

# Speicherstadt and Kontorhaus District with Chilehaus

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Speicherstadt and Kontorhaus District with Chilehaus

### 1.2 - World Heritage property details


### 1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Speicherstadt and Kontorhaus District with Chilehaus	53.546 / 9.999	26.08	56.17	82.25	2015
Total (ha)		26.08	56.17	82.25	

### Comment

The area of the property has 26.80ha due to the additions made by the World Heritage decision for inscription 2015 (39COM 8B.25 and Advisory Body Evaluation <https://whc.unesco.org/document/152744>). The buffer zone 57.0796ha. These measurements correspond to the official map from 2015.

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Speicherstadt and Kontorhaus District with Chilehaus - map of inscribed property	2015	

### 1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

### Comment

<https://worldheritage.hamburg/> (multilingual) <https://www.hamburg.de/welterbe> (German) <https://www.instagram.com/worldheritagehamburg/>

## 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

**2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.**

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	<b>International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection</b> (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		✕
2.1.2	<b>List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection</b> (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		✕
2.1.3	<b>The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List)</b> (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		✕
2.1.4	<b>World Network of Biosphere Reserves</b> Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		✕
2.1.5	<b>Global Geoparks Network</b> UNESCO Global Geoparks		✕

### 2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

**2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?**

No

**2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?**

No

**2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if**

relevant) in the next three years?

No

**2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?**

No

**2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes**

2.7.1	<b>1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✗
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	<b>Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✗
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	<b>Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✗
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	<b>Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✗
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	<b>UNESCO Global Geoparks</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✗
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	

**2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)**

**2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?**

No

**2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware**

**2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?**

No

**2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you are aware.**

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

**3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee**

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief synthesis

Speicherstadt and the adjacent Kontorhaus district are two densely built central urban areas in the German port city of Hamburg. Speicherstadt, originally developed on a 1.1-km-long group of narrow islands in the Elbe River between 1885 and 1927 (and partly rebuilt from 1949 to 1967), is one of the largest unified historic port warehouse complexes in the world. The adjacent Kontorhaus district is a cohesive, densely built area featuring eight mainly very large office complexes that were built from the 1920s to the 1950s to house businesses engaged in port-related activities. Together, these neighbouring districts represent an outstanding example of a combined warehouse-office district associated with a port city. Speicherstadt, the “city of warehouses,” includes 15 very large warehouse blocks that are inventively historicist in appearance but advanced in their technical installations and equipment, as well as six ancillary buildings and a connecting network of streets, canals and bridges. Anchored by the iconic Chilehaus, the Kontorhaus district’s massive office buildings stand out for their early Modernist brick-clad architecture and their unity of function. The Chilehaus, Messberghof, Sprinkenhof, Molenhof, Montanhof, former Post Office Building at Niedernstrasse 10, Kontorhaus Burchardstrasse 19-21 and Miramar-Haus attest to architectural and city-planning concepts that were emerging in the early 20th century. The effects engendered by the rapid growth of international trade at the end of the 19th century and the first decades of the 20th century are illustrated by the outstanding examples of buildings and ensembles that are found in these two functionally complementary districts.

**Criterion (iv):** Speicherstadt and Kontorhaus District with Chilehaus contains outstanding examples of the types of buildings and ensembles that epitomize the consequences of the rapid growth in international trade in the late 19th and early 20th centuries. Their high-quality designs and functional construction, in the guise of historicism and Modernism, respectively, make this an exceptional ensemble of maritime warehouses and Modernist office buildings.

Integrity

Speicherstadt and the Kontorhaus district contain all the elements necessary to express the Outstanding Universal Value of the property, including the buildings, spaces, structures, and waterways that epitomize the consequences of the rapid growth in international trade in the late 19th and early 20th centuries and that illustrate the property’s high-quality designs and functional construction. The 26.08-ha property is of adequate size to ensure the complete representation of the features and processes that convey the property’s significance, and it does not suffer from adverse effects of development or neglect.

Authenticity

Speicherstadt and Kontorhaus district is substantially authentic in its location and setting, its forms and designs, and its materials and substances. The maritime location is unchanged, though considerable changes have been made to the adjacent urban setting. Speicherstadt was significantly damaged during the Second World War, but this has not reduced the ability to understand the value of the property. The forms and designs of the property as a whole, as well as its materials and substances, have largely been maintained. The function of the Kontorhaus district has also been maintained. The links between the Outstanding Universal Value of the property and its attributes are therefore truthfully expressed, and the attributes fully convey the value of the property.

Protection and management requirements

The property, which is owned by a combination of public and private interests, is within an area listed in the Hamburg Conservation Registry. Speicherstadt was listed under the Hamburg Heritage Protection Act in 1991 and the Kontorhaus district was listed under the Act in 1983 and 2003. The Act, by means of a 2012 amendment, includes a duty to comply with the *World Heritage Convention*. The competent authority for compliance with the Act is the Department for Heritage Preservation at the Regional Ministry of Culture in Hamburg, which is advised by a Heritage Council of experts, citizens, and institutions. A Management Plan aimed at safeguarding the Outstanding Universal Value, authenticity, and integrity of the property, and protecting its buffer zone, entered into force in 2013.

The long-term and sustainable safeguarding of Speicherstadt and the Kontorhaus district will require preserving the historic buildings, the characteristic overall impact of the Speicherstadt and Kontorhaus ensembles, and their typical appearance within the townscape; maintaining or improving the quality of life of the residents of Hamburg by safeguarding a unique testimony to Hamburg’s cultural and historical development, which played a key role in establishing its identity; and raising awareness and disseminating information.

Comment

The 26.80-ha property is of adequate size to ensure the complete representation of the features and processes that convey the property’s significance, and it does not suffer from adverse effects of development or neglect. see above question 1.3, there is a change in the area due to the World Heritage Committee inscription decision 2015.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Authentically used office ensemble in the style of early modernism with generous open spaces and streets	✗			
3.2.2	Maritime, largely preserved, elaborate late historicist style world's largest warehouse ensemble	✗			
3.2.3	Homogeneously planned urban spaces, iconic brick architecture of high conceptual and design quality	✗			
3.2.4	Contemporary state-of-the-art infrastructure, construction, engineering and facilities that emphasize the functionality of the architecture	✗			
3.2.5	Two centrally located, functionally complementary, cityscape-defining districts	✗			
3.2.6	Chilehaus has a symbolic character and supra-regional recognition, characterized by artistic building decoration	✗			
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					

3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

### 3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

An attribute definition was carried out prior to the Periodic Reporting exercise. A concise, in depth attribute mapping is foreseen with the updating of the management plan due 2024/2025

## 4. Factors Affecting the Property

### 4.1. Buildings and Development

#### 4.1.1 - Housing

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕			✕			
 Negative							

#### 4.1.2 - Commercial development

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕		✕				
 Negative							

#### 4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

A new World Heritage visitor centre is planned in the old boiler house in the Warehouse district for 2024.

### 4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

#### 4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕		✕				
 Negative							

#### 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

A gas station obstructing one of the view-axes (see map number 12) will be dismantled.

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure










Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

✕ Relevant

Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative ✕		✕	✕	✕		→	

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities










Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

✕ Relevant












Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕		✕			→	
 Negative							

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

✕ Relevant

Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕		✕				
 Negative ✕		✕					

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

1: Renewable Energies: There is currently a research project within the World Heritage sites that tests heritage adaptive methods to generate CO2-carbon neutral solutions. Together with the universities of Stuttgart, Aachen and Hamburg, HHLA Immobilien is researching how Hamburg's Speicherstadt can be operated in a CO2-neutral manner under the special requirements of a UNESCO World Heritage Site. 2: The quay walls in the WH property are currently renovated and reinforced (4.3.5)

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.4.5 - Solid waste

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.5. Biological resource use/modification

##### 4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.5.3 - Land conversion

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.5.5 - Crop production

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.6. Physical resource extraction

##### 4.6.1 - Mining

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.6.2 - Quarrying

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.7.3 - Temperature

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.7.5 - Dust

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.7.7 - Pests

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively











4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
--	---------------------------------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
 Negative							

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system




Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--


4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
--	---------------------------------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				

 Negative							
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

**4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively**

Relating to 4.8.6: High level of visitation lead in Hamburg to a strengthening of the heritage awareness and a new visitor centre is planned.

**4.9. Other human activities**

**4.9.1 - Illegal activities**

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

**4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage**

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

**4.9.3 - Military training**

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

**4.9.4 - War**

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

**4.9.5 - Terrorism**

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

**4.9.6 - Civil unrest**














Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

**4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively**

**4.10. Climate change and severe weather events**














**4.10.1 - Storms**

 Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

**4.10.2 - Flooding**

 Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

**4.10.3 - Drought**














Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

**4.10.4 - Desertification**

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

**4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters**

 Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							



#### 4.10.6 - Temperature change

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Overall climate change (drought, heat waves) has currently no direct influence heritage, but of course on the use and human activity in the site. Increase in flooding, high tides, heavy rainfall events and changes in the sea-water level may effect the quay walls. Measures are currently taken to increase their stability.

#### 4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

##### 4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.11.2 - Earthquake

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

##### 4.12.1 - Translocated species

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.13. Management and institutional factors

##### 4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.13.2 - Legal framework

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.13.3 - Governance

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.13.4 - Management activities

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.13.5 - Financial resources










Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.13.6 - Human resources

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
--	---------------------------------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
 Negative							

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

















4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

































4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table





Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.1 Housing						
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities						
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities						
4.3.4 Localised utilities						
4.3.5 Major linear utilities						
						
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						

4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage						
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation						
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.1 Storms						
						
4.10.2 Flooding						
						
4.10.5 Changes to oceanic waters						
						
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities						
<b>Legend</b>  Current  Potential  Negative  Positive  Inside  Outside						

## 4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors




### 4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

#### 4.1 Buildings and Development

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend
4.1.1 Housing					

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity

	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	 		

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread





<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going

<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing




## 4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	 		

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive



	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

### 4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities						
						

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity

✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.3.4 Localised utilities	 		






<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.3.5 Major linear utilities	 		
	 		

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised





	Extensive
✕	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

## 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	+	!		+	+	→



<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	

	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✕	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	 		





<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

## 4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.10.1 Storms			
	 		
<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>			





	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✕	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.10.2 Flooding						
						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✕	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.10.5 Changes to oceanic waters						
						






Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
✕	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

#### 4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities						

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>											
	Restricted										
	Localised										
	Extensive										
X	Widespread										
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>											
	One off or rare										
	Intermittent or sporadic										
X	Frequent										
	On-going										
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>											
X	Insignificant										
	Minor										
	Significant										
	Major										
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>											
	High capacity										
	Medium capacity										
X	Low capacity										
	No capacity and / or resources										
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>											
	Decreasing										
	Static										
X	Increasing										

#### 4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

**4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor**

#### 4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

**4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)**

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	authentically used office ensemble in the style of early modernism with generous open spaces and streets	X			
4.18.1.2	Maritime, largely preserved, elaborate late historicist style world's largest warehouse ensemble	X			
4.18.1.3	Homogeneously planned urban spaces, iconic brick architecture of high conceptual and design quality	X			
4.18.1.4	Contemporary state-of-the-art infrastructure, construction, engineering and facilities that emphasize the functionality of the architecture	X			
4.18.1.5	Two centrally located, functionally complementary, cityscape-defining districts	X			

### 5. Protection and Management of the Property

#### 5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

**5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?**

The boundaries are **adequate to maintain** the property's Outstanding Universal Value

### 5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries **are known by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

### 5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

### 5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known and recognised by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

### 5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

The Committee recommended in the inscription decision 2015 the extension of the buffer zone. The Free and Hanseatic City of Hamburg has considered and examined this question. The attribute definition and the geographic scope have shown, that the possible extension of the buffer zone on the Cremon Island has no impact on the OUV of the property and is therefore not necessary.

## 5.2. Protective Measures

### 5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

#### Comment

### 5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

2013 / Managementplan The Speicherstadt and Kontorhausdistrict with Chilehaus / Yes / Yes / n.a. / Yes / n.a. /  
<https://hamburg.de/welterbe>

2005 / Hamburg Construction Code / Yes / Yes / n.a. / Yes / n.a. /  
<https://www.landesrecht-hamburg.de/bsha/document/jlr-BauOHA2005rahmen>

2013 / Hamburg Heritage Protection Act / Yes / n.a. / n.a. / Yes / n.a. /  
<https://www.hamburg.de/bkm/service/downloads/644142/gesetzestexte-start/>

2020 / Hamburg City Centre Concept / No / No / No / Yes / n.a. /  
<https://www.hamburg.de/innenstadt/3137300/innenstadtkonzept-start/>

2012 / Speicherstadt Development Concept / No / Yes / n.a. / Yes / n.a. /

2008 / Ordinance on the Design of the Speicherstadt / Yes / Yes / n.a. / Yes / n.a. /

### 5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

### 5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

### 5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection** of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

### 5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is **adequate capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

### 5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

Three key pieces of legislation: The Federal Construction Code (Baugesetzbuch), the Hamburg Building Code and the Heritage Protection Act of the FHH. Supplemented by the Ordinance for the Design of the Speicherstadt. Further planning guidelines for the future World Heritage area are also available in the form of the MP, the Hamburg City Centre Concept (Innenstadtkonzept), the Development Concept for the Speicherstadt - for description on individual provisions see MP.

### 5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

The Hamburg City Centre Concept is continuously updated to sustainably safeguard the cultural heritage while meeting the challenges of city development.

## 5.3. Management System/Management Plan

### 5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property

Public management system joint regional/local

If 'Other', please specify

### 5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.


Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)

Agreed 'Memorandums of Understanding' between different managing institutions, groups or others, including documents agreed with local communities for management
A code of practice developed by local communities or other groups
An integrated management plan combining World Heritage and any other designations
A management plan
A disaster, climate or conflict risk management plan
A joint approach to management of cultural and natural heritage

### 5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

The Heritage Protection Agency is responsible for coordinating the management- The Ministry of Culture has appointed a World Heritage Coordinator responsible for managing the site. The legal provisions (see above) give adequate authority to sustainably manage the site.

### 5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Nomination for the UNESCO World Heritage List Mangement Plan The Speicherstadt and Kontorhaus District with Chilehaus	N/A	Available	2015	

### 5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

**Some use** has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

### 5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

The HUL is used as bases for discussions in the development of the Kontorhaus District.

### 5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

**Some use** has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

### 5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

The issue of climate change is central in current discussions, policies are used in the relevant programmes and projects. Two stand out: ARCH (2019-2022) - a European-funded research project that aims to better preserve areas of cultural heritage from hazards and risks and the -CO2-WSHH - CO2-neutral WH property Phase I (0-CO2-WSHH 2021-2025 - nationally funded);

### 5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?

**Some use** has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

### 5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

The issue of Reducing Risks from Disaster is central in current discussions, policies are used in the ARCH (2019-2022) project - a European-funded research project that aims to better preserve areas of cultural heritage from hazards and risks.

### 5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is **adequate coordination** between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

### 5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

### 5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully implemented and monitored**

### 5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

**No** annual work/action plan exists and it is **not needed**

### 5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities				✗	
5.3.15.2	Local authorities					✗
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone				✗	
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	✗				
5.3.15.5	Women					✗

5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

**5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups**

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					×
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners					×
5.3.16.5	Women	×				
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					×
5.3.16.7	Researchers				×	
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists				×	
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries					×
5.3.16.12	NGOs					×
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

**5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention***

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality					×
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	×				
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status	×				
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach	×				
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood					×
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property					×

**5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above**

The World Heritage property covers 26.8ha of the overall 75509ha of the Free and Hanseatic City of Hamburg. Programms on gender equatlity, social inclusion ... (5.3.17.1, 5.3.17.2, 5.3.17.3, 5.3.17.4) are activites that are carried out on a city wide level in order to achieve best results. The World Heritage property if fully integrated in the policy and activities.

**5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan**

The management plan will be updated in 2024/2025.

**6. Financial and Human Resources**

**6.1. Funding**

**6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.**

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	%	%

6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	%	%
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	%	%
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	%	%
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	%	%
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	100 %	100 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	%	%
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.12	Other	%	%
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

#### 6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

The above table is not applicable to the World Heritage property and its financing, European projects can not be represented.

#### 6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available **budget is acceptable** but **could be further improved** to fully meet the management needs

#### 6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are **secure over the medium-term** and **planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term**

#### 6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

A new budget and financing plan will be voted in July 2023 to secure mid- and long term management and projects.

#### 6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	50 %	50 %
6.1.6.2	Women	50 %	50 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

#### 6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources **partly meet** the management needs of the World Heritage property

#### 6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

#### 6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Not applicable

Community participation and inclusion	Not applicable
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

#### 6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

**No use** has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

#### 6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

#### 6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

**A site-based** capacity building plan or programme is in place and fully implemented; **all technical skills are being transferred** to those managing the property locally

#### 6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

The Heritage Preservation Department as well as the different other services of the town and the owners provide full management capacities and training opportunities for the staff involved. Training and Formation is available to all staff of the Free- and Hanseatic City of Hamburg.

### 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

#### 7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **adequate**

#### 7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

#### 7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results **are shared** widely **with active outreach** to local communities and national and international audiences

#### 7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

Examples: ARCH is a European-funded research project that aims to better preserve areas of cultural heritage from hazards and risks.  
<https://savingculturalheritage.eu/0-CO2-WSHH-Climate-Neutral-Speicherstadt>

### 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

#### 8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Women	Not applicable
Youth/children	Fair
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industries	Fair



NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

## 8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a **limited and ad hoc** education and awareness programme for children and/or youth

## 8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Local/municipal authorities
Landowners
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry
Local businesses and industries

## 8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Fair
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Not needed
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Good
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

## 8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

## 9. Visitor Management

### 9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

1.625.822 / 858.437 / 821.416 / 1.248.000 / 1.867.070 /

### 9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Accommodation establishments
Transportation services
Tourism industry
Visitor surveys
<a href="https://www.hamburg-tourism.de/business-medien/marktforschung/tourismusstatistiken/">https://www.hamburg-tourism.de/business-medien/marktforschung/tourismusstatistiken/</a> <a href="https://www.hamburg-tourism.de/business-medien/marktforschung/tourismusstatistiken/beherbergungen/">https://www.hamburg-tourism.de/business-medien/marktforschung/tourismusstatistiken/beherbergungen/</a>

### 9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

Two overnight stays

### 9.4 - Please provide the source of information

[https://www.hamburg-tourism.de/fileadmin/redaktion/5\\_Footer/2\\_Business\\_Medien/KTS/HAM200103-P-Strategiepapier-Kulturtourismus-A4-Druck\\_310322.pdf](https://www.hamburg-tourism.de/fileadmin/redaktion/5_Footer/2_Business_Medien/KTS/HAM200103-P-Strategiepapier-Kulturtourismus-A4-Druck_310322.pdf)  
Calculation is based on overnight stays and the fact that 26% of total visitors come with a specific World Heritage focus according to the "Strategiepapier Kulturtourismus 2022". Of course, many more come through the World Heritage site, either due to accommodation in the area or attractions like the Miniatur Wunderland that attracts over 2 mio v/y.

### 9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

94 / 30 / 25 / 34 / 15 / 0 /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

[https://www.nordakademie-stiftung.org/sites/default/files/user-%5Buser-id%5D/KPB\\_Nordakademie-Projekt-16-6.pdf](https://www.nordakademie-stiftung.org/sites/default/files/user-%5Buser-id%5D/KPB_Nordakademie-Projekt-16-6.pdf)  
<https://www.budgetyourtrip.com/germany/hamburg>

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a planned and **effective strategy** to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

The World Heritage area is part of the city - anyone can visit it at any time. The visitor management is done by Hamburg Tourism. As for the time being, no negative impact is noted, there is no need for an impact strategy. The goal is to attract more tourists in particular to the Speicherstadt area, where there are many museums, attractions and other tourism facilities. The Chilehaus staircases can only be visited during working hours as it is still being used as an office building.

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value**

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited cooperation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry **to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation**

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is **acceptable but improvements could be made**

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations**, but **not easily visible** to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

**Fees are collected**, but make **no contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

[https://www.hamburg-tourism.de/fileadmin/redaktion/5\\_Footer/2\\_Business\\_Medien/KTS/HAM200103-P-Strategiepapier-Kulturtourismus-A4-Druck\\_310322.pdf](https://www.hamburg-tourism.de/fileadmin/redaktion/5_Footer/2_Business_Medien/KTS/HAM200103-P-Strategiepapier-Kulturtourismus-A4-Druck_310322.pdf) as stated, the Tourism Management ist done on a city level as the World Heritage property is an integrated part of the city.

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

Free guided walking tours on World Heritage Day and Day of the Open Monument, hotels, transportation, gastronomy ...

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

[https://www.hamburg-tourism.de/fileadmin/redaktion/5\\_Footer/2\\_Business\\_Medien/KTS/HAM200103-P-Strategiepapier-Kulturtourismus-A4-Druck\\_310322.pdf](https://www.hamburg-tourism.de/fileadmin/redaktion/5_Footer/2_Business_Medien/KTS/HAM200103-P-Strategiepapier-Kulturtourismus-A4-Druck_310322.pdf) as stated, the TM is done on a city wide level as the WH property is an integrated part of the city. A new visitor center will open in the old boiler house (Speicherstadt) in 2024 to better represent the heritage and serve local and tourist interests. The World Heritage emblem will be laid out in the ground when street-lay-out is done in 2025.

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of monitoring**, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **adequate and key indicators have been defined** for measuring the state of conservation and **are being used in monitoring** of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation			✗	
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system		✗		

10.3.3	Character of governance		×		
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations		×		
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development		×		
10.3.6	Capacity development		×		

#### 10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

Key indicators are defined in the management plan. There is a continuous preventive monitoring in cooperation with ICOMOS Germany. 10.3 does not offer the opportunities to answer the question positively for a property that has not underwent a Periodic Reporting cycle (Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle). Therefore 10.3 can not be answered.

#### 10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Local communities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Women	Not applicable
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industry	Fair
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

#### 10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is underway

#### 10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

Recommendations 2015 have been examined, are implemented and are guidance for further activities (see HIAs carried out). Tourism and risk preparedness et. al. have been included with projects (see above ARCH). Key indicators have been defined in the attribute mapping and shown that an extension of the buffer zone has no additional value in the sustainably protection of the property. The revised MP in 2025 will take up these individual recommendations and projects and integrate them.

#### 10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

The management of the World Heritage property covering 26.8 ha of a large urban area is aimed at and part of a common integrated management of the inner city. The single most important integrative document is therefore not the WH MP as such, but the Inner City development plan, which was updated as recently as 2020.

### 11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

#### 11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	Some use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	
5.3.7	Some use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	×
6.1.10	No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	×
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
8.2	There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth	×
9	Visitor Management	
9.11	There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation	×

9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property <b>is acceptable but improvements could be made</b>	X
------	--	---

Please select 2 more issues.

☒ Please save this question to reflect changes

## 12. Summary and Conclusions

### 12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

#### 12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.3 Services Infrastructures							
4.3.2	Renewable energy facilities	C (iv) - A: Homogeneously planned urban spaces, iconic brick architecture in high conceptual A: design quality and two centrally located, functionally complementary quarters that characterise the cityscape	new guidelines by the heritage preservation department -published 22.03.2023. Research projects 0-CO2-WSHH.	Heritage Preservation Departement, Preventive Monitoring ICOMOS Germany	Continuously / ongoing	Heritage Preservation Department	n.a.
4.3.5	Major linear utilities	C (iv) A: Maritime, largely preserved, contemporary world's largest elaborate warehouse ensemble in late historicism style A: Contemporary state-of-the-art infrastructure, construction, technology and facilities that emphasise the functionality....	Renovation of the quay-walls	Continuously monitored by the lead agency, the Heritage Preservation Department and preventive monitoring ICOMOS Germany	2014-2035	Free and Hanseatic City of Hamburg State Agency for Immovable Property and Real Estate Management	n.a.
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events							
4.10.1	Storms	C (iv) A: Maritime, largely preserved, contemporary world's largest elaborate warehouse ensemble in late historicism style A: Contemporary state-of-the-art infrastructure, construction, technology and facilities that emphasise the functionality....	Renovation of the quay-walls	Continuously monitored by the lead agency, the Heritage Preservation Department and preventive monitoring ICOMOS Germany	2014-2035	Free and Hanseatic City of Hamburg State Agency for Immovable Property and Real Estate Management	n.a.
4.10.2	Flooding	C (iv) A: Maritime, largely preserved, contemporary world's largest elaborate warehouse ensemble in late historicism style A: Contemporary state-of-the-art infrastructure, construction, technology and facilities that emphasise the functionality....	Renovation of the quay-walls	Continuously monitored by the lead agency, the Heritage Preservation Department and preventive monitoring ICOMOS Germany	2014-2035	Free and Hanseatic City of Hamburg State Agency for Immovable Property and Real Estate Management	n.a.
4.10.5	Changes to oceanic waters	C (iv) A: Maritime, largely preserved, contemporary world's largest elaborate warehouse ensemble in late historicism style A: Contemporary state-of-the-art infrastructure, construction, technology and facilities that emphasise the functionality....	Renovation quay walls	Continuously monitored by the lead agency, the Heritage Preservation Department and preventive monitoring ICOMOS Germany	2014-2035	Free and Hanseatic City of Hamburg State Agency for Immovable Property and Real Estate Management	n.a.

## 12.2. Summary - Management Needs

## 12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan				
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
5.3.7	Some use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	No action needed	No action needed	Heritage Preservation Department	n.a.
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	No action needed	No action needed	Heritage Preservation Department	n.a.
6.1	Funding				
6.1.7	Human resources <b>partly meet</b> the management needs of the World Heritage property	New staff will be recruited for the World Heritage Centre	2023-2025	Ministry of Culture and Media, SHMH (Historic Museums Hamburg Foundation)	n.a.
6.1.10	<b>No use</b> has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	no action needed	no action needed	Ministry of Culture and Media	n.a.
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building				
8.2	There is a <b>limited and ad hoc education</b> and awareness programme for children and/or youth	New World Heritage Information Centre and dedicated staffing	2024	Ministry of Culture and Media, SHMH (Historic Museums Hamburg Foundation)	n.a.
9	Visitor Management				
9.11	There <b>is limited cooperation</b> between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry <b>to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation</b>	New World Heritage Information Centre and dedicated staffing	2024	Ministry of Culture and Media, SHMH (Historic Museums Hamburg Foundation)	n.a.

9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property <b>is acceptable but improvements could be made</b>	New World Heritage Information Centre and dedicated staffing	2024	Ministry of Culture and Media, SHMH (Historic Museums Hamburg Foundation)	n.a.
------	--	--	------	---	------

Summary - Management Needs completed

### 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

#### 12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

The Authenticity of the World Heritage property **has been preserved**

#### 12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

The Integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

#### 12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

#### 12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **intact**

#### 12.3.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

### 13. Impact of World Heritage Status

#### 13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Very positive
Funding for the property	Not applicable
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal/Policy framework	Very positive
Advocacy	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Not applicable
Gender equality	Not applicable
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Not applicable
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Not applicable
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Not applicable
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Not applicable
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

## 13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

### 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

#### 14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

ARCH (2019-2022) - a European-funded research project that aims to better preserve areas of cultural heritage from hazards and risks and the 0-CO2-WSHH - CO2-neutral WH property - Phase I (0-CO2-WSHH 2021-2025 - nationally funded); Future of Cultural Heritage and Climate Change (<https://kulturerbe-klimazukunft.info/>) World Heritage Day (annually 700+ visitors and guided tours at festival); World Heritage Webseite in 4 languages : <http://worldheritage.hamburg/> Positive guidance and implementation of public space renovation according to historic layout (Kontorhaus district) and guidance on new development (Maschinenzentralstation and Johann Kontor) - several HIAs on individual projects Monitoring guidelines based on attribute mapping

#### 14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Sustainable Development

Synergies

State of Conservation

Management

Governance

Capacity Building

### 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

#### 15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

##### 15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

Monitoring and reporting

##### 15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Not needed
Site Managers	Not needed
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Not needed
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Not needed

#### 15.2. Use of Data

##### 15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans

Advocacy

##### 15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

#### 15.3. Timing and resources

##### 15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

Focal points of other international conventions/programmes

Responsible persons for local designated sites under other international conventions/ programmes

Staff from other World Heritage properties

UNESCO National Commission

Non-Governmental Organizations

ICOMOS International

ICOMOS national/regional

External experts

**15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?**

Gender balance has **not been explicitly** considered or implemented in the process.

**15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?**

No

**15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire**

80 / 40 / 80 /

**15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?**

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training		×

**15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report****15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?**

All required information was accessible.

**15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?**

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire				×
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions		×		

**15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire****15.5. Training and Guidance****15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire**

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Good
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Good
ICOMOS national/regional	Good
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

**15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities**

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Not applicable
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

**15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?**

Yes

**15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?****15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**



#### 15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

- **Geographic information table**

Reason for update: The area of the property has 26.80ha due to the additions made by the World Heritage decision for inscription 2015 (39COM 8B.25 and Advisory Body Evaluation [../..//document/152744](#)). The buffer zone 57.0796ha. These measurements correspond to the official map from 2015.

- **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee**

Reason for update: The 26.80-ha property is of adequate size to ensure the complete representation of the features and processes that convey the property's significance, and it does not suffer from adverse effects of development or neglect. see above question 1.3, there is a change in the area due to the World Heritage Committee inscription decision 2015.

Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

#### 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

##### 15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

##### 15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.