Lena Pillars Nature Park

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Lena Pillars Nature Park

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Buotamsky plot	60.667 / 127	1272150	?	1272150	2012
Sinsky plot	61.336 / 126.878	114850	?	114850	2015
Total (ha)		1387000	0	1387000	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Lena Pillars Nature Park - Inscribed Property	2012	
Lena Pillars Nature Park - minor boundary modification - map of the inscribed property	2015	

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

1. Natural Heritage Protection Fund

Comment

https://lena-pillars.ru/ https://t.me/lenapillarsnationalpark

- 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)
- 2.1 Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is not designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

Not applicable

No

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

Lena Pillars Nature Park 1 of 43

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

- 2.8 Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)
- 2.9 Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

 No
- 2.10 Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware
- 2.11 Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?
- 2.12 Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.
- 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Lena Pillars Nature Park 2 of 43

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief synthesis

Comprising a vast area of 1,387,000 ha, the property of the Lena Pillars Nature Park occupies the right bank of the middle part of Lena River in the Republic of Sakha (Yakutia) of the Russian Federation. The Lena Pillars Nature Park displays two features of significant international interest in relation to the Earth sciences. The large cryogenically modified pillars in the region are the most notable pillar landscape of their kind known, whilst the internationally renowned and important exposures of Cambrian rocks tell us key stories about our planet and the early evolution of life during the entire Cambrian Explosion, and the story of the emergence of the frozen ground karst phenomenon.

Criterion (viii): The Lena Pillars Nature Park displays two features of significant international interest in relation to the Earth sciences. The large cryogenically modified pillars in the region are the most notable pillar landscape of their kind known, whilst the internationally renowned and important exposures of Cambrian rocks provide a second and important supporting set of values.

The celebrated pillars (up to c.200m in height) that line the banks of the Lena River are rocky buttresses isolated from each other by deep and steep gullies developed by frost shattering directed along intervening joints. The pillars form an outstanding discontinuous belt that extends back from the river's edge along the incised valley sides of some rivers in a zone about 150 m wide.

The Lena Pillars Nature Park property contains among the most significant record of events related to the 'Cambrian explosion' which was one of the pivotal points in the Earth's life evolution. Due to platformal type of carbonate sedimentation within the tropical belt of the Cambrian Period, without subsequent meta-morphic and tectonic reworking, and magnificent impressive outcrops, the property preserves an exceptionally continuous, fully documented, and rich record of the diversification of skeletal animals and other biomineralised organisms from their first appearance until the first mass extinction event they suffered. The Lena Pillars include among the earliest and the largest, in both tem-poral and spatial senses, fossil metazoan reef of the Cambrian world. The Lena Pillars shows exceptional processes of the fine disintegra-tion of the rocks dominating the shaping of the carbonate pillar relief. These karst phenomena are enriched by thermo-karst processes developed in the area of a great permafrost thickness (up to 400-500 m).

Integrity

The property has clear boundaries, which include significant stretches of pillars, and the main Cambrian fossil remains of the region. It is noted that the Sinyaya component of Lena Pillars Nature Park, that is necessary to strengthen the integrity within the property, could be considered for future inclusion in the property.

Through its size (1 387 000 ha) the property is large enough to support the func-tioning of nature complexes and to ensure the complete representation of the features and processes which convey its significance. Besides, local and republican resource preserves adjacent to the Park's boundaries give additional integrity quarantees for the nominated property.

The biophysical processes and landform features of the property are intact. Natural ecosystems, numerous nature monuments, and also evidence of human activity from ancient times have been sustainably preserved over a long period of time. Protection of the natural processes of the Lena River that maintain the values of the property is required.

The area of the "Lena Pillars Nature Park" has passed a long and complex period of geological development since Early Cambrian. The property reflects both significant geological processes of surface development and outstanding geomorphological relief features. The significant relief and landforms of the property are interrelated and interdependent elements in their natural relationships.

Protection and management requirements

Lena Pillars Nature Park was established by the Resolution of the Government of the Republic of Sakha (Yakutia) in 1995. The property has the status of a Nature Park of the Republic Sakha and is owned by the Sakha Republic. There are some land parcels traditionally used by Evenki indigenous people. The boundaries of the land are well known and their validity is respected by the park administration. Limited traditional use of the land includes hay-making and hunting. Co-existence of traditional rights and use, and legal land ownership appears to be appropriately considered.

Lena Pillars Nature Park possesses the status of a non-profit legal entity and established in the form of state-operated nature conservation institution and financed by the state budgetary funds from the Sakha Republic. Legal instruments for the protection of the property are determined by the regulations of the Nature Park (referred as the "Statute of the State Enterprise Lena Pillars Nature Park" 2006 in the Annex B5 of the nomination document) confirmed by the Government of the Sakha Republic. The territory of the nature park is zoned and includes areas termed reserved zone, sacred places, restricted and active recreational zones, traditional nature management zone and zone of breeding for rare and extinct animals.

The whole territory in the limits of the Lena Pillars Nature Park is provided with professional guarding by the Park administration and the staff on the basis of laws and decrees of the Governments of the Russian Federation and the Republic of Sakha.

The property has an active management plan that is kept updated. This plan was developed in accordance with the Direction of the Ministry of Natural Resources of the Russian Federation. It identifies primary goals of the park and proposes activities on protection, scientific research, environmental education and recreation. The document is adequately guiding the management of the nominated property. The plan defines the sources of financing, which are mainly from the regional budget with a minor contribution from self-generated revenue. The total annual budget of the park appears to be adequate to conduct nature conservation, patrolling and monitoring activities, but it may need to be increased in the future. Lena Pillars Nature Park has a personnel of c.40 including state environmental inspectors, education and tourism specialists, and a range of administration and support staff.

A long-term strategy needs to be developed that would balance the increasing trend in tourism in one hand whilst respecting the capacity of the area, and realizing benefits to local communities.

Traditional nature management and licensed use of biological resources by local residents from eight communities of small nationalities of the North inhabiting the Park territory (and absolute absence of permanent settlements) ensure the conditions for con-servation of nature monuments and biological diversity of ecosystems of the concerned territory.

As far as there is no economic activity around the property, a buffer zone is not required. Besides, the property's boundary on local special protected areas in the south – Verkhneamginsky, Kyrbykan, Munduruchchu resource preserves and republic special protected areas – Verkhneamginsky and Amma resource preserves which serve as buffer zone.

Comment

In accordance with the Decree of the Government of the Russian Federation of August 6, 2018 N 915 "On the creation of the Lena Pillars National Park", the Botamsky plot received the status of a federal national park. In order to ensure the management of the national park, the Federal State Budgetary Institution "National Park Lena Pillars" was created. Financing is provided by the federal budget uder the supervision of the Ministry of Natural Resources of the Russian Federation

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a

Lena Pillars Nature Park 3 of 43

guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	preserved Cambrian fossil remains	×			
3.2.2	valuable paleontological locations	×			
3.2.3	valuable geological sites	×			
3.2.4	unique geomorphological sites	×			
3.2.5	aesthetic value of the Lena Pillars	×			
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

- 4. Factors Affecting the Property
- 4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

Relevant	X Not relevant
Holorani	** Not relevant

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Not relevant

|--|

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

≭ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×				7
Negative							

Lena Pillars Nature Park 4 of 43

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The recreational zone has the necessary equipment to reduce the recreational pressure on the territory caused by tourists' visitation. The territory is equipped with necessary information signs that help to interpret the natural environment and Outstanding Universal Value of the Site.

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×		×			→	
Negative							

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

Lena Pillars Nature Park 5 of 43

Relevant X Not relevant

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Solar panels are used to generate electricity by park employees while living on cordons, thus reducing the consumption of fuel use for diesel power generators

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant	
-------------------------	--

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	G Current Potential		Inside	Outside	Decreasing → Stable ✓ Increase		Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×		→	

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Household waste accumulates when park workers live at cordons and office premises. It is also brought in by visitors to the park. Activities are systematically carried out to clean up the territory and remove household waste to landfills located in nearby settlements.

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

Rel	evant	X Not relevant

Lena Pillars Nature Park 6 of 43

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

¥ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Gurrent	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×		×	×		→	
Negative							

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Not relevant	Relevant	X Not relevant
--------------	----------	----------------

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Game fishing is not prohibited in the river and lakes within the recreational zone. Russian legislation allows game fishing in accordance with the rate of catching fish per person. With an increase in the number of tourists, the number of fish caught is growing, which may have negative effect. Game fishing is suspended during spawning which helps fish stocks to recover. With a decrease in the number of fish stocks, the park administration has the right to ban fishing

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Lena Pillars Nature Park 7 of 43

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

× Not relevant 4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or

positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Relevant

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×		\rightarrow	

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×			1

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

Lena Pillars Nature Park 8 of 43

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×			×		\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Wind eventually contributes to the erosion of soils and stones. Temperature rise leads to the thawing of permafrost soils. During hot dry weather there is a high risk of forest fires. Rainfall contributes to the moistening of the forest litter and prevents the occurrence of forest fires. Rainfall additionally moistens the soil.

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

× Relevant	Not relevant							
	Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×	×	×	×		→		
Negative								

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant		Not relevant					
Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×	×		→	
Negative							

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

≭ Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×			\Rightarrow	
Negative							

Lena Pillars Nature Park 9 of 43

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×			1
Negative							

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	№ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×		×	S		

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

× Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	G Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
○ Negative X	×			×	S			

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Lena Pillars Nature Park 10 of 43

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

the park has an equipped ans trained staff of federal state inspectors who are on duty in the territory and also conduct raids, thus it is possible to minimize the number of violations of environmental legislation

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant ** Not relevant

4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×			7

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow		

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Polyont	¥ Matadagant
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
	The first of the f

Lena Pillars Nature Park 12 of 43

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

★ Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×			→	
Negative							

4.13.2 - Legal framework

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×		×		\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.13.3 - Governance

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	№ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×	×			7
Negative							

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact	
Impact	Current	Current Potential		Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×	×			7
Negative							

4.13.5 - Financial resources

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current Potential		Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
○ Positive ★	×	×	×	×			/
Negative							

Lena Pillars Nature Park 13 of 43

4.13.6 - Human resources

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing		
Positive X	×	×	×	×			,		
Negative									

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×	×			,
Negative							

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Tuois Culmary Tubic						
Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	O	q	9	•		/
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities	O	q		•		\rightarrow
4.4 Pollution						
4.4.5 Solid waste						
		q	9	•	F	\rightarrow
4.5 Biological resource use/modification						
4.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection	O	9		•	(\rightarrow
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.1 Wind						
			9		Œ	\rightarrow
4.7.3 Temperature						
		9	9		Œ	<i>P</i>
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)	O	q			Œ	\rightarrow

Lena Pillars Nature Park 14 of 43

4.8 Social/Cultural	I uses of heritage									
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritu	ual/Religious and associa	tive uses			•	q	9	•	G	→
4.8.2 Society's val	uing of heritage				•	9	9	•	E	→
4.8.3 Indigenous h	nunting, gathering and co	llecting			•	9		•		→
4.8.6 Impacts of to	ourism/Visitation/Recreati	ion			•	q	9	•	(,
4.9 Other human a	activities									
4.9.1 Illegal activit	ies									
						Ą	9		C	\
4.9.2 Deliberate de	estruction of heritage									
						q			Œ	•
4.10 Climate chan	ge and severe weather ev	vents								
4.10.6 Temperatur	e change									
						q	9		G	1
4.11 Sudden ecolo	ogical or geological event	ts								
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire	e)									
						P	9	•	Œ	\Rightarrow
4.13 Management	and institutional factors									
4.13.1 Managemer	nt system/Management pl	lan			•	q	9	•		→
						~	~3		·	
4.13.2 Legal frame	ework				©	4	4		G	→
4.13.3 Governance	9				•	Ą	9	@	Œ	7
4.13.4 Managemer	nt activities				©	q	9	•	Œ	1
4.13.5 Financial re	sources				•	4	9	•	(1
4.13.6 Human reso	ources				•	9	9	•	G	1
4.13.7 Low impact	research/monitoring acti	ivities			•	9	9	•	E	7
Legend	Current		Negative	Positive	Ins	ido			ido	
Legenu	Guirent	7 Folential	⊌ ivegative		@ ins	iue		G Ouisi	ue	

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	•	q	9	•		1

Lena Pillars Nature Park 15 of 43

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - In	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.3.2 Rene	wable energy facilities	•	9	•	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				

Lena Pillars Nature Park 16 of 43

	Insignificant								
×	Minor								
	Significant								
	Major								
Manageme	Management response - Capacity of management to respond								
×	High capacity								
	Medium capacity								
	Low capacity								
	No capacity and / or resources								
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years								
	Decreasing								
	Static								
×	Increasing								

4.4 Pollution

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.4.5 Solid	vaste						
			q	9	•	G	\Rightarrow
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managemen	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

Lena Pillars Nature Park 17 of 43

4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.5.7 Subs	istence wild plant collection	O	9		@	Œ	→
Snatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
~	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
. 5	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
**	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - I	npact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.7.1 Wind							
				9		Œ	\rightarrow
Custial ass	Association of business and bus						
Spatial Sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						

Lena Pillars Nature Park 18 of 43

	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - I	mpact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - D	evelopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name 4.7.3 Tem	nerature	Impact			Origin		Trend
			q	q		(E	,
			•	•			
Spatial so	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - I	mpact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						

Minor

X Significant

Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

High capacity

X Medium capacity

Low capacity

No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

Lena Pillars Nature Park 19 of 43

	Decreasing					
**						
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.7.6 Water	(rain/water table)	O	9		G	→
Custial and	a Assa offersted by the factor					
Spatiai scai	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Managemei	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.1 Ritua	/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	0	9	9	•	Œ	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						

Lena Pillars Nature Park 20 of 43

	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact -	- Impact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manage	ement response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - I	Developement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name			t		Origin		Trend
4.8.2 So	ociety's valuing of heritage	O	9	A	•	F	→
Spatial s	scale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Tempora	ral scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact -	- Impact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manage	ement response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - I	Developement over the last 6 years						
u - 1							

Lena Pillars Nature Park 21 of 43

	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.3 Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting		O	P		•		\rightarrow
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
**							
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

Widespread

Increasing

One off or rare
Intermittent or sporadic
Frequent
On-going On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity			
×	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing			

	Decreasing
×	Static

Name		:		Origin	Trend	
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	O	q	4	•	G	<i>P</i>

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					

Lena Pillars Nature Park 22 of 43

×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.9 Other human activities

Name	me				Origin		Trend
4.9.1 Illegal activities							
			A	9		F	•
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor							
Spatiai Sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						

-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Lena Pillars Nature Park 23 of 43

Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
×	Decreasing					
	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.9.2 Delib	erate destruction of heritage		_		-4	
			9		G	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
×	Decreasing					
	Static					
	Increasing					

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.10.6 Tem	.10.6 Temperature change						
			P	q		F	1
Snatial coa	le - Area affected by the factor						
Spatial Sca	le - Area arrected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						

Lena Pillars Nature Park 24 of 43

Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going Control of the Control of t
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name		Impact	:		Origin		Trend
4.11.6 Fire	s.11.6 Fire (wildfire)						
				9	•	Œ	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						

Lena Pillars Nature Park 25 of 43

	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.13 Management and institutional factors

		Impact			Origin	Trend
		O	9	q	•	\rightarrow
Snatial scal	al scale - Area affected by the factor					
Spatial Scal						
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Managemei	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.2 Legal framework	O	9	9		Œ	\Rightarrow

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised

Lena Pillars Nature Park 26 of 43

×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name 4.13.3 Gov	ernance	Impact	9	q	Origin	Œ	Trend
				,	3	3	Ť
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Extensive Widespread						
	Extensive Widespread scale - Occurrence of the impact						
	Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare						
	Extensive Widespread ccale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic						
Temporal s	Extensive Widespread Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent						
Temporal :	Extensive Widespread Grale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going						
Temporal :	Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes						
Temporal :	Extensive Widespread Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant						
Temporal :	Extensive Widespread Cocale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor						
Temporal :	Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant						
X Impact - Im	Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major						
X Impact - Im	Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant						

Lena Pillars Nature Park 27 of 43

×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.4 Man	agement activities	0	9	9	•	©	/
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact		~	Origin		Trend
4.13.5 Fina	ncial resources	•	9	9	②	E	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						

Lena Pillars Nature Park 28 of 43

	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
	ian resources	Impact	9	9	Origin	Œ	Trend
	ian resources			9			
4.13.6 Hum	an resources ale - Area affected by the factor			9			
4.13.6 Hum				q			
4.13.6 Hum	ile - Area affected by the factor			q			
4.13.6 Hum	lle - Area affected by the factor Restricted			q			
4.13.6 Hum	lle - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised			q			
4.13.6 Hum Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive			q			
4.13.6 Hum Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread			q			
4.13.6 Hum Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact			q			
4.13.6 Hum Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare			q			
4.13.6 Hum Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Grade - Occurence of the impact Intermittent or sporadic			q			
4.13.6 Hum Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Cocurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent			q			
4.13.6 Hum Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Cocurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going			q			
4.13.6 Hum Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Cone off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Spact on the attributes			q			
4.13.6 Hum Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes Insignificant			q			
4.13.6 Hum Spatial sca X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Cone off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Cone of the attributes Insignificant Minor			q			
* Spatial sca * Temporal s Impact - Im	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Inpact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant			q			
* Spatial sca * Temporal s Impact - Im	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Cacle - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Upact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major			q			

Lena Pillars Nature Park 29 of 43

	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.7 Low	4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities		9	9	•	G	7
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managemei	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
1.17. Seri	al inscriptions (national or transnational)						

- 4.17.1 If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor
- 4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.
- 4.18.1 Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	preserved Cambrian fossil remains	×			
4.18.1.2	valuable paleontological locations	×			

4.18.1.3	valuable geological sites	×		
4.18.1.4	unique geomorphological sites	×		
4.18.1.5	aesthetic value of the Lena Pillars	×		

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?The boundaries are **adequate to maintain** the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The property has no buffer zone and does not need one

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The property has no known and recognised buffer zone

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

Lena Pillars Nature Park was established by the Resolution of the Government of the Republic of Sakha (Yakutia) in 1995. The property has the status of a Nature Park of the Republic Sakha and is owned by the Sakha Republic. There are some land parcels traditionally used by Evenki indigenous people. The boundaries of the land are well known and their validity is respected by the park administration. Limited traditional use of the land includes hay-making and hunting. Co-existence of traditional rights and use, and legal land ownership appears to be appropriately considered.

Lena Pillars Nature Park possesses the status of a non-profit legal entity and established in the form of state-operated nature conservation institution and financed by the state budgetary funds from the Sakha Republic. Legal instruments for the protection of the property are determined by the regulations of the Nature Park (referred as the "Statute of the State Enterprise Lena Pillars Nature Park" 2006 in the Annex B5 of the nomination document) confirmed by the Government of the Sakha Republic. The territory of the nature park is zoned and includes areas termed reserved zone, sacred places, restricted and active recreational zones, traditional nature management zone and zone of breeding for rare and extinct animals.

Source: SOUV

Comment

In accordance with the Decree of the Government of the Russian Federation of August 6, 2018 N 915 "On the creation of the Lena Pillars National Park", the Botamsky plot received the status of a federal national park. In order to ensure the management of the national park, the Federal State Budgetary Institution "National Park Lena Pillars" was created by the Order of the Ministry of Natural Resources and Ecology of the Russian Federation of June 4, 2019 N 349 "On approval of the Charter of the Federal State Budgetary Institution "National Park" Lena Pillars". The boundaries of the land of the Evenki indigenous people are well known and their validity is respected by the national park administration. Limited traditional use of the land includes hay-making and hunting. Co-existence of traditional rights and use, and legal land ownership are appropriately considered. Sinsky plot is located within the bourders of the Lena Pillars Nature park of the regional level. Park financing is provided by the regional budget under the supervision of the Ministry of Ecology, Nature Management and Forestry of the Republic of Sakha (Yakutia).

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The property has no buffer zone

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for** effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

Lena Pillars Nature Park 31 of 43

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Other

If 'Other', please specify

Public management system at national/regional level

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.
Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)
Traditional ways of management recognised by local communities and other specific groups
Governance mechanisms that foster and respect traditional practices, knowledge and uses of the property
Agreed 'Memorandums of Understanding' between different managing institutions, groups or others, including documents agreed with local communities for management
Mechanisms to promote equal participation among and within groups, including different levels of authority, local communities, indigenous people, women and men, and other specific groups
An annual work plan or business plan
A visitor/visitation management plan
An environmental management framework
An assessment of biological and cultural diversity and ecosystem services provided by the property

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Lena Pillars Nature Park property management plan	N/A	Available	2012	
Management plan 2017-2021 for the Lena Pillars	N/A	Available	2016	

Comment

The Site's unified management plan (incl. Buotama plot and Sinsky plot) for a period of 5 years from 2024 to 2029 is currently under development.

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

The 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape is **not relevant** to this property

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

Some examples: - Solar panels are widely used to generate electricity and reduce greenhouse gas emissions on the National park territory. - Two automatic meteorological stations have been installed on the territory of the national park to obtain the main meteorological parameters of the environment and register changes - In order to reduce the anthropogenic pressure on the soil and vegetation cover, areas are equipped with wooden pathways where a high flow of tourists is registered

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property? Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

Actions and axamples: National park Lena pillars has environmental education program, which provides availability of information about the significance of the World Natural Heritage Site at the local, regional, national and international levels. National park there is a scientific and technical Council, which includes representatives of scientific and public organizations, representatives of indigenous communities to ensure equal participation for planning activities.

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is adequate coordination between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

 $\label{thm:continuous} The \ management \ system/plan \ is \ \textbf{fully adequate} \ to \ maintain \ the \ property's \ Outstanding \ Universal \ Value$

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

Lena Pillars Nature Park 32 of 43

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and all of its activities are being implemented and monitored

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities			×		
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone	×				
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples				×	
5.3.15.5	Women					×
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities				×	
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples					×
5.3.16.4	Landowners	×				
5.3.16.5	Women					×
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					×
5.3.16.7	Researchers					×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries					×
5.3.16.12	NGOs					×
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality					×
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)					×
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status					×
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach					×
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood					×

Lena Pillars Nature Park 33 of 43

- 5.3.18 Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above
- 5.3.19 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan
- 6. Financial and Human Resources
- 6.1. Funding
- 6.1.1 If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	0 %	70 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	0 %	20 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	5 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	5 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 0 %	Total 100 %

- 6.1.2 Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above
- 6.1.3 Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is adequate for effective management of the World Heritage property

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over both the medium- and long-term

- 6.1.5 Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure
- 6.1.6 Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	80 %	20 %
6.1.6.2	Women	20 %	80 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are adequate for management needs

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair

Lena Pillars Nature Park 34 of 43

Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

- 6.1.11 If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.
- 6.1.12 Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and fully implemented; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally

- 6.1.13 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training
- 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects
- 7.1 Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is adequate

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared with local communities and some national agencies

- 7.4 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects
- 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building
- 8.1 Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Not applicable
Women	Good
Youth/children	Fair

Lena Pillars Nature Park 35 of 43

Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Good
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Good
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned and effective education and awareness programme for children and youth that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Local/municipal authorities
Indigenous peoples
Youth/children
Researchers
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry
Local businesses and industries

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Fair
Site museum	Poor
Information booths	Fair
Guided tours	Fair
Trails/routes	Fair
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Fair
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

- 8.5 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building
- 9. Visitor Management
- 9.1 Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

34000 / 28000 / 13000 / 22000 / 15000 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

Tourism industry

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One day (no overnight stay)

- 9.4 Please provide the source of information
- 9.5 What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

Lena Pillars Nature Park 36 of 43

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a planned and effective strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

generally accepted multipurpose approaches are used, namely, the dynamics of the number of tourists, assessment of the impact of the Tourism industry on Site ecosystems and the local economy

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is good cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property? Fees are collected and make a substantial contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

No

If 'Yes', please specify

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

jobs are created: locals participate in the transfer of tourists, perform traditional cultural rites of greeting, conduct excursions, sell souvenirs. Part of the funds received goes to support of local initiatives

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme of monitoring**, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				X
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				X
10.3.3	Character of governance				X
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations				×
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development				X

10.3.6	Capacity development					K
--------	----------------------	--	--	--	--	---

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Local communities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Good
Landowners	Not applicable
Women	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Not applicable
Local businesses and industry	Not applicable
NGOs	Not applicable
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

- 10.7 Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.
- 10.8 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring
- 11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones	
5.1.3	The property has no buffer zone	×
5.1.4	The property has no known and recognised buffer zone	×
5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.4	The property has no buffer zone	×
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.7	Some use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×
6.1	Funding	
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	×
9	Visitor Management	
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	×
10	Monitoring	
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	×
Pleas	se select 0 more issues.	
□ Ple	ease save this question to reflect changes	

Lena Pillars Nature Park 38 of 43

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.4	Pollution							
4.4.5	Solid waste	criteria and attributes are not	solid household waste is removed from the territory by employees of the national park systematically			systematically several imes a year	Federal State Budgetary Institution "Lena Pillars National Park"	none
1.7	Local conditi	ons affecting physical f	abric					
4.7.1	Wind	World Heritage criteria and attributes are not affected	landscape change monitoring	carr ima com fron regi	vey of territories is ried out using satellite ges, drones, and uparison of descriptions in previous years to ster changes in dscapes	regularly	Federal State Budgetary Institution "Lena Pillars National Park"	none
4.7.3	Temperature	World Heritage criteria and attributes are not affected	adequate monitoring of weather patterns		erature recording with omous meteorological ns	regularly	Federal State Budgetary Institution "Lena Pillars National Park"	none
4.9	Other human	activities						
4.9.1	Illegal activities	World Heritage criteria and attributes are not affected	Creation of the Territory protection Department and Quick reaction Force for the rapid detection of violations of environmental legislation	e patrolling the area to dete s illegal presence and			Federal State Budgetary Institution "Lena Pillars National Park"	none
4.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage	Aesthetic value of the Lena Pillars: occasion cases of vandal inscriptions were registered in the past	Development of suitab al techniques for removir vandal inscriptions		inspection of the territory in order to identify such locations	regularly	Federal State Budgetary Institution "Lena Pillars National Park"	none
1.10	Climate chan	ge and severe weather	events					
4.10.6	Temperature change	World Heritage criteria and attributes are not affected	adequate monitoring of weather patterns and landscape changes	of weather patterns autonomous meteorological and landscape stations. study of landscape			Federal State Budgetary Institution "Lena Pillars National Park"	none
4.11	Sudden ecolo	ogical or geological eve	nts					
4.11.6	Fire (wildfire)	World Heritage criteria and attributes are not affected	Developing and impleme of a Plan for extinguishin forest fires for every seas	g	the use of satellite images to identify hot spots conducting air patrols during the fore fire season		Federal State Budgetary Institution "Lena Pillars National Park"	none

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones							
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment			
5.1.3	The property has no buffer zone	no need for buffer zone	for an unlimited period	Ministry of Natural Resources of the Russian Federation	none			

Lena Pillars Nature Park 39 of 43

5.1.4	The property has no known and recognised buffer zone	no need for buffer zone	for an unlimited p	eriod	Ministry of N	Natural Resources of Federation	none	
5.2	Protective Me	easures						
5.2.4	The property has no buffer zone	no need for buffer zone	for an unlimited	d period		of Natural Resources of th Federation	ne	none
5.3	Management Sys	stem/Management Plan						
5.3.7	Some use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	Solar panels are widely used electricity and reduce greenhoremissions on the National parautomatic meteorological statinstalled on the territory of the obtain t	ouse gas k territory. Two ions have been	regularly		Federal State Budgetal Institution "Lena Pillars Park"		none
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	National park Lena pillars has education program, which pro of information about the signif World Natural Heritage Site a regional, national and internat	vides availability icance of the t the local,	regularly		Federal State Budgeta Institution "Lena Pillars Park"	•	none
6.1	Funding							
6.1.10	Some use hat been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development the World Heritage property	framework for capacity bu education and training op	ilding,	regularly		Ministry of Natural Reso the Russian Federation	urces of	none
9	Visitor Managem	ent						
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	Information on signs and bool the outstanding value of the to the places of stay of internation should be translated into foreil languages	erritory in onal tourists	gularly		ederal State Budgetary In Lena Pillars National Park		none
10	Monitoring							
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	training of highly qualified pers field of geology and paleontolo to carry out the necessary wor introduce modern methods an technologies	ogy in order k and	egularly		finistry of Science and Hi Education of the Russian F	-	none

Lena Pillars Nature Park 40 of 43

- 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property
- 12.3.1 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

 Not applicable (sites inscribed exclusively under criteria vii to x (natural World Heritage properties)
- 12.3.2 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

 The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact
- 12.3.3 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

- 12.3.5 Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.
- 13. Impact of World Heritage Status
- 13.1 Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Not applicable
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal/Policy framework	Very positive
Advocacy	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Very positive
Gender equality	Very positive
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Very positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Not applicable
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

- 13.2 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts
- 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention
- 14.1 Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level
- 14.2 Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level
- 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

Lena Pillars Nature Park 41 of 43

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Fair
Site Managers	Fair
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Fair

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Update of management plans

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
· ·
Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff
Focal points of other international conventions/programmes
Staff from other World Heritage properties
External experts

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? Yes

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

40 / 8 / 30 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources	×	
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

All required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions		×		

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	No support
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	No support

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Fair
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	No support
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	No support
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

- 15.5.4 If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?
- 15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
- 15.6.1 Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

 Reason for update: In accordance with the Decree of the Government of the Russian Federation of August 6, 2018 N 915 "On the creation of the Lena

 Pillars National Park", the Botamsky plot received the status of a federal national park. In order to ensure the management of the national park, the

 Federal State Budgetary Institution "National Park Lena Pillars" was created. Financing is provided by the federal budget uder the supervision of the

 Ministry of Natural Resources of the Russian Federation.

Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

- 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.2 Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.

Lena Pillars Nature Park 43 of 43