Interior in 1960. This designation recognizes La Fortaleza's historic significance at the highest national level of importance. While this designation does not limit the authorities of the owner of property, it does mandate the inspection and reporting to the Congress of the United States of any threats to the historic integrity of the property. In addition, no federal funds may be expended on or federal licenses extended to projects that will affect any National Historic Landmark without review of the project by the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation.

San Juan National Historic Site was established by an Executive Order in 1949 by the Secretary of the Interior. This executive order was replaced in 1976 by Order # 2994 of the Secretary of the Interior, which established the San Juan National Historic Site, per boundaries negotiated as part of the Cooperative Agreement of the same year. The park is administered within the National Park System. This system is governed by a series of laws and regulations that are applied at the historic site.

These regulations are:

Acts of the United States Government

Antiquities Act of 1906

Archeological Resources Protection Act of 1979

Government Performance and Results Act of 1993

Historic Sites, Buildings and Antiquities Act

Museum Act

National Environmental Preservation Act of 1969

National Historic Preservation Act of 1966

National Park Service Organic Act

Executive Orders

E.O. No. 13006 Locating Federal Facilities on Historic Properties

E.O. No. 13287 Preserve America

Policy Related Regulations

36 CFR Chapter 1 All National Park Service Regulations

36 CFR Part 2 Resource Protection, Public Use and Recreation

36 CFR Part 60 National Register of Historic Places

36 CFR Part 65 National Historic Landmarks

36 CFR Part 68 Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties

36 CFR Part 79 Curation of Archeological Collections

40 CFR Parts 1500-1508 N.E.P.A. Regulations

43 CFR Part 7 Archeological Resources Protection

Source: Periodic Reporting, 1st Cycle, 2004

## **5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category**

### **5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?**

The **legal framework** for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

### **5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?**

The property has **no buffer zone**

### **5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?**

The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for** effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

### **5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?**

There is **adequate capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

## **5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice**

## **5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property**

## **5.3. Management System/Management Plan**

### **5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property**

Public management system at national level

If 'Other', please specify

**5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.**

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.
Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)
Agreed 'Memorandums of Understanding' between different managing institutions, groups or others, including documents agreed with local communities for management
An integrated management plan combining World Heritage and any other designations
A management plan
A disaster, climate or conflict risk management plan
A visitor/visitation management plan
An environmental management framework

**5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property**

The World Heritage Site includes properties that are managed at the national level (San Juan National Historic Site), and at the provincial/regional level (La Fortaleza).

**5.3.4 - Management Documents**

**Comment**

For San Juan NHS: General Management Plan (1984) Foundation Document (2013) Museum Collection Management Plan (2023) Long Range Interpretive Plan (2007)-to be revised Business Plan (2023) Alternative Transportation Plan Park Asset Management Plan

**5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?**

**Some use** has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

**5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.**

**5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?**

**Some use** has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

**5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:**

The state party, through the NPS, has completed a Climate Vulnerability Assessment on the coastal fortifications of San Juan to identify which factors, related to climate change, will affect the World Heritage Property as well as identifying those resources that are more vulnerable to the effects of climate change.

**5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?**

**Some use** has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

**5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done**

**5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property**

There is **little coordination** between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property

**5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?**

The management system/plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?**

The management system is being **fully implemented and monitored**

**5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?**

An annual work/action plan **exists and all of its activities are being implemented and monitored**

**5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?**

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities			×		
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone	×				

5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women				×	
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

**5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups**

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities				×	
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners				×	
5.3.16.5	Women					×
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					×
5.3.16.7	Researchers					×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry				×	
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries				×	
5.3.16.12	NGOs				×	
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

**5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention***

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality				×	
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	×				
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				×	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach				×	
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				×	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property				×	

**5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above**

**5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan**

**6. Financial and Human Resources**

**6.1. Funding**

**6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.**

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %

6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	40 %	40 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	10 %	10 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	5 %	5 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	40 %	40 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	5 %	5 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

**6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above**

**6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available **budget is adequate** for effective management of the World Heritage property

**6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

The existing sources of funding are **secure over both the medium- and long-term**

**6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure**

**6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.**

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	60 %	1 %
6.1.6.2	Women	39 %	0 %
		Total 99 %	Total 1 %

**6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?**

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

**6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines**

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

**6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Good

Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

**6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?**

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

**6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.**

**6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?**

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and fully implemented; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally

**6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

**7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**

**7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is adequate

**7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is considerable research but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?**

Research results are shared widely with active outreach to local communities and national and international audiences

**7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects**

**8. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Poor
Women	Poor
Youth/children	Poor
Researchers	Poor
Local visitors	Poor
National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industries	Poor
NGOs	Poor
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	



8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a **limited and ad hoc education** and awareness programme for children and/or youth

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Youth/children

Local Visitors

National/international tourists

Tourism industry

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Good
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Good
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Fair
Transportation facilities	Fair
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

1,027,264 / 798,188 / 357,100 / 1,197,345 / 910405 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One to three hours

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

0.00 / 10.00 / 30.00 / 0.00 / 10.00 / 50.00 /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a planned and **effective strategy** to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

No

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited cooperation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry **to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation**

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

La Fortaleza and San Juan National Historic Site in Puerto Rico

49 of 56

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property **is adequately presented and interpreted**

**9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?**

In **many locations** and **easily visible** to visitors

**9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?**

**Fees are collected** and make a **substantial contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

**9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?**

No

If 'Yes', please specify

**9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?**

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

**9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property**

**10. Monitoring**

**10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of monitoring**, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

**10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?**

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **adequate and key indicators have been defined** for measuring the state of conservation and **are being used in monitoring** of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

**10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?**

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				×
10.3.3	Character of governance				×
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations				×
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development				×
10.3.6	Capacity development				×

**10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property**

**10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:**

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Local communities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Non-existent
Women	Good
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industry	Poor
NGOs	Poor
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

**10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?**

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

**10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.****10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring****11. Identification of Priority Management Needs****11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs**

5.1	<b>Boundaries and Buffer Zones</b>						
5.1.3	The property has <b>no buffer zone</b>						
5.1.4	The property has <b>no known and recognised buffer zone</b>						
5.2	<b>Protective Measures</b>						
5.2.4	The property has <b>no buffer zone</b>						
5.3	<b>Management System/Management Plan</b>						
5.3.5	<b>Some use</b> has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property						
5.3.7	<b>Some use</b> has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property						
5.3.9	<b>Some use</b> has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property						
5.3.11	There is <b>little coordination</b> between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property						
6.1	<b>Funding</b>						
6.1.10	<b>Some use</b> has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property						
7	<b>Scientific Studies and Research Projects</b>						
7.2	There is <b>considerable research</b> in the World Heritage property but it is <b>not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value</b>						
8	<b>Education, Information and Awareness Building</b>						
8.2	There is a <b>limited and ad hoc education</b> and awareness programme for children and/or youth						
9	<b>Visitor Management</b>						
9.11	There is <b>limited cooperation</b> between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry <b>to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation</b>						
Please select 4 more issues.							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Please save this question to reflect changes							

**12. Summary and Conclusions****12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property****12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property**

4.2	Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	VI. La Fortaleza, Castillo San Felipe del Morro, Castillo San Cristóbal, the City Wall, Fort San Juan de la Cruz.	The NPS has commissioned a Transportation Plan for the San Juan National Historic Site.	The NPS will monitor implementation and impacts of recommendations of the plan on an annual basis.	In the next five years.	For the San Juan National Historic Site, the National Park Service. For La Fortaleza, the Office of the Governor, Commonwealth of Puerto Rico.	N/A
4.3	Services Infrastructures						

4.3.3	Non-renewable energy facilities	Pollution generated by nearby power station generates air contaminants that end up affecting the historic fabric of all the World Heritage Site. The most affected attribute is Fort San Juan de la Cruz which is neighboring the power plant.	The NPS has monitored pollutants generated from the power plant and will be testing the deterioration rate of the historic fabric of the fortifications.	There are air quality monitors located on the three points of collections in the most affected parts of the site ( Fort San Juan de la Cruz, Santa Elena Bastion (City Wall), and Castillo San Felipe del Morro.	Environmental conditions are monitored daily with summary reports obtained every 30 days.	For the San Juan National Historic Site, the National Park Service. For La Fortaleza, the Office of the Governor, Commonwealth of Puerto Rico.	No more comments.
4.3.5	Major linear utilities	VI. The City Wall	The NPS is working on multiple possible solutions with the local power company to eliminate or move power lines along the north wall. The most likely solution is moving most of the power lines and poles out of the NPS boundaries.	NO monitoring is required.	In the next five years.	For the San Juan National Historic Site, the National Park Service.	N/A
4.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.1	Wind	VI. La Fortaleza, Castillo San Felipe del Morro, Castillo San Cristóbal, the City Wall, Fort San Juan de la Cruz.	monitoring the effects on the property's condition	The National Park Service has instituted a monitoring program that requires conditions assessments for all its assets during a five year interval.	During a five year interval.	For the San Juan National Historic Site, the National Park Service. For La Fortaleza, the Office of the Governor, Commonwealth of Puerto Rico.	N/A
4.7.7	Pests	VI. La Fortaleza, Castillo San Felipe del Morro, Castillo San Cristóbal, the City Wall, Fort San Juan de la Cruz.	The National Park Service has instituted an integrated pest management program that requires monthly assessments for common household pests. The green iguana is not monitored and the stray cats are monitored monthly.	Part of the established monitoring program	Monthly.	For the San Juan National Historic Site, the National Park Service. For La Fortaleza, the Office of the Governor, Commonwealth of Puerto Rico.	N/A
4.8	Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.6	Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	VI. La Fortaleza, Castillo San Felipe del Morro, Castillo San Cristóbal, the City Wall, Fort San Juan de la Cruz.	impacts are monitored as part of normal condition assessments	Part of the normal monitoring program	Ongoing	For the San Juan National Historic Site, the National Park Service. For La Fortaleza, the Office of the Governor, Commonwealth of Puerto Rico.	N/A
4.10	Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.1	Storms	VI. La Fortaleza, Castillo San Felipe del Morro, Castillo San Cristóbal, the City Wall, Fort San Juan de la Cruz.	The National Park Service has instituted a monitoring program that requires conditions assessments for all its assets during a five year interval.	part of regular monitoring programs	During a five year interval.	For the San Juan National Historic Site, the National Park Service. For La Fortaleza, the Office of the Governor, Commonwealth of Puerto Rico.	N/A
4.10.7	Other climate change impacts	VI. La Fortaleza, Castillo San Felipe del Morro, Castillo San Cristóbal, the City Wall, Fort San Juan de la Cruz.	The National Park Service has instituted a monitoring program that requires conditions assessments for all its assets during a five year interval.	part of regular monitoring program	During a five year interval.	For the San Juan National Historic Site, the National Park Service. For La Fortaleza, the Office of the Governor, Commonwealth of Puerto Rico.	N/A
4.11	Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.3	Tsunami/Tidal wave	VI. La Fortaleza, Castillo San Felipe del Morro, Castillo San Cristóbal, the City Wall, Fort San Juan de la Cruz.	There is limited opportunity to address this threat.	The National Park Service has instituted a monitoring program that requires conditions assessments for all its assets during a five year interval.	During a five year interval.	For the San Juan National Historic Site, the National Park Service. For La Fortaleza, the Office of the Governor, Commonwealth of Puerto Rico.	N/A

4.11.5	Erosion and siltation/Deposition	VI. Castillo San Felipe del Morro, Castillo San Cristóbal, the City Wall, Fort San Juan de la Cruz.	The NPS is working with different partners (Univeristy of California- San Diego, University of Puerto Rico) to study the effects of erosion in the stability of the coastal fortifications.	The National Park Service has instituted a monitoring program that requires conditions assessments for all its assets during a five year interval.	I the next five years.	For the San Juan National Historic Site, the National Park Service.For La Fortaleza, the Office of the Governor, Commonwealth of Puerto Rico.	The NPS is completing a vulnerability assessment looking at erosion as one of the main iussues that we face alongside sea level rising.
--------	----------------------------------	---	---	--	------------------------	---	---

#### Summary - Factors affecting the Property **completed**

## 12.2. Summary - Management Needs

### 12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones				
5.1.4	The property has <b>no known and recognised buffer zone</b>	The property does not need a buffer zone.	Not applicable.	For the San Juan National Historic Site, the National Park Service.For La Fortaleza, the Office of the Governor, Commonwealth of Puerto Rico.	Not applicable.
5.2	Protective Measures				
5.2.4	The property has <b>no buffer zone</b>	The property does not need a buffer zone.	Not applicable.	For the San Juan National Historic Site, the National Park Service.For La Fortaleza, the Office of the Governor, Commonwealth of Puerto Rico.	Not applicable.
5.3	Management System/Management Plan				
5.3.7	<b>Some use</b> has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	The state party is incorporating resiliency and adaptability to climate change into its planning, design and construction/maintenance of the property. It is also evaluating climate change factors and how vulnerable the property is to them.	In the next five years.	For the San Juan National Historic Site, the National Park Service.For La Fortaleza, the Office of the Governor, Commonwealth of Puerto Rico.	The NPS has conducted a Climate Change Vulnerability Assessment and is working with the University of California in San Diego to conduct a vulnerability assessment and its effects on the Outstanding Universal Value of the Woldr Heritage Site.
5.3.9	<b>Some use</b> has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	The state party has disaster response plans that are evaluated in a regular basis.	In the next five years.	For the San Juan National Historic Site, the National Park Service.For La Fortaleza, the Office of the Governor, Commonwealth of Puerto Rico.	Emergency preparedness and response follows an established plan and gets evaluated after each incident to ensure that errors are corrected and program effectiveness is maintained.
6.1	Funding				
6.1.10	<b>Some use</b> has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	For San Juan NHS, capacity building includes making use of technology to increase awareness of the WHS, monitor site conditions, plan for site maintenance and integrity, and for impacts of visitation increase.	In the next five years	For the San Juan National Historic Site, the National Park Service.For La Fortaleza, the Office of the Governor, Commonwealth of Puerto Rico.	Since La Fortaleza is the residence and main office of the Governor of Puerto Rico, the site offers only limited access to the general public.
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building				
8.2	There is a <b>limited and ad hoc education</b> and awareness programme for children and/or youth	The Woldr Heritage poperty is developing interpretive and educational programs as well as identification signage that will incorporate the World Heritage Site designation and the otstanding universal values.	In the next five years.	For the San Juan National Historic Site, the National Park Service.For La Fortaleza, the Office of the Governor, Commonwealth of Puerto Rico.	There are already existing educational programs in Spanish and English for children (parks as Classrooms, Patrimonitos) that emphasize the World HEiratge Site character of the property.

### 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

#### 12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

The Authenticity of the World Heritage property **has been preserved**

#### 12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

The Integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

#### 12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

#### 12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **intact**

#### 12.3.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

### 13. Impact of World Heritage Status

#### 13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Very positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal/Policy framework	Positive
Advocacy	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Gender equality	Positive
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Not applicable
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Not applicable
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

#### 13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

### 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

#### 14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

#### 14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

### 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

#### 15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

#### 15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value

Monitoring and reporting

#### 15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Good

#### 15.2. Use of Data

##### 15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans

Awareness raising

##### 15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

#### 15.3. Timing and resources

##### 15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

Responsible persons for local designated sites under other international conventions/ programmes

##### 15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

##### 15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

Yes

##### 15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

40 / 20 / 80 /

##### 15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources	✗	
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	✗	

#### 15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

##### 15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

##### 15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			✗	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			✗	

##### 15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

#### 15.5. Training and Guidance

##### 15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	No support

IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	No support
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

**15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities**

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	No support
ICOMOS International	No support
ICCROM International/regional	No support
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

**15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?**

Yes

**15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?**

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

**15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**

No item were proposed for update

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

**15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.**