Caves of Maresha and Bet-Guvrin in the Judean Lowlands as a Microcosm of the Land of the

Caves

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Caves of Maresha and Bet-Guvrin in the Judean Lowlands as a Microcosm of the Land of the Caves

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Caves of Maresha and Bet-Guvrin in the Judean Lowlands as a Microcosm of the Land of the Caves - map of inscribed property	2014	

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

Comment

https://en.parks.org.il/ https://en.parks.org.il/reserve-park/bet-guvrin-national-park/ https://www.facebook.com/IsraelNaturParks https://www.instagram.com/parks_il/ https://www.tiktok.com/@parks_il?lang=he-IL

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

2.7 - Pie	ase indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes	
2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

No

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

No

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value Brief synthesis

The presence in the Judean Lowlands of thick and homogeneous chalk sub-strata enabled numerous caves to be excavated and managed by Man. The property includes a very complete selection of chambers and man-made subterranean networks, of different forms and for different activities. They are situated underneath the ancient twin cities of Maresha and Bet Guvrin, and in the surrounding areas, constituting a "city under a city". They bear witness to a succession of historic

periods of excavation and use, over a period of 2,000 years. Initially the excavations were quarries, but they were later converted for various agricultural and local craft industry purposes, including oil presses, columbaria, stables, underground cisterns and channels, baths, tombs and places of worship, and hiding places during troubled times, etc. With their density, diversified activities, use over two millennia and the quality of their state of preservation, the complexes attain an Outstanding Universal Value.

Criterion (v): The underground archaeological site of Maresha–Bet Guvrin is an eminent example of traditional use of chalk subsurface strata, with the development of man-made caves and networks conducive to multiple economic, social and symbolic purposes, from the Iron Age to the Crusades.

Integrity

The integrity of the property is expressed in the first place by the diversity of the excavations and their arrangements, intended for a variety of economic, social, funerary and symbolic purposes. It is also expressed by the exceptional density of the subterranean structures which are found beneath the ancient twin cities of Maresha and Bet Guvrin. The integrity of the property also concerns its relations with the outside and the preservation of a landscape of ancient ruins in a well-preserved environment of Mediterranean vegetation.

Authenticity

The underground structures of Maresha–Bet Guvrin are authentic. They have been well-preserved, firstly because of the quality of their architectural design at the time of their excavation, then by their maintenance over a long period of use, and finally by a prolonged period of abandonment, filling up naturally over time, which has contributed to their preservation. This authenticity is however relatively fragile, with the risk of infiltrations of water leading to possible collapse of the vaults. It will furthermore be necessary to pursue a policy of low-key restoration, avoiding possible over-interpretation with reconstruction, and ensuring that the necessary technical consolidations are carried out in a way which respects the authenticity perceived by the visitor.

Protection and management requirements

The management system of the Maresha-Bet Guvrin National Archaeological Park has been in place now for many years and functions efficiently. It is supervised by the Israel Nature and Parks Authority (INPA) and benefits from the Authority's system of protection, which also covers most of the buffer zone. The regulations concerning this zone are completed by a National Forestry Plan and directives on the limitation of size and height of possible surrounding constructions. The conservation of cultural elements is guaranteed by the Israel Antiquities Authority (IAA), and benefits from specialist assistance for highly technical issues such as the monitoring of the rocks forming the walls and vaults of the threatened caves. The tourism development project is based on a long-standing tradition and is well managed.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Quarries from mainly two historical types: horizontal excavation and vertically hewn chambers in bell-shape form in the chalk subsurface strata	×			
3.2.2	The underground archaeological site of man-made chambers, caves and dense subterranean networks	×			
3.2.3	The oil presses, columbaria, stables, underground cisterns and channels, baths, tombs, and places of worship, and hiding places during troubled times, etc.	×			
3.2.4	The entrances and stairways that connect the complexes and urban structures of the "city under a city"	×			
3.2.5	The surrounding well-preserved environment of Mediterranean vegetation	×			
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

The complex of caves has received international recognition because they are an outstanding example of a unique continuous land use by the ancient inhabitants, a use that represents human interaction with the environment.

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.1.2 - Commercial development

 Relevant
 X Not relevant

 Relevant
 X Not relevant

 Al.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

 Al.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

 Relevant

 Relevant

 Al.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

 Al.4 - Major visitor accommodation facilities

 Al.4 - Major visitor accommodation facilities

 Allevant

 Not relevant

 Not relevant

🦉 Outside

×

> Decreasing

→ Stable

Increasing

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Inside

×

4.1.5: The tourism development project is based on a long-standing tradition. All the facilities are for the visiting public and are intended to serve them and meet their convenience. They include - access roads, sign-posting, lighting and facilities for the tourists (reception building, toilets, parking areas, benches, etc.).

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

Impact

O Positive X

Negative

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Current

×

Potential

Relevant	X Not relevant					
4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure						
Relevant	X Not relevant					
4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure						
Relevant	X Not relevant					
4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure						
Relevant	X Not relevant					
4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
Relevant	X Not relevant					

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Relevant				nt			
4.3.2 - Renewable energy fa	acilities						
Relevant			× Not releva	nt			
4.3.3 - Non-renewable ener							
Relevant			× Not releva	nt			
4.3.4 - Localised utilities							
Relevant			X Not relevant				
4.3.5 - Major linear utilities							
X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							

Negative X	×		×			\rightarrow				
4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively										
4.3.5: An antenna standing in the	e buffer zone does	not affect the site s	significantly.							
4.4. Pollution										
4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters										
Relevant			× Not releva	ant						
4.4.2 - Ground water pollution										
Relevant			× Not releva	ant						
4.4.3 - Surface water pollution										
Relevant			X Not relevant							
4.4.4 - Air pollution										
Relevant			X Not relevant							
4.4.5 - Solid waste										
X Relevant				Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact					
Impact Current Potential			 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
OPositive										
Negative X	×			×		\rightarrow				
4.4.6 - Input of excess ener	.ду									
X Relevant				Not relevant						

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×			→	
Negative							

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.4.5: Because of the proximity to an active military base, dumping of soldiers' waste, parking in unauthorized places, noise, light pollution at night - give tourists an unpleasant feeling. There is ongoing dialogue with the army to reduce the nuisances. 4.4.6: The Maresha area is dark and has no artificial lighting at all. In the 'Bell Caves' there is night lighting that is activated for only a few months a year and is designed to adapt to the ecosystem.

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Relevant X			X Not relevant					
4.5.2 - Aquaculture								
Relevant X			× Not relevant	X Not relevant				
4.5.3 - Land conversion								
Relevant	× Not relevant	X Not relevant						
4.5.4 - Livestock farming/G	razing of dome	esticated animal	s					
× Relevant	Not relevant							
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×			→		
Negative								

4.5.5 - Crop production

e.eppresses							
X Relevant			Ν	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×			\rightarrow	
Negative							
4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection							
Relevant			X Not relevant				
4.5.7 - Subsistence wild pl	ant collection						
Relevant			X Not relevant				
4.5.8 - Commercial hunting	g						
Relevant			X Not relevant				
4.5.9 - Subsistence huntin	g						
Relevant			X Not relevant				
4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood pro	oduction						
Relevant			X Not relevant				

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.5.4: Controlled and managed grazing of sheep and cows is carried out within the site in order to reduce fires. There is currently research being conducted on the vegetation and the effect of grazing in the area. 4.5.5: In the tourism development plan for the site, a field for growing wheat and an orchard for growing olives were planned to illustrate the ancient traditions that were practiced on the site (grinding wheat, houses and olive oil production).

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.6.2 - Quarrying	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.6.3 - Oil and gas	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.6.4 - Water (extraction)	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Relevant				nt						
4.7.2 - Relative humidity										
X Relevant				Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact					
Impact	Current	9 Potential	Inside	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive										
Negative X	×		×			\rightarrow				

4.7.3 - Temperature

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
Negative X	×		×			→			
4.7.4 - Radiation/Light									
Relevant			X Not relevant						

4.7.5 - Dust

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

X Relevant				lot relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×			→	

4.7.7 - Pests

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Orig		Origin 1		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×		×			→		
4.7.8 - Micro-organisms								

Relevant

× Not relevant 4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or

positively

4.7.2 and 4.7.3: In several caves (in particular in the Columbarium caves) there is the development of algae as a result of humidity. The effects on the site are regularly monitored. 4.7.6: Due to the location of several caves, rain events cause rain water run-off and undermine the stability of the rock ceiling. Conservation actions are taken to prevent this. 4.7.7: Penetrating roots of Pistacia trees themselves in the rocks, and destabilizing them.

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

X Relevant	Relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×				1
Negative							

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin		n Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×				1
Negative							

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Relevant				X Not relevant					
4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community									
Relevant			× Not relevant	X Not relevant					
4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/	/isitation/Recre	eation							
X Relevant			1	Not relevant					
	Impact				Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×		×	×		→			
Negative									

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.8.1 and 4.8.2: The site expresses a variety of uses - economic, domestic, technical, social, spiritual and funerary- of the man-made caves. The site includes new uses that correspond to the uses made in the caves in antiquity (for example, video art instead of wall paintings). This preserves the intangible heritage of the site. 4.8.6: Tourism is satisfactorily organized and does not constitute a threat. The number of visitors is stable and monitored.

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

-										
Relevant			× Not releva	X Not relevant						
4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction	on of heritage									
Relevant			× Not releva	X Not relevant						
4.9.3 - Military training										
X Relevant				Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact					
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	S Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
Positive										
Negative X	×			×		→				
4.9.4 - War										
Relevant			× Not releva	nt						
4.9.5 - Terrorism										
Relevant			× Not releva	nt						
4.9.6 - Civil unrest										
Relevant			× Not releva	nt						

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.9.3: There is a military base adjacent to the site with active fire areas. There is no overlap between the heritage site and the fire range.

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

	Relevant	X Not relevant
4	.10.2 - Flooding	
	Relevant	X Not relevant
4	.10.3 - Drought	
	Relevant	X Not relevant

4.10.4 - Desertification

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.10.6 - Temperature change	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.11.2 - Earthquake	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

X Relevant			١	Not relevant				
	Impact Origin			igin Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X		×	×	×		\rightarrow		

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.11.6: The region is wooded and dry in summer, presenting risks of forest fire, but these are limited by authorized grazing practices. The Park has a fire surveillance system, and a motorized intervention infrastructure. The proximity of the Army, which has a training camp nearby with fire-fighting equipment, can also supply rapid manpower and logistical help to fight any possible forest fires.

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

× Not relevant

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

X Relevant			I	Not relevant				
	Impact Or		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Gurrent	9 Potential	Inside	C Outside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×	×			1	
Negative								

4.13.2 - Legal framework

X Relevant			I	Not relevant						
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact						
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive X	×		×	×		→				

4.13.3 - Governance

× Relevant	K Relevant				Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin								
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing				
O Positive X	×		×	×		\rightarrow					
Negative											

4.13.4 - Management activities

× Relevant			1	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact					
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive X	×		×	×			1			
Negative										

4.13.5 - Financial resources

X Relevant				Not relevant						
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact						
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive X	×		×	×		→				
Negative										

4.13.6 - Human resources

X Relevant				Not relevant						
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact						
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive X	×		×	×			1			

Negative	
----------	--

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

× Relevant					Not relevant						
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact							
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing				
O Positive X	×		×	×							
Negative											

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

× Relevant			I	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact					
Impact	Gurrent	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive X	×		×	×			1			
Negative										

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13.7: The Israel Nature and Parks Authority (INPA) has a system for tracking visitors/visits to the site. An inspection is made to locate harmful trees that may damage the stability of the rock ceilings. Monitoring and preservation work was done for the Sidonian cave following the roots that penetrated and established themselves in cracks. 4.13.8: Monitoring is carried out by a geologist, among other things using the LIDER system. There is also an active archaeological excavation.

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend			
4.1 Buildings and Development									
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	٢	9		٢	Ċ	/			
4.3 Services Infrastructures									
4.3.5 Major linear utilities									
	0	9		٢		→			
4.4 Pollution									
4.4.5 Solid waste									
		9			Ċ	→			
4.4.6 Input of excess energy	٢	9		٢		→			
4.5 Biological resource use/modification									
4.5.4 Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals	٢	9		٢		→			
4.5.5 Crop production	٢	9		٢		→			
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric									
4.7.2 Relative humidity									
	0	9		٢		→			
4.7.3 Temperature									

					0	9		٢		→
4.7.6 Water (rain/v	water table)									
					٢	9		٢		→
4.7.7 Pests										
					٢	9		٢		→
4.8 Social/Cultura	I uses of heritage									
4.8.1 Ritual/Spirite	ual/Religious and associa	ative uses			٢	9		۲		
4.8.2 Society's va	luing of heritage				٢	9		٢		
4.8.6 Impacts of to	ourism/Visitation/Recrea	tion			٢	9		٢	Ċ	→
4.9 Other human a	activities									
4.9.3 Military train	hing									
					9	9			Ċ	→
4.11 Sudden ecolo	ogical or geological ever	nts								
4.11.6 Fire (wildfir	re)									
									Ċ	→
4.13 Management	and institutional factors									
4.13.1 Manageme	nt system/Management p	blan			٢	4		٢	Ċ	
4.13.2 Legal frame	ework				٢	4		٢	Ċ	→
4.13.3 Governance	e				0	4		٢	Ċ	→
440.4 Маналана						~7			~	
4.13.4 Manageme	nt activities				٢	9		٢	Ċ	
4.13.5 Financial re	acourcos				0	4		۲	æ	_
13.3 Financial fe	53041653					7		e	Ģ	~
4.13.6 Human res	ources				0	4		۲	æ	,
								3	3	
4.13.7 Low impac	t research/monitoring ac	tivities			٥	9		۲	Ċ	1
								4	-	
4.13.8 High impac	t research/monitoring ac	tivities			٥	9		٩	Ċ	1
0 ,										
Logond		C Potontial	Nogotivo	Positivo	@ lea	vido			ido	
Legend	4 Current	4 Potential	Negative	O Positive	Ins	ade		Couts 🧭	ide	

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	٢	4		۲	F	

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor			
	Restricted			
×	Localised			
	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
×	On-going			
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes			
	Insignificant			
	Minor			
×	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond			
×	High capacity			
	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
	Static			
×	Increasing			

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.3.5 Major	linear utilities				
		0	9	۲	→
Owerfield	te Anna attactad burtha taataa				
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				

×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
×	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.4 Pollution

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.4.5 Solid waste						
	0	9			G	\Rightarrow

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Spatial Sca	He - Area anected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.4.6 Input	t of excess energy I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		٢	→	
					_
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
×	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.5.4 Lives	tock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals	•	9	۲	÷
Snatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor				
opullal 50					
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				

	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.5.5 Crop production	٢	9		۲		→

Spatial	scale -	Area	affected	by the	factor
---------	---------	------	----------	--------	--------

opanai ooa	
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	icale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing

×	Static
	Increasing

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.7.2 Re	elative humidity				
		0	4	0	→
Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Tempo	ral scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact	- Impact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Manage	ement response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trenc

4.7.3 Temperature				
	0	9	٢	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare

intermittent or sporadic			
Frequent			
On-going			
act on the attributes			
nsignificant			
Minor			
Significant			
Major			
response - Capacity of management to respond			
High capacity			
Medium capacity			
Low capacity			
No capacity and / or resources			
lopement over the last 6 years			
Decreasing			
Static			
Increasing			
			Trend
	Internitient or sporadic Frequent On-going Act on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Iopement over the last 6 years Decreasing Static Increasing	Frequent Frequent Con-going Act on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Iopernent over the last 6 years Decreasing Static	Frequent On-going act on the attributes Insignificant Significant Significant Major response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity and / or resources Iopement over the last 6 years Decreasing Static Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)					
	0	9	٢		→

Spatial so	cale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - I	mpact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - D	evelopement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.7.7 Pests					
		٢	9	٢	→
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	lame		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.8.1 Ritua	.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses		4		٩	1
Spatial sca	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	High capacity
	High capacity Medium capacity
×	High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity
×	High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources
×	High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources velopement over the last 6 years

lame		Impact			Trend
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	٢	4		۲	

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - In	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impac	ts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	٢	4		٢	Ċ	→
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						

4.9 Other human activities

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.9.3 Milita	4.9.3 Military training						
		9	9			Ċ	→
Spatial cor							
Spatial Sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						

Temporal s	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
×	On-going			
Impact - Im	apact on the attributes			
×	Insignificant			
	Minor			
	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity			
	Medium capacity			
×	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - Dev	Trend - Developement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
×	Static			
	Increasing			

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name		Impac	:		Origin		Trend
4.11.6 Fire	4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)						
		0		9	٢	Ċ	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	icale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						

	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.1 Ma	4.13.1 Management system/Management plan		9	٢	Ċ	
Snatial s	cale - Area affected by the factor					
opulla o	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
	scale - Occurence of the impact					
Tempora	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
~						
X	On-going					
Impact -	mpact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
×	Major					
	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - D	evelopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
		Impact		 		
Name	Name 4.13.2 Legal framework		4	Origin	Ċ	Trend →
		٢	- 0	Q	Ģ	
Spatial s	cale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					

	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity

Low capacity No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	t	Origin		Trend
4.13.3 Governance	٢	9	٢	Ċ	→

Spatial sca	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor			
	Restricted			
	Localised			
	Extensive			
×	Widespread			
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
×	On-going			
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes			
	Insignificant			
	Minor			
×	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond			

×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Decreasing Static

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend
4.13.4 Management activities	٢	Origin Trend Image: Construction of the second se			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

opana. ooa	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	spact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
×	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity

	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static

× Increasing

Name	Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources	٢	4		٢	Ċ	→
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
Restricted						

×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Tempora	I scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - I	Impact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Managem	nent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - D	Developement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing

	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name			Trend		
4.13.6 Human resources			۲	Ċ	

Spatial sca	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor		
	Restricted		
	Localised		
	Extensive		
×	Widespread		
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact		
	One off or rare		
	Intermittent or sporadic		
	Frequent		
×	On-going		
Impact - In	npact on the attributes		
	Insignificant		
	Minor		
	Significant		
×	Major		
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond		

×	High capacity	
	Medium capacity	
	Low capacity	
	No capacity and / or resources	
	Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years	
Trend - De	Velopement over the last 6 years Decreasing	
Trend - De		

Name	Impact	:	Origin		Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	٢	9	۲	Ċ	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted	
	Localised	
×	Extensive	
	Widespread	
Temporal	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare	
	Intermittent or sporadic	
	Frequent	
×	On-going	

	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static

× Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.8 High impact research/monitoring activities	٢	9	۲	G	
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor					
Restricted					

	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Quarries from mainly two historical types: horizontal excavation and vertically hewn chambers in bell-shape form in the chalk subsurface strata	×			
4.18.1.2	The underground archaeological site of man-made chambers, caves and dense subterranean networks	×			
4.18.1.3	The oil presses, columbaria, stables, underground cisterns and channels, baths, tombs, and places of worship, and hiding places during troubled times, etc.	×			
4.18.1.4	The entrances and stairways that connect the complexes and urban structures of the "city under a city"	×			
4.18.1.5	The surrounding well-preserved environment of Mediterranean vegetation	×			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The buffer zones do not limit the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

Comment

National Parks, Nature Reserves, National Sites and Memorial Sites Law (1998) The 1988 law is an update for the 1992 law, and it should be replaced as such.

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

1981; 2020 / TAMA 8: National outline plan for national parks, nature reserves and landscape reserves; TAMA 1: National outline plan / TAMA 8 (Previous plan) TAMA 1 (Current plan - 01.2020) / National outline plan for national parks; National outline plan /

https://mavat.iplan.gov.il/SV4/1/99001170/310; https://mavat.iplan.gov.il/SV4/1/99000257545/310

1989 / 5166 National Park Beit Guvrin / Regulations file according to detailed plan 232/03/6 (1987) / National Park Beit Guvrin / https://www.nevo.co.il/law_word/law06/tak-5166.pdf; https://apps.land.gov.il/TabaSearch/#/Plans/Plan/1008435

2018 / National Park Beit Guvrin / Detailed plan 1/232/03/6 / National Park Beit Guvrin (extension) / https://apps.land.gov.il/TabaSearch/#/Plans/Plan/1051089

2005 / TAMA 35: The integrated national outline plan for construction, development, and conservation / National outline plan / https://www.gov.il/he/departments/general/tama_35_docs

2000 / TAMAM 4/14: Southern District outline plan / Regional outline plan / https://mavat.iplan.gov.il/SV4/1/99001545/310

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There are major deficiencies in capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

5.2.6: The enforcement mechanism within the site boundaries and within the buffer zone is different. The enforcement within the site which is a national park is adequate in both capacity and resources as it is protected under the 1998 law. Whereas in the wide-open areas that include within the buffer zone the enforcement is relatively limited.

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

Promotion of agreements with the army on the nature of the absorption of base soldiers is needed.

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system at national level

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)

Agreed 'Memorandums of Understanding' between different managing institutions, groups or others, including documents agreed with local communities for management

A management plan

An annual work plan or business plan

A disaster, climate or conflict risk management plan

A visitor/visitation management plan

An assessment of biological and cultural diversity and ecosystem services provided by the property

A joint approach to management of cultural and natural heritage

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

The management system is fully derived from national management policy and standards of The Israel Nature and Parks Authority (NPA), as well as from the regional management policy, goals and objectives of the NPA. The management system includes aspects of protection and preservation on the sites, its components and values, maintenance, accessibility, visitor safety and etc.

5.3.4 - Management Documents

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

The 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape is not relevant to this property

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

Currently, a draft document for a protection and reduction of climate change risks to cultural heritage properties, including world heritage sites in Israel, is under preparation. The document will include criteria for prioritization of treatment.

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?

Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

Reducing risks from disasters is mainly concentrated on earthquakes risks. The strategy is led by an inter-ministerial steering committee. The activities include among other: risks survey for resistance of cultural heritage sites, seismic risk map for archaeological sites and cultural properties, and a treatment, stabilization, and prioritization plan.

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state;

local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being fully implemented and monitored

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and many of its activities are being implemented

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities			×		
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone	×				
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women	×				
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					×
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners					×
5.3.16.5	Women	×				
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					×
5.3.16.7	Researchers					×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries					×
5.3.16.12	NGOs					×
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups					×
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	Israel Antiquities	Authority			

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention*

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality					×
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)					×
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status					×
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach					×
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood			×		
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property	×				

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

The Israel Nature and Parks Authority (NPA) is proactively encouraging the inclusion of women in senior management positions. Most of the sites' employees are from various local communities in close proximity to the sites. Local produce is sold in highly visited sites.

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	100 %	20 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	0 %	0 %

6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	60 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	20 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively? The available **budget is acceptable** but **could be further improved** to fully meet the management needs

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so? The existing sources of funding are secure over both the medium- and long-term

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	60 %	50 %
6.1.6.2	Women	40 %	50 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Poor
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Poor
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Fair

Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

The strategy is integrated in national and regional training courses of sites' managers as part of various aspects of cultural heritage protection. The number of daily visitors is monitored and limited according to the site's capacity.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

The site has a dynamic set of volunteers trained by a variety of professionals at the Nature and Parks Authority. The volunteers convey the messages of preserving nature and heritage to visitors.

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support

planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is $\ensuremath{\text{adequate}}$

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared widely with active outreach to local communities and national and international audiences

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

There is a study by Tel Aviv University on the effect of grazing on multi-seasonal or single-season plants on the site. Its findings are made public and presented at conferences. An active archaeological excavation is also taking place at the site. Monitoring is also carried out by a geologist, among other things using the LIDER system which contributes to generating new information about the caves.

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Women	Not applicable
Youth/children	Poor
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industries	Poor
NGOs	Not applicable
Other specific groups	Good
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	Israel Antiquities Authority

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities	
Youth/children	
Local Visitors	
National/international tourists	
Tourism industry	
Local businesses and industries	

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Not needed
Site museum	Not needed
Information booths	Fair
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Fair
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Good
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	Contemporary art gallery

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

225,266 / 151,754 / 118,722 / 257,607 / 224,782 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

Visitor surveys

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One to three hours

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

Site visits, tracking and surveys that are part of the Nature and Parks Authority general policy of visitation management.

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

0/2/0/7.5/0/

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

The site manager and cash register manager. This is only an estimate and there is no exact tracking.

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a planned and effective strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

Entry to the site requires registration in advance, in order to track visitors and to adjust the number of visitors to the carrying capacity of the site's users.

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

The ongoing monitoring of the tourism management is done thorough the Israel Nature and Parks Authority's visitor management national system which is a 'learning system', and through the public and community division.

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the

Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is good cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property? Fees are collected and make a substantial contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

Locally produced products and food from local business owners are sold on the site, and the site staff directs tourists to local businesses located close to the site.

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

The activity on the site contributes to the exposure and accessibility of culture and art to the local community, thereby empowering it.

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

9.13: A new special exhibition dedicated to World Heritage is in place on the site.

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving the understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				×
10.3.3	Character of governance	×			
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations				×
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development				×
10.3.6	Capacity development				×

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

10.3.1, 10.3.2, 10.3.4, 10.3.5, 10.3.6: This is the first report made to the site since it was declared a World Heritage Site.

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Poor
Local communities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Women	Not applicable
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Not applicable

Local businesses and industry	Not applicable
NGOs	Not applicable
Other specific groups	Good
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	Israel Antiquities Authority

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee? Implementation is underway

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee. This is the first report made to the site since it was declared a World Heritage Site in 2014.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones	
5.1.4	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known and recognized by local communities/landowners	
5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.4	An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	
5.2.5	An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	
5.2.6	There are major deficiencies in capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property	
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.7	Some use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved	
5.3.17	• In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood	×
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	×
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	×
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	×
6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff	×
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
8.2	There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs	×
9	Visitor Management	
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	×
10	Monitoring	
10.1	There is considerable monitoring at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	×
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	×
Pleas	e select 0 more issues.	
Ple	ase save this question to reflect changes	

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.3	Services Infra	Services Infrastructures								
4.3.5	Major linear utilities	Criterion (v)	As of today, there is no action currently planned	is no action coordinated when an		Unknown The rele company		evant communication	No comment	
4.4	Pollution									
4.4.5	Solid waste	Criterion (v)	An examination of establishing contact the relevant parties army in order to min the damage.	in the	The website team aware of the problem and monitors it regula		Short-term		Israel Nature and Parks Authority	No comment
4.7	Local condition	ons affecting physica	I fabric							
4.7.2	Relative humidity	Criterion (v)	Continue monitoring effects on the site a conservation works		Consistent mon is carried out	itoring	Ongoing		Israel Nature and Parks Authority	No comment
4.7.3	Temperature	Criterion (v)	Continue monitoring effects on the site a conservation works	nd	Consistent mon is carried out	itoring	Ongoing		Israel Nature and Parks Authority	No comment
4.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	Criterion (v)	Continue monitoring effects on the site a conservation works	nd	Consistent monities carried out	itoring	Ongoing		Israel Nature and Parks Authority	No comment
4.7.7	Pests	Criterion (v)	Continue the pests treatment work		requent monitoring is arried out	s S	Short-term		Israel Nature and Parks Authority	No comment
4.9	Other human	activities								
4.9.3	Military training	Criterion (v)	Developing a relati with the military off order to reach agreements and m nuisances	icials in	The site team is aware of and monitors the impa of the army's action near the site	act	Mid-term, Long	g-term	Israel Nature and Parks Authority	No comment
4.11	4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events									
4.11.6	Fire (wildfire)	Criterion (v)	Continue monitor and improving the ability to respond future event	÷	The Park has a fire surveillance system motorised intervent infrastructure.	n, and a	Ongoing	g	Israel Nature and Parks Authority	No comment
Summary	Summary - Factors affecting the Property completed									

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan						
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	Continue using the policy and understanding its relevancy for the site focusing on future implementation	Long-term	Israel Nature and Parks Authority	No comment		
5.3.17	 In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to fostering inclusive 	Examining other ways in which the management system of the World Heritage Site can further contribute to the local economic development.	Mid-term	Israel Nature and Parks Authority in collaboration with the regional council of the various local settlements	No comment		

	local economic developmen and to enhancing livelihood	νŧ,						
6.1	Funding							
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be sources (donations or collaborations with relevant parties) improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property		t	Israel Nature and Parks Authority	No comment			
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	To search within the framework of the Israel Nature and Parks Authority for additional human resources, especially conservation	Mid-term	Israel Nature and Parks Authority	No comment			
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	Integrating the strategy within the local strategies already in use.	Long-term	Israel Nature and Parks Authority	No comment			
6.1.12 A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff			Mid-term	Israel Nature and Parks Authority	No comment			
8	Education, Informatio	n and Awareness Building						
8.2	There is a Imp planned pro	-	Mid-term	Israel Nature and Parks Authority	No comment			
9	Visitor Managemen	Visitor Management						
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	Improving the presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property, as already implemented on site.	Currently and mid-term	Israel Nature and Parks Authority	No comment			

10	Monitoring						
10.1	There is considerable monitoring at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	Improving the integration of understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value within the existing on-going monitoring activities on site.	Mid-term and long term	Israel Nature and Parks Authority	No comments		
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	Re-examination of the monitoring of the defined indicators.	short-term	Israel Nature and Park Authority	No comments		

Summary - Management Needs completed

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property? The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Not applicable
Political support for conservation	Not applicable
Legal/Policy framework	Positive
Advocacy	Not applicable

Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	No impact
Gender equality	Not applicable
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	No impact
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Positive
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

On the site there is extensive information aimed at presenting the site as a world heritage site and explaining what this means. Also, the site is maintained at a high level and extensive monitoring and preservation operations are carried out frequently.

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Sustainable Development	
Synergies	
State of Conservation	
Management	
Capacity Building	

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The concept of Outstanding Universal Value

The property's Outstanding Universal Value

The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity

Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value

Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Not needed
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Fair

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans

Awareness raising

Advocacy

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

UNESCO National Commission

ICOMOS national/regional

External experts

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance has **not been explicitly** considered or implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? Yes

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

50 / 30 / 90 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training		×

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

All required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			×	

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Good
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Fair
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	Good
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Fair
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise The periodic report is important because it causes examination and review of all aspects/fields related to the World Heritage Site and establishes the connection to UNESCO.

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.