Mistaken Point

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property Mistaken Point

1.2 - World Heritage property details

Comment

Type of Property: "Natural"

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Mistaken Point	46.635 / -53.211	146	74	220	2016
Total (ha)		146	74	220	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Mistaken Point - maps of the inscribed property	2016	

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

Comment

https://www.gov.nl.ca/ecc/natural-areas/wer/r-mpe/ https://mistakenpoint.ca/

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years? Not applicable

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

The property is protected under the Government of Newfoundland's legislation of the Wilderness and Ecological Reserves Act.

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

No

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

No

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Mistaken Point is a globally significant Ediacaran fossil site almost entirely located within Mistaken Point Ecological Reserve on the south-eastern tip of the island of Newfoundland in eastern Canada. The 146-hectare property consists of a narrow, 17-kilometre-long strip of rugged naturally-eroding coastal cliffs, with an additional 74 hectares adjoining its landward margin designated as a buffer zone. The superbly exposed, 2-kilometre-thick rock sequence of deep marine origin at Mistaken Point dates to the middle Ediacaran Period (580 to 560 million years ago) and contains exquisitely preserved assemblages of the oldest abundant and diverse, large fossils known anywhere.

More than 10,000 fossil impressions, ranging from a few centimetres to nearly 2 metres in length, are readily visible for scientific study and supervised viewing along the coastline of Mistaken Point. These fossils illustrate a critical watershed in the early history of life on Earth: the appearance of large, biologically complex organisms, including the first ancestral animals. Most of the fossils are rangeomorphs, an extinct group of fractal organisms positioned near the base of animal evolution. These soft-bodied creatures lived on the deep-sea floor, and were buried and preserved in exceptional detail by influxes of volcanic ash – each layer of ash creating an "Ediacaran Pompeii." Modern erosion has exhumed more than 100 fossil sea-floor surfaces, ranging from small beds with single fossils to larger surfaces adorned with up to 4,500 megafossils. The animals died where they lived, and their resultant fossil assemblages preserve both the morphology of extinct groups of ancestral animals and the ecological structure of their ancient communities. Radiometric dating of the volcanic ash beds that directly overlie the fossil-bearing surfaces is providing a detailed chronology for 20 million years in the early evolution of complex life.

Criterion (viii): Mistaken Point fossils constitute an outstanding record of a critical milestone in the history of life on Earth, "when life got big" after almost three billion years of microbe-dominated evolution. The fossils range in age from 580 to 560 million years, the longest continuous record of Ediacara-type megafossils anywhere, and predate by more than 40 million years the Cambrian explosion, being the oldest fossil evidence of ancestors of most modern animal groups. Mistaken Point contains the world's oldest-known examples of large, architecturally complex organisms, including soft-bodied, ancestral animals. Ecologically, Mistaken Point contains the oldest and most diverse examples of Ediacaran deep-sea communities in the world thus preserving rare insights into the ecology of these ancestral animals and the early colonization of the deep-sea floor. Other attributes contributing to the property's Outstanding Universal Value include the world's first examples of metazoan locomotion, exceptional potential for radiometric dating of the assemblages, and evidence for the role of ancient oxygen levels in the regional and global appearance of complex multicellular life.

Integrity

The clearly defined property boundary encompasses coastal exposures preserving all the features that convey its Outstanding Universal Value. All of the key fossils and strata are within the property. The width of the property and its buffer zone, which in large part corresponds to the Mistaken Point Ecological Reserve, are sufficient to absorb the very gradual, long-term retreat of the coastline due to natural erosion. The natural erosion of the site will refresh the fossil exposures over time.

The vast majority of Mistaken Point's fossils – including several type specimens – remain in situ in the field and are thus available for study in their ecological context. Several hundred fossil specimens were collected prior to Mistaken Point Ecological Reserve being established; most of these are currently housed in the Royal Ontario Museum and form the bulk of the type specimens for taxa named and defined from Mistaken Point. Nonetheless the property is thought to contain more specimens of Ediacara-type impression fossils than the sum total of every museum collection on Earth.

Few traces of past human activities remain and none directly affect the property's key attributes. Visitation to the site is modest and strictly controlled. The prospect of modern development within or adjacent to the property is minimal and does not impinge upon its coastal outcrops. Incidents of vandalism are very rare and no successful fossil thefts have occurred since the property was designated as an ecological reserve in 1987. No inhabitants reside permanently within the property or its buffer zone.

Protection and management requirements

The property is provincially owned and is managed by the Parks and Natural Areas Division of the Newfoundland and Labrador Department of Environment and Conservation. Virtually all of the property, plus most of its buffer zone, lie within Mistaken Point Ecological Reserve which is protected under the Province's Wilderness and Ecological Reserves Act (1980) and Fossil Ecological Reserve Regulations (2009). With one exception, the remaining portions of the property and buffer zone are protected as Crown Lands Reserves under the provincial Lands Act (1991). Only one small part (0.5 percent) of the buffer zone has been identified as private land; current and anticipated land use is complementary to the rest of the buffer zone.

The property's key coastal exposures are further protected by the ecological reserve's Fossil Protection Zone; access to this zone is by permit only. Undertaking activities such as scientific research at Mistaken Point requires a permit issued by the managing agency. Development is prohibited within the ecological reserve.

The comprehensive management plan developed for the property and its buffer zone is adaptive and will be revised as required. Input from local residents regarding management issues is channelled through the property's World Heritage Advisory Council. For management purposes, the property is best treated as a finite fossil site. Except for official salvage of scientifically valuable specimens, collecting fossils is illegal. For conservation reasons, public viewing of the fossils is by guided tour only. Daily patrols of the property are conducted year-round and a volunteer Fossil Guardian Program is in operation.

The most significant threats to be managed are the ongoing issue of change resulting from natural erosion processes, and impacts of human activity. Under the monitoring plan, vulnerable fossil localities are regularly surveyed and any problems documented. The rate of erosion appears very slow and any loss of fossils to erosion may be offset by new exposures. Monitoring processes should trigger appropriately considered management responses to document fossil evidence, if any significant losses from erosion are identified. The carrying capacity of the property is limited and the cumulative environmental impact of visitation is closely monitored and limited. Limited signs and visitor access to aid presentation of the property are carefully designed and sited to avoid adverse impacts upon the property's Outstanding Universal Value.

Through its long-term pledge to provide operational funding and staffing, the Government of Newfoundland and Labrador is committed to ensure that the highest possible standards of protection and presentation are maintained in the property.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	2 km thick sequence of exquisitely preserved assemblages of the oldest abundant and diverse, large fossils known anywhere	×			
3.2.2	Over 10000 fossil impressions ranging from mm scale to nearly 2 meters in length are readily available for scientific study and supervised viewing	×			
3.2.3	Fossils illustrate critical watershed in history of life: Appearance of large, biologically complex organisms, including the first ancestral animals	×			

3.2.4	Soft bodied organisms were buried and preserved with	×		
3.2.5	More than 100 fossilized sea floor surfaces, ranging from small beds with single fossil exposures to tennis-court-sized surfaces with up to 4500 megafossils	×		
3.2.6	Fossil assemblages preserve both the morphology and the ecological structure of the ancient communities of specimens	×		
3.2.7	Detailed chronology from the overlying ash layers document 20 million years of early evolution of complex life	×		
3.2.8	Contains longest continuous record of Ediacara-type megafossils anywhere	×		
3.2.9	Ecologically, contains the oldest and most diverse examples of Ediacaran deep sea communities in the world and the earliest documented examples of ecological tiering and secondary community succession.	×		
3.2.10	World's first example of metazoan locomotion	×		
3.2.11	Evidence for the role of ancient oxygen levels in the regional and global appearance of complex multicellular life	×		
3.2.12		×		
3.2.13				
3.2.14				
3.2.15				

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.1.2 - Commercial development	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.1.3 - Industrial areas	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

× Not relevant

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

× Relevant	I	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Gurrent	Potential	Inside	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
OPositive X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

A minimal amount of signage in or adjacent to the site provides information that improves visitor experience.

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

X Relevant			I	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×			×		→	
Negative							

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Relevant	X Not relevant		
4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure			
Relevant	X Not relevant		
4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure			
Relevant	X Not relevant		
4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure			

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

A single road provides access for visitors and staff to the property for interpretation and monitoring.

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.3.4 - Localised utilities	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.3.5 - Major linear utilities	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
Negative X		×		×		→			
4.4.2 - Ground water pollut	ion								
Relevant			× Not relevant	X Not relevant					
4.4.3 - Surface water pollution									
Relevant			X Not relevant						
4.4.4 - Air pollution									
Relevant			X Not relevant						
4.4.5 - Solid waste									
Relevant			× Not relevant						
4.4.6 - Input of excess ener	rgy								
Relevant			× Not relevant	t					

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Probably the greatest threat to the site from external sources outside our control would originate from ocean going vessels along the Atlantic trade route from Europe to North America. Any sort of illegal dumping or untethered equipment has the potential of reaching our coastline. This will always be an ongoing threat as this factor is well outside our boundaries.

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

X Relevant			Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Coutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X		×		×		→		
4.5.2 - Aquaculture								
Relevant			× Not relevant	X Not relevant				
4.5.3 - Land conversion								
Relevant			× Not relevant					
4.5.4 - Livestock farming/G	irazing of dome	esticated animal	s					
Relevant			× Not relevant					
4.5.5 - Crop production								
Relevant		× Not relevant						
4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection								
Relevant	Relevant		× Not relevant					
4.5.7 - Subsistence wild pla	ant collection							
× Relevant			١	Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	9 Potential	Inside	Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×		×			→		
Negative								
4.5.8 - Commercial hunting	J							
Relevant			× Not relevant					
4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting	g							
× Relevant			١	Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×			→		
Negative								
4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood pro	duction							
Relevant			× Not relevant					

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.5.1 - Potential negative threat would primarily come from untethered fishing gear that may wash up onshore, potentially causing damage to surfaces. 4.5.7 and 4.5.9 - We view subsistence hunting and gathering as positives. They are in place as part of our community relationship and are meant to ensure a positive working relationship between us and the traditional users of the land.

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Relevant	× Not relevant
4.6.2 - Quarrying	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.6.3 - Oil and gas	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.6.4 - Water (extraction)	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

			Not relevant					
Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
×	×	×	×			1		
Relevant			nt					
4.7.3 - Temperature								
Relevant			X Not relevant					
		X Not relevant						
Relevant			X Not relevant					
ole)								
			Not relevant					
Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
	4 Current ×	Image: constraint of the second se	Image: state of the state	Impact Origin Current Potential Inside Cutside Cutside Cutside Cutside Cutside Cutside Cutside Cutside Cutside Cutside Cutside Cutside Cutside Cutside Cutside Cutside Cutside Cutside Cutsi	Impace Origin Tend of impace	Impact Origin Tend of impact Stable S		

	impact		Origin		Trend of Impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×		→	
4.7.7 - Pests							

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.7.8 - Micro-organisms	

Relevant

X Not relevant

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The two greatest threats to our site from local conditions regard wind (specifically abrasion) and water table acidity. Both of these factors can degrade the quality of the fossils over time.

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×	×		×		→		
Negative								
4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting								
Relevant			× Not relevan	ıt				
4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system								
Relevant			X Not relevant					
4.8.5 - Identity, social cohe	sion, changes	in local populat	ion and com	munity				
Relevant			× Not relevant					
4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/	Visitation/Recro	eation						
× Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X		×		×		→		
Negative								

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.8.2 - Mistaken Point is part of the local heritage and sense of pride; this contributes to improved stewardship of the property. Visitors, and the provision of visitor services, contributes to the local economy.

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×		→	

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×		\rightarrow	
4.9.3 - Military training							
Relevant			× Not relevant				
4.9.4 - War							
Relevant			X Not relevant				
4.9.5 - Terrorism							
Relevant			X Not relevant				

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Most illegal activities result from visitors entering the property without a permit, or using a motorized vehicle. This activity has a very low risk of site damage. There is always the potential for vandalism of the fossils, but the site is well monitored and risk is considered to be low.

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×			1

4.10.2 - Flooding

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
⊘ Negative X	×	×		×				
4.10.3 - Drought								
Relevant	Relevant							
4.10.4 - Desertification								
Relevant	levant			X Not relevant				
4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters								
Relevant			X Not relevant					
4.10.6 - Temperature chan	ge							
Relevant			× Not relevant					
4.10.7 - Other climate char	nge impacts							
X Relevant			I	Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
🤤 Negative 🗙	×	×		×				

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Sea level rise will be the greatest factor affecting the condition of the fossil surfaces. Fossil beds that are normally above the effects of tidal flow will be increasingly affected by sea level rise,, causing more erosion of the fossil surfaces in the future.

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.11.2 - Earthquake	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave	
X Relevant	Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×		\rightarrow	
4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landsli	de						

Relevant			X Not relevant					
4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition								
X Relevant	I	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact	act		
	Image: Current Image: Current							
Impact	4 Current	4 Potential	Inside	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Impact O Positive	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Outside	Subscreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
	47 Current	역 Potential	InsideX	Outside	Decreasing	→ Stable →	Increasing	

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Portions of fossil beds can potentially be damaged or lost in the event of a tsunami

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species	
Relevant	× Not relevant
4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.6 - Modified genetic material	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×		→	
Negative X		×	×	×		→	

4.13.2 - Legal framework

× Relevant		Not relevant	Not relevant		
	Impact	Origin	Trend of impact		

Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×		\rightarrow	
Negative							
4.13.3 - Governance							
X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	4 Potential	Inside	C Outside	S Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing

×

×

×

🗿 Positive 🗙

Negative

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow	
Negative X		×		×		\rightarrow	

4.13.5 - Financial resources

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×		\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.13.6 - Human resources

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin			in Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow	
Negative X	×	×	×	×			1

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

× Relevant	^ℓ Relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×		→	
Negative X		×	×	×		\rightarrow	

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×		→	
Negative X		×		×		→	

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13.1 to 4.13.6 - The property has a strong legal, financial, and management system which supports World Heritage objectives. The site is rural which presents challenges for recruitment of staff and advisory council members. 4.13.7 - 4.13.8 - Scientific research contributes to the understanding of the site. It is closely monitored using a permitting and reporting system. Fossil material is collected for preservation purposes only and housed within the provincial archive.

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend		
4.1 Buildings and Development	Impact			ongin		Trend
		~	~7			
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	0	4	4	٩	G	→
						_
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	٢	4			Ċ	→
4.4 Pollution						
4.4.1 Pollution of marine waters						
	9		9		Ċ	→
4.5 Biological resource use/modification						
4.5.1 Fishing/collecting aquatic resources						
	0		<i>a</i>		<i>(</i> 4	→
4.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection	•	<i>i</i>	•	٢		
	V	7				~
4.5.9 Subsistence hunting	٥	4		٢		→
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.1 Wind						
	0	4	9	٢	G	
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
	0	4	9	۲	Ċ	→
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	٢	4	9		Ċ	→
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	•		9		Ċ	→
4.9 Other human activities						
4.9.1 Illegal activities						
	0		9		Ċ	→
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
	٢		9		Ċ	→
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.1 Storms						
	٢	4	9		Ċ	1

4.10.2 Flooding						
	٢		<i>a</i>		14	,
		-1	-1		G	•
4.10.7 Other climate change impacts						
	0	4	9		Ċ	-
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.3 Tsunami/Tidal wave						
	٢		9		Ċ	→
4.11.5 Erosion and siltation/Deposition						
	٢	9	9	٢	F	→
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	٢	4		۲	œ	→
	0		9	۲	œ	→
4.13.2 Legal framework	0	1 23		•	(4	
	v	-1			G	~
4.13.3 Governance	٢	4		٢	Ċ	→
4.13.4 Management activities	٢	9	9	۲	Ċ	→
	٢		9		Ċ	→
4.13.5 Financial resources	٢	9		٢	Ċ	→
4.13.6 Human resources	٢	4	9	۲	Ċ	\rightarrow
	٢	9	9	۲	Ċ	
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	٢	9		۲	Ċ	→
	0		9	۲	Ċ	\rightarrow
4.13.8 High impact research/monitoring activities	\odot	9		۲	Ċ	→
	٢		4		(1	→
	-				4	
Legend Current Potential O Negative O Positive	Insi	de		(Outsi	de	

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name	ame Impact		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.1.5 Interp	retative and visitation facilities	٢	9	9	۲	Ċ	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						

	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.2.1 Gr	I.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure		9			Ċ	→
• • •							
Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Tempor	al scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact	Impact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manage	ment response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
×	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years						

	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.4 Pollution

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.4.1 Pollut	4.4.1 Pollution of marine waters						
		0		9		۴	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.5.1 Fishing/collecting aquatic resources							
		0		9		۴	→
Creatial and	le Area offented by the feature						
Spatial Sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						

Widespread Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact × One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes × Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity × No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years Decreasing × Static Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend
1.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection		4		۲	→

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity

X Medium capacity

	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin			Trend								
4.5.9 Subsistence hunting	o <i>9</i>		7		4		4) <i>9</i>		9		۹ 🔿	
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor														

	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.1 Wind						
	9	9	9	۹	G	
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
Restricted						
Localised						

	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - In	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
×	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name

Name	Impac	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
	٢	9	9	٢	G	\rightarrow
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity

×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name		Impact	:		Origin		Trend
4.8.2 S	ociety's valuing of heritage	٩	4	9		Ċ	→
Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Tempo	ral scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact	- Impact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manage	ement response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name	npacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation		1	9	Origin	¢	Trend
4.0.0 11		•		-,		9	·
Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor						

Restricted

×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.9 Other human activities

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.9.1 Illega	activities						
		0		9		Ċ	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

manageme	
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage					
	0	9		Ċ	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
×	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.10.1 Storms			

		٢	9	9	¢	1
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - II	npact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					

	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
×	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing

		Static		
X Increasing	×	Increasing		

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.10.2 Floo	ding						
		٢	9	9		Ċ	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						

Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources ×

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name	Impact	:		Origin		Trend
4.10.7 Other climate change impacts						
	0	9	9		Ċ	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
×	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
×	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend



Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Temporal - Occurrence of the impact
Extensive X Widespread
Widespread Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact
X One off or rare
Intermittent or sporadic
Frequent
On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes
Insignificant
Minor
X Significant
Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond
High capacity
Medium capacity
Low capacity
X No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years
Decreasing
X Static
Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.11.5 Erosion and siltation/Deposition						
	6			100	→	

	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant

	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	Name		:		Origin	Trend	
4.13.1 Man	agement system/Management plan	•			٢	Ċ	→
		0		9	۲	٢	→
Spotial cor	ile - Area affected by the factor						
Spatial Sca							
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - In	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.2 Lega	al framework	0	9	۲	Ċ	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
opullaroot	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - In	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
x	Static					
	Increasing					

Name	Impact	ł	Origin	Trend	
4.13.3 Governance	٢	9	۲	Ċ	→

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	apact on the attributes

×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.4 Management activities	0	9	9	٢	Ċ	\rightarrow
	0		9		Ċ	→

Spatial Sca	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend

sprater	4.13.5 Financial resources		٢	9		٢	Ċ	→	
kalasia kalasia <td< th=""><th>Spatial sca</th><th colspan="8">Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</th></td<>	Spatial sca	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor							
kanawian definition of the second of t		Restricted							
x wispread Temperature of the impact Construction of the impact indentified or separation indentified or separation <		Localised							
Tenzer Cocurrence of the impact In ord of or rare In ord of or rare Intermittent or sporadic Intermittent or sporadic Impact Frequent X Org of of or rare Inspirite Inspirite Impact Spirite Impact Inspirite Impact Impact Impact <th></th> <th>Extensive</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>		Extensive							
k Predefinition of a rane intermitted or sporadic Predefinition intermitted or sporadic Predefinition k Original intermitted or sporadic Predefinition k Inspirit and intermitted or sporadic Predefinition k Inspirit and sporadic intermitted or sporadic Predefinition intermitted or sporadic Predin intermitted or	×	Widespread							
inemitted or sporadic	Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact							
image:		One off or rare							
k for.oping Insert		Intermittent or sporadic							
Impact - Impact		Frequent							
isignificant	×	On-going							
initial Minor initial Spirificat initial Minor initial Minor <t< th=""><th>Impact - Im</th><th>pact on the attributes</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>	Impact - Im	pact on the attributes							
initial single singl		Insignificant							
kain Main Management Capacity of management to respond kain High capacity Modium capacity Modium capacity kain capacity Low capacity tow capacity of resources Deceasing tow capacity Static		Minor							
Hanagement to respond Kanagement to respond Kanagement to respond Kanagement to respond Medium capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Terret - Ver the last 6 years Pereasing Static		Significant							
kip Hiph capacity Medium capacity Low capacity in capacity and / or resources No capacity and / or resources	×	Major							
Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - V - V - V - V - V - V - V - V - V -	Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond							
Low capacity Low capacity and / or resources Trend - Decreasing A capacity and / or resources	×	High capacity							
No capacity and / or resources Trend - Decreasing & Static		Medium capacity							
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years Decreasing X Static		Low capacity							
Decreasing X Static		No capacity and / or resources							
X Static	Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years							
		Decreasing							
Increasing	×	Static							
		Increasing							

Name	Impact	ŧ		Origin		Trend	
4.13.6 Human resources	٢	9	9	۹	Ċ	→	
	0	4	9		(F	1	

-	
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant

	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name		Impact			Origin		
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	٢	4		۲	Ċ	→	
	0		9	٢	Ċ	→	

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name	impact research/monitoring activities		9	Origin	œ	Trend
4.13.0 Figh	חווףמנו ובשכמו כוווחונטו וווע מכנו שווופש	v	-1	e	9	

٢	9	Ċ	-
---	---	---	---

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - In	npact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	Trend - Developement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	2 km thick sequence of exquisitely preserved assemblages of the oldest abundant and diverse, large fossils known anywhere	×			
4.18.1.2	Over 10000 fossil impressions ranging from mm scale to nearly 2 meters in length are readily available for scientific study and supervised viewing	×			
4.18.1.3	Fossils illustrate critical watershed in history of life: Appearance of large, biologically complex organisms, including the first ancestral animals	×			
4.18.1.4	Soft bodied organisms were buried and preserved with exceptional detail	×			
4.18.1.5	More than 100 fossilized sea floor surfaces, ranging from small beds with single fossil exposures to tennis-court-sized surfaces with up to 4500 megafossils	×			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for** effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

The property (95%) is strictly protected under the Wilderness and Ecological Reserves Act and Fossil Ecological Reserve Regulations. Buffer zone areas extending outside the ecological reserve as well as a small area excluded to permit site access, are protected, limiting development.

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system at provincial/regional level

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)

Agreed 'Memorandums of Understanding' between different managing institutions, groups or others, including documents agreed with local communities for management

Mechanisms to promote equal participation among and within groups, including different levels of authority, local communities, indigenous people, women and men, and other specific groups

A management plan

A disaster, climate or conflict risk management plan

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

The Mistaken Point Ecological Reserve Management Plan has goals to protect fossils and maintain ecological integrity; promote and maintain research and monitoring; provide education and sustainable tourism experiences; create and maintain partnerships with local communities, educational institutions, organizations, and government agencies in research, education and stewardship. Site management is also guided by a World Heritage specific management plan.

5.3.4 - Management Documents

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

The 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape is not relevant to this property

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ? No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is **adequate coordination** between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The management system/plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being fully implemented and monitored

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

No annual work/action plan exists and it is not needed

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				×	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone			×		
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women	×				
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					×
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners				×	

5.3.16.5	Women				×
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children				×
5.3.16.7	Researchers				×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists				×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists				×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry				×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries				×
5.3.16.12	NGOs			×	
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×			
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify				

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention*

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality	×				
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)					×
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				×	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach				×	
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood			×		
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property	×				

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

Traditional use of the property prior the Ecological Reserve status is maintained through use of permits issued to local individuals.

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

Although there are no specific engagement programs for women, there is a high level of participation by women in the management and governance structure of the property.

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	%	%
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	%	%
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	%	%
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	%	%
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	100 %	100 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	%	%
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.12	Other	%	%
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

The collection of fees as part of site visitation does not directly support the monitoring and stewardship of the property. Instead, fees support our NGO partner to help alleviate costs associated with maintaining the building that is jointly occupied by ourselves and Mistaken Point Cape Race Heritage Inc.

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available **budget is adequate** for effective management of the World Heritage property

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over both the medium- and long-term

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	25 %	20 %
6.1.6.2	Women	75 %	80 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following

disciplines

Conservation	Poor
Environmental sustainability	Poor
Community participation and inclusion	Poor
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Poor
Research and monitoring	Poor
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Local staffing is at the level described in the nomination dossier. The site is remote, making professional training difficult to access. Efforts are made to engage visiting researchers in presenting their data to staff and local communities. Although there is no capacity building plan per se, Management is primarily by local staff whilst training is offered on an identified needed basis.

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is adequate

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable research but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

Research results are not directly distributed to public or external agencies although, if requested, they can be. Additionally, researchers are asked if they would like to present their current research and/or past research at the community level as 20 minute to 1 hour presentations conducted at the interpretative center during the tourist season. All locals and visitors are welcome to attend.

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Women	Fair
Youth/children	Fair
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Fair
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

ocal communities	
ocal/municipal authorities	
andowners	
/outh/children	

Researchers	
Local Visitors	
National/international tourists	

Tourism industry

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Poor
Site museum	Poor
Information booths	Poor
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Fair
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Fair
Transportation facilities	Good
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

8.1.5 - Fair was selected since women have been very involved in the World Heritage activities at Mistaken Point. However, there are no education programs or engagement specifically targeting women.

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

1583 / 780 / 2317 / 2253 / 2563 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

Visitor surveys

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One day (no overnight stay)

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

Length of stay information is obtained from informal conversations between staff and visitors

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

125 / 45 / 75 / 20 / 0 / 25 /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

Source provided by partnership with Cape Race Heritage, a not for profit group that is in partnership with provincial government that provides services for the World Heritage Site

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

9.7 - Visitor management is focused on reducing environmental impacts and less on the sustainability of tourism and how it directly relates to the economic and socio-cultural impacts of the region.

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

daily enforcement patrolling of the property

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is good cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In one location, but not easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property? Fees are collected, but make no contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

Two local groups are actively conducting tours within the property boundary. These tours are presented as cultural experiences and are not directly communicating the OUV of the property

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

Generally, yes. As the area is in a remote location of the province, businesses within the local communities (hotels, shops, restaurants) benefit from the influx of tourists seasonally

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

9.14.3 - Fees are collected which help to maintain the building facilities occupied jointly by Mistaken Point Cape Race Heritage Inc. and Natural Areas staff, Environment and Climate Change, Government of Newfoundland and Labrador. This space houses an exhibit on Mistaken Point

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, integrated programme of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				×
10.3.3	Character of governance	×			
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations	×			
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development	×			
10.3.6	Capacity development				×

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

Key indicators are identified in section 6 of the Management Plan. All points within section 6 are addressed annually and sufficient resources are in place to mitigate deficiencies when identified.

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Local communities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Women	Not applicable

Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industry	Not applicable
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

The indicators listed in section 6 of the Mistaken Point World Heritage Site Management Plan are, for the most part, still relevant and provide guidance for a complete monitoring program of site.

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.17	• In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood	×
6.1	Funding	
6.1.10	No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	×
6.1.12	There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred	×
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects	
7.2	There is considerable research in the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	
7.3	Research results are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies	×
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
8.2	There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth	×
9	Visitor Management	
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation	×
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	×
10	Monitoring	
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	×
	se select 0 more issues.	
N Ple	ease save this question to reflect changes	

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric	4.7
--	-----

4.7.1	Wind	Severe wind conditions contribute to the overall erosional effects on the surfaces		i. Impossible e conditions	local co qualita	nonitoring of onditions and tive analysis of ons over time	Ong	oing	Envir Char	ernment of Newfoundla ronment and Climate nge, Policy, Planning a ral Areas division		None
4.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	Severe rain/storm event increased weathering/er on the fossil surfaces				Daily monitorin local condition qualitative and of conditions of time	is and alysis	Ongoing		Government of Newfoundland, Environment and Clim Change, Policy, Plann and Natural Areas div	ning	None
4.9	Other human	activities										
4.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage	Potential for destruction of important type specimens by fossil collection	on No furt require	ther action	days a y fossil co site has	patrolled 365 year. Attempted pllection from n't occurred in t 25 years	Ongo	ing	Enviro Chan	rnment of Newfoundlar onment and Climate ge, Policy, Planning ar al Areas Division		None
4.10	Climate chang	ge and severe weather	events									
4.10.1	Storms	Climate change and severe weather conditions contribute to the overall condition of the work heritage site	action is of ongoin change d mitigate	ct preventative s possible. Effecting climate cannot be d. Remediation conducted when	cts col dir are Ad n ma se	onitoring of nditions and their ect effect on site a recorded. Iditional monitoring ay occur following vere weather ents		Ingoing	Ne and Pla	ivernment of wfoundland, Environm d Climate Change, Pol anning and Natural Are ision	icy,	None
4.10.2	Flooding	Severe weather events contribute to the overall condition of the world heritage site	action is Remedia	t preventative possible. ation efforts ed when require	ed recommon follo	nitoring of ditions and their ect effect on site ar orded. Additional nitoring may occur owing severe ather events	e	ngoing	Ne and Pla	wernment of wfoundland, Environm d Climate Change, Pol anning and Natural Are ision	icy,	None
4.10.7	Other climate change impact	Global Sea s Level rise will have major effects on site	No direct act Effects of on climate chan cannot be mi	going Envi ge sev itigated are	vironment vere and s assesse	a is recorded by t Canada and sudden impacts d and /hen they occur	Ongo	ing	Enviro Chan	rnment of Newfoundlar onment and Climate ge, Policy, Planning ar al Areas division		None
l.11	Sudden ecolo	gical or geological eve	nts									
4.11.3	Tsunami/Tidal wave	Could have potentia catastrophic effect	ll No∍ take	actions en	geologic environn a Tsuna	re in a generally cally stable ment, likelihood of mi event ng are very low	Or	ngoing	Env Cha	vernment of Newfound vironment and Climate ange, Policy, Planning tural Areas division		None
4.11.5	Erosion and siltation/Deposition	Erosion and siltation the fossil surfaces effect preservation of fine detail of fossiliz specimens	chang of appro led on for surfa poter loose	oppriate footwear ssilized ces. Removal o ntially damaging material from ces when	and glac cons of mon shift and over	n fossil surfaces overlying tial till beds are stantly titored for ting materials threat from rlying material gated as ded	Ongo	ing	Change		No Co	omment
4.13	Management and institutional factors											
4.13.1	Management system/Managen plan	Although all crite be affected by cf to the managem no significant ch have ever been suggested or en The process to c our managemen rigorous and no would be approv may jeopardize t	nanges ent plan, anges acted. thange t plan is changes ed that	No actions required.	and are with No the plan	nagement System d Management Pla discussed frequer nin our organizatio significant change original managem n have been rrcised.	ns ntly n. s to	Ongoing	N ai P	Sovernment of lewfoundland, Environi nd Climate Change, Pr Janning and Natural Ar bivision	olicy,	None

4.13.4	Management activities	Although management activities may change over time, overall, very little change in this regard will have an adverse effect on the overall integrity of the nomination criterion.	No actions required	Management Systems are discussed frequently within our organization. No significant changes to the original management scheme are being initiated.	Ongoing	Government of Newfoundland, Environment and Climate Change, Policy, Planning and Natural Areas Division	None.
--------	--------------------------	--	------------------------	--	---------	---	-------

Summary - Factors affecting the Property completed

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

Actions Tendrance Lead agency (and others) the folge Document on the biological operation of the period Particle Document on the period Particle Document on the period Particle Document on the period Particle Particle S3.3 No use has been made of the folge Document on the period Particle Document on the document on the Meriod London, Particle Document on the period Particle Document on the period Particle Document on the Document on the Meriod London, Particle Document on the Document on the Meriod London, Particle Document on the Document on the Meriod London, Particle Document on the Document on the Document on the Document on the Meriod London, Particle Document on the Document on t	5.3	Management System/Management Plan				
Imp Pairs Document on the manages of Climate Pairs programs on World Heinings Properties at the property World Heinings Properties Imp Pairs and All will be properties at the property world Heinings Properties Mail assess and integrate to unrent plan as needed End of 2023 Covernment of Newtoundiand, Properties at the property division No - 5.17 Imp Pairs property world Heinings Prope			Actions	Timeframe		More info / comment
Interstation of the ducking with the state of the st	5.3.7	the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage		End of 2023	Environment and Climate Change, Policy, Planning and Natural Areas	None
name: the manner: the manner: system of the system of the body manner: system of the body manner: body manner: bo	5.3.9	the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at	current plan as needed	5 End of 2023	Environment and Climate Change, Policy, Planning and Natural Areas	None
6.1.10No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage propertyWill assess and integrate to current plan as neededOngoingGovernment of Newfoundland, Environment and Climate Change, Policy, Planning and Natural Areas divisionNone6.1.12There is no site-based capacity programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferredNo action required. Needs are currently met.OngoingGovernment of Newfoundland, Environment and Climate Change, Policy, Planning and Natural Areas divisionNone	5.3.17	manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing	programs support sustainab tourism initiatives and cooperates with local community regarded use of the property outside our	ble	Environment and Climate Change, Policy, Planning and Natural Areas	None
been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage propertycurrent plan as neededand Climate Change, Policy, Planning and Natural Areas division6.1.12There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in j and skills are not transferredNo action required. Needs are currently met.OngoingGovernment of Newfoundland, Environment and Climate Change, Policy, Planning and Natural Areas divisionNone	6.1	Funding				
site-based currently met. and Climate Change, Policy, Planning and Natural Areas division building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred implemented by external staff implemented by implement	6.1.10	been made of current plan as needed the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage Chapter and Climate Change, Policy, Planning and Natural Areas division Natural Areas division Capacity Development at the World Heritage Chapter and Climate Change Chapter Ch		None		
7 Scientific Studies and Research Projects	6.1.12	site-based currently met. and Climate Change, Policy, Planning and Natural Areas division capacity building plan or programme in place; nanagement is implemented by external staff and skills are		None		
	7	Scientific Studies and Researc	ch Projects			

	results are in	o action required. Research is conducted by independent dividuals/organizations. They do publish results in peer reviewed cientific journals.		Ongoing	N/A	None
8	Education, Informati	ion and Awareness Building				
	limited and ad c hoc education p	This need has been identified. Will on the optimized of the push development of youth orogramming through the year round interpretation position.	Ongoing	Government of Newfoundlan and Climate Change, Policy, Natural Areas division		None
9	Visitor Manageme	ent				
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation	Overall, visitation is handled well. Improvements to the amount of information displayed within the site are currently being assessed	Ongoing	Government of Newfoundl and Climate Change, Polic Natural Areas division		None
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	Ongoing reinforcement of the need to convey our understanding of the OUV to the public. Long term objective to improve indoor exhibit as well as information posted at site.	Ongoing	Government of Newfoundl and Climate Change, Polic Natural Areas division		None
10	Monitoring					
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	Addressing ongoing needs of the operations staff in order to adequately complete tasks required to fulfill obligations within the management plan	Ongoing	Government of Newfoundla and Climate Change, Policy Natural Areas.		None
Summary - Ma	the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be	complete tasks required to fulfill obligations within the management plan			, י גמוווויז מוע	

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property? Not applicable (sites inscribed exclusively under criteria vii to x (natural World Heritage properties)

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

The strict legislative protection and comprehensive site management program maintain the highest possible level of conservation of the property.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	No impact
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	No impact
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	Positive
Advocacy	No impact
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Very positive
Gender equality	No impact
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	No impact
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

World Heritage status has greatly increased the profile of Mistaken Point, leading to increased awareness of the site. This leads to consistent demand for tourism with associated benefits. World Heritage status ensures provision of government funding and staffing resources.

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Management

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value

Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Not needed
Site Managers	Not needed
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Not needed
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Not needed

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans

Awareness raising

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance has **not been explicitly** considered or implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? Yes

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

2/8/10/

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

All required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			×	

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

Provide another column in section 10.3 to indicate partial implementation of selected resources. 6.1.12 - Site Capacity Building Plan and Management by external resources seem to be mutually exclusive and may not always be related.

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Fair
UNESCO National Commission	Fair
ICOMOS International	No support
IUCN International	No support
ICCROM international/regional	No support
ICOMOS national/regional	No support

Fair

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	No support
National Commission for UNESCO	No support
ICOMOS International	No support
ICCROM International/regional	No support
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	No support
IUCN International	No support

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.