# Cultural Landscape of Maymand

1. World Heritage Property Data

#### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Cultural Landscape of Maymand

#### 1.2 - World Heritage property details

#### 1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Cultural Landscape of Maymand	30.168 / 55.376	4953.85	7024.65	11978.5	2015
Total (ha)		4953.85	7024.65	11978.5	

#### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Cultural Landscape of Maymand - map of inscribed property	2015	

#### 1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

#### Comment

instagram@maymand\_ch https://chat.whatsapp.com/D0DPxVPHs8w4aqxQvLdYPF t.me/maymandnews

- 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)
- 2.1 Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is not designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		ж

#### 2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

Its not applicable

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

No

- 2.4 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?
- 2.5 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

Cultural Landscape of Maymand 1 of 36

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

#### 2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	

#### 2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

since we are not a member of these conventions currently, and we dont have any communications if in the near future we become a member of them, the communications will be started extensively and internationally

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

No

- 2.10 Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware
- 2.11 Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

No

- 2.12 Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.
- 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
- 3.1 Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

#### Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Cultural Landscape of Maymand 2 of 36

#### **Brief Synthesis**

Maymand is a small and relatively self-contained south facing valley within the arid chain of Iran's central mountains. The villagers are agro-pastoralists who practice a highly specific three phase regional variation of transhumance that reflects the dry desert environment. During the year, farmers move with their animals to defined settlements, traditionally four, and more recently three, that include fortified cave dwellings for the winter months. In three of these settlements the houses are temporary, while in the fourth, the troglodytic houses are permanent.

Sar-e- ghol are the settlements on the southern fields used from the end of winter until late spring. The houses come in two different types. Markh neh are circular houses, semi-underground to shelter them from the wind, with low dry stone wall and a roof covering of wood and thatch of wild thistles. Mashkd n houses are above ground and built with dry stone walls and a conical roof of branches. Some of the buildings for cattle are much more substantial and have barrel vaulted brick or stone roofs

Sar-e-B gh houses are sited near seasonal rivers and used during summer and early autumn. When the weather is hot the structures are light: dry stone walls support a roof structure of vertical and horizontal timbers covered with grass thatch. In inclement weather more substantial houses are constructed with taller stone walls and a conical roof. Cattle are collected in roofless stone enclosures. Around these summer villages are the remains of terraces for growing wheat and barley, and the remains of mostly now ruined water-mills. Pits for boiling and straining grape juice are still in use as are Kel-e-D sh b which are used to contain the resulting D sh b or syrup of grapes.

The winter troglodytic houses are carved out of the soft rock, in layers of up to five houses in height. Around 400 Kiches or houses have been identified and 123 units are intact. Each house has between one and seven rooms, traditionally used for living, and storage.

In the exceptionally arid climate, traditionally every drop of water needed to be collected from a variety of sources such as rivers, springs and subterranean pools and collected in reservoirs or channelled through underground quants to be used for animals, orchards and small vegetable plots. The community has a strong bond with the natural environment that is expressed in social practices, cultural ceremonies and religious beliefs.

Criterion (v): The Cultural Landscape of Maymand, a small mainly self-sufficient community within one large valley, reflects a traditional three phase transhumance system with unusual troglodytic winter housing in a dry desert environment. It is a good example of a system that appears to have been once more widespread, and involves the movement of people rather than animals to three defined settlement areas, one of which is cave dwellings.

#### Integrity

All the components of the landscape reflecting the agro-pastoral system and permanent and seasonal dwellings are within the boundaries. The components are however vulnerable, in relation to the resilience of the transhumance systems. This continues for the present, with a decreasing population. Although the small irrigated fields survive in outline they no longer are used to grow staple crops for self-sufficient families. Improved communications, such as with nearby towns means that people can look after their animals and vegetable plots in different ways than previously. As a result far fewer people are over-wintering in the troglodytic villages than a generation ago and there are far fewer families using the seasonal settlements. Only around 90 out of 400 of the troglodytic dwellings are inhabited during the winter. A few more of them are inhabited only during weekends, when people return from the nearest town to where they have moved. The number of ghols has reduced in the last few years due to the decreasing numbers of pastoralists. In the property there remain at least 8 ghols that are still living and used by families who have sufficient cattle to ensure their survival. There are two others that are abandoned. Most of the seasonal buildings are largely re-constructed each season and are therefore a reflection of a traditional practice that has persisted for generations. But this is a practice that is highly vulnerable and could disappear within a generation, if the pastoral way of life is not attractive or sufficiently viable for the younger generation.

#### Authenticity

There is little doubt of the authenticity of most of the components of the property, in terms of the landscape itself and the traditional practices that interact with it, as reflected in troglodytic houses, seasonal shelters and water structures. Some of the latter have been adapted in recent decades and only two of the qanats survive. The troglodytic structures have undergone extensive restoration over the past ten years.

Authenticity is also vulnerable to a weakening of traditional practices which could lead to a reduction in the size of the community that manages the landscape, to more families only living in the valley during the summer months, and to the impacts of tourism in particular on the troglodytic dwellings.

#### Protection and management requirements

The troglodyte village is registered in the National Heritage List, and is protected under the Historical Monument's Protection and Conservation Law. It is understood that the whole property will be legally protected upon inscription in line with other inscribed properties in Iran.

The property is also protected by other cultural and natural Iranian laws, such as the Iranian Civil Law that forbids transferring the ownership of public monuments and prohibits private ownership of significant cultural property. The Islamic Penal Law also protects the property, as no restoration, repair, renovation, transfer, or change of functions, etc. of registered monuments can be done without the Iranian Cultural Heritage, Handicrafts and Tourism Organization approval. The area is also under regulation concerning natural heritage protecting the natural environment.

Since 2001 the Iranian Cultural Heritage, Handicrafts and Tourism Organization has assumed responsibility for the property and a Maymand Cultural Heritage Base has been established, with close links to the Maymand village council and the Maymand village administration office. The local council manages the day-to-day affairs in collaboration with the Maymand Cultural Heritage Base. There are currently adequate local resources for administration

A Management Plan in the initial nomination set out regulations for the property area. For the buffer zone, large scale plans that may include industrial complexes and development projects such as highways, etc. must be agreed by the Iranian Cultural Heritage and Tourism Organisation.

Details of an augmented plan, arising from a workshop that aimed to encourage sustainable development for the local communities by opening up engagement between them and national and regional agencies, have been provided. This will focus on raising awareness of the legacy that the communities sustain, and put in place a sustainable development framework based on support and encouragement for innovative ways to add value to local produce, as well as some official support such as for dredging ganats and vaccinating livestock. This sustainable development plan has only recently been framed and clearly more work will be needed to translate it into an action plan with an agreed timescale and necessary resources.

Three other plans have also been developed by University Departments. These are: Evaluation of Ecological Capabilities, Agro-Pastoral lifestyle description and comparative study, and Research project on the impact of Water Sources and Farming. In addition a local team is engaged in mapping the activities of the farming year.

In spite of these initiatives and the engagement of the local community in a dialogue on how to sustain the dynamic landscape practices, there is nevertheless still concern that such a small community of some 70 families can form a sustainable and resilient unit that will keep the Maymand agro-pastoral system alive, even if in the future it does not survive in neighbouring valleys. Authenticity and integrity are thus vulnerable to a weakening of traditional practices.

Sustainable development will undoubtedly need to harness appropriate tourism opportunities. A plan is needed to set out how tourism might be managed in such a way that it supports rather than detracts from local traditions and avoids turning the village into a museum and contributing to the demise of agro-pastoral traditions.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

Cultural Landscape of Maymand 3 of 36

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Interactiom between man and the nature	×			
3.2.2	the method and tradition of the three phases migration	×			
3.2.3	living in troglodytic architecture spaces	×			
3.2.4	agricultural and livestock products	×			
3.2.5	handicraft products	×			
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

# 3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

- 4. Factors Affecting the Property
- 4.1. Buildings and Development

# 4.1.1 - Housing

Relevant X Not	relevant
----------------	----------

#### 4.1.2 - Commercial development

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

# 4.1.3 - Industrial areas

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
	×	×		×	<b>S</b>		

# 4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×				7
Negative							

# 4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

# 4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

### 4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Cultural Landscape of Maymand 4 of 36

× Relevant							
	Impact		Origin	Origin		Trend of impact	
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
<ul><li>Positive X</li></ul>	×	×	×	×			,
Negative							
.2.2 - Underground trans	sport infrastruct	ure					
Relevant			× Not relevan	nt			
.2.3 - Air transport infra	structure						
× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×			×		<b>→</b>	
Negative							
.2.4 - Marine transport i	nfrastructure						
Relevant			× Not relevan	nt			
.2.5 - Effects arising fro	m use of transpo	ortation infrastr	ucture				
Relevant	·		× Not relevan	nt			
0.C. Planes comment a							
	s necessary on	how the factors	s selected as	relevant in 4.2	are affecting the p	roperty either	negatively or
ositively		how the factors	s selected as	relevant in 4.2	are affecting the p	roperty either	negatively or
ositively  3.3. Services Infrastruct	ures	how the factors	s selected as	relevant in 4.2	are affecting the p	roperty either	negatively or
ositively  3.3. Services Infrastruct  3.3.1 - Water infrastructu	ures	how the factors			are affecting the p	roperty either	negatively or
e.3. Services Infrastruct e.3.1 - Water infrastructu Relevant	ures re	how the factors	s selected as  * Not relevan		are affecting the p	roperty either	negatively or
e.3.1 - Water infrastructurelevant  .3.2 - Renewable energy	ures re	how the factors		nt	are affecting the p	roperty either	negatively or
e.3. Services Infrastruct e.3.1 - Water infrastructu Relevant	ures re	how the factors			are affecting the p	roperty either	negatively or
.3. Services Infrastruct .3.1 - Water infrastructu Relevant .3.2 - Renewable energy	ures re	how the factors		nt	are affecting the p	roperty either	negatively or
i.3. Services Infrastruct i.3.1 - Water infrastructu Relevant i.3.2 - Renewable energy Relevant	re re rfacilities	Potential	X Not relevant Origin Inside	Not relevant  G Outside		roperty either de stable	Increasing
e.3.1 - Water infrastructurelevant  .3.2 - Renewable energy  Relevant	re facilities		X Not relevan	nt Not relevant	Trend of impact		
i.3. Services Infrastruct i.3.1 - Water infrastructu Relevant i.3.2 - Renewable energy Relevant	re re rfacilities	Potential	X Not relevant Origin Inside	Not relevant  G Outside	Trend of impact		Increasing
Relevant  Impact  Positive X  Negative  Negative  Negative  Na.3. Services Infrastructu  Relevant  Relevant  Negative  Negative	re rfacilities Impact Current	Potential	X Not relevant Origin Inside	Not relevant  G Outside	Trend of impact		Increasing
e.3. Services Infrastructu  3.3.1 - Water infrastructu  Relevant  3.2 - Renewable energy  Relevant  Impact  Positive X  Negative	re rfacilities Impact Current	Potential	X Not relevant Origin Inside	Not relevant  Outside	Trend of impact		Increasing
Relevant  Impact  Positive X  Negative  Negative  Negative  Na.3. Services Infrastructu  Relevant  Relevant  Negative  Negative	re rfacilities Impact Current	Potential	X Not relevant	Not relevant  Outside	Trend of impact		Increasing
A.3. Services Infrastruct  A.3.1 - Water infrastructu  Relevant  A.3.2 - Renewable energy  Relevant  Positive X  Negative  A.3.3 - Non-renewable energy	re rfacilities Impact Current	Potential	X Not relevant	Not relevant  **Outside **  **Ant	Trend of impact		Increasing
.3. Services Infrastruct .3.1 - Water infrastructu Relevant .3.2 - Renewable energy Relevant  Impact Positive X Negative .3.3 - Non-renewable en Relevant .3.4 - Localised utilities Relevant	re re rfacilities Impact Current X ergy facilities	Potential	Vot relevant  Origin  Inside  X  Not relevant	Not relevant  **Outside **  **Ant	Trend of impact		Increasing
.3. Services Infrastruct .3.1 - Water infrastructu Relevant .3.2 - Renewable energy Relevant  Impact Positive X Negative .3.3 - Non-renewable en Relevant .3.4 - Localised utilities	re re rfacilities Impact Current X ergy facilities	Potential	Vot relevant  Origin  Inside  X  Not relevant	Not relevant  S Outside  Ant	Trend of impact		Increasing
.3. Services Infrastructu .3.1 - Water infrastructu Relevant .3.2 - Renewable energy Relevant  Impact Positive X Negative .3.3 - Non-renewable en Relevant .3.4 - Localised utilities Relevant .3.5 - Major linear utilitie Relevant .3.6 - Please comment a	re rfacilities  Impact Current X ergy facilities	Potential ×	Vot relevant  Origin  Inside  Not relevant  Not relevant  Not relevant	Not relevant  S Outside  Ant	Trend of impact  Decreasing	→ Stable	✓ Increasing
.3. Services Infrastruct .3.1 - Water infrastructu Relevant .3.2 - Renewable energy Relevant  Positive X Negative .3.3 - Non-renewable en Relevant .3.4 - Localised utilities Relevant .3.5 - Major linear utilities	re rfacilities  Impact Current X ergy facilities	Potential ×	Vot relevant  Origin  Inside  Not relevant  Not relevant  Not relevant	Not relevant  S Outside  Ant	Trend of impact  Decreasing	→ Stable	✓ Increasing
.3. Services Infrastruct .3.1 - Water infrastructu Relevant .3.2 - Renewable energy Relevant  Positive X Negative .3.3 - Non-renewable en Relevant .3.4 - Localised utilities Relevant .3.5 - Major linear utilitie Relevant .3.6 - Please comment a ositively	re re rfacilities Impact Current x ergy facilities	Potential ×	Vot relevant  Origin  Inside  Not relevant  Not relevant  Not relevant	Not relevant  S Outside  Ant	Trend of impact  Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
.3. Services Infrastructu .3.1 - Water infrastructu Relevant .3.2 - Renewable energy Relevant  Impact Positive X Negative .3.3 - Non-renewable en Relevant .3.4 - Localised utilities Relevant .3.5 - Major linear utilitie Relevant .3.6 - Please comment a ositively .4. Pollution	re re rfacilities Impact Current x ergy facilities	Potential ×	Vot relevant  Origin  Inside  Not relevant  Not relevant  Not relevant	Not relevant  Outside  The continuation of the	Trend of impact  Decreasing	→ Stable	✓ Increasing

× Not relevant

Relevant

#### 4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

	Relevant	X Not relevant
4	I.4.4 - Air pollution	
	Relevant	X Not relevant

#### AAE Colid woote

4.	.4.5 - Solid waste	
	Relevant	X Not relevant

# 4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Relevant	X Not relevant

# 4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

# 4.5. Biological resource use/modification

## 4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

	Relevant	X Not relevant
_	4.5.2 - Aquaculture	

# Relevant

4.5.3 - Land conversion	
Relevant	X Not relevant

× Not relevant

# 4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>№</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×	×		<b>→</b>	
Negative							

#### 4.5.5 - Crop production

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive       ★	×	×	×	×			7
Negative							

### 4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Relevant	X Not relevant
rio van	1 NOT TELEVALIT

# 4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

X Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	<b>Gurrent</b>	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×		<b>→</b>	
Negative							

### 4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

# 4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

V D L	
X Relevant	Not relevant
Thoraca and the second	

Cultural Landscape of Maymand 6 of 36

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>Outside</b>	<b>№</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×		$\rightarrow$	
Negative							

#### 4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

- 4.5.11 Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively
- 4.6. Physical resource extraction

### 4.6.1 - Mining

•	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.6.2 - Quarrying	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.6.3 - Oil and gas	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.6.4 - Water (extraction)	
Relevant	X Not relevant

- 4.6.5 Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively
- 4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

# 4.7.1 - Wind

Relevant

Relevant

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

4.7.1 - Wind	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.7.2 - Relative humidity	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.7.3 - Temperature	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.7.4 - Radiation/Light	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.7.5 - Dust	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.7.7 - Pests	

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

× Not relevant

× Not relevant

- 4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage
- 4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

K Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

Cultural Landscape of Maymand 7 of 36

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
② Positive X	×	×	ж	×		<b>→</b>	
Negative							

#### 4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Relevant × Not relevant

# 4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

× Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×	×		<b>→</b>	
Negative							

#### 4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

× Not relevant

### 4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Relevant × Not relevant

#### 4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Relevant × Not relevant

## 4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.9. Other human activities

# 4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Relevant

× Not relevant 4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage Relevant × Not relevant 4.9.3 - Military training Relevant × Not relevant

4.9.4 - War

Relevant × Not relevant

# 4.9.5 - Terrorism

Relevant × Not relevant

#### 4.9.6 - Civil unrest

× Not relevant

## 4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

#### 4.10.1 - Storms

Relevant × Not relevant

# 4.10.2 - Flooding

Relevant × Not relevant

#### 4.10.3 - Drought

Cultural Landscape of Maymand 8 of 36

Relevant			× Not relevan	nt					
4.10.4 - Desertification									
Relevant			× Not relevan	nt					
4.10.5 - Changes to oceani	c waters								
Relevant			× Not relevan	nt					
4.10.6 - Temperature chang	ge								
Relevant			× Not relevan	nt					
4.10.7 - Other climate chan	ge impacts								
Relevant			× Not relevan	nt					
4.10.8 - Please comment as positively	s necessary on	how the factors	s selected as	relevant in 4.10	) are affecting the p	property eithe	r negatively or		
4.11. Sudden ecological	or geological e	events							
4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption									
Relevant			× Not relevan	nt					
4.11.2 - Earthquake									
Relevant			× Not relevan	nt					
4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wav	е								
Relevant			X Not relevant						
4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landsli	de								
Relevant			× Not relevan	nt					
4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation	on/Deposition								
Relevant			× Not relevan	nt					
4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)									
× Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
○ Negative X		×	×	×		<b>→</b>			
4.11.7 - Please comment as positively	s necessary on	how the factors	s selected as	relevant in 4.1	1 are affecting the p	property eithe	r negatively or		
4.12. Invasive/alien speci	es or hyper-al	oundant species	S						
4.12.1 - Translocated spec	ies								
Relevant			× Not relevan	nt					
4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terre	estrial species								
Relevant			× Not relevan	nt					
4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien fres	hwater species	•							
Relevant			× Not relevan	nt					
4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien mar	ine species								
Relevant			× Not relevan	nt					
4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant sp	ecies								
Relevant			× Not relevan	nt					

Cultural Landscape of Maymand 9 of 36

# 4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

# 4.13. Management and institutional factors

# 4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin			n Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>G</b> Outside	<b>№</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
○ Positive    ★	×		×	×			7
Negative							

# 4.13.2 - Legal framework

× Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact Origin				Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>G</b> Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×	×		$\rightarrow$			
Negative									

### 4.13.3 - Governance

<b>X</b> Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin	Trend of impact			
Impact	<b>Gurrent</b>	Potential	Inside	<b>G</b> Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×			1
Negative							

# 4.13.4 - Management activities

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin	Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>G</b> Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
○ Positive    ★	×	×	×	×		<b>→</b>		
Negative								

## 4.13.5 - Financial resources

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin	Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive	×	×	×	×			•
Negative							

# 4.13.6 - Human resources

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>№</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive	×	×	×	×			,
Negative							

Cultural Landscape of Maymand 10 of 36

# 4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×		×	×			P
Negative							

# 4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Relevant	X Not relevant

# 4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.14. Other factor(s)


# 4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

# 4.15. Factors Summary Table

### 4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name 4.1 Buildings and Development	Impact			Origin		
4.1 Buildings and Development		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.1.3 Industrial areas						
		<b>P</b>	9		Œ	\$
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	•	9	9	•		-
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	•	9	9	•	<b>G</b>	1
4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure	•	9			<b>G</b>	$\rightarrow$
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities	0	9	9	•	Œ	7
4.5 Biological resource use/modification						
4.5.4 Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals	•	9	9	•	<b>G</b>	<b>→</b>
4.5.5 Crop production	•	9	9	•	<b>F</b>	7
4.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection		-21	-3		00	
4.3.7 Subsistence wild plant conection	•	4	4	Q	G	_
4.5.9 Subsistence hunting	<b>(</b>	q	9	<b>@</b>	Œ	<b>→</b>
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	•	9	9	•	<b>G</b>	<b>→</b>
4.8.3 Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting	0	9	9	•	Œ	$\rightarrow$

Cultural Landscape of Maymand 11 of 36



4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

# 4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

#### 4.1 Buildings and Development

Name		Impact	i		Origin		Trend
4.1.3 Indus	strial areas						
			q	9		Œ	<b>S</b>
Custial as	ale. Area offented by the feater						
	ale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - In	npact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						

Cultural Landscape of Maymand 12 of 36

	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
×	Decreasing					
	Static					
	Increasing					
Name 4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities		Impact	q	9	Origin	Trend
4. 1.0 interp	etative and visitation racinities		-1	-1		•
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal so	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going Control of the Control of t					
Impact - Imp	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Managemen	t response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					

# 4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Restricted

Name	Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	0	9	9	•	Œ	P
Snatial scale - Area affected by the factor						

Cultural Landscape of Maymand 13 of 36

	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.2.3 Air tr	nsport infrastructure	<b>O</b>	9		<b>G</b>	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×						
	Significant					
	Significant  Major					

Cultural Landscape of Maymand 14 of 36

×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

### 4.3 Services Infrastructures



# 4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name	Impact Origin					Trend
4.5.4 Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals	0	q	9	•	Œ	$\rightarrow$

Cultural Landscape of Maymand 15 of 36

Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact		-73	Origin		Trend
4.5.5 Crop	oroduction	•	4	9	•	(F	/
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						

Cultural Landscape of Maymand 16 of 36

×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.5.7 Subsi	stence wild plant collection	0		9	•	<b>(</b>	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name	stence hunting	Impact	q	9	Origin	<b>G</b>	Trend
7.J.J JUDSI	occino manual		-0	- 0	C	G	~

Cultural Landscape of Maymand 17 of 36

Spatial sca	ele - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

# 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend		
4.8.1 Ritua	4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses		<b>A</b>	9	•	Œ	$\rightarrow$		
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor									
	Restricted								
	Localised								
×	Extensive								
	Widespread								
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact								
	One off or rare								
	Intermittent or sporadic								
×	Frequent								
	On-going								
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes								

Cultural Landscape of Maymand 18 of 36

	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name	Name				Origin		Trend
4.8.3 Indige	nous hunting, gathering and collecting	<b>O</b>	9	9	•	<b>G</b>	<b>→</b>
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

Cultural Landscape of Maymand 19 of 36

# 4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.11.6 Fire	wildfire)						
				9	•	Œ	$\rightarrow$
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact							
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
	relopement over the last 6 years						
×	Decreasing						
	Static						
	Increasing						

# 4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend		
4.13.1 Man	4.13.1 Management system/Management plan		9		•	Œ	1	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted							
	Localised							
×	Extensive							
	Widespread							
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact								
	One off or rare							

Cultural Landscape of Maymand 20 of 36

	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.2 Lega	4.13.2 Legal framework		9	9	•	<b>G</b>	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						

Cultural Landscape of Maymand 21 of 36

	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.3 Gov	ernance	<b>O</b>	4	4	•	<b>G</b>	-
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.4 Man	agement activities	<b>O</b>		9	•	<b>G</b>	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						

Cultural Landscape of Maymand 22 of 36

×	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
		Impact					
Name				-3	Origin		Trend
4.13.5 Fina	ncial resources	<b>©</b>	9	9	•	Œ	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						

Cultural Landscape of Maymand 23 of 36

Increasing		

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.6 Hun	nan resources	<b>O</b>	q	•	F	1
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going Control of the Control of t					
Impact - In	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.7 Low	impact research/monitoring activities	<b>O</b>		•	F	1
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					

Cultural Landscape of Maymand 24 of 36

×

Frequent

	On-going							
Impact - Im	Impact - Impact on the attributes							
	Insignificant							
	Minor							
×	Significant							
	Major							
Manageme	Management response - Capacity of management to respond							
×	High capacity							
	Medium capacity							
	Low capacity							
	No capacity and / or resources							
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing							
	Static							
×	Increasing							

#### 4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

# 4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

# 4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Interactiom between man and the nature	×			
4.18.1.2	the method and tradition of the three phases migration	×			
4.18.1.3	living in troglodytic architecture spaces	×			
4.18.1.4	agricultural and livestock products	×			
4.18.1.5	handicraft products	×			

# 5. Protection and Management of the Property

# 5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

### 5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

#### 5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are  $\mbox{\bf adequate}$  to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

# 5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

#### 5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

#### 5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

# 5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

1979 / Constitution Law of Islamic Republic of Iran / 1996 /

The Islamic Penal Law / 1930 / The Law for Protection of National Heritage /

Cultural Landscape of Maymand 25 of 36

1979 / The Law for Establishing Iranian Cultural Heritage Organization /
1931 / The national monuments registration law /

1975 / The law on Iran joining the convention of protecting natural and cultural heritage /

1992 / Tourism development law /

1985 / The law on the Constitution of Iranian Cultural Heritage Organization /

2002 / Bylaw on the Conservation of the Iranian Cultural Heritage /

# 5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

# 5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection** 

# 5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for** effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

#### 5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

# **5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice** According to the regulations, observance of directions in cultural landscape of Maymand is mandatory for personal and governmental entities.

# 5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

#### 5.3. Management System/Management Plan

# **5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property** Public management system joint national/ local

# If 'Other', please specify

#### 5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)

Traditional ways of management recognised by local communities and other specific groups

Governance mechanisms that foster and respect traditional practices, knowledge and uses of the property

Agreed 'Memorandums of Understanding' between different managing institutions, groups or others, including documents agreed with local communities for management

Mechanisms to promote equal participation among and within groups, including different levels of authority, local communities, indigenous people, women and men, and other specific groups

A framework for inclusive economic development, including equal access and distribution of resources and opportunities arising from the protection of the property

A management plan

An annual work plan or business plan

A visitor/visitation management plan

An assessment of biological and cultural diversity and ecosystem services provided by the property

A joint approach to management of cultural and natural heritage

### 5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

Maymand cultural landscape is managed by cooperating and interacting with local, regional, national and international capacities.

### 5.3.4 - Management Documents

#### Comment

There is a one-year (continuous) short-term management plan for the cultural landscape of Maymand. A medium- and long-term management plan is being prepared and will be submitted upon completion.

# 5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

The 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape is not relevant to this property

Cultural Landscape of Maymand 26 of 36

- 5.3.6 If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.
- 5.3.7 Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

The policy for dealing with climate change is **fully based** on the agreed World Heritage policy

- 5.3.8 If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:
- **5.3.9 Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property?** The risk management policy is **fully based** on the agreed Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties
- 5.3.10 If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done
- 5.3.11 Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is adequate coordination between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

#### 5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being fully implemented and monitored

#### 5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and all of its activities are being implemented and monitored

# 5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities					×
5.3.15.2	Local authorities					×
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone					×
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples					×
5.3.15.5	Women					×
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups					×
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify	Ecolodge, Acad	demic Departments			

# 5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					×
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples					×
5.3.16.4	Landowners					×
5.3.16.5	Women					×
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					×
5.3.16.7	Researchers					×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries					×

Cultural Landscape of Maymand 27 of 36

5.3.16.12	NGOs			×
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups			×
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	Ecolodge		

# 5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality					×
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)					×
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status					×
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach					×
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood					×
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property					×

- 5.3.18 Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above
- 5.3.19 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan
- 6. Financial and Human Resources
- 6.1. Funding
- 6.1.1 If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	30 %	90 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	70 %	10 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

- 6.1.2 Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above
- 6.1.3 Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is adequate for effective management of the World Heritage property

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are  $\ensuremath{\text{secure over both the medium-}}$  and  $\ensuremath{\text{long-term}}$ 

- 6.1.5 Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure
- 6.1.6 Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

From local communities %	From elsewhere %

6.1.6.1	Men	60 %	60 %
6.1.6.2	Women	40 %	40 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

#### 6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are adequate for management needs

# 6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

# 6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

# 6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Training and capacity building at this property is fully based on the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

- 6.1.11 If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.
- 6.1.12 Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and fully implemented; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally

- 6.1.13 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training
- 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects
- 7.1 Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is adequate

Cultural Landscape of Maymand 29 of 36

# 7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

#### 7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared with local communities and some national agencies

### 7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

### 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

# 8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Good
Landowners	Good
Women	Good
Youth/children	Good
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Good
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Good
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Good
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	Ecotourism

# 8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs

# 8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities	
Local/municipal authorities	
Indigenous peoples	
Landowners	
Women	
Youth/children	
Researchers	
Local Visitors	
National/international tourists	
Tourism industry	
Local businesses and industries	
NGOs	

# 8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Good
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Good
Guided tours	Good

Cultural Landscape of Maymand 30 of 36

Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Good
Other	Good
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	Local leader

#### 8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

#### 9. Visitor Management

#### 9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

39379 / 69851 / 66970 / 86809 / 60140 /

#### 9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

Accommodation establishments

Transportation services

Tourism industry

Visitor surveys

#### 9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

Overnight stay

#### 9.4 - Please provide the source of information

# 9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

11,5/5/3/2/3/17/

#### 9.6 - Please provide the source of information

Tourism Industry, Local businesses and industries, National/International tourists ,Ecolodge

# 9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a planned and effective strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

#### 9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

#### 9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

#### 9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

#### If a different system, please specify

# 9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is good cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

#### 9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted

#### 9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

#### 9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

Fees are collected and make a substantial contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

# 9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

## If 'Yes', please specify

Purposeful creation and development Ecolodge

Cultural Landscape of Maymand 31 of 36

#### 9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

# If 'Yes', please specify

Creation Ecolodge

# 9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

developing and managing ecolodges in cultural landscape of Maymand has played a central role in sustainable tourism development.

#### 10. Monitoring

# 10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme of monitoring**, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

# 10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined for measuring the state of conservation and are being used in monitoring of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

#### 10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				X
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				X
10.3.3	Character of governance				X
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations				×
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development				X
10.3.6	Capacity development				×

### 10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

#### 10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Local communities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Fair
Women	Fair
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industry	Fair
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Good
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

# 10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is underway

- 10.7 Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.
- 10.8 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring
- 11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

### 11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

8 Education, Information and Awareness Building

#### 12. Summary and Conclusions

#### 12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

### 12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.1	Buildings a	nd Development					
4.1.3	Industrial areas	Due to the fact that the factory is located outside of the cultural landscape buffer zone, it has insignificant impact on the Interactiom between man and the nature of the region.	With the use of legal tools and the cooperation of the relevant agencies, effective measures have been taken to reduce pollution.	By using the statistics recorded in Environment Department ,The impact of this pollution is monitored	This is monitored monthly throughout the year	World Heritage Base, Copper Industries Complex, Environment Department and Natural Resources Department	Due to the importance of this issue on the ecology of this region, monitoring and controlling it is a priority of the executive actions of the World Heritage Base.
4.11	Sudden eco	ological or geological even	ts				
4.11.6	Fire (wildfire)	Due to the small scale of fire, it has insignificant impact on agricultural and livestock production in the region.	local communities, effective measures h been taken to prever	nave	It is done every day in hot seasons and once a week in other seasons.	World Heritage Base , local communities , fire station and NGO	Due to the importance of this issue monitoring and controlling it is a priority of the executive actions of the World Heritage Base.
Summa	ry - Factors affecti	ng the Property <b>comple</b> t	ted				

### 12.2. Summary - Management Needs

# 12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

8	Education, Information and Awareness Building						
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
8.2	There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs	Creating a children's room in Troglodytic village with the participation of student groups in experimental and educational workshops and protection of natural and historical resources, continuous cooperation with the Department of Education and cooperation continuously Department of Education	It happens monthly throughout the year	Department of Education, World Heritage Base of Cultural Landscape.	Due to the effective role of children and adolescents in preserving and reviving the historical and cultural values of the region, this issue is the main priority of educational and executive measures of the World Heritage Base		

### Summary - Management Needs completed

# 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property? The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved

## 12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact

# 12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding **Universal Value?**

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

#### 12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

Cultural Landscape of Maymand 33 of 36

#### 12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

#### 13. Impact of World Heritage Status

#### 13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Very positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Very positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal/Policy framework	Very positive
Advocacy	Very positive
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Very positive
Gender equality	Very positive
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Very positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Very positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Very positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Very positive
Other	Very positive
If 'Other', please specify	

# 13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

#### 14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

Cultural Landscape of Maymand Management, using local knowledge and purposeful research, as well as constructive interaction and cooperation with other local and provincial government agencies in the past and present, effective protection measures in the field of protection of the region's outstanding global values are ongoing. These activities include: 1- Organizing and restoring the architecture of troglodytic architecture of Maymand village with local methods and compatible with the environment and performing continuous monitoring 2- Reconciliation and constructive cooperation in the field of maintaining the indicators of the three-stage method of migration of local people in the region with affiliated government agencies 3- Strengthening and preserving the vegetation and forest cover of the region with the cooperation of affiliated government agencies and NGO 4- Supporting and organizing the handicrafts of the local people by creating new workshops with the instructor 5- Holding specialized meetings and conferences of local, provincial, national and international in the field of recognizing the global values of Maymand cultural landscape and methods of their protection 6- Supporting and reviving rituals, rituals, and oral culture along with documenting 7- Organizing and protecting water resources from a cultural perspective, including rehabilitating Qanat with the cooperation of local communities and affiliated government agencies 9- Supporting the creation and operation of ecolodge units by local communities in the cultural landscape of Maymand in order to introduce global values to tourists in the field of sustainable development

#### 14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

ustainable Development	
ynergies	
tate of Conservation	
lanagement	
overnance	
apacity Building	

Cultural Landscape of Maymand 34 of 36

### 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

### 15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

#### 15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

# 15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Good

#### 15.2. Use of Data

#### 15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage
Update of management plans
Fundraising
Awareness raising
Advocacy

#### 15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

#### 15.3. Timing and resources

#### 15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties
Local communities
Indigenous people
ICOMOS International
IUCN International
External experts

# 15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

# 15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

#### 15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

180 / 120 / 240 /

## 15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

### 15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

#### 15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

All required information was accessible.

#### 15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			×	

#### 15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

#### 15.5. Training and Guidance

#### 15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Good
UNESCO National Commission	Poor
ICOMOS International	Good
IUCN International	Good
ICCROM international/regional	Fair
ICOMOS national/regional	Fair
IUCN national/regional	Fair

#### 15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Good
National Commission for UNESCO	Good
ICOMOS International	Good
ICCROM International/regional	Good
ICOMOS national/regional	Good
IUCN national/regional	Good
IUCN International	Good

# 15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

#### 15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

# 15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

- 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.2 Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.