### Gorham's Cave Complex

- 1. World Heritage Property Data
- 1.1 Name of World Heritage property

Gorham's Cave Complex

- 1.2 World Heritage property details
- 1.3 Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Gorham's Cave Complex	36.123 / -5.342	28	313	341	2016
Total (ha)		28	313	341	

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Gorham's Cave Complex - map of inscribed property	2016	

#### 1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

#### Comment

https://www.gorhamscave.gi/; https://www.facebook.com/GorhamsCaveGibraltar; https://www.facebook.com/groups/202777843167670; https://twitter.com/gorhamscave; https://www.youtube.com/channel/UC6CRt2qj7STT4OsQm88n2wQ; https://www.instagram.com/gorhamscave/

- 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)
- 2.1 Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is not designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		ж
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

- 2.2 Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary
- 2.3 Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

- 2.4 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?
- 2.5 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

Gorham's Cave Complex 1 of 41

Yes

Yes

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

Yes

#### 2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	

### 2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

There is occasional contact between the WHS Manager and, particularly the HMGoG Department of the Environment. Such initial contacts have included preliminary consideration of future proposals in respect of these programmes.

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

No

- 2.10 Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware
- 2.11 Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

No

- 2.12 Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.
- 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
- 3.1 Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

### Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Gorham's Cave Complex 2 of 41

#### **Brief Synthesis**

Located on the eastern side of the Rock of Gibraltar, steep limestone cliffs contain four caves with extensive archaeological and palaeontological deposits that provide evidence of Neanderthal occupation over a span of 100,000 years. These caves have provided extensive evidence of Neanderthal life, including rare evidence of exploitation of birds and marine animals for food; and use of bird feathers and abstract rock engravings, both indicating new evidence of the cognitive abilities of the Neanderthals. The sites are complemented by their steep limestone cliff settings, and the present-day flora and fauna of Gibraltar, much of which can be also identified in the rich palaeo-environmental evidence from the excavations. While long-term scientific research is continuing, these sites have contributed substantially to the debates about the Neanderthal and human evolution. The attributes that express this value are the striking cluster of caves containing intact archaeological deposits that provide evidence of Neanderthal and early modern human occupation of Gibraltar and the landscape setting which assists in presenting the natural resources and environmental context of Neanderthal life.

Criterion (iii): Gorham's Cave Complex provides an exceptional testimony to the occupation, cultural traditions and material culture of Neanderthal and early modern human populations through a period spanning approximately 120,000 years. This is expressed by the rich archaeological evidence in the caves, the rare rock engravings at Gorham's Caves (dated to more than 39,000 years ago), rare evidence of Neanderthal exploitation of birds and marine animals for food, and the ability of the deposits to depict the climatic and environmental conditions of the peninsula over this vast span of time. The archaeological and scientific potential of the caves continues to be explored through archaeological research and scientific debates, providing continuing opportunities for understanding Neanderthal life, including their capacity for abstract thinking.

#### Integrity

The boundary includes all elements necessary to express the Outstanding Universal Value of this property, including the setting of the caves in relation to the topography and vegetation of Gibraltar (limestone cliffs, fossil sand dunes, fossil beaches, scree slopes, shorelines and flora and fauna). The property is vulnerable to sea level rises, flooding and other effects of climate change.

#### Authenticity

The authenticity of this property is demonstrated by the substantial stratified archaeological deposits in the caves, the landforms that contain the caves and demonstrate the geomorphological history of Gibraltar, and the cliff vegetation and fauna that can be associated with the environmental conditions of the past.

#### Protection and management requirements

The property and most of the buffer zone are located within the Gibraltar Nature Reserve (Upper Rock Nature Reserve). The property and its buffer zone are given legal protection by Gibraltar Heritage Trust Act (1989), the Nature Protection Act (1991) the Town Planning Act (1999), the Town Planning (Environment Impact Assessment) Regulations (2000), and the Nature Conservation Area (Upper Rock) Designation Order (2013). The individual caves containing evidence of Neanderthal and early modern human occupation are protected as Schedule 1 Category A (maximum protection) sites under the Gibraltar Heritage Trust Ordinance.

Development is regulated by the Town Planning Act and by implementation of policies in the Gibraltar Development Plan (2009), including the 2014 Town Planner's amendments. Planning controls and procedures are enforced by the Development and Planning Commission.

The area of sea adjacent to the property is located within the Eastern Marine Conservation Zone, protected as a marine area of conservation through European Union legislation (European Marine Special Area of Conservation), and Gibraltar legislation (Marine Nature Reserve Regulations (1995), the Marine Strategy Regulations (2011) and the Marine Protection Regulations (2014)).

The property is managed by the Gibraltar Museum. The Executive Management Group (comprised of relevant government agencies) oversees the implementation of the management system, assisted by the Museum's multi-disciplinary World Heritage team. The Executive Management Group reports to a Steering Committee (Advisory Forum) which includes a wide spectrum of stakeholders. The International Research and Conservation Committee assists in establishing research programs and reviewing scientific outcomes. Levels of resourcing, including staffing are reviewed annually.

Management plans are in place for the property and for the (larger) Gibraltar Nature Reserve. The latter will be revised to ensure compatibility with the World Heritage inscription and to ensure priority is given to the retention of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property. The management system is further supported by the Risk Preparedness Plan, Research and Conservation Strategy and Integrated Visitor Strategy. A five-year Archaeological Excavation Action Plan (2016-2020) outlines the planned work and addresses the need to balance excavation and the conservation of deposits.

While visitor pressure is not a current threat, it is likely that visitation will increase. Access to the caves is strictly controlled, and visitors must be accompanied by a guide approved by the Director of the Gibraltar Museum. Monitoring is in place and the carrying capacity of the property is reviewed annually. Implementation of the Integrated Visitor Strategy will improve the visitor experiences and presentation of the Outstanding Universal Value.

#### Comment

There are a number of factual updates to the protection and management requirements that can be provided separately.

### 3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Neanderthal archaeology in Gorham's, Vanguard, Bennett's and Hyaena Caves	×			
3.2.2	First Modern Human archaeology and parietal art in Gorham's, Martin's and Goat's Hair Twin Caves	×			
3.2.3	Jurassic limestone cliffs and associated geological features	×			
3.2.4	Vegetation on Mediterranean Steps and adjacent cliffs	×			
3.2.5	Extant fauna, also present in fossil record, and associated ecological processes	×			
3.2.6	Intertidal community on the rocky beach by Gorham's and Vanguard Caves	×			
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					

Gorham's Cave Complex 3 of 41

3.2.11			
3.2.12			
3.2.13			
3.2.14			
3.2.15			

### 3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 4. Factors Affecting the Property

### 4.1. Buildings and Development

### 4.1.1 - Housing

Relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.1.2 - Commercial development	

× Not relevant

### 4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	<b>G</b> Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
○ Positive    ★		×		×			7
Negative							

### 4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>G</b> Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×		>		

### 4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The existing Cable Car station within the Buffer Zone is being refurbished and will enhance the visitor observation and accessibility of the site. A new hotel (in the Buffer Zone), that will replace the Caleta Hotel, will improve the visitor experience for overnight visitors. Interpretation of the WHS in the new hotel is planned. Changes to the existing Clay Target Shooting facilities have improved its appearance and it now blends in to the background better than before.

### 4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

s.z. i - Ground transport infrastructure	
Relevant	X Not relevant
I.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure	
Relevant	✗ Not relevant
I.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure	
Relevant	¥ Not relevant
I.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure	
Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

Gorham's Cave Complex 4 of 41

### 4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.3. Services Infrastructures

### 4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Relevant	X Not relevant				
4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities					
Relevant	X Not relevant				
4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities					
Relevant	¥ Not relevant				
4.3.4 - Localised utilities					
Relevant	X Not relevant				
4.3.5 - Major linear utilities					
Relevant	X Not relevant				

### 4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.4. Pollution

### 4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

X Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X		×		×		$\Rightarrow$	

### 4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

F	Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.4.4 - Air pollution

★ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×	<b>S</b>		

### 4.4.5 - Solid waste

X Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×			×	<b>S</b>		

### 4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Relevant	X Not relevant

Gorham's Cave Complex 5 of 41

### 4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The temporary household waste facility which was present at the time of inscription, is subject to ongoing review with the aim of its eventual relocation away from the current area.

### 4.5. Biological resource use/modification

### 4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

X Relevant	Relevant		1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X		×		×	8		
.5.2 - Aquaculture							
Relevant			× Not relevant				
4.5.3 - Land conversion							
Relevant			× Not relevant				
4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals							
Relevant			× Not relevant				
.5.5 - Crop production							
Relevant			X Not relevant				
l.5.6 - Commercial wild pla	nt collection						
Relevant			× Not relevant				
l.5.7 - Subsistence wild pla	ant collection						
Relevant			✗ Not relevant				
I.5.8 - Commercial hunting  Relevant			₩ Net relevent				
			X Not relevant				
.5.9 - Subsistence hunting	I		**				
Relevant			X Not relevant				
.5.10 - Forestry/Wood pro	duction						
Relevant			× Not relevant				

### 4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Fishing was an activity at the time of inscription. It has come under increasing control and is now subject to strict permit issue. The waters are monitored by Enforcement Officers from the Environment Department. The attributes of OUV are not affected in any way.

### 4.6. Physical resource extraction

### 4.6.1 - Mining

Relevant	X Not relevant				
4.6.2 - Quarrying					
Relevant	➤ Not relevant				
4.6.3 - Oil and gas					
Relevant	✗ Not relevant				
4.6.4 - Water (extraction)					
Relevant	X Not relevant				

Gorham's Cave Complex 6 of 41

### 4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

#### 4.7.1 - Wind

★ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×	×		<b>→</b>	

### 4.7.2 - Relative humidity

R	Relevant	X Not relevant
---	----------	----------------

### 4.7.3 - Temperature

Relevant	X Not relevant
	The first said talk

## 4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

4.7.5 - Dust			

× Not relevant

× Not relevant

### 4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>G</b> Outside	<b>№</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×	×		$\rightarrow$	

#### 4.7.7 - Pests

Relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

### 4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

	Re	elevant	X Not relevant
--	----	---------	----------------

### 4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

This site is exposed to the elements, as it has been for millennia. Erosion, caused by wind and wave action, is part of the natural processes impacting on the site. Protective gabions have been placed at the entrance to the sea-level caves, as an anticipatory measure to mitigate any future sea level rise.

### 4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

### 4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	<b>G</b> Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×			•
Negative							

### 4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Relevant	X Not relevant

Gorham's Cave Complex 7 of 41

### 4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×			1
Negative X		×	×	×		$\Rightarrow$	

### 4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Education and social awareness programmes have generated a great interest of the WHS within the local community. The creation of an observation platform, at the time of inscription, along with the strict regulation and control of visitor numbers to the sensitive archaeological site, have had a positive effect. The impact of visitors to non-sensitive areas of the site (e.g. The Mediterranean Steps) is monitored and considered stable.

### 4.9. Other human activities

### 4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.3 - Military training	
Relevant	¥ Not relevant
4.9.4 - War	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.5 - Terrorism	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.6 - Civil unrest	
Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

### 4.10.1 - Storms

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×			×		<b>→</b>	

### 4.10.2 - Flooding

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.10.3 - Drought

_	
Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.10.4 - Desertification

Gorham's Cave Complex 8 of 41

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.10.6 - Temperature change	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts	
Relevant	X Not relevant

## 4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

As described in the previous section, the site is subject to wave action during storms. This has always been a characteristic of the site, and is regularly monitored and managed, and protective gabions have been placed at the entrance to the sea-level caves, as an anticipatory measure to mitigate any future sea level rise.

### 4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

### 4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

	Relevant	X Not relevant
4	l.11.2 - Earthquake	
	Relevant	X Not relevant
4	l.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave	
	Relevant	M Net valories

### 4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

### 4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×	×		<b>→</b>	

### 4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

X Relevant	ı	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
<ul><li>Positive</li></ul>							
		×	×	×		<b>→</b>	

### 4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The site is potentially subject to the factors indicated above, but the risk is considered to be very low.

### 4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

### 4.12.1 - Translocated species

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.40.0 Investiga/Alian termestrial angulas	

### 4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing

<ul><li>Positive</li></ul>					
○ Negative X	×	×	×	<b>S</b>	

### 4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
		×		×		<b>→</b>	

### 4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

### 4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Relevant	Not relevant

### 4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The area harbours a number of introduced plants, some of which are considered invasive. These are kept under control as far as possible. Removal programmes are in place. With regard to the intertidal organisms, there is always the risk of invasive species entering, although there is no undue concern at this stage.

### 4.13. Management and institutional factors

### 4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact	Impact			Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>G</b> Outside	<b>№</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×		<b>→</b>	
Negative							

### 4.13.2 - Legal framework

× Relevant			1	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact					
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing			
Positive	×		×	×		$\rightarrow$				
Negative										

### 4.13.3 - Governance

<b>≭</b> Relevant	X Relevant					Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact							
Impact	<b>Gurrent</b>	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>№</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing					
Positive X	×		×	×		<b>→</b>						

### 4.13.4 - Management activities

✗ Relevant		Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive 🗶	×		×	×		$\rightarrow$		

Gorham's Cave Complex 10 of 41

### ○ Negative

#### 4.13.5 - Financial resources

✗ Relevant			1	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact					
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive X	×		×	×		$\rightarrow$				
Negative										

### 4.13.6 - Human resources

× Relevant				Not relevant						
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact						
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive X	×		×	×		$\rightarrow$				
Negative										

### 4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

★ Relevant				Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact					
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive 🗶	×		×	×			/			
Negative										

### 4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

× Relevant				Not relevant						
	Impact Origin				Trend of impact					
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing			
Positive X	×		×			<b>→</b>				
Negative X	×		×			<b>→</b>				

### 4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

In general terms, the management and protection of the property has improved even further from the time of inscription, largely due to enhancement of the existing legislation. The implementation of the Management Plan has also benefitted the site. Archaeological excavations proceed annually and are strictly controlled by licence issued to the GNM; all excavations form part of a research programme and annual plan approved by the IRCC.

### 4.14. Other factor(s)

### 4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

### 4.15. Factors Summary Table

### 4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	<b>O</b>		9		Œ	1
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities						
			9	•		\$
4.4 Pollution						
4.4.1 Pollution of marine waters						
			9		Œ	$\rightarrow$

Gorham's Cave Complex 11 of 41

4.4.5 Solid waste  4.5 Biological resource use/modification  4.5.1 Fishing/collecting aquatic resources  4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric  4.7.1 Wind  4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)  4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage  4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage
4.5 Biological resource use/modification  4.5.1 Fishing/collecting aquatic resources  4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric  4.7.1 Wind  4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)  4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage  4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage
4.5.1 Fishing/collecting aquatic resources  4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric  4.7.1 Wind  4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)  4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage  4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage
4.5.1 Fishing/collecting aquatic resources  4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric  4.7.1 Wind  4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)  4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage  4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric  4.7.1 Wind  4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)  4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage  4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage
4.7.1 Wind  □ □ □ □ □ □ □  4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)  □ □ □ □ □ □ □  4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage  4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage
4.7.1 Wind  □ □ □ □ □ □ □  4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)  □ □ □ □ □ □ □  4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage  4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)  4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)  4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage  4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage  4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage  4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events
4.10.1 Storms
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events
4.11.5 Erosion and siltation/Deposition
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)
4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species
4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species
4.12.4 Invasive/Alien marine species
4.13 Management and institutional factors
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan
4.13.2 Legal framework
4.13.3 Governance
4.13.4 Management activities
4.13.5 Financial resources
4.13.6 Human resources

Gorham's Cave Complex 12 of 41

4.13.7 Low impact	research/monitoring acti	vities			<b>O</b>	q	•	F	7
4.13.8 High impact	research/monitoring acti	ivities			<b>O</b>	q	•		$\rightarrow$
						9	•		<b>→</b>
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	<ul><li>Positive</li></ul>	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	de	Outside	de	

### 4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

### 4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

### 4.1 Buildings and Development

Name	ame				Origin		Trend
4.1.4 Major	visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	<b>O</b>		9		Œ	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
remperare	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
**	Frequent						
×	On-going						
	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

Name	Impac	Impact			Origin	
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities						
			9	•		\$

Gorham's Cave Complex 13 of 41

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted			
	Localised			
	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact			
×	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
	On-going			
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes			
×	Insignificant			
	Minor			
	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond			
×	High capacity			
	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years			
×	Decreasing			
	Static			
	Increasing			

### 4.4 Pollution

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.4.1 Pollu	4.4.1 Pollution of marine waters					
			9		<b>(</b>	$\rightarrow$
0	als. Assaultation the feeter					
Spatial Sca	ale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					

Gorham's Cave Complex 14 of 41

	Significant					
	Major					
Managemen	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.4.4 Air po	llution					
			9		<b>G</b>	•
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
×	Decreasing					
	Static					
	Increasing					
Nama		lmnost		Origin		Trand

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend	
4.4.5 Solid waste					

Gorham's Cave Complex 15 of 41

		9		<b>(</b>	•
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

### 4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name	Impact Impact		Origin		Trend		
4.5.1 Fishii	ng/collecting aquatic resources						
				9		Œ	\$
Spatial sca	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						

Gorham's Cave Complex 16 of 41

×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
×	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

### 4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.7.1 Wi	4.7.1 Wind					
			9	•	<b>G</b>	<b>→</b>
Spatial s	scale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Tempor	al scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact -	Impact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manage	ment response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

Gorham's Cave Complex 17 of 41

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend		
4.7.6 Wate	4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
			q		•	<b>G</b>	$\rightarrow$
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - In	npact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

### 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.8.2 Socie	4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage		<b>O 9</b>		<b>9</b>		-
Spatial sca	lle - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						

Gorham's Cave Complex 18 of 41

	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Impact on the attributes							
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impa	ts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	0	4		•	<b>G</b>	-
				<b>6</b>	•	<b>(</b>	$\rightarrow$
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						

Gorham's Cave Complex 19 of 41

×	Static
	Increasing

### 4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name		Impact		Origin			Trend
4.10.1 Sto	rms						
			9			Œ	$\rightarrow$
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Ir	npact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

### 4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name		Impact		pact Origin			Trend
4.11.5 Eros	ion and siltation/Deposition						
				9	•	C	$\rightarrow$
0 411							
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						

Gorham's Cave Complex 20 of 41

Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Nama		In a set		0-1-1-		T
Name	(wildfire)	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.11.01110			q	•	Œ	<b>→</b>
		•	9	•	Œ	<b>⇒</b>
	ale - Area affected by the factor	<b>©</b>	9	•	<b>©</b>	<b>→</b>
	ale - Area affected by the factor  Restricted	•	9	•	<b>F</b>	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	Restricted  Localised	•	9	•	<b>ઉ</b>	<b>→</b>
	Restricted  Localised  Extensive		9	•	<b>(</b>	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread		9	•	<b>E</b>	-
Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread		4	•	<b>E</b>	-
Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare		q	•	<b>E</b>	-
Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread  Cocurence of the impact Untermittent or sporadic		q	•	<b>(F</b>	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread  Cocurence of the impact  One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent		q	•	<b>(</b>	-
X Temporal s	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going		व	•	<b>E</b>	-
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread  Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going		q	•	<b>(F</b>	
X Temporal s	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  spact on the attributes  Insignificant		q	•	<b>(F</b>	
X Temporal s X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes Insignificant Minor		q	•	<b>(</b>	
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Inpact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant		व	•	<b>(</b>	
X Temporal s X	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  Scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  Apact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant  Major		q	•	<b>(F</b>	
X Temporal s X Impact - Im	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Apact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major		q	•	<b>(F</b>	
X Temporal s X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Interpose - Capacity of management to respond High capacity		q	•		
X Temporal s X Impact - Im	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Apact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major		व	•		

Gorham's Cave Complex 21 of 41

	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

### 4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.12.2 Inva	asive/Alien terrestrial species						
			q		•	Œ	<b>S</b>
Snatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
Opatiai 30	Restricted						
~	Localised						
×	Extensive						
_	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - In	npact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.12.4 Invasive/Alien marine species						
			q		<b>G</b>	$\rightarrow$

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive

Gorham's Cave Complex 22 of 41

	Widespread
Temporal s	icale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

### 4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.1 Man	3.1 Management system/Management plan  atial scale - Area affected by the factor		9	•	Œ	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
×	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					

Gorham's Cave Complex 23 of 41

	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.2 Lega	l framework	0	9	•	C	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
×	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name 4.13.3 Gove	vance	Impact	q	Origin	.nga	Trend
7. 13.3 GOVE		•	-1	•	<b>(</b>	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					

Gorham's Cave Complex 24 of 41

	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
×	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
	la acception.					
×	Increasing					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
Name	agement activities	Impact	<b>4</b>	Origin	Œ	Trend →
Name						
Name 4.13.4 Man						
Name 4.13.4 Man	agement activities					
Name 4.13.4 Man	agement activities lle - Area affected by the factor					
Name 4.13.4 Man	agement activities  Ile - Area affected by the factor  Restricted					
Name 4.13.4 Man	agement activities  lle - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised					
Name 4.13.4 Man Spatial sca	agement activities  Ile - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive					
Name 4.13.4 Man Spatial sca	agement activities  Ile - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread					
Name 4.13.4 Man Spatial sca	agement activities  Ide - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  scale - Occurence of the impact					
Name 4.13.4 Man Spatial sca	agement activities  Ile - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  Scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare					
Name 4.13.4 Man Spatial sca	agement activities  Ille - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  Scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic					
Name 4.13.4 Man Spatial sca	agement activities  lile - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent					
Name 4.13.4 Man Spatial sca	agement activities  Ile - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going					
Name 4.13.4 Man Spatial sca	agement activities  Ile - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  Scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  spact on the attributes					
Name 4.13.4 Man Spatial sca	agement activities  ile - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  spact on the attributes  Insignificant					
Name 4.13.4 Man Spatial sca	agement activities  lie - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  spact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor					
Name 4.13.4 Man Spatial sca  X Temporal s	agement activities  Ide - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  Scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  spact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant					

Gorham's Cave Complex 25 of 41

	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.5 Fina	ncial resources	<b>9</b>			•	<b>G</b>	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name	an resources	Impact			Origin	100	Trend
	an resources	Impact	9		Origin	Œ	Trend ⇒
	an resources					<b>G</b>	Trend
4.13.6 Hum	an resources le - Area affected by the factor					<b>(</b>	Trend  →
4.13.6 Hum						<b>E</b>	Trend  →

Gorham's Cave Complex 26 of 41

	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name	impost recorrel/monitoring getivities	Impact		Origin	ng.	Trend
	impact research/monitoring activities	Impact	9	Origin	Ğ	Trend
	impact research/monitoring activities				Œ	
4.13.7 Low	impact research/monitoring activities nle - Area affected by the factor				Œ	
4.13.7 Low					Œ	
4.13.7 Low	ale - Area affected by the factor				Œ	
4.13.7 Low	ale - Area affected by the factor  Restricted				Œ	
4.13.7 Low	Restricted  Localised				<b>(</b>	
4.13.7 Low	Restricted  Localised  Extensive				Œ	
4.13.7 Low	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread				Œ	
4.13.7 Low	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  scale - Occurrence of the impact				Œ	
4.13.7 Low	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare				<b>©</b>	
4.13.7 Low	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread  Cocurence of the impact Untermittent or sporadic				<b>(</b>	
4.13.7 Low  Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact Intermittent or sporadic Frequent				<b>(</b>	
4.13.7 Low  Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going				Œ	
4.13.7 Low  Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread  Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going				<b>©</b>	
4.13.7 Low  Spatial sca	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  spact on the attributes  Insignificant				<b>(</b>	
4.13.7 Low  Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going space on the attributes Insignificant Minor				<b>(</b>	
* Spatial sca  * Temporal s  Impact - Im	Ide - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  Scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  Inpact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant				<b>©</b>	

Gorham's Cave Complex 27 of 41

	medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.13.8 High	impact research/monitoring activities	0	9	•	<b>→</b>
			9	•	<b>→</b>
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Managemei	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
1.17. Ser	al inscriptions (national or transnational)				

- 4.17.1 If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor
- 4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.
- 4.18.1 Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Neanderthal archaeology in Gorham's, Vanguard, Bennett's and Hyaena Caves	×			

Gorham's Cave Complex 28 of 41

4.18.1.2	First Modern Human archaeology and parietal art in Gorham's, Martin's and Goat's Hair Twin Caves	×		
4.18.1.3	Jurassic limestone cliffs and associated geological features	×		
4.18.1.4	Vegetation on Mediterranean Steps and adjacent cliffs	×		
4.18.1.5	Extant fauna, also present in fossil record, and associated ecological processes	×		

### 5. Protection and Management of the Property

#### 5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

### 5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

### 5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

### 5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

#### 5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

#### 5.2. Protective Measures

#### 5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

#### Comment

Several items of legislation have been introduced or updated since 2016, and are listed in 5.2.2 below. The earlier legislation is included in the Nomination Dossier.

### 5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

2018 / Heritage and Antiquities Act / Primary legislation /

https://www.gibraltarlaws.gov.gi/legislations/heritage-and-antiquities-act-2018-4356

2019 / Gorham's Cave Complex Regulations / Secondary legislation /

https://www.gibraltarlaws.gov.gi/legislations/gorhams-cave-complex-regulations-2019-4558

2018 / Town Planning Act / Primary legislation /

https://www.gibraltarlaws.gov.gi/legislations/town-planning-act-2018-4536

2019 / Town Planning (Environment Impact Assessment) Regulations / Secondary legislation /

https://www.gibraltarlaws.gov.gi/legislations/town-planning-environmental-impact-assessment-regulations-2019-4683

 $2019\,/\,Nature\ Conservation\ (Designation\ Of\ Gibraltar\ Nature\ Reserve)\ Order\,/\,Secodary\ legislation\,/\,Nature\ Reserve)$ 

https://www.gibraltarlaws.gov.gi/legislations/nature-conservation-designation-of-gibraltar-nature-reserve-order-2019-4523/td>

2019 / Climate Change Act / Primary legislation /

https://www.gibraltarlaws.gov.gi/legislations/climate-change-act-2019-4688

2023 / Gibraltar National Park Act 2023 / Primary legislation /

https://www.gibraltarlaws.gov.gi/legislations/gibraltar-national-park-act-2023-6894 (as a constant of the co

### 5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

### 5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

### 5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for** effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

### 5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

### 5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

The WHO(G) supervises the site and implementation of legislation. It is a formal Consultee with respect to all planning applications submitted to the Town Planner for projects in the site, BZ, & 100m (min) belt outside the BZ. The WHO(G) liaises closely with local enforcement agencies, particularly the RG Police. 24-hour camera

Gorham's Cave Complex 29 of 41

vigilance of sea access by a local security company. Land access is controlled by MOD in liaison with WHO(G). The Environment Dept EPRU carries out sea patrols.

### 5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

The WHO(G) is fully satisfied that the current legal protection and consequent measures of protection of the property are adequate. These measures are under constant review.

#### 5.3. Management System/Management Plan

### 5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system joint national/ local

### If 'Other', please specify

### 5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A management plan

An annual work plan or business plan

A disaster, climate or conflict risk management plan

A visitor/visitation management plan

An environmental management framework

An assessment of biological and cultural diversity and ecosystem services provided by the property

A joint approach to management of cultural and natural heritage

#### 5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

The current management system is supported by primary and secondary legislation. A Management Plan provides the basis for the management of the site by the WHO(G), on behalf of HM Government of Gibraltar. It consults with stakeholders via World Heritage Advisory Forum. Regular liaison with government departments and via an Executive Management (Dept) Group. Research programmes are reviewed by an independent International Research and Conservation Committee. Annual Action Plans are in place.

#### 5.3.4 - Management Documents

#### Comment

The Management Plan, which is under review, is nearly complete, and it is planned to be submitted by July 2023 alongside this questionnaire.

### 5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

The 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape is not relevant to this property

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

### 5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

### 5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

Part of the property is at sea level and therefore at risk of potential sea level rise. There is no evidence of risk from storms, but gabions have been placed to protect from wave action. Careful monitoring of impacts after storms show no adverse effects. A research project is currently examining past sea level changes (since 125 ka), at this site, making use of some of the attributes of OUV of the site, which record past climate change. This may become an exemplary model for other WH sites.

### 5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ? Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

### 5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

A Risk Preparedness Plan assesses all risks, in particular potential major risks and potential disasters. It consolidates in one place those risks identified as particular to the property and its associated attributes, and the concomitant avoidance or mitigation measures. The Plan is intended to ensure that the WHS is incorporated into existing risk preparedness and disaster management schemes. The Plan is primarily aimed at the property's managers, management teams, agencies and stakeholders.

### 5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is adequate coordination between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

### 5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being fully implemented and monitored

Gorham's Cave Complex 30 of 41

### 5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and all of its activities are being implemented and monitored

# 5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities	×				
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				×	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone				×	
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women				×	
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

### 5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					×
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners					×
5.3.16.5	Women					×
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					×
5.3.16.7	Researchers					×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries					×
5.3.16.12	NGOs					×
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

# 5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality					×
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)					×
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status					×
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach					×
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood					×
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property					×

Gorham's Cave Complex 31 of 41

- 5.3.18 Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above
- 5.3.19 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan
- 6. Financial and Human Resources
- 6.1. Funding

### 6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	100 %	100 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

#### 6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

#### 6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available **budget is adequate** for effective management of the World Heritage property

### 6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over both the medium- and long-term

- 6.1.5 Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure
- 6.1.6 Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	38 %	100 %
6.1.6.2	Women	62 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

### 6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are adequate for management needs

### 6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Fair

Gorham's Cave Complex 32 of 41

Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

### 6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

### 6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

- 6.1.11 If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.
- 6.1.12 Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and fully implemented; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally

### 6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

The WHO(G) is a small but highly skilled and qualified unit. This expertise is transferred to site managers and other site users via lecture programmes, and other in situ outreach and training programmes. An annual conference organised by the GNM brings in world experts in a range of heritage and natural history fields and assists in the development of networks and capacity building for the WHO(G) team. In respect of other skills, two-way transferance occurs between the WHO(G) and HMGoG Depts.

- 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects
- 7.1 Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is  $\,\textbf{adequate}\,$ 

### 7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

### 7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared widely with active outreach to local communities and national and international audiences

- 7.4 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects
- 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building
- 8.1 Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good

Gorham's Cave Complex 33 of 41

Women	Good
Youth/children	Good
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Good
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Good
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

### 8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned and effective education and awareness programme for children and youth that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

### 8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

ocal communities	
ocal/municipal authorities	
andowners	
/omen	
outh/children	
esearchers	
ocal Visitors	
ational/international tourists	
ourism industry	
ocal businesses and industries	
GOs COS	

### 8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Good
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Not needed
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Not needed
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

### 8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

### 9. Visitor Management

### 9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

575,468 / 213,561 / 145,834 / 1,041,961 / 1,031,415 /

### 9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries	
Tourism industry	
Visitor surveys	

Gorham's Cave Complex 34 of 41

#### 9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One to three hours

#### 9.4 - Please provide the source of information

WHO(G); HMGoG Ministry for the Environment. Breakdown of numbers by sites within the WHS: Last year 94 visitors to caves, 733 to EABVP & 574,641 to Upper Rock Nature Reserve (URNR) which is in the Buffer Zone (BZ); 2 years ago: 123 (caves), 548 (EABVP) & 212,890 (URNR) 3 years ago: 73 (caves), 546 (EABVP) & 145,215 (URNR) 4 years ago: 0 (caves), 697 (EABVP) & 1,041,264 (URNR) 5 years ago: 107 (caves), 724 (EABVP) & 1,030,584 (URNR)

#### 9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

0/0/0/8.33/0/0/

#### 9.6 - Please provide the source of information

HMGoG

### 9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a planned and effective strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

### 9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

#### 9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

#### 9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

### If a different system, please specify

Via regular monitoring of the property including attributes of OUV

### 9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is good cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

### 9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted

#### 9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

### 9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property? Fees are collected, and make some contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

#### 9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

No

If 'Yes', please specify

#### 9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Not applicable

If 'Yes', please specify

### 9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

### 10. Monitoring

### 10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme of monitoring**, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

### 10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined for measuring the state of conservation and are being used in monitoring of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

### 10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×

10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system	×
10.3.3	Character of governance	×
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations	×
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development	×
10.3.6	Capacity development	×

#### 10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

The principal indicators in use, given the nature of the site, refer to the state of conservation of the property and its key attributes. Monitoring of these indicators takes place on a regular basis, approximately once a quarter. Monitoring of specific indicators of conservation state (e.g. erosion of areas open to the public) takes place fortnightly. Entry statistics to the WHS are kept with specific details for particularly sensitive areas. All indicators are constantly under review.

#### 10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Local communities	Not applicable
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Women	Not applicable
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Not applicable
Local businesses and industry	Not applicable
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

### 10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee? Implementation is underway

### 10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

The recommendations of the WHC have been implemented, and are under constant review. This is particularly the case in respect of data management systems which continue to be assessed and developed. Ongoing discussions with external universities with a view to developing new integrated data management systems. A study of past sea level change, under completion, will provide an innovative and pioneering solution to management in respect of climate change, that could be applied to other WHS.

### 10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

### 11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

### 11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.3	5.3 Management System/Management Plan							
5.3.7	3.7 Some use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property							
5.3.9	5.3.9 Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property							
6.1	6.1 Funding							
6.1.10	6.1.10 Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property							
Please select 0 more issues.  ☐ Please save this question to reflect changes								

### 12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

### 12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	4.4	Pollution						
--	-----	-----------	--	--	--	--	--	--

Gorham's Cave Complex 36 of 41

4.4.5	Solid waste	WH criteria and attributes are not affected.	Alternative being sou from the V	VHS. regularly n						HMGoG Department of the Environment		There is no undue concern in respect of this factor.
4.5	Biological reso	Biological resource use/modification										
4.5.1	Fishing/collecting aquatic resources	WH criteria and attributes are not affected.	the water and ensu authorise	rs of the site, ures that only regularly waters of are being		The HMGoG C Environment Department regularly monitors the waters of the site.		ing.	HMGoG Department of the Environment		u re	here is no ndue concern in espect of this actor.
4.7	Local condition	ns affecting physica	I fabric									
4.7.1	Wind The effect of wind on the criteria and attributes is considered minimal and part of the natural processes which characterise the site.			No action is re	quired.	The WHOG monitor the site regularly.	ors	Ongoing	,	WHOG	C	here is no undue oncern in respect this factor.
4.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	The effect of rainwa the criteria and attri considered minimal of the natural proce which characterise	butes is and part sses	No action is required.		The WHOG monitors Ongoing the site regularly.		Ongoing	,	WHOG	CC	here is no undue oncern in respect this factor.
4.8	Social/Cultural	l uses of heritage										
4.8.6	Impacts of tourism/Visitation/R	WH crite ecreation attributes affected.		Numbers of visitors to sensitive areas are strictly controlled by WHO(G)		Regular monitoring of all areas within the WHS and Buffer Zone.		Ongoing	WHO(G) and HMGoG DOE		r	There is no undue concern in espect of this actor.
4.10	Climate chang	Climate change and severe weather events										
4.10.1	Storms	The effect of storr the criteria and at is considered very and part of the na processes which characterise the s	tributes / low tural	No immediate action is required. Protective gabions have been placed in front of the caves as additional insurance against winter storms.		The WHOG monitors the site regularly, including daily during winter storms.		ngoing.	WI	HOG	conce this fa	e is no immediate ern in respect of actor, but the ion is constantly ored.
4.11	Sudden ecolog	gical or geological ev	vents									
4.11.5	Erosion and siltation/Deposi	Erosion and The effect of erosion on the siltation/Deposition criteria and attributes is considered very low and part of the natural processes which characterise the site.		5	the site regularly	ily after periods of		WHOG		COI	ere is no undue ncern in respect this factor.	
4.11.6	Fire (wildfire)	Wildfires could pot affect the well-pres vegetation in the V BZ.	served	There is regular maintenance of the Whand BZ, including maintenance of firebreaks, removal of litter and dead vegetati Strict restrictions to vehicular access to the BZ particularly in the summer months. Quick response Fire Service available.		HMGoG DoE tion. e				WHO(G) and HMGoG DoE		There is no undue concern in respect of this factor.
4.12	Invasive/alien	species or hyper-abi	undant spec	cies								
4.12.2	Invasive/Alien terrestrial species	Potential risk to to natural vegetation not of immediate concern	n but	Removal of inva species by HMG DoE		WHO(G) and Ongoing HMGoG DoE			WHO(G) and HMGoG DoE		o undue concern	

Gorham's Cave Complex 37 of 41

4.13.8	High impact	Minimal and	Research Plan	Monitoring on a daily	Ongoing	WHO(G) and	No undue concern. Newly
	research/monitoring	controlled impact on	approved by IRCC.	basis during periods		GNM	discovered chambers in
	activities	attributes		of excavation			Vanguard Cave have
							been a result of the
							excavations, adding to
							the OUV even further.

Summary - Factors affecting the Property completed

### 12.2. Summary - Management Needs

#### 12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan								
		Actions		Timeframe		Lead agency (and involved)	others	More info / comment	
5.3.7	Some use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	draft 25 Year Env	imate Change Change & Assessment, and a ironment Plan which ic reference to the	ongoing		HMGoG DoE and V	WHO(G)		
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	Risk Preparednes	ss Plan in effect	Ongoing and under review.	er constant	WHO(G)			
6.1 Funding									
6.1.10 Some us been mad the World Heritage Strategy Capacity Developm the World Heritage property		de of agencie d and res for ment at d	oration with local and inte es and institutions, includ search centres.		Ongoing		WHO(G)		

- 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property
- 12.3.1 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property? The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved
- 12.3.2 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact
- 12.3.3 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding **Universal Value?**

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

- 12.3.5 Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.
- 13. Impact of World Heritage Status
- 13.1 Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive

Gorham's Cave Complex 38 of 41

Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Not applicable
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal/Policy framework	Very positive
Advocacy	Very positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Very positive
Gender equality	Very positive
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Not applicable
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Very positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	No impact
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Not applicable
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

### 13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

### 14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

Research is being published in high-impact international journals, highlighting the importance of the WHS, its values and protection offered. A current study of past sea level change over the last 125 thousand years using data collected from the site, is in the process of publication, and will provide an excellent case study of how to approach problems related to future climate change at a WHS using high resolution data from the site itself. It is considered that this study could become a model for application more widely to WHS coastal sites under the risk of sea level rise.

### 14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Sustainable Development

Synergies

State of Conservation

Management

### 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

### 15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

### 15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

Monitoring and reporting

### 15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Not needed
Site Managers	Not needed
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Not needed
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Not needed

### 15.2. Use of Data

### 15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Gorham's Cave Complex 39 of 41

### 15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

### 15.3. Timing and resources

#### 15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

Non-Governmental Organizations

### **15.3.2** - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance has **not been explicitly** considered or implemented in the process.

### 15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

### 15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

180 / 10 / 40 /

### 15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources	×	
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

### 15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

### 15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

#### 15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions		×		

### 15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

### 15.5. Training and Guidance

### 15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Not applicable
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

### 15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Not applicable
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable

Gorham's Cave Complex 40 of 41

IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

۷۵٥

- 15.5.4 If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?
- 15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
- 15.6.1 Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
  - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee
    Reason for update: There are a number of factual updates to the protection and management requirements that can be provided separately.

Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

- 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.2 Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.

Gorham's Cave Complex 41 of 41