## Archaeological Site of Philippi

1. World Heritage Property Data

#### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Archaeological Site of Philippi

### 1.2 - World Heritage property details

### 1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Archaeological Site of Philippi	41.015 / 24.285	87.545	176.291	263.836	2016
Total (ha)		87.545	176.291	263.836	

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Archaeological Site of Philippi - maps of inscribed property	2016	

### 1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

1. Ministry of Culture and Sports - Philippoi

#### Comment

https://www.efakav.gr/ https://www.visitkavala.gr/sightseeing/arxaiologikos-xoros-filippon/

- 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)
- 2.1 Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is not designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

## 2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

Archaeological Site of Philippi 1 of 32

## 2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	

- 2.8 Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)
- 2.9 Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

No

- 2.10 Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware
- 2.11 Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

No

- 2.12 Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.
- 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
- 3.1 Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

### Statement of Outstanding Universal Value Brief synthesis

Archaeological Site of Philippi 2 of 32

The Archaeological Site of Philippi is lying at the foot of an acropolis in north-eastern Greece on the ancient route linking Europe with Asia, the Via Egnatia. The city of Philippi, re-founded by Philip II on a former colony of Thasians in 356 BCE, was reshaped by the Romans into a "small Rome" with its elevation to a Colonia Augusta of the Roman Empire in the decades following the Battle of Philippi. The vibrant Hellenistic city of Philip II, of which the walls and their gates, the theatre and the funerary heroon (temple) are to be seen, was adorned and transformed with Roman public buildings including the Forum and a monumental terrace with temples to its north. Later the city became a centre of Christian faith and pilgrimage deriving from the visit of the Apostle Paul in 49/50 CE and the remains of Christian basilicas and the octagonal church testify to its importance as a metropolitan see.

Criterion (iii): Philippi is an exceptional testimony to the incorporation of regions into the Roman Empire as demonstrated by the city's layout and architecture as a colony resembling a "small Rome". The remains of its churches are exceptional testimony to the early establishment and growth of Christianity.

Criterion (iv): The monuments of Philippi exemplify various architectural types and reflect the development of architecture during the Roman and Early Christian period. The Forum stands out as an example of such a public space in the eastern Roman provinces. The Octagon Church, the transept Basilica, and the domed Basilica stand out as types of Early Christian architecture.

#### Integrity

The walled city includes all elements necessary to convey its values, and is not subject to development or neglect. The modern asphalted road, closed in 2014, which essentially follows the route of the ancient Via Egnatia, will be dismantled east of the west entrance to the site near the Museum.

#### Authenticity

The walled city was subject to major destruction in the earthquake of 620 CE. Many stones and elements of the buildings including inscriptions and mosaic and opus sectile floors remain in situ from that time, although some stones were subsequently reused in later buildings. Modern constructions and interventions at the site have been generally limited to archaeological investigations and necessary measures for the protection and enhancement of the site. For the most part the principle of reversibility has been respected and the walled city can be considered authentic in terms of form and design, location and setting.

#### Protection and management requirements

The property and buffer zone are protected at the highest level under the antiquities Law 3028/2002 'On the Protection of Antiquities and Cultural Heritage in General' as re-designated in 2012, and as protected zone A in 2013. This covers both State and privately-owned land and, except for the buffer zone extension in the south-east corner which covers part of the adjacent town, is a 'non-construction' zone. The area of the adjacent town is covered by planning requirements to report archaeological finds during works. The boundaries of the property and buffer zone are clearly defined on the maps and the property will be fully fenced in the near future.

The property is managed at the local level by the Ephorate of Antiquities, the Regional Service of the General Directorate of Antiquities and Cultural Heritage, within the Ministry of Culture and Sports. The Management Plan was drafted in 2014 and will be implemented by a seven-member committee including representatives of government and municipal agencies and co-ordinated by the Head of the local Ephorate of Antiquities. A conservation strategy aimed at unifying and upgrading the property and identifying the priority projects and funding sources will be included in the Management Plan, together with a co-ordinated archaeological research plan aimed at better understanding and interpretation of the site and an overall database as a basis for monitoring and conservation.

## 3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	The city's layout and architecture as a colony resembling a "small Rome"	×			
3.2.2	Diversity of ancient building architectural types	×			
3.2.3	Property perceived as pilgrimage destination	×			
3.2.4	The Forum stands out as an example of such a public space in the eastern Roman provinces	×			
3.2.5	The Octagon Church, the transept Basilica, and the domed Basilica stand out as types of Early Christian architecture	×			
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

### 3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

- The Archaeological Law 3028/2002 "On the Protection of Antiquities and Cultural Heritage in general" has been replaced by the Law 4858/2021 "Ratification of the legislation Code for the protection of antiquities and cultural heritage in general" (Government Gazette 220/A/19.11.2021). - The Ministry of Culture was re-established on 27/6/2023, thus the Ministry of Culture and Sports has been renamed to Ministry of Culture.

### 4. Factors Affecting the Property

Archaeological Site of Philippi 3 of 32

## 4.1. Buildings and Development

## 4.1.1 - Housing

	Relevant	X Not relevant				
4	4.1.2 - Commercial development					
	Relevant	X Not relevant				

## 4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Relevant	X Not relevant

## 4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact	d of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×			×		$\rightarrow$		
Negative								

## 4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin	Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×		×	×		$\rightarrow$	
Negative							

## 4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

## 4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

## 4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

<b>X</b> Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact			Origin Trend of impact			
Impact	<b>G</b> Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×		×			<b>→</b>	
Negative							

## 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

## 4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

× Relevant	Not relevant							
	Impact				Trend of impact	act		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>G</b> Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×			×			-	
Negative								

## 4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

## 4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

Archaeological Site of Philippi 4 of 32

## 4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

A new airport will become operational for local flights about 6.5 km south of the property. The most positive development within the site is the dismantling of the old road and the unification of the archaeological site, which had a positive impact on the integrity of the property.

#### 4.3. Services Infrastructures

#### 4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Relevant	X Not relevant

## 4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
<ul><li>Positive</li></ul>							
Negative		×		×			

#### 4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

### 4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×			×		<b>→</b>	
Negative							

## 4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

There is an increasing amount of petitions for solar panel installation around the property which have been denied for reasons related to the protection of the authenticity of the archaeological site. A natural gas pipeline was constructed outside of the property. The impact of this is positive because the pipeline is subterranean and therefore not visible and the properties near it cannot be developed with constructions that may have had a negative impact on the site's authenticity.

### 4.4. Pollution

### 4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.4.2 - Ground water pollution	
Relevant	✗ Not relevant
4.4.3 - Surface water pollution	
Relevant	× Not relevant
4.4.4 - Air pollution	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.4.5 - Solid waste	
Relevant	✗ Not relevant
4.4.6 - Input of excess energy	
Relevant	X Not relevant

Archaeological Site of Philippi 5 of 32

## 4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively 4.5. Biological resource use/modification 4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources Relevant × Not relevant 4.5.2 - Aquaculture Relevant × Not relevant 4.5.3 - Land conversion Relevant × Not relevant 4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals Relevant × Not relevant 4.5.5 - Crop production Relevant × Not relevant 4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection Relevant × Not relevant 4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection Relevant × Not relevant 4.5.8 - Commercial hunting Relevant X Not relevant 4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting × Not relevant 4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production Relevant × Not relevant 4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively 4.6. Physical resource extraction 4.6.1 - Mining Relevant × Not relevant 4.6.2 - Quarrying Relevant × Not relevant 4.6.3 - Oil and gas Relevant × Not relevant 4.6.4 - Water (extraction) × Not relevant 4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively 4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric 4.7.1 - Wind Relevant × Not relevant

Archaeological Site of Philippi 6 of 32

× Not relevant

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Relevant

#### 4.7.3 - Temperature

Relevant

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light
Relevant

\*\* Not relevant

4.7.5 - Dust
Relevant

\*\* Not relevant

\*\* Not relevant

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Relevant

\*\* Not relevant

4.7.7 - Pests

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Relevant

\* Not relevant

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or

## 4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively of positively

### 4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

#### 4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	<b>G</b> Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
○ Positive    ★	×		×	×		<b>→</b>	
Negative							

## 4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Relevant X Not relevant

## 4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Relevant X Not relevant

## 4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Relevant X Not relevant

## 4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The property, and especially the ancient theater within it, is used for festivals and various performances, attracting the public and resulting in a positive outcome for the local community

### 4.9. Other human activities

### 4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Relevant

\*\* Not relevant

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Relevant

\*\* Not relevant

## 4.9.3 - Military training

Relevant X Not relevant

Archaeological Site of Philippi 7 of 32

### 4.9.4 - War

Relevant	✗ Not relevant	
4.9.5 - Terrorism		
Relevant	✗ Not relevant	
4.9.6 - Civil unrest		
Relevant	✗ Not relevant	

## 4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

## 4.10.1 - Storms

ent	X Not relevant
-----	----------------

### 4.10.2 - Flooding

Relevant		X Not relevant
----------	--	----------------

## 4.10.3 - Drought

Relevant		✗ Not relevant
----------	--	----------------

### 4.10.4 - Desertification

Relevant	X Not relevant

## 4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

vant	X Not relevant
------	----------------

### 4.10.6 - Temperature change

Relevant	X Not relevant

## 4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Relevant	X Not relevant

## 4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

### 4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

**D.** .

Relevant

	Relevant	Not relevant
4	I.11.2 - Earthquake	

## 4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Relevant	X Not relevant	

× Not relevant

## 4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Delevent	¥ Net relevant
Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Relevant	X Not relevant

Archaeological Site of Philippi 8 of 32

## 4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

## 4.12.1 - Translocated species

4.12.1 - Italisiocaleu species						
Relevant	✗ Not relevant					
4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species	4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species					
Relevant	X Not relevant					
4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species						
Relevant	X Not relevant					
4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species						
Relevant	✗ Not relevant					
4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species						
Relevant	X Not relevant					
4.12.6 - Modified genetic material						

## 4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

× Not relevant

4.13. Management and institutional factors

### 4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

X Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×	×		<b>→</b>	
Negative							

## 4.13.2 - Legal framework

Relevant

✗ Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact					
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive 🗶	×		×	×		$\rightarrow$			
Negative									

### 4.13.3 - Governance

× Relevant		Not relevant							
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact					
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive 🗶	×		×	×		<b>→</b>			
Negative									

## 4.13.4 - Management activities

X Relevant	1	Not relevant							
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact					
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>Outside</b>	<b>№</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing		
○ Positive X	ж	×	ж	ж		<b>→</b>			

Archaeological Site of Philippi 9 of 32



### 4.13.5 - Financial resources

× Relevant			1	Not relevant				
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>№</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×		×				•	
Negative								

## 4.13.6 - Human resources

× Relevant			1	Not relevant						
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact						
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
Positive X	×		×			→				
Negative										

## 4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

× Relevant				Not relevant						
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact						
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive X	×		×	×			,			
Negative										

## 4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

## 4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.14. Other factor(s)


## 4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

## 4.15. Factors Summary Table

## 4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact	ŧ		Origin		Trend				
4.1 Buildings and Development										
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	<b>O</b>	q			<b>F</b>	<b>→</b>				
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	<b>O</b>	9		•	<b>G</b>	$\rightarrow$				
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure										
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	<b>O</b>	9		•		<b>→</b>				
4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure	<b>O</b>	q			Œ	1				
4.3 Services Infrastructures										
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities										
			9		Œ					
4.3.5 Major linear utilities	<b>O</b>	9			Œ	$\rightarrow$				

Archaeological Site of Philippi 10 of 32



4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

## 4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name		Impact	i	Origin		Trend
4.1.4 Majo	r visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	0	9		<b>G</b>	<b>→</b>
Spatial so	ale - Area affected by the factor					
Opatiai 30						
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact - I	npact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					

Archaeological Site of Philippi 11 of 32

wanageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.5 Interp	retative and visitation facilities	•	4		•	F	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						

## 4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	<b>O</b>	9	•	$\rightarrow$

Archaeological Site of Philippi 12 of 32

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
×	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name	propert infractivative	Impact	q	Origin	Œ	Trend
4.2.3 All II	ansport infrastructure		7		G	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					

Archaeological Site of Philippi 13 of 32

	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

## 4.3 Services Infrastructures

A3.2 Renewable energy facilities   Image:	Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Entensive Widespread  Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact  Infermittent or aporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact to the attributes  Insignificant Minor  Mi		wable energy facilities	iiipaci			Origin		Helia
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive Wildespread  Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going  Impact - Impact on the attributes  Insignificant Insignificant Minor  X Significant Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  X Figh capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and for resources  Trend - Development over the last 6 years  Decreasing  X Static					<b>a</b>		(E	
Restricted   Localised     Extensive   Widespread     Temporal scale - Ocurence of the impact     One off or rare     Intermittent or sporadic     Frequent   On-going     Impact - Impact - Impact     Insignificant     Minor     Significant     Major     Major     Major     Major     Major     Major     Major     Management response - Capacity of management to respond     X					•		3	
Extensive Widespread  Temport = Vocurence of the impact  Temport = Vocurence of the impact    Proquent   Proquent     Proquent   Proquent     Proguent     Progue	Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
Extensive Widespread  Temporal scoening of the impact  Temporal scoening of the impact  X Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent On-going  Impact - Impact on the attributes  Insignificant Minor  X Significant Major  Major  Management to respond  X Aligh capacity of management to respond  X Medium capacity Medium capacity Low capacity Low capacity and / or resources  Trend - Development over the last 6 years  Decreasing  X Static		Restricted						
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact  Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact  A control or rare  A c	×	Localised						
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact  Cone off or rare  Cone off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Impact - Impact on the attributes  Insignificant  Insignificant  Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  X High capacity  Medium capacity  Low capacity  No capacity on resources  Trend - Devreasing  X Static		Extensive						
X		Widespread						
Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes  Impact on the att	Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
Frequent On-going  Impact - Treat - Tr		One off or rare						
Impact - Impact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Xing Significant  Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  Xing High capacity  Medium capacity  Low capacity  No capacity and / or resources  Trend - Decreasing  Xing Static	×	Intermittent or sporadic						
Impact - Impact - Impact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant  Major  Management to respond  Migh capacity of management to respond  Medium capacity  Low capacity  No capacity and / or resources  Trend - Devreasing  Static		Frequent						
Insignificant  Minor  Significant Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity No capacity and / or resources  Trend - Developement over the last 6 years  Decreasing  Static		On-going On-going						
Minor  Significant Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  Medium capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources  Trend - Devolopement over the last 6 years  Decreasing  Static	Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
Significant Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources  Trend - Developement over the last 6 years  Decreasing  Static		Insignificant						
Management response - Capacity of management to respond  * High capacity  Medium capacity  Low capacity  No capacity and / or resources  Trend - Developement over the last 6 years  Decreasing  * Static		Minor						
Management response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity  Medium capacity  Low capacity  No capacity and / or resources  Trend - Developement over the last 6 years  Decreasing  Static	×	Significant						
High capacity  Medium capacity  Low capacity  No capacity and / or resources  Trend - Developement over the last 6 years  Decreasing  Static		Major						
Medium capacity  Low capacity  No capacity and / or resources  Trend - Developement over the last 6 years  Decreasing  Static	Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
Low capacity  No capacity and / or resources  Trend - Developement over the last 6 years  Decreasing  Static	×	High capacity						
No capacity and / or resources  Trend - Developement over the last 6 years  Decreasing  Static		Medium capacity						
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years  Decreasing  X Static		Low capacity						
Decreasing  Static		No capacity and / or resources						
X Static	Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
		Decreasing						
Increasing	×	Static						
		Increasing						

Name	impact	Origin	rrena

Archaeological Site of Philippi 14 of 32

4.3.5 Major	.3.5 Major linear utilities		9		C	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	emporal scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

## 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name		Impact		Origin	Origin	
4.8.1 Ritua	I/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	<b>O</b>	9	•	F	$\rightarrow$
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					

Archaeological Site of Philippi 15 of 32

Impact - In	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

## 4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.13.1 Man	agement system/Management plan	<b>O</b>	4	9	•	F	$\rightarrow$
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						

Archaeological Site of Philippi 16 of 32

- 1	nc	rea	ISI	nn

Frequent

Name		Impact	:	Origin		Trend
4.13.2 Lega	al framework	•	9	•	<b>(</b>	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
**	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
impact - im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
**	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.3 Gove	ernance	<b>O</b>	4	•	<b>G</b>	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					

Archaeological Site of Philippi 17 of 32

×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact	<i>-</i> 01	-3	Origin	codi	Trend
4.13.4 Man	agement activities	<b>O</b>	9	9	•	<b>E</b>	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

Archaeological Site of Philippi 18 of 32

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.13.5 Fina	ncial resources	•	9	•	7
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
×	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
×	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				
Name	ian resources	Impact	q	Origin	Trend
4.13.0 Hull	ian resources		7	•	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
×	Frequent				
	On-going On-going				

19 of 32 Archaeological Site of Philippi

Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.7 Low	impact research/monitoring activities	0	q	•	<b>(</b>	/
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					

Archaeological Site of Philippi 20 of 32

- 4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)
- 4.17.1 If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor
- 4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.
- 4.18.1 Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	The city's layout and architecture as a colony resembling a "small Rome"	×			
4.18.1.2	Diversity of ancient building architectural types	×			
4.18.1.3	Property perceived as pilgrimage destination	×			
4.18.1.4	The Forum stands out as an example of such a public space in the eastern Roman provinces	×			
4.18.1.5	The Octagon Church, the transept Basilica, and the domed Basilica stand out as types of Early Christian architecture	×			

- 5. Protection and Management of the Property
- 5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones
- 5.1.1 Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

  The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value
- 5.1.2 Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

- 5.1.3 Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

  The buffer zones are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value
- 5.1.4 Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

- 5.1.5 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property
- 5.2. Protective Measures
- 5.2.1 Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).
- 5.2.2 Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

2021 / Ratification of the legislation Code for the protection of antiquities and cultural heritage in general / Law 4858/2021 (Government Gazette 220/A/19-11-2021) /

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection** 

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for** effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

- 5.2.6 Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?
- There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property
- 5.2.7 Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice
- 5.2.8 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property
- 5.3. Management System/Management Plan

Archaeological Site of Philippi 21 of 32

## 5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system at national level

## If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

A management plan

An annual work plan or business plan

A visitor/visitation management plan

#### 5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

#### 5.3.4 - Management Documents

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

The 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape is not relevant to this property

- 5.3.6 If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.
- 5.3.7 Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

- 5.3.8 If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:
- 5.3.9 Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property?

  Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties
- 5.3.10 If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done
- 5.3.11 Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is adequate coordination between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being  ${\bf fully\ implemented\ and\ monitored}$ 

## 5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and all of its activities are being implemented and monitored

# 5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities			×		
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone		×			
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women	×				
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

## 5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

Archaeological Site of Philippi 22 of 32

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities				×	
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners				×	
5.3.16.5	Women	×				
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					×
5.3.16.7	Researchers					×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries				×	
5.3.16.12	NGOs	×				
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

# 5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality					×
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	×				
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status					×
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach				×	
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				×	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property	×				

## 5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

## 5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

## 6. Financial and Human Resources

## 6.1. Funding

## 6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	85 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	15 %	100 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %

Archaeological Site of Philippi 23 of 32

6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

## 6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

#### 6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

#### 6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term

### 6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

## 6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	%	%
6.1.6.2	Women	%	%
		Total 0 %	Total 0 %

## 6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property

## 6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

## 6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Not applicable
Community participation and inclusion	Poor
Risk preparedness	Poor
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair

Archaeological Site of Philippi 24 of 32

#### 6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

- 6.1.11 If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.
- 6.1.12 Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and fully implemented; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally

- 6.1.13 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training
- 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects
- 7.1 Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is adequate

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable research but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared widely with active outreach to local communities and national and international audiences

- 7.4 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects
- 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building
- 8.1 Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Women	Not applicable
Youth/children	Good
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Good
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Good
NGOs	Not applicable
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth **but it only partly meets the needs** 

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities	
Local/municipal authorities	
Landowners	
Youth/children	
Researchers	
Local Visitors	

Archaeological Site of Philippi 25 of 32

National/international tourists
Tourism industry
Local businesses and industries

## 8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Fair
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Good
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Fair
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Fair
Transportation facilities	Good
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

- 8.5 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building
- 9. Visitor Management
- 9.1 Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

64016 / 18228 / 10749 / 68105 / 60475 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One to three hours

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

According to the observations of the guards

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

70 / 15 / 5 / 5 / 15 / 10 /

- 9.6 Please provide the source of information
- 9.7 Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a planned and effective strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

- 9.8 Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7
- ${\bf 9.9 \text{ -} Is\ visitor\ use\ effectively\ managed\ to\ maintain\ the\ Outstanding\ Universal\ Value\ of\ the\ property?}$

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is good cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In  $\boldsymbol{many\ locations}$  and  $\boldsymbol{easily\ visible}$  to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

Archaeological Site of Philippi 26 of 32

Fees are collected, and make some contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

### 9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

No

If 'Yes', please specify

#### 9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Ves

If 'Yes', please specify

### 9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

#### 10. Monitoring

## 10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving the understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

## 10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined for measuring the state of conservation and are being used in monitoring of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

### 10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				X
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				X
10.3.3	Character of governance	×			
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations	×			
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development	×			
10.3.6	Capacity development	×			

## 10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

#### 10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Local communities	Not applicable
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Women	Not applicable
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Not applicable
Local businesses and industry	Not applicable
NGOs	Not applicable
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

## 10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

#### 10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

## 10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

## 11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

Archaeological Site of Philippi 27 of 32

## 11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.7	Some use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	×
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	×
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	×
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects	
7.2	There is considerable research in the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	×
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
8.2	There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs	×
10	Monitoring	
10.1	There is <b>considerable monitoring</b> at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	×
Pleas	se select 0 more issues.	
☑ Ple	ease save this question to reflect changes	

## 12. Summary and Conclusions

## 12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

## 12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.3	Services Infr	astructures					
4.3.2	Renewable energy facilities	Renewable energy facilities do not affect the criteria of the property	So far all petitions for installation of solar panels around the property have been rejected	Constant monitoring	On going	Ministry of Culture/Ephorate of Antiquities of Kavala	There is an increasing amount of petitions for solar panel installation around the property which have been rejected in order for the authenticity of the site to be maintained

## Summary - Factors affecting the Property **completed**

## 12.2. Summary - Management Needs

## 12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan				
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
5.3.7	Some use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	Collaboration with the central Services of the Ministry of Culture for a further use of the Policy Document	Ongoing	Ministry of Culture/Ephorate of Antiquities/Central Services	No comment

Archaeological Site of Philippi 28 of 32

5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	Collaboration with the central Services of the Ministry of Culture for further use of the Strategy	Ongoing	Ministry of Culture/ Ephorates of Antiquities/Central Services	No further comment
6.1	Funding				
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable to could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritar property	Ministry of Culture (State or ut European Funding).	On going	Ephorate of Antiquities of Kavala-Thasos	none
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet management needs of the World Heritan property	management needs.	On going	Ephorate of Antiquities of Kavala-Thasos	none
6.1.10	Some use had been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development the World Heritage property	f Services of the Ministry of Cultur for further use of the Strategy	Ongoing e	Ministry of Culture/ Ephorates of Antiquities/Central Services	No comment
7	Scientific Studies	and Research Projects			
		Promote acquaintance of the OUV notion among the scientific institutions which are active on the site	Ongoing	Ministry of Culture/Ephorate of Antiquities of Kavala	No comment
8	Education, Infor	mation and Awareness Building			
8.2	There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs	Development of new educational prog	grams Ongoing	Ministry of Culture	No comment
10	Monitoring				
10.1	There is considerable monitoring a the World Heritage property but it not directed towards management		owards Ongoing	Ministry of Culture	No comment

Archaeological Site of Philippi 29 of 32

needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding		
Universal Value		

Summary - Management Needs completed

- 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property
- 12.3.1 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

  The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved
- 12.3.2 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact
- 12.3.3 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

- 12.3.5 Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.
- 13. Impact of World Heritage Status
- 13.1 Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Very positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal/Policy framework	Very positive
Advocacy	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Gender equality	Very positive
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Very positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Not applicable
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

- 13.2 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts
- 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention
- 14.1 Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

The current on going restoration project at the site has a positive impact on monuments such as the eastern city fortifications which were at a state of disrepair. The project also includes the designation of new routes through the site and new facilities, such as ticket kiosk and WC, which shall contribute to the better

Archaeological Site of Philippi 30 of 32

management of the site visitors.

### 14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

State of Conservation

Management

### 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

### 15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

### 15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

## 15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Good

#### 15.2. Use of Data

### 15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans

Fundraising

Awareness raising

## 15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

#### 15.3. Timing and resources

## 15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

## **15.3.2 -** Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

## 15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? Yes

### 15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

5/0/16/

## 15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

Archaeological Site of Philippi 31 of 32

## 15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

### 15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

All required information was accessible.

#### 15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions		×		

#### 15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

#### 15.5. Training and Guidance

### 15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

### 15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

## 15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

- 15.5.4 If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?
- 15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
- 15.6.1 Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

- 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.2 Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.

Archaeological Site of Philippi 32 of 32