Natural and Culturo-Historical Region of Kotor

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Natural and Culturo-Historical Region of Kotor

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Natural and Culturo-Historical Region of Kotor	42.483 / 18.7	14600	36491	51091	1979
Total (ha)		14600	36491	51091	
1.4 - Map(s)					

Title	Date	Link to source
Natural and Culturo-Historical Region of Kotor - Map of the inscribed property	1979	
Natural and Culturo-Historical Region of Kotor - maps of inscribed minor boundary modification	2015	

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

- 1. Photographs of Kotor (Historical Archives Kotor)
- 2. <u>Historical Archives Kotor</u>
- 3. Official web site of the City of Kotor
- 4. Virtual Tour of Kotor
- 5. Region of Kotor, Yugoslavia (History Channel)

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years? Not applicable

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

Yes

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value Brief synthesis

The Natural and Culturo-Historical Region of Kotor is located in the Boka Kotorska Bay, on the Adriatic coast of Montenegro. The property encompasses the best preserved part of the bay covering its inner south-eastern portion. The inscribed property comprises 14,600 ha with a landscape composed of two interrelated bays surrounded by mountains rising rapidly to nearly 1,500 metres. The property is linked to the rest of the Boka Kotorska Bay through a narrow channel forming the principal visual central axis of the area.

The Outstanding Universal Value of the Culturo-Historical Region of Kotor is embodied in the quality of the architecture in its fortified and open cities, settlements, palaces and monastic ensembles, and their harmonious integration to the cultivated terraced landscape on the slopes of high rocky hills. The Natural and Culturo-Historical Region of Kotor bears unique testimony to the exceptionally important role that it played over centuries in the spreading of Mediterranean cultures into the Balkans.

Criterion (i): It is the gathering on the gulf coast of the monuments of the cities, their harmony with the landscape, and their insertion in town planning of great value that contributes to the Outstanding Universal Value of the property.

Criterion (ii): As the main bridge-heads of Venice on the South coast of the Adriatic, the aristocratic cities of captains and ship-owners of Kotor and its neighbours were the heart of the region's creative movement for many centuries. Its art, goldsmith and architecture schools had a profound and durable influence on the arts of the Adriatic coast.

Criterion (iii): The successful harmonization of these cities with the Gulf, their quantity, quality and diversity of the monuments and cultural properties, and especially the exceptional authenticity of their conservation, mean that the property can effectively be considered as unique.

Criterion (iv): Kotor and Perast are highly characteristic and authentically preserved small cities enhanced by architecture of great quality. Their town-planning is well adapted to and integrated in the landscape.

Integrity

The property maintains the overall integrity of the historical layout of the land and seascape with its cities and settlements of distinctive town planning that developed along the coast of the bay, separated by green and cultivated areas framed by steep rocky hills, and a narrow area of urbanized coast connected by the sea. The network of paths and roads connecting coastal settlements with each other and with the inland, and the coastline with *pontas* and *mandrachi*, is preserved, which testifies to the important role of the sea.

However, the conditions of integrity are endangered by development and urbanisation caused by ongoing transformation processes in the socio-economic structure of the area. Current developments, including new tourism centres, roads, and buildings on the coast itself, threaten to lead to the gradual yet irreversible transformation of the coastline as well as the abandonment of the traditional terraced structures.

Management of the property and its defined buffer zone will be crucial to maintain the property and its integrity as a unique cultural landscape and an entity in geographical, historical, and cultural terms. Enforcement of regulatory measures for the buffer zone and the development of an integrated approach to conservation, planning and management of the area as a unity will also be required.

Authenticity

Although seriously damaged by the 1979 earthquake, the principal monuments and historic urban areas have been carefully restored and reconstructed under the auspices of UNESCO, and have retained their architectural, urban, and historical authenticity.

However, the ability of the overall landscape to reflect its value is being compromised by the gradual erosion of traditional practices and ways of life and of the harmony between the buildings, planning and landscape.

Protection and management requirements

At the time of the inscription, immediately following the 1979 earthquake, the protection, reconstruction, and management of the cultural monuments and historic urban areas of Boka Kotorska Bay were guaranteed by the Montenegrin Republic Institute for the Protection of Cultural Monuments. After the 1979 earthquake, the management of the whole region was carried out within the Southern Adriatic Development Plan, which was developed with the help of the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). The programs included the preservation, presentation and rehabilitation of cultural monuments in the old towns and settlements. At the same time, industrial facilities (4 factories) that conflicted with the character of the property were cleared. In 1980, the Municipal Institute for Protection of Cultural Heritage was established with its seat in the Old Town of Kotor, for the purpose of management and conservation of the property. In 1992, it was transformed into the Regional Institute for the entire area of the bay including the municipalities of Kotor, Tivat and Herceg Novi. Since the end of 2011, conservation work, field studies and preparation of conservation guidelines for the municipalities of Kotor, Tivat, Herceg Novi and Budva have been undertaken by the Directorate for Protection of Cultural Heritage of Montenegro and the Centre for Conservation and Archaeology of Montenegro through their local offices in Kotor. The post-earthquake reconstruction has been completed, and the conservation and management of the monuments and historic centres of Kotor and Perast are carried out with high professional competence. The need to prevent excessive and uncontrolled urbanization led to the development of the Management Plan of the protected area, which was adopted by the Montenegrin Government in 2011. At the same time, a new legal framework for the area of cultural heritage conservation was created with the Law on Protection of Cultural Properties (2010), which prescribed integrated protection of the property and its buffer zone. The Law on Protection of the Natural and Culturo-Historic Region of Kotor (2013) makes provisions for the establishment of the Council for Management of the Kotor Region, with the role of coordinating conservation, preservation and management of the property. In addition, with the buffer zone defined in 2011 encompassing the entire area of the Boka Kotorska Bay, the groundwork has been laid to treat this cultural landscape in an integrated manner through spatial and development plans. However, increased awareness to treat the inscribed property and the buffer zone as an integral part of the unique cultural landscape of the Boka Kotorska Bay is needed. Challenges remain for the further definition of common development strategies for the property and its buffer zone, for integrated planning and for the establishment of an overall management system. These measures will be essential to ensure that uncontrolled and excessive urbanization, as well as infrastructure development, are adequately addressed to ensure that no adverse impacts to the Outstanding Universal Value of the property occur. Adequate and sufficient resources of the entities responsible for the property will also need to be secured to be able to carry out preservation, protection and enhancement of the property.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Gulf coast of the monuments of the cities	×			
3.2.2	Art and architecture	×			
3.2.3	Unique monuments and cultural properties	×			

3.2.4	Authentically preserved small cities	×		
3.2.5	Cultural landscape	×		
3.2.6				
3.2.7				
3.2.8				
3.2.9				
3.2.10				
3.2.11				
3.2.12				
3.2.13				
3.2.14				
3.2.15				

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):
 - Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×				1

4.1.2 - Commercial development

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):
 - Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×			→	

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):
 - Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×				1

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×	×	×			1
Negative X		×	×	×			

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):
 - Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):
 - Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×			\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×				
Negative X	×	×	×				1

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):

Not relevant

× Not relevant

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014): • Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.3.4 - Localised utilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014): Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside 	
X Relevant	Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Gurrent	9 Potential	 Inside 	Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×				-

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

🗙 Not relevant

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Coutside	> Decreasing	→ Stable			
O Positive									
Negative X	×	×	×			\rightarrow			
4.4.2 - Ground water pollutPrevious answer Cycle 2 (08/08/Relevant, Negative, Current	(2014):								
Relevant				X Not relevant					
 4.4.3 - Surface water pollut Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/ Relevant, Negative, Curre 	(2014):								
Relevant			X Not relevant						
 4.4.4 - Air pollution Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014): Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside 									
X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				

Inside

🦉 Outside

> Decreasing

⇒ Stable

Potential

Current

Impact

Increasing

O Positive					
Negative X	×	×	×		

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×	×			-

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.2 - Aquaculture	

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×			→	

4.5.3 - Land conversion

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):
 - Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact O		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×			

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.5 - Crop production Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.8 - Commercial huntingPrevious answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.9 - Subsistence huntingPrevious answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Relevant

Relevant

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):
 - Not relevant

× Not relevant

4.6.2 - Quarrying

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):
 - Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant	X Relevant			Not relevant			
	Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact	
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×				
 4.6.3 - Oil and gas Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014): Not relevant 							
Relevant			× Not relevant	t			
4.6.4 - Water (extraction Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/ • Not relevant							

× Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric	
4.7.1 - WindPrevious answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.7.2 - Relative humidity Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.7.3 - TemperaturePrevious answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.7.4 - Radiation/LightPrevious answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.7.5 - DustPrevious answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table) Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014): Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.7.7 - PestsPrevious answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.7.8 - Micro-organisms Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×				1
Negative							

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant	Not relevant							
	Impact		Origin	Origin Trer		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×	×	×	×				
Negative X	×	×	×	×				

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):
 - Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):
 - Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×				

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×	×			

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×			
Negative X	×	×	×	×			1

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin	Trend of impact			
Impact	Current Potential		 Inside 	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing

O Positive					
Negative X	×	×	×		

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant	1	Not relevant							
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
Negative X		×	×	×					
 4.9.3 - Military training Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/ Not relevant 	2014):								
Relevant			× Not relevant	t					
 4.9.4 - War Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/ Not relevant 									
Relevant			X Not relevant	lot relevant					
4.9.5 - Terrorism Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/ • Not relevant	2014):								
Relevant			× Not relevant	t					
4.9.6 - Civil unrest Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/ • Not relevant	2014):								

X Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Relevant

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014): • Not relevant

• Notife

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin	Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×				
4.10.3 - Drought							

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.10.6 - Temperature change Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):
 - Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.11.2 - Earthquake

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):
 - Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×	×			

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
Negative X		×	×	×		\rightarrow		

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):

Not relevant

× Not relevant

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant						
	Impact Or		Origin		Trend of impact					
Impact	Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive										
🤤 Negative 🗶		×	×	×		⇒				

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

412	Invasive/alien	SUBCIES O	r hvner-ahu	ndant species
T. 1 Z.	invasive/allen	30000000	i nypei aba	nuun opeoies

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.12.6 - Modified genetic material Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin	Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X							
Negative X	×		×				

4.13.2 - Legal framework

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×		×	×		\rightarrow			
Negative									
4.13.3 - Governance									
× Relevant				Not relevant					

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×	×				
Negative								

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact	rend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X		×	×	×					
Negative									

4.13.5 - Financial resources

X Relevant			1	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact					
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive X	×		×				1			
Negative										

4.13.6 - Human resources

X Relevant			1	Not relevant						
	Impact C		Origin		Trend of impact					
Impact	Gurrent	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive										
Negative X	×		×	×						

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):
 - Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/08/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name 4.1 Buildings and Development	Impact	:		Origin		Trend
4.1 Housing						
Hodaing			<i>•</i>	0		
	0		4	٢		
4.1.2 Commercial development						
	0		9	٩		→
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						
	0	4	9	٩		1
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	٢		9	٢	Ċ	1
	9		9	۲	Ċ	
4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure	٢	9		۲		\rightarrow
4.2.4 Marine transport infrastructure	٢	9	9	۲		
	0	9	9	۲		
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.4 Localised utilities						
	0	9	9	۲		1
4.4 Pollution						
4.4.1 Pollution of marine waters						
	0	9	9	٩		
4.4.4 Air pollution		-1	-1			-
4.4.4 Air polution			~3		~	
	0		9	٢	Ċ	
4.4.5 Solid waste						
	0	9		٢	Ċ	1
4.5 Biological resource use/modification						
4.5.2 Aquaculture						
	9	9		٢		→
4.5.3 Land conversion						
	0	9	9	٢	Ċ	
4.6 Physical resource extraction						
4.6.2 Quarrying						
	0	9		۲		
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	٢	4	9	٢		

					-				
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage				0	4	4	٩	Ċ	
				0	4	9	٢	Ċ	
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life a	and knowledge system								
				0	9		٢		
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes	in local population and comm	nunity							
				٢		9	۲	Ċ	
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recre	eation			٢	4	9	۲	Ċ	
				0	4	9	۲	Ċ	
4.9 Other human activities									
4.9.1 Illegal activities									
				٢	4	9	۲		
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage									
				9		9	٩	Ċ	
4.10 Climate change and severe weather	revents								
4.10.2 Flooding									
4.10.2 Proceeding						9			
				0		4	٢		
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological eve	ents								
4.11.2 Earthquake									
				9		9	۲	Ċ	
4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide									
				0		9	۲	Ċ	→
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)									
				٢		9	۲	Ċ	\rightarrow
4.13 Management and institutional factor	rs								
4.13.1 Management system/Management	t plan			٢					
				0	9		۲		
4.13.2 Legal framework				٢	9		۲	Ċ	→
4.13.3 Governance				٢	4		٩	(F	
					- 0		C,	C.	
						~			
4.13.4 Management activities				0		4	٢	Ċ	
4.13.5 Financial resources				٢	9		۲		1
4.13.6 Human resources									
				٢	9		٢	Ċ	
Legend Current	4 Potential	Negative	O Positive	 Insi 	ide		C Outs	de	

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name

Origin



Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend
4.1.2 Commercial development				
	0	9	۲	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant

×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	Int response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name	Impact	ict		act Origin		Trend
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						
	0	4	9	٢		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

•	
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	Int response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name		Impact	:		Origin		Trenc
4.2.1 Gr	ound transport infrastructure	٢		9	٢	Ċ	1
		9		9	٢	Ċ	1
Spatial s	scale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Tempora	al scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
x	On-going						
Impact -	Impact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manage	ment response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trenc
4.2.3 Air	transport infrastructure	٩	4		٢		→
Spatial s	scale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						

×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	npact			I	Trend
4.2.4 Marine transport infrastructure	٢	9	9	۲		
	9	9	9	۲		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

•	
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name		Impact	t		Origin	т	Trend
4.3.4 Loca	4.3.4 Localised utilities						
		٢	9	9	٢	1	
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - In	npact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managemo	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						

4.4 Pollution

Name	Name		Impact			Trend
4.4.1 Pollu	.4.1 Pollution of marine waters					
		0	9	9	٢	\rightarrow
Spatial sc	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					

×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - I	npact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - D	evelopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
					_		
Name		Impact			Origin Tre		
4.4.4 Air p	olution			-	۲	(F	
		•		4	্	G.	
Spatial so	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic						
×							
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Intermittent or sporadic Frequent						
	Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going						
	Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going mpact on the attributes						

Significant Major

Manageme	Management response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	Trend - Developement over the last 6 years				

	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name Impact		Origin		Trend			
4.4.5 Solid	waste						
		0	9		٩	Ċ	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						

4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name	Name Impact		Origin	Trend		
4.5.2 Aqu	.2 Aquaculture					
	e 4		۲	\rightarrow		
Spatial s	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Tempora	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact					

	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
~	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Ir	npact on the attributes						
impact - in							
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managemo	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.5.3 Land	conversion						
		0	4	4	٢	Ċ	
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Ir	npact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Insignificant Minor						
×							
×	Minor Significant						
	Minor Significant Major						
	Minor Significant Major ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
Managemo	Minor Significant Major ent response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity						
	Minor Significant Major ent response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity						
Managemo	Minor Significant Major ent response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity						

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

4.6 Physical resource extraction

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.6.2 Quarr	ying				
		٢	9	٩	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name Impact		Origin		Trend		
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses		9	9	٢		1
				_		
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
Restricted						
Localised						

×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	spact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name

4.8.2 Society	's valuing of heritage
---------------	------------------------

Snatial	scale -	Area	affected	hy the	factor

	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity

Impact

0

9

9

9

9

 \bigcirc

Origin

۲

Ċ

C

۲

Trend

×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system						
	0	9		٢		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name		Impact			Origin	
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						
	٢		9	٢	Ċ	
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
Restricted						
Localised						

×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor		
	Restricted		
	Localised		
×	Extensive		
	Widespread		
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact		
	One off or rare		
	Intermittent or sporadic		
	Frequent		
×	On-going		
Impact - In	mpact - Impact on the attributes		
	Insignificant		
	Minor		
×	Significant		
	Major		
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond		
	High capacity		
×	Medium capacity		

Impact

Origin

• • • • • **9 9 9 € ▶**

Trend

	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.9 Other human activities

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.9.1 Illegal activities					5. g		
			4	9	٢		
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - In	npact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage							
		0		9	٢	٢	
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						

	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - In	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.10.2 Floo	ling				
		0	9	۲	
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	t response - Capacity of management to respond				

	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name		Impact		Origin		Trenc
4.11.2 Ea	thquake					
		0	9	٢	۴	
Spatial se	ale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Tempora	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - I	mpact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Managen	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - D	evelopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
				• • •		-
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend

4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide

C

9 9 0

Spatial s	cale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Tempor	al scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact -	Impact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manage	nent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
		_			_		
Name	Name 4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)		t		Origin		Trend
4.11.6 F	re (whatire)			~7		~	
		0		9	۲	C ^C	\rightarrow

Snatial	scale -	Aroa	affected	by the	factor

Restricted Localised X Extensive Videspread Temporal Femporal Videspread Intermittent or sporadic Intermittent or sporadic On-going Intermittent or sporadic Intermittent or sporadic	Spatial Sca	
Kensive Extensive Videspread Concorter of the impact De off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - tertibutes Insignificant Mior		Restricted
Widespread Temporal >> Occurence of the impact Impact of for rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - I tributes Insignificant Minor		Localised
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - tor the attributes Insignificant Minor	×	Extensive
Ne off or rare Internittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - tor the attributes Insignificant Minor		Widespread
Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - tre attributes Insignificant Minor	Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
Frequent On-going Impact - Impact - Impact on the attributes Impact - Impact On the attributes Impact - Impact On the attributes		One off or rare
On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor	×	Intermittent or sporadic
Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor		Frequent
Insignificant Minor		On-going
Minor	Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
		Insignificant
X Significant		Minor
	×	Significant

9 . .

	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name		Impact	ŧ	Origin	Trer
4.13.1 M	lanagement system/Management plan	0			
		0	4	۲	
Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
×	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Tempo	al scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
х	On-going				
Impact	Impact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Manage	ment response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trer
4.13.2 L	egal framework	٢	9	٢	(5) →

Spatial sc	cale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal	I scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - II	Impact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Managem	nent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
	Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.3 Gov	ernance	٢	9	٢	Ċ	
						_
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					

×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	Management response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	Trend - Developement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

Name		Impact			Origin	
4.13.4 Management activities			9	۲	Ċ	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Spatial Sca						
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact						
One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Management response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
		_			_	
Name	ncial resources	Impact	9	Origin ()	Trend	
4.13.3 FINA		U	7	U		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				

× Increasing

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.6 Human resources							
		9	9		٢	Ċ	
Spatial sca	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Impact on the attributes							
	Insignificant						
	Minor						

×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Gulf coast of the monuments of the cities	×			
4.18.1.2	Art and architecture	×			
4.18.1.3	Unique monuments and cultural properties	×			
4.18.1.4	Authentically preserved small cities	×			
4.18.1.5	Cultural landscape	×			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The buffer zones are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

The property id protected under the The new Law on the protection of cultural heritage from 2010 and The new Law on the protection of WHS Kotor from 2013 .

The 1991 Montenegro Act for the Protection of Cultural Monuments was followed up by the 1992 amendments to the Decree on Registering of Cultural Monuments, to the Decree on Regulating Conditions of Archaeological Surveys and to the Ordinance on conditions for performing, professional works and mode of professional examinations in the field of protection of cultural monuments. Instruments of protection include the 1979 Decision of the Municipality of Kotor, which declared the World Heritage site (which comprises a number of surrounding settlements) as being of special natural, cultural and historical significance, and the 1981 Decision on General Conditions for Construction in the Protected Area. In 1991, a special Law on the Renewal of the Protected Kotor Area was prepared, but its provisions have never been properly applied and in 1997 a Ruling on the Organization of Development of Towns and Settlements in the Region of Kotor was adopted.

A few legal instruments have been applied to the site over the past few years, notably the Government of the Republic of Montenegro Act (2005), the Spatial Planning and Organisation Law (2005), the Kotor Municip I Assembly Decision (2006) and the Law on Coastal Zone (2008). Under the 1991 law, the site is administered as an "urban cultural monument", "first class", World Heritage. The law also defines the site's boundaries, which correspond to those drawn up when the cultural property was inscribed on the World Heritage List.

Source: RMM Mission Report (2008), Periodic Reporting Cycle 2

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system at national level

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

Governance mechanisms that foster and respect traditional practices, knowledge and uses of the property

An environmental management framework

A joint approach to management of cultural and natural heritage

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Plan d'action pour la campagne internationale pour la sauvegarde du patrimoine culturel du Montenegro ravage par un seisme, 1979	N/A	Available	1979	
Plan d'Action pour la Campaign internationale pour la sauvegarde du patrimoine culturel du Montenegro ravagé par us seisme	N/A	Available	1979	
ARELATE. Colonia Julia Paterna Arelate Sextanorum. Déclaration d'intention	N/A	Available	1993	
Management Plan Natural and Culturo-Historical Region of Kotor	N/A	Available	2007	
Plan de gestion du site du patrimoine mondial de la contrée naturelle et culturo-historique de Kotor	In Force	Available	2011	

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

No use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property?

Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being fully implemented and monitored

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and all of its activities are being implemented and monitored

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				×	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone			×		
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women	×				
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities			×		
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners			×		
5.3.16.5	Women	×				
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children	×				
5.3.16.7	Researchers			×		
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists	×				
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists	×				
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry	×				
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries	×				
5.3.16.12	NGOs			×		
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention*

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality				×	
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)					×
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				×	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach				×	
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				×	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property				×	

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	%
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	%
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	%
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	%
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	%
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	65 %	%
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	0 %	%
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	25 %	%
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	%
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	10 %	%
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	%
6.1.1.12	Other	%	%
		Total 100 %	Total 0 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively? The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	70 %	50 %
6.1.6.2	Women	30 %	50 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **inadequate** for management needs

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Poor
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Poor
Capacity development and education	Poor
Administration	Poor
Research and monitoring	Poor
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Poor
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Poor
Environmental sustainability	Poor
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Poor
Capacity development and education	Not available
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Poor
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Not available
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property? Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained? Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is insufficient

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a small amount of research, but it is not planned

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Women	Not applicable
Youth/children	Not applicable
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Poor
National/international tourists	Poor
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industries	Poor
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Landowners
Researchers
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry
Local businesses and industries
NGOs

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Fair
Information booths	Fair
Guided tours	Fair
Trails/routes	Fair
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Fair
Transportation facilities	Fair
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

171 428 / 119 965 / 26 736 / 171 218 / 170 000 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments

Visitor surveys

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

Two overnight stays

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

80 / 50 / 20 / 10 / 20 / 30 /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

Through National statistic Agency -MONSTAT

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property? Fees are collected and make a substantial contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Not applicable

If 'Yes', please specify

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities? $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$

If 'Yes', please specify

In last few years local citizens rent apartments , studios , houses , villas to tourists.

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a small amount of monitoring, but it is not planned

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, but this has not been done

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation		×		
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system		×		
10.3.3	Character of governance		×		
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations		×		
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development		×		
10.3.6	Capacity development		×		

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Local communities	Not applicable
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Women	Not applicable
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industry	Not applicable
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee? Implementation is underway

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	No use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved	×
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage the World Heritage property	×
6.1.7	Human resources are inadequate for the management needs of the World Heritage property	×
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	×
6.1.12	There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred	×
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects	

7.1	Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is insufficient	×
7.2	There is a small amount of research in the World Heritage property but it is not planned	×
7.3	Research results are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies	×
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
8.2	There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth	×
9	Visitor Management	
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation	
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made	
9.11	There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation	
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	
10	Monitoring	
10.1	There is a small amount of monitoring at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned	×
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, but this has not been done	
Pleas	se select 0 more issues.	
	ease save this question to reflect changes	

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.1	Buildings an	d Development									
4.1.1	Housing	Challenges of excessive urbanisation Impact on architecture	Control of building permits Harmonization with Spatial Plan	A pr	lunicipality of Kotor dministration for rotection of cultural roperties		On going		stration unit for ion of cultural ies	loc	etter communication with cal comunities, public tthorities
4.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	Potential overcrowding, limited spatial capacities	Limitation of visitor numbers	orga	t of Kotor, Tourist anisation of Kotor Municipality of or	on	going	organi	f Kotor, Tourist sation of Kotor unicipality of	betv	ter comunications ween monitoring ncies
4.2	Transportati	on Infrastructure									
4.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	One and only road is often overcrowded	Limitation for big vechicles	Adminis transpo	istration for public ort	On	ngoing	Admir transp	istration for public ort		Constant investing in nfrastructure
4.2.4	Marine transport infrastructure	Overcrowding with cruit	sers Monitoring of n of cruisers that the Gulf		Port of Kotor and Municipality of Koto	or	ongoing		Port of Kotor and Municipality of Kot	or	Creating of local public marine transport system
4.8	Social/Cultur	ral uses of heritage									
4.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	Loosing of traditional way of life and activities	Validating aspetcs of intangible and tangible heritage		e of Montenegro, I comunities	(Ongoing	-	overment of lontenegro		Raising awerness of national cultural heritage
4.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	Local population leaving traditional settlements	Lowering the price for renting bussines facilities Local authorities helping local busineses with micro credits		nicipality of Kotor	0	Ingoing	Mu	nicipality of Kotor	:	Local busineses are suppressed by foreign bussines activities

4.8.6	Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recre	Overcrowding wi impact on quality local citizens	of life of	Limiting the number of visitors per day	Tourist organ Kotor	isation of	Ongoing	Tourist organisation Kotor	of Even though Kotor is mainlz funded by tourism industry, it should be contolled
4.11	4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events								
4.11.2		affects infranstructure ind architecture	Monitorir that lead enhance earthqua	ment of	Ministry of Ir Affairs - Dire for emergen situations	ectorate	ongoing	Ministry of Internal Affairs - Directorate for emergency situations	Raising awareness of potential earthquakes local seismic culture)
4.13	Management and	institutional factors							
4.13.1	Management system/Management plan	Revision of Management plan from 2011	Inclusion of proffession cultural he departmen	nals from eritage	Ministry of Cultu Administrative E Kotor Municipal Kotor	Body of		Ministry of Culture Administrative Body of Kotor Municipality of Kotor	Management Plan of Kotor is being revised and has to be improved
4.13.6	Human resources	staff	Capacity bulic area of protec cultural herita	ction of Cu	nistry of Ilture Unesco	to be imp	lemented	Ministry of Culture Unesco	Organizing workshops for caapacity building
Summary - Factors affecting the Property completed									

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan						
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved	More intensive communticatio between administrative bodies	ongoing	Ministry of Culture, Administration for Protection of Cultural Property, Municipality of Kotor	Political situation has largely impacted on communication between the administrative bodies		
6.1	Funding						
6.1.3	The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage the World Heritage property	Increasing the budget for management needs	ongoing	Government of Montenegro	Raising awareness of the costs fot maintanance of World heritage property		
6.1.7	Human resources are inadequate for the management needs of the World Heritage property	Capacity building	ongoing	Ministry of Culture, Administration for Protection of Cultural Property, Municipality of Kotor	Investing in HR		
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	Not yet	october 2023	Ministry of Culture WHC	We are looking forward to take participation in capacity building workshops organized by WHC		

6.1.12	There is no site-based capacity building plar programme place; managemen implemented external staf and skills are not transferr	in It is It by f e	-	Ministry of Culture Municipali Kotor WHC	ty of AS SOON AS POSSIBLE
7	Scientific Studies	and Research Projects			
7.1	Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is insufficient	Raising awareness on local and national level of heritage value	ongoing	Municiality of Kotor Ministry of culture	Promoting values of cultural heritage
7.2	There is a small amount of research in the World Heritage property but it is not planned	Inclusion of University of Montenegro and relevant institutions in research activities related to WH Property	ongoing	University of Montenegro Ministry of Culture	In 2021 Ministry of Culture and University of Montenegro have established Conservation and Restoration study program at Faculty of Fine arts
7.3	Research results are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies	Organizing international symposia and conferences	to be realized	Uni Montenegro, Ministry of Culture, Administration for Protection of Cultu Property, Municipality of Kotor	Presenting results on national and ral international level
8	Education, Info	ormation and Awareness Building			
8.2	There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth		to be realized	Ministry of education Min culture	istry of To be done as soon as possible
10	Monitoring				
10.1	There is a sma amount of monitoring at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned	governing bodies	ongoing	Council of Kotor, Municipality o Kotor	f The system is working but it needs some improvement
Summary -	Management Ne	eds completed			

Summary - Management Needs completed

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property? The Authenticity of the World Heritage property **has been compromised** by factors described in this report

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property has been compromised by factors described in this report

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been impacted by factors described in this report, but this situation is being addressed through effective management actions.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values are being partially degraded but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has not been significantly

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	Positive
Advocacy	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Gender equality	Not applicable
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Positive
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Fair
Site Managers	Fair
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	No follow-up

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans

Awareness raising

Advocacy

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

Staff from other World Heritage properties

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance has **not been explicitly** considered or implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? Yes

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

32 / 16 / 5 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire? **Most** required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			×	

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Not applicable
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNE	ESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair

State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Fair
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	No support
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.