Necropolis of Bet She'arim: A Landmark of Jewish Renewal

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property
Necropolis of Bet She'arim: A Landmark of Jewish Renewal

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Necropolis of Bet She'arim: A Landmark of Jewish Renewal	32.702 / 35.127	12.2	64.3	76.5	2015
Total (ha)		**12.2**	**64.3**	**76.5**	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Necropolis of Bet She'arim: A Landmark of Jewish Renewal - maps of inscribed property	2015	

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

Comment
https://en.parks.org.il/ https://en.parks.org.il/reserve-park/bet-shearim-national-park/ https://www.facebook.com/IsraelNaturParks https://www.instagram.com/parks_il/ https://www.tiktok.com/@parks_il?lang=he-IL

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is not designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	**International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection** (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		✕
2.1.2	**List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection** (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		✕
2.1.3	**The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List)** (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		✕
2.1.4	**World Network of Biosphere Reserves** Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		✕
2.1.5	**Global Geoparks Network** UNESCO Global Geoparks		✕

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?
Not applicable

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?
No

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?
No

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?
Not applicable

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?
No

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?
No

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
Brief synthesis

Hewed into the limestone slopes of hills bordering the Vale of Jezre'el, a series of man-made catacombs was developed from the 2nd century AD as the necropolis of Bet She'arim. It became the primary Jewish burial place outside Jerusalem following the failure of the second Jewish revolt against Roman rule and the catacombs are a treasury of eclectic art works and inscriptions in Greek, Aramaic, Hebrew and Palmyrene. Bet She'arim is associated with Rabbi Judah the Patriarch, the spiritual and political leader of the Jewish people who composed the Mishna and is credited with Jewish renewal after 135 AD.

Criterion (ii): The catacombs of Bet She'arim show the influence of classical Roman art including human images, inscriptions and decorative details and include iconographic motifs and multi-language inscriptions testifying to cross-cultural interaction with the Greco-Roman artistic cultural world of Europe, Asia Minor and Mesopotamia and the Jewish cultural world. The assimilation of burial types and artistic expression together with inscriptions indicating the origins of those buried in the cemetery testify to the wide dispersal of the Jewish people at that time and the incorporation into Jewish religious culture of influences from the surrounding populations.

Criterion (iii): The necropolis of Bet She'arim constitutes exceptional testimony to ancient Judaism in its period of revival and survival under the leadership of Rabbi Judah the Patriarch. The extensive catacombs containing artwork showing classical and oriental influences illustrate the resilient Jewish culture that flourished here in the 2nd to 4th centuries AD.

Integrity

The property includes all elements necessary to convey the Outstanding Universal Value and is of adequate size to ensure the complete representation of the features and processes which convey its significance. The property does not suffer from adverse effects of development or neglect.

Authenticity

The catacombs themselves, preserved in-situ, retain authenticity in terms of location, setting, form and materials. In terms of use and function, the catacombs had ceased to be used for burial purposes by the 6th century, were abandoned and subsequently neglected. Today they are preserved as part of a national park with some open to the public.

Protection and management requirements

The property is protected as an Antiquities Site under the Antiquities Law 1978. No changes can be made without the approval of the Israel Antiquity Authority (IAA). The property and buffer zone are already protected under the National Parks, Nature Reserves, Heritage and National Sites Law, 1998. Paragraph 25 of the Law prohibits any activity, which could in the opinion of the Authority, hinder the designation of the area; it empowers the INPA to take steps against violations of that Law. The northern part of the property and the buffer zone within the jurisdiction of Qiryat Tiv'on Local Council is approved as a national park according to the statutory plan and will shortly be declared officially as a National Park. The southern part within the jurisdiction of Emek Yizreal Regional Council is currently designated as "approved national park at detailed planning" and will be officially declared as a National Park as soon as possible. Meanwhile the buffer zone is protected by Land Use statutory plans while the property and buffer zone are further protected and managed by the Israel Nature and Parks Authority (INPA) by virtue of the National Parks, Nature Reserves, Heritage and National Sites Law, 1998.A World Heritage Forum within INPA headed by INPA director general and the director of the Archaeology and Heritage department includes directors of the various divisions of INPA, directors of district offices of INPA and of nature reserves and national parks containing World Heritage sites. This Forum convenes every six months to discuss issues pertaining to these sites.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	The necropolis with its various burial types	✖			
3.2.2	The extensive in-situ preserved catacombs	✖			
3.2.3	The art works and various illustrations including human images, iconographic motifs	✖			
3.2.4	The multi-language inscriptions on the catacombs	✖			
3.2.5	The setting of the surrounding landscape	✖			
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

The statement of Outstanding Universal Value does not include a reference to the uniqueness of the site as being used for the burial of Diaspora Jews together with the Jews of Israel.

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

4.1.2 - Commercial development

| Relevant | ✖ Not relevant |

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

| Relevant | ✖ Not relevant |

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

| Relevant | ✖ Not relevant |

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

| ✖ Relevant | Not relevant |

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⦿ **Inside**	🚩 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖		✖				↗
⊖ Negative							

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.1.1, 4.1.2 and 4.1.3: There are no development plans for the Bet Zayd settlement or for a commercial development and industrial areas in the immediate surrounds of the property. 4.1.5: The museum works and functions well. Work is underway to update the content and develop an audio training track. The development of the paths and the entrance was postponed due to the economic effects of the Corona events, and will be carried out in the near future.

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

| Relevant | ✖ Not relevant |

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

| Relevant | ✖ Not relevant |

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

| Relevant | ✖ Not relevant |

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

| Relevant | ✖ Not relevant |

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

| Relevant | ✖ Not relevant |

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

| Relevant | ✖ Not relevant |

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

| Relevant | ✖ Not relevant |

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

| Relevant | ✖ Not relevant |

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

| Relevant | ✖ Not relevant |

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

| Relevant | ✖ Not relevant |

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

✖ Relevant		Not relevant				
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**	
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	⟲ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	✏ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖		✖	✖		→	
⊖ Negative							

4.5.5 - Crop production

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Relevant				Not relevant		

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	**Current**	**Potential**	**Inside**	**Outside**	**Decreasing**	**Stable**	**Increasing**
Positive							
Negative ✖	✖		✖			→	

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.5.4: There is an initiated and managed grazing within the property but away from the catacombs. 4.5.10: Tree roots are penetrating the cracks in catacomb ceilings. A pilot study was conducted to identify the types of trees and vegetation that may cause the negative impact. The trees were thinned in order to minimize current and future damage.

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Relevant	Not relevant

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Relevant	Not relevant

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Relevant	Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Relevant	Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Relevant	Not relevant

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Relevant				Not relevant		

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	**Current**	**Potential**	**Inside**	**Outside**	**Decreasing**	**Stable**	**Increasing**
Positive							
Negative ✖	✖		✖			→	

4.7.3 - Temperature

Relevant	Not relevant

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Relevant				Not relevant		

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	**Current**	**Potential**	**Inside**	**Outside**	**Decreasing**	**Stable**	**Increasing**
Positive							
Negative ✖	✖		✖			→	

4.7.5 - Dust

Relevant	Not relevant

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

	Relevant		Not relevant				

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	⌕ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖	✖		✖	✖	↘		

4.7.7 - Pests

	Relevant	✖ Not relevant	

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

	Relevant		Not relevant				

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	⌕ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖	✖		✖			→	

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7.2 and 4.7.8: The high humidity accelerates the weathering processes and causes insect infestation which is a threat to the catacombs' structure. As of today there is no monitoring of the humidity. 4.7.4: The assumed impact of the installed lighting to the decoration display may be a factor for the growth of microorganisms inside some caves. Though this should be further study. 4.7.6: In the past the catacombs were affected by water runoff, but the issue was handled by conservationists.

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

	Relevant		Not relevant				

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	⌕ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖		✖				↗
⊖ Negative							

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

	Relevant		Not relevant				

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	⌕ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖		✖	✖			↗
⊖ Negative							

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

	Relevant	✖ Not relevant	

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

	Relevant	✖ Not relevant	

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

	Relevant	✖ Not relevant	

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

	Relevant		Not relevant				

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	⌕ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖		✖				↗
⊖ Negative							

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.8.1: During the holiday periods, a variety of events take place on the site (bar mitzvah, marriage photographs etc.), which take advantage of the site's traditional basis, its landscape and scenery. 4.8.2: The site is anchored as a site of scientific and academic significance but also respects and enables religious traditions and the religious perspective. 4.8.6: Initiated volunteer activity from among the surrounding community contributes to conveying the site's story to all visitors.

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.3 - Military training

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.4 - War

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.2 - Flooding

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.3 - Drought

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.4 - Desertification

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.11.2 - Earthquake

✖ Relevant	Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative ✗		✗	✗	✗		→	

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Relevant	Not relevant
	✗ Not relevant

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Relevant	Not relevant
	✗ Not relevant

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Relevant	Not relevant
	✗ Not relevant

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

✗ Relevant	Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative ✗		✗	✗	✗		→	

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.11.2: There is potential for an earthquake because Israel is in a high-risk zone and the town of Bet She'arim was destroyed by the earthquake of 363 CE. The site team examines weak points. In one of the caves, a support was built in order to prevent a potential collapse. 4.11.6: The site is located in a forest and there is a constant fear of forest fires. The forest is regularly thinned and treated and there are measures to prevent fires in accordance with local procedures.

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Relevant	Not relevant
	✗ Not relevant

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Relevant	Not relevant
	✗ Not relevant

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Relevant	Not relevant
	✗ Not relevant

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Relevant	Not relevant
	✗ Not relevant

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Relevant	Not relevant
	✗ Not relevant

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Relevant	Not relevant
	✗ Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

✗ Relevant	Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive ✗	✗		✗	✗			↗
Negative							

4.13.2 - Legal framework

Relevant ✖			Not relevant				

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	**Current**	**Potential**	**Inside**	**Outside**	**Decreasing**	**Stable**	**Increasing**
Positive ✖	✖		✖	✖			↗
Negative							

4.13.3 - Governance

Relevant ✖			Not relevant				

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	**Current**	**Potential**	**Inside**	**Outside**	**Decreasing**	**Stable**	**Increasing**
Positive ✖	✖		✖	✖			↗
Negative							

4.13.4 - Management activities

Relevant ✖			Not relevant				

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	**Current**	**Potential**	**Inside**	**Outside**	**Decreasing**	**Stable**	**Increasing**
Positive ✖	✖		✖	✖			↗
Negative							

4.13.5 - Financial resources

Relevant ✖			Not relevant				

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	**Current**	**Potential**	**Inside**	**Outside**	**Decreasing**	**Stable**	**Increasing**
Positive ✖	✖		✖	✖		→	
Negative							

4.13.6 - Human resources

Relevant ✖			Not relevant				

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	**Current**	**Potential**	**Inside**	**Outside**	**Decreasing**	**Stable**	**Increasing**
Positive ✖	✖		✖	✖			↗
Negative							

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Relevant ✖			Not relevant				

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	**Current**	**Potential**	**Inside**	**Outside**	**Decreasing**	**Stable**	**Increasing**
Positive ✖	✖			✖		→	
Negative							

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Relevant ✖			Not relevant				

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	**Current**	**Potential**	**Inside**	**Outside**	**Decreasing**	**Stable**	**Increasing**
Positive ✖	✖		✖				↗

⊖ Negative				

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13.7: The Israel Nature and Parks Authority has a system for tracking visitors/visits to the site. 4.13.9: Following the scientific research that was done, trees whose roots were damaging the rock were proactively removed. The trees are monitored regularly to check if additional fallings are necessary.

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	⊕	⚑		◉		↗
4.5 Biological resource use/modification						
4.5.4 Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals	⊕	⚑		◉	⬚	→
4.5.10 Forestry/Wood production						
	⊖	⚑		◉		→
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.2 Relative humidity						
	⊖	⚑		◉		→
4.7.4 Radiation/Light						
	⊖	⚑		◉		→
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
	⊖	⚑		◉	⬚	↘
4.7.8 Micro-organisms						
	⊖	⚑		◉		→
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	⊕	⚑		◉		↗
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	⊕	⚑		◉	⬚	↗
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	⊕	⚑		◉		↗
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.2 Earthquake						
	⊖		⚑	◉	⬚	→
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)						
	⊖		⚑	◉	⬚	→
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	⊕	⚑		◉	⬚	↗
4.13.2 Legal framework	⊕	⚑		◉	⬚	↗

4.13.3 Governance	⊕	🚩		⊙	🖼	↗
4.13.4 Management activities	⊕	🚩		⊙	🖼	↗
4.13.5 Financial resources	⊕	🚩		⊙	🖼	→
4.13.6 Human resources	⊕	🚩		⊙	🖼	↗
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	⊕	🚩			🖼	→
4.13.8 High impact research/monitoring activities	⊕	🚩		⊙		↗

Legend	🚩 Current	🚩 Potential	⊖ Negative	⊕ Positive	⊙ Inside	🖼 Outside

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	⊕	🚩		⊙		↗

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✖	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.5.4 Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals	⊕	🚩		◉	☞	➡

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✖	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.5.10 Forestry/Wood production						
	⊖	🚩		◉		➡

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✖	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✖	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.2 Relative humidity						
	⊖	⚑		◉		→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✖	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity

	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.4 Radiation/Light						
	⊖	🚩		◉		→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✖	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
	⊖	🚩		◉	⟳	↘

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive

	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✖	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
✖	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.8 Micro-organisms							
		⊖	🚩		◉		➡

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✖	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity

	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	⊕	⚑		◉		↗

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	⊕	⚑		◉	☞	↗

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✖	Restricted
	Localised

| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |

| **Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact** |
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✖	On-going

| **Impact - Impact on the attributes** |
	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

| **Management response - Capacity of management to respond** |
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

| **Trend - Developement over the last 6 years** |
	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	⊕	⚑		◉		↗

| **Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor** |
	Restricted
	Localised
✖	Extensive
	Widespread

| **Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact** |
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✖	On-going

| **Impact - Impact on the attributes** |
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

| **Management response - Capacity of management to respond** |
| ✖ | High capacity |

	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name			Impact			Origin		Trend
4.11.2 Earthquake								
			⊖		⚑	◉	☞	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor		
	Restricted	
	Localised	
✖	Extensive	
	Widespread	
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact		
✖	One off or rare	
	Intermittent or sporadic	
	Frequent	
	On-going	
Impact - Impact on the attributes		
	Insignificant	
	Minor	
✖	Significant	
	Major	
Management response - Capacity of management to respond		
	High capacity	
✖	Medium capacity	
	Low capacity	
	No capacity and / or resources	
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years		
	Decreasing	
✖	Static	
	Increasing	

Name			Impact			Origin		Trend
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)								
			⊖		⚑	◉	☞	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor		
	Restricted	

	Localised
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	⊕	🚩		◉	☞	↗

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✖	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✖	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond					
✖	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing				
	Static				
✖	Increasing				

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.2 Legal framework	⊕	⚑		⊙	☞	↗

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted				
✖	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
✖	On-going				

Impact - Impact on the attributes					
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
✖	Significant				
	Major				

Management response - Capacity of management to respond					
✖	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing				
	Static				
✖	Increasing				

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.3 Governance	⊕	⚑		⊙	☞	↗

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor					

	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✖	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.4 Management activities	⊕	🚩		◉	☝	↗

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✖	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources	⊕	◀		◉	☞	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✖	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.6 Human resources	⊕	◀		◉	☞	↗

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	

	Restricted
✖	
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✖	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	⊕	🚩			↺	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✖	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.8 High impact research/monitoring activities	⊕	🚩		◉		↗

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	The necropolis with its various burial types	✖			
4.18.1.2	The extensive in-situ preserved catacombs	✖			
4.18.1.3	The art works and various illustrations including human images, iconographic motifs	✖			
4.18.1.4	The multi-language inscriptions on the catacombs	✖			
4.18.1.5	The setting of the surrounding landscape	✖			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?
The boundaries are **adequate to maintain** the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?
The boundaries **are known by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?
The buffer zones are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?
The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known and recognised** by the management authority **but are not known** by local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property
5.1.2 and 5.1.4: In the previous year, the southern part of the site was declared a national park. The public has not yet gotten used to the announcement and does not regard this area as a national park. The new part of the national park is included in the buffer zone and will be marked with signs.

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

Comment
National Parks, Nature Reserves, National Sites and Memorial Sites Law (1998) The 1988 law is an update for the 1992 law, and it should be replaced as such.

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

1981 / TAMA 8: National plan for national parks, nature reserves and landscape reserves / TAMA 8 / National plan /
https://mavat.iplan.gov.il/SV4/1/99001170/310

2018 / 8114 Bet She'arim / Regulations file according to detailed plan TB/254 / National Park Bet She'arim /
https://www.nevo.co.il/law_word/law06/tak-8114.pdf; https://apps.land.gov.il/TabaSearch/#/Plans/Plan/3006909

2022 / 10379 Bet She'arim (extension) / Regulations file according to detailed plan 254-0442798 / National Park Bet She'arim (extension) /
https://www.nevo.co.il/law_word/law06/tak-10379.pdf; https://apps.land.gov.il/TabaSearch/#/Plans/Plan/2052749

2005 / TAMA 35: The integrated national outline plan for construction, development, and conservation / National outline plan /
https://www.gov.il/he/departments/general/tama_35_docs

1984 / TAMAM 2: Northern District outline plan / Regional outline plan /
https://mavat.iplan.gov.il/SV4/1/99001628/310

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?
The **legal framework** for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?
The **legal framework** in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?
The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for** effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?
There is **acceptable capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice
5.2.6: Enforcement at the site is carried out in accordance with the Israel National Parks Law of 1988. In the event of a violation of the law in the site, the regulations of the National Park require the opening of a case for prosecution in court, thus making it difficult to enforce immediately, but allow for sufficient protection.

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property
Public management system at national level

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.
Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)
Agreed 'Memorandums of Understanding' between different managing institutions, groups or others, including documents agreed with local communities for management
An integrated management plan combining World Heritage and any other designations
A management plan
An annual work plan or business plan
A disaster, climate or conflict risk management plan
A visitor/visitation management plan
An environmental management framework
An assessment of biological and cultural diversity and ecosystem services provided by the property
A joint approach to management of cultural and natural heritage
Other (please specify below)
Israel Antiquities Authority

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property
There is a plan for audience reception, activities and the transfer of the heritage that operates on an ongoing basis. There are annual and multi-year work plans. Also, there is work on a long-term conservation plan, which will make it possible to improve the situation. Work is now being carried out on the arrangement of old buildings, the renovation of the existing infrastructure and the construction of additional buildings to accommodate the public.

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Comment
The management plans are frequently updated and correspond to the nature of the site and the management team.

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?
The 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape is **not relevant** to this property

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?
Some use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:
5.3.7: Currently, a draft document for a protection and reduction of climate change risks to cultural heritage properties, including world heritage sites in Israel, is under preparation

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?
Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done
Reducing risks from disasters is mainly concentrated on earthquakes risks. The strategy is led by an inter-ministerial steering committee. The activities include among other: risks survey for resistance of cultural heritage sites, seismic risk map for archaeological sites and cultural properties, and a treatment, stabilization, and prioritization plan.

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property
There is **coordination** between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, **but it could be improved**

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?
The management system/plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully implemented and monitored**

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan **exists and all of its activities are being implemented and monitored**

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities				✖	
5.3.15.2	Local authorities			✖		
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone	✖				
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	✖				
5.3.15.5	Women	✖				
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	✖				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					✖
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities				✖	
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	✖				
5.3.16.4	Landowners	✖				
5.3.16.5	Women	✖				
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children				✖	
5.3.16.7	Researchers				✖	
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					✖
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists				✖	
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry				✖	
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries	✖				
5.3.16.12	NGOs	✖				
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	✖				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention*

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality					✖
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)					✖
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status					✖
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach					✖

5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood			✕	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property				✕

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

5.3.11: There is communication with the Ministry of Heritage and ongoing work at both the district and national levels - within the Israel Nature and Parks Authority.

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	00 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	95 %	20 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	5 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	60 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	20 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available **budget is acceptable** but **could be further improved** to fully meet the management needs

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are **secure over both the medium- and long-term**

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	85 %	80 %
6.1.6.2	Women	15 %	20 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources **partly meet** the management needs of the World Heritage property

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Poor
Environmental sustainability	Not applicable
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Not applicable
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair

Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Poor
Environmental sustainability	Not applicable
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Poor
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?
Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.
The strategy is integrated in national and regional training courses of sites' managers as part of various aspects of cultural heritage protection.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?
A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred** to those managing the property locally

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training
There is an instructor training program that is coordinated with site volunteers. There are training programs within the Israel Nature and Parks Authority on various topics - enforcement, conservation, maintenance, receiving the public, etc.

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?
Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **adequate**

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?
There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?
Research results **are shared** widely **with active outreach** to local communities and national and international audiences

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects
7.1: The site is accompanied by an archaeologist from Haifa University who is excavating on the spot. When plans are made for the future, they are accompanied by consultation with her and with the professionals at the Israel Nature and Parks Authority. The excavation studies are publicized and made accessible by lectures to the site staff.

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Poor
Local/municipal authorities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Women	Not applicable
Youth/children	Not applicable
Researchers	Fair
Local visitors	Poor
National/international tourists	Poor
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industries	Non-existent
NGOs	Not applicable
Other specific groups	Good
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	Israel Antiquity Authority (IAA)

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth **but it only partly meets the needs**

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Local/municipal authorities
Women
Youth/children
Researchers
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Fair
Site museum	Fair
Information booths	Not needed
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Fair
Transportation facilities	Not provided but needed
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

8.2.4: There are tutorials designed specifically for children and youth, but the accessibility of the site's content for children and youth can be improved.

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

91,667 / 72,771 / 26,334 / 66,768 / 58,715 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Visitor surveys

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?
One to three hours

9.4 - Please provide the source of information
Site visits, tracking and surveys that are part of the Nature and Parks Authority general policy of visitation management.

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

2 / 6 /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information
The site manager and cash register manager. This is only an estimate and there is no exact tracking.

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?
There **is a strategy** to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7
The visit experience is good and satisfactory, but there is still room to improve.

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?
Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value**

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify
The ongoing monitoring of the tourism management is done thorough the Israel Nature and Parks Authority's visitor management national system which is a 'learning system', and through the public and community division.

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?
There **is limited cooperation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry **to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation**

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?
The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property **is acceptable but improvements could be made**

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?
In **many locations** and **easily visible** to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?
Fees are collected, and make **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?
Yes

If 'Yes', please specify
Trainings for the local communities are launched on the site. Also, the site allows for ceremonies of a traditional nature, such as receiving a Torah scroll and bar mitzvahs.

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?
Yes

If 'Yes', please specify
There is a contribution to the community that is mostly informal, so it is difficult to accurately assess its impact. Mainly directing tourists to local businesses. Also, the site workers are from the local community

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?
There is **considerable monitoring** but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving the understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?
Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved**

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				✖
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system			✖	
10.3.3	Character of governance	✖			
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations			✖	
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development			✖	
10.3.6	Capacity development			✖	

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Local communities	Not applicable
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Women	Not applicable
Researchers	Poor
Tourism industry	Not applicable
Local businesses and industry	Not applicable
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Good
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	Israel Antiquities Authority

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is underway

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

This is the first report made to the site since it was declared a World Heritage Site in 2015.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	**Boundaries and Buffer Zones**	
5.1.4	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known and recognized by local communities/landowners**	
5.2	**Protective Measures**	
5.2.6	There is **acceptable capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain	
5.3	**Management System/Management Plan**	
5.3.7	**Some use** has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	✖
5.3.9	**Some use** has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	✖
5.3.11	There is **coordination** between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, **but it could be improved**	
5.3.17	• **In a limited manner**, the management system of the World Heritage property does **contribute to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood**	✖
6.1	**Funding**	
6.1.3	The available **budget is acceptable** but **could be further improved** to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	

6.1.7	Human resources **partly meet** the management needs of the World Heritage property	✕
6.1.10	**Some use** has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	✕
8	**Education, Information and Awareness Building**	
8.2	There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth **but it only partly meets the needs**	✕
9	**Visitor Management**	
9.7	There **is a strategy** to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**	✕
9.11	There **is limited cooperation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry **to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation**	✕
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property **is acceptable but improvements could be made**	✕
10	**Monitoring**	
10.1	There is **considerable monitoring** at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	✕
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage **property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved**	

> **Please select 0 more issues.**

> ⬛ **Please save this question to reflect changes**

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.5	**Biological resource use/modification**					
4.5.10	Forestry/Wood production	Criterion (ii)	Continue monitoring and examining operations such as tree thinning and conservation works	Frequent monitoring is carried out.	Mid-term	Israel Nature and Parks Authority	No comment
4.7	**Local conditions affecting physical fabric**					
4.7.2	Relative humidity	Criterion (ii)	Continue, and improving the existing monitoring of the effects on the site, and carrying out conservation works	The site team is aware of and monitors the impact of the humidity in the caves	Mid-term	Israel Nature and Parks Authority	No comment
4.7.4	Radiation/Light	Criterion (ii)	Continue studying and monitoring the effects on the site, and carrying out conservation works.	The site team is aware of and monitors the impact of the artificial light in the caves	Mid-term	Israel Nature and Parks Authority	No comment
4.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	Criterion (ii)	Monitoring the effects of water on the site and carrying out conservation work if necessary.	Frequent monitoring is carried out	Long-term	Israel Nature and Parks Authority	There has been no water run-off incident for several years.
4.7.8	Micro-organisms	Criterion (ii)	Continuing the preliminary study that was carried out, monitoring the effects on the site, and carrying out conservation works.	The site team is aware of and monitors the impact of mirco-organisms in the caves.	Mid-term	Israel Nature and Parks Authority	No comment
4.11	**Sudden ecological or geological events**					
4.11.2	Earthquake	Criterion (ii)	Monitoring and performing reinforcement works if necessary	Frequent monitoring is carried out	Long-term	Israel Nature and Parks Authority	In one of the caves, a support was built in order to prevent a potential collapse.

| 4.11.6 | Fire (wildfire) | Criterion (iii) | Monitoring, thinning trees and strengthening preparations for fires if necessary | Frequent monitoring is carried out | Long-term | Israel Nature and Parks Authority | No comment |

Summary - Factors affecting the Property **completed**

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.3		Management System/Management Plan				
		Actions	**Timeframe**	**Lead agency (and others involved)**	**More info / comment**
5.3.7	**Some use** has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	Learning the indicated policy and understanding its relevancy for the site focusing on future implementation	In the coming months and coming years	Israel Nature and Parks Authority	This action is part of the wider occupation with the topic withing the Nature and Parks Authority
5.3.9	**Some use** has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	Learning the indicated policy and understanding its relevancy for the site focusing on future implementation	In the coming months and coming years	Israel Nature and Parks Authority	This action is part of the wider occupation with the topic withing the Nature and Parks Authority
5.3.17	• **In a limited manner**, the management system of the World Heritage property does **contribute to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood**	The site maintains good relations with the local community, including holding traditional events on the site. Local professionals are also employed, such as - electricians, plumbing, communications.	In the coming years	Israel Nature and Parks Authority	No comment

6.1		Funding			
6.1.7	Human resources **partly meet** the management needs of the World Heritage property	To search within the framework of the Israel Nature and Parks Authority for additional human resources and external human resources.	In the coming years	Israel Nature and Parks Authority	No comment
6.1.10	**Some use** has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	Learning the indicated strategy and further understanding its relevancy for the site focusing on future implementation	In the coming months and coming years	Israel Nature and Parks Authority	No comment

8		Education, Information and Awareness Building			
8.2	There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth **but it only partly meets the needs**	Improving the education and awareness programme for children and its accessibility.	Mid-term	Israel Nature and Parks Authority	No comment

9		Visitor Management			

9.7	There **is a strategy** to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**	To improve the already existing strategy.	In the coming years	Israel Nature and Parks Authority	No comment
9.11	There **is limited cooperation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry **to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation**	There is an interface of the site team with local tourism that can be strengthened	In the coming years	Israel Nature and Parks Authority	No comment
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property **is acceptable but improvements could be made**	To better integrate the Outstanding Universal Value of the property in the interpretation and explanation of the site.	In the coming years	Israel Nature and Parks Authority	No comment
10	**Monitoring**				
10.1	There is **considerable monitoring** at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	The existing monitoring is oriented towards the values of the site that are mainly identical to the Outstanding Universal Value, though the connection should be strengthened.	In the coming years	Israel Nature and Parks Authority	No comment

Summary - Management Needs completed

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?
The Authenticity of the World Heritage property **has been preserved**

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?
The Integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?
The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?
Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **intact**

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive

Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Recognition	Not applicable
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	No impact
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	Very positive
Advocacy	Not applicable
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Positive
Gender equality	Not applicable
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Not applicable
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Very positive
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

The site management team monitors and performs conservation work frequently. The World Heritage site is developed for the benefit of the public and works to accommodate audience and makes the site accessible for the benefit of the general public. Regular open and free tutorials are held on the site every day. The management of the site allows the holding of events with a traditional connection - bar mitzvah, weddings and community events.

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Sustainable Development
Synergies
State of Conservation
Management
Capacity Building

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Not needed

Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Fair

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage
Update of management plans
Awareness raising
Advocacy
The collected data is to be used first and foremost for raising awareness of ALL stakeholders that are involved in the management of the property at all levels whether direct or indirect. The website team feels that the importance of the site in the development of the Jewish people should be better known and receive greater exposure

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff
UNESCO National Commission
ICOMOS national/regional

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?
Gender balance has **not been explicitly** considered or implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?
Yes

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

50 / 30 / 90 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		✖
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training		✖

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?
All required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			✖	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			✖	

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Good
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Fair
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	Good
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Fair
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?
Yes

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
The periodic report is important because it causes examination and review of all aspects/fields related to the World Heritage Site and establishes the connection to UNESCO.

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.