Tasmanian Wilderness

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Tasmanian Wilderness

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Comment

The easting/northing describing the property places it outside of the boundary. We have suggested a new centroid 437404E, 5225742N The Boundary can be best described with (top) -41° 31' 45.17" (left) 145° 24' 40.56" (right) 147° 5' 22.12" (bottom) -43° 51' 37.35"

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Tasmanian Wilderness - Map of the inscribed property - minor boundary modification	2012	
Tasmanian Wilderness - Map of the inscribed property - minor boundary modification	2013	

Comment

replace with map located at https://www.environment.gov.au/system/files/pages/f99dbb51-03c2-4eb2-a66e-87c4044117b4/files/map-boundary-2013.pdf which is a simpler version of that in the above 2013 link to the map of the property

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

- 1. The Official Site for Australian Travel and Tourism Australia
- 2. Natural site datasheet from WCMC
- 3. Tasmanian Wilderness (Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities)
- 4. Tasmanian Wilderness World Heritage Area (Tasmanian Parks & Wildlife Service)

Comment

Remove 1) and replace with Discover Tasmania website link https://www.discovertasmania.com.au/about/world-heritage-areas/wilderness Remove 2) as it is a dead link and the site has no information available on the property Add new link to Department or Primary Industries, Parks, Water & Environment website https://dpipwe.tas.gov.au/conservation/tasmanian-wilderness-world-heritage-area-(twwha) Add new link to Aboriginal Heritage Tasmania website https://www.aboriginalheritage.tas.gov.au/

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is not designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

Tasmanian Wilderness 1 of 70

- 2.2 Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary
- 2.3 Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

Nic

- 2.4 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?
- 2.5 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?
- 2.6 Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?
- 2.7 Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

Not applicable

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

No

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

N/A

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

Nο

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

N/A

- 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
- 3.1 Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee
- 3.2 Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Undisturbed wilderness and spectacular landscapes		×		
3.2.2	majority of the world's tallest flowering trees and largest patches of awe-inspiring very tall forests		×		
3.2.3	Exceptional diversity and scale of karst features	×			
3.2.4	high degree of ecological naturalness	×			
3.2.5	Unique ancient flora		×		
3.2.6	High plant biodiversity with high proportion of relict and endemic species	×			
3.2.7	Relict and endemic mammals	×			
3.2.8	Rare, relict and endemic birds		×		
3.2.9	Tracts of undisturbed peatlands and moorlands		×		
3.2.10	A diverse Aboriginal cultural landscape used, modified and managed for at least 35,000 years		×		
3.2.11	Pleistocene occupation and rock marking sites	×			
3.2.12	Holocene Aboriginal cultural heritage sites		×		
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

The RSOUV is yet to be approved. Comment is based on current understanding of the state and trends in condition of the natural values of the property allowing an overall assessment rating of "Good but with some concerns". The level of understanding regarding the cultural values of the property is developing and consequently no clear assessment of the status and trends in condition of the cultural values can be made at this time, although our expectation is that a similar rating is likely

- 4. Factors Affecting the Property
- 4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	G Current	Potential	① Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×	×	×				<i>P</i>	
Negative		×	×	×			7	

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✗ Relevant				lot relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×				1
Negative X		×	×			→	

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The construction of new improved visitor facilities at gateway sites is done in a manner that minimises impact on values and resulted in improved positive visitor experience. Proposals for tourism facilities within the TWWHA to provide improved accessibility and appreciation of the OUV, have the potential for negative impacts on the OUV including the Aboriginal cultural landscapes and associated values if not managed.

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant	1	Not relevant					
Impact			Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow	
Negative X	×	×	×	×		→	

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact				Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×	×				→		
Negative X	×	×	×	×			7	

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Tasmanian Wilderness 4 of 70

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact				Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×		×	×		\rightarrow	
Negative X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow	

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Origin			n Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X								
O Positive X	×	×	×	×	>			

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Increasing high end tourism utilising helicopters, sea planes or boats, has seen an increase in restricted area impacts needing to be managed through the introduction of an aircraft access policy. The same tourism ventures are however helping to educate tourists on the OUV of the property. The establishment of designated helipads on the boundary of the TWWHA has stopped 'ad-hoc' landing within the boundary, and encouraged the use of designated flight paths.

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
○ Negative X	×			×			-	

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
Negative X	×			×			-	

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

× Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive ★	×		ж			→		

Tasmanian Wilderness 5 of 70

Negative	×		×			\rightarrow	
----------	---	--	---	--	--	---------------	--

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×		×	×		\Rightarrow		

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Localised utilities - installation of full capture toilets in TWWHA to minimise impacts of human visitation. Localised utilities - placement of radio towers impacts on wilderness quality and visual aesthetics. Major linear utilities - power transmission lines traversing property impacts on wilderness quality and visual aesthetics.

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×			1	

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×		×				/	
Negative X	×		×		S			

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
	×			×				

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

★ Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	G Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								

Tasmanian Wilderness 6 of 70

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×		×	×		→		

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	① Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×			→	
		×	×				<i>P</i>

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Light pollution has been added in this third cycle Periodic Reporting, with large tourist vessels in Port Davey being lit up at night. Removal and decommissioning of all septic systems within the Mole Creek Karst NP has occurred to reduce potential for pollution of surface and groundwaters. Illegal dumping and wood hooking in the periphery areas of the property serviced by a forestry track network remains an issue.

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

X Relevant			١	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×	×		→	

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	G Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative	×		×	×		⇒	

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Tasmanian Wilderness 7 of 70

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×		×			→	
Negative X	×		×			→	

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X		×	×		S		
Negative X	×	×	×	×			,

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

on-going and increasing negative impact of opportunistic illegal dumping and wood hooking in the periphery of the property serviced by a forestry track network sometimes associated with resource use. A commitment to develop implement an policy that allows Aboriginal people access to, and use of, animal, plant and other materials in the TWWHA for cultural purposes. It is anticipated a policy will will provide a positive opportunity for Aboriginal people to connect with the TWWHA and its resource

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Relevant, Positive, Negative, Potential, Inside

X Relevant	Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	№ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×		×			→	

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Mining and quarrying are prohibited however some rock, stone and gravel may be used for walking track improvement. Use of such material is subject to careful oversight.

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

★ Relevant			١	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×			\rightarrow	
Negative X	×	×	×			\rightarrow	

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Not relevant

Relevant	★ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

✗ Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
	×	×	×	×			*

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Not relevant

× Relevant			Not relevant	
	Impact	Origin		Trend of impact

Tasmanian Wilderness 9 of 70

Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×			×	>		

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative		×	×				1

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×			7

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

× Relevant			N	lot relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×			7

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Incursions of deer into the periphery areas is a recently identified impact. Diseases of fauna and flora are present within the property, however the key values remain protected albeit in good condition but with some concerns. Weed infestation in peripheral areas associated with previous forestry management and adjoining agricultural areas. Changing climate impacts are being seen in alpine regions of the property.

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

★ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×		→	
Negative	×	×	×				1

Tasmanian Wilderness 10 of 70

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

ant ×	Not relevant
-------	--------------

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow	
Negative X	×		×	×		\rightarrow	

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
○ Positive ★	×	×	×	×			1
Negative							

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×	×	×	×			7	
Negative X	×	×	×	×			7	

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Increasing levels of tourism are leading to impacts on the property that are requiring closer management. A Tourism Master Plan has been developed for the site. Involvement of Aboriginal community in developing cultural burning approaches, the development of a Guide for the interpretation and presentation of Aboriginal cultural values and the future development of a policy facilitating Aboriginal cultural resource collection are positive initiatives.

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×	×	×				/	

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

Tasmanian Wilderness 11 of 70

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	№ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
	×	×	×			\Rightarrow	

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Illegal dumping and wood hooking in the periphery areas of the property serviced by a track network remain an issue with the potential to damage both Aboriginal cultural heritage as well as natural value attributes.

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×			<i>></i>	

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	G Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×				1	
Negative	×	×	×				<i>P</i>	

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

✗ Relevant	X Relevant			Not relevant		
	Impact	Origin		Trend of impact		

Tasmanian Wilderness 12 of 70

Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×	×				7

4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant			١	Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
○ Negative X		×		×			<i>P</i>	

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×	×	×				/	
○ Negative X	×	×	×				P	

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×				<i>></i>

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Climate change and a resultant changing fire risk remain the biggest threats to the natural and cultural values of the property

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing

Tasmanian Wilderness 13 of 70

O Positive X	×	×	→	
Negative				

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

× Relevant			١	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	№ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×			\rightarrow	
○ Negative X	×	×	×			→	

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×			→	
Negative X	×	×	×			\rightarrow	

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact Origin		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×	×	S		
Negative X	×	×	×	×			P

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Erosion, siltation, rare earthquakes and landslides are all natural processes occurring within the property. Changing climate brings with it increased risk of bushfire and increased severity of bushfire. Some bushfire fighting techniques can have unintended localised impact. Planned burn-offs, uncontrolled bushfires and some emergency response measures may impact Aboriginal cultural values, where they are not adequately accounted for in risk management processes.

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	№ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×	×				7
Negative X	×		×			\rightarrow	

Tasmanian Wilderness 14 of 70

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant			١	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×		×	×		→	
Negative X	×	×	×	×			7

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant			ı	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×		×			\rightarrow	

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact Origin		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×			*

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

	Relevant	X Not relevant	
--	----------	----------------	--

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Limited intrusion of fallow deer into the periphery of the property has been detected. Monitoring is underway to gain a greater insight into the extent of fallow deer. Intrusion of various weed species into the periphery of the property and along river systems has been detected. Increased incursions noticed post increased fire events. Positive aspects of the Working Neighbours Program, that funded the removal of large tracts of invasive weeds from neighbouring properties and TWWHA.

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

✗ Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×		×			→	
Negative							

4.13.2 - Legal framework

Tasmanian Wilderness 15 of 70

× Relevant			١	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×		×			\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.13.3 - Governance

X Relevant			1	Not relevant				
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact				
Impact	Gurrent	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×			\rightarrow		
Negative								

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact					
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive X	×	×	×			→				
Negative										

4.13.5 - Financial resources

× Relevant			١	lot relevant				
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×			\rightarrow		
Negative X	×	×	×			→		

4.13.6 - Human resources

X Relevant	1	Not relevant							
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact					
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×			→			
○ Negative X	×	×	×			\rightarrow			

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact					
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×			\rightarrow			
Negative									

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

Tasmanian Wilderness 16 of 70

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	№ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×			→	
○ Negative X	×	×	×			\rightarrow	

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

N/A

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

N/A

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impac			Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	©	q	q	•		7
	•		q	•	G	7
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	©	q	q	•		,
	•		q	•		→
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	•	A	A	•	Œ	→
	•	eq.	eq.	•	(F	→
4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure	©		q			→
	•	q	q	•	G	,
4.2.4 Marine transport infrastructure	©			•	Œ	→
	•	q	q	•	G	→
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	©		4	•	Œ	\$
		q	9	•	Œ	S
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.1 Water infrastructure						
	•	q			F	7
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities						
	•				Œ	<i>P</i>
4.3.4 Localised utilities	©	q		•		→
	•	q		•		→
4.3.5 Major linear utilities						
	©	P		@	(F	→
4.4 Pollution						
4.4.1 Pollution of marine waters						
	(a)	9	q	@	(CF	,
4.4.2 Ground water pollution	·	4		③	3	,
	□	9		<u>•</u>		\
4.4.3 Surface water pollution						
and Carract rates position	⊚	A			æ	
	9	-1			G	Al.
4.4.4 Air pollution						

Tasmanian Wilderness 17 of 70

		9		•	(1
4.4.5 Solid waste						
		9		•	G	\rightarrow
4.4.6 Input of excess energy	O	q	q	•		→
			9	•		1
4.5 Biological resource use/modification						
4.5.1 Fishing/collecting aquatic resources						
			4	@	G	→
4.5.2 Aquaculture						
		A		@	₹	→
4.5.9 Subsistence hunting	O	4			3	
4.5.5 Subsistence nunning		•		•		7
		9		•		→
4.5.10 Forestry/Wood production	O		9	•		•
		9	9	•	G	-
4.6 Physical resource extraction						
4.6.2 Quarrying						
		9		•		\rightarrow
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.1 Wind	O	9	9	•		→
		9	a	•		→
4.7.4 Radiation/Light						
		q	9	②	C	7
4.7.5 Dust						
		A			G	S
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
			A	()		7
4.7.7 Pests			•			
		m ²	<i>[</i>]		nd.	a
4.7.8 Micro-organisms	9	4	4	•	G	
		a	a	•	/#	
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage		7		•	G	
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage		a		()	<i>(</i>	⇒
		9	9	©	Ç	1
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	O	eq.	· •	③	(E	→
		4		•	G	→
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	②	· ·	q	•	· ·	7
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				4	7	
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	(q	q	(Œ	7
		q	9	.	G	7
4.9 Other human activities						
4.9.1 Illegal activities						
		q	q	•		7
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
		ø	9	②		⇒
		- 0	- 0	Çi		

Tasmanian Wilderness 18 of 70

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.1 Storms						
		q	9	•	G	-
4.10.2 Flooding	O	9	9	•		1
		9	9	•		1
4.10.3 Drought						
		9	9	•		1
4.10.5 Changes to oceanic waters						
			q		(/
4.10.6 Temperature change	()	q	q	•		7
		q	q	@		,
4.10.7 Other climate change impacts						
		A		()		_
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events		-,	-,	3		Ť
	<u></u>		1 00			
4.11.2 Earthquake	•		9	•		→
			_			
4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide	O	9	-	•		→
		9	9	@		→
4.11.5 Erosion and siltation/Deposition	O	9	9	•		\rightarrow
		9	9	•		\rightarrow
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)	O	9	9	•	Œ	•
		9	9	•	(/
4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species						
4.12.1 Translocated species	•		9	•		
		9		•		→
4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species	O	9		•	C	\rightarrow
		9	9	•	(1
4.12.3 Invasive/Alien freshwater species						
		9		•		\rightarrow
4.12.4 Invasive/Alien marine species						
		9	9	•	G	/
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	•	9		@		→
4.13.2 Legal framework	•	9		•		→
4.13.3 Governance	O	9		•		→
4.13.4 Management activities	O	9	9	•		\rightarrow
4.13.5 Financial resources	•	q	q	•		→
		q	q	()		→
4.13.6 Human resources	•	q	q	•		→

Tasmanian Wilderness 19 of 70

						9	9	•		\rightarrow
4.13.7 Low impact	research/monitoring acti	vities			O	9	9	•		\rightarrow
4.13.8 High impact	4.13.8 High impact research/monitoring activities							•		→
						9	9	•		→
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Insi	ide		Outsi	de	

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

l.1.4 Majc	or visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure			Impact		Origin	
	- Timps visitor accommodation and accordant initiativation	()	q	9	•		1
				9	•	F	7
Spatial sc	cale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
remporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
X	On-going On-going						
mpact - Ir	mpact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
X	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
<i>l</i> lanagem	nent response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
rend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
X	Increasing						
							_
Name	rpretative and visitation facilities	Impact	A	9	Origin		Trend

Tasmanian Wilderness 20 of 70

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.2.1 Groun	nd transport infrastructure	O	q	9	•	Œ	\Rightarrow
			Ą	q	•	C	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	Impact - Impact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						

Tasmanian Wilderness 21 of 70

	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.2.3 Air tra	nsport infrastructure	0	4	9		F	→
			H	4	•	(F	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						

Name	Impact	npact		Origin		Trend
4.2.4 Marine transport infrastructure	O	9		•	C	→

Increasing

Tasmanian Wilderness 22 of 70

					•	Œ	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	lle - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name	is arising from use of transportation infrastructure	Impact	9	9	Origin •	Œ	Trend
			9	9	•	C.	\$
Spatial sca	lle - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
•	Extensive						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	Frequent						
×	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im							
	WILLOU						

Tasmanian Wilderness 23 of 70

×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name	Name			Origin	Trend	
4.3.1 Water	infrastructure					
			9		G	7
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend

4.3.2 Renev	4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities					
			9		Œ	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
	sed utilities	0	q	.		→
			q	•		→
	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
Tamparala	Widespread					
remporai s	Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare					
	One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent -					
×	On-going On-going					
	pact on the attributes					
,						

Tasmanian Wilderness 25 of 70

Insignificant

	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manage	ment response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend	
4.3.5 Ma	jor linear utilities					
			9	•	F	\rightarrow
Spatial s	scale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Tempora	al scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact -	Impact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manage	nent response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
7.4						

4.4 Pollution

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

Decreasing
Static
Increasing

Tasmanian Wilderness 26 of 70

4.4.1 Polluti	.4.1 Pollution of marine waters						
			9	A	•	ઉ	/
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal se	cale - Occurence of the impact						
remperar o	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
^							
	Frequent						
	On-going Control of the Control of t						
Impact - Imp	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managemer	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.4.2 Ground water pollution		O	9		•		/

Impact

Origin

Trend

Name

		9	•	•
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor			
×	Restricted			
	Localised			
	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
×	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
	On-going			
Impact - In	npact on the attributes			

Tasmanian Wilderness 27 of 70

×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin			Trend
4.4.3 Surface water pollution						
		9			G	•
Qualitationals. Associational distribution						

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.4.3 Sur	1.4.3 Surface water pollution						
			4			F	
Spatial s	cale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Tempora	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact -	mpact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manager	nent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - D	evelopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

Name Impact Origin Trend

28 of 70 Tasmanian Wilderness

4.4.4 Air pollution						
			9	•	G	<i>P</i>
Spatial aga	lo. Area officered by the factor					
Spatiai Sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
~	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
×	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.4.5 Solid	waste					
			9	•	G	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					

Tasmanian Wilderness 29 of 70

~	Minor					
×						
	Significant					
	Major					
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
4.4.6 Inpu	of excess energy	•	9	9	•	→
				9	•	
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going Con-going Con-goi					
Impact - In	npact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
* *						

4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Tasmanian Wilderness 30 of 70

4.5.1 FISHIN	g/collecting aquatic resources					
			9	•	C	→
0	Annual Control Control					
	e - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend

Impact

Origin

Trend

Name

4.5.2 Aquaculture				
	9	•	C	\rightarrow
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor				
Restricted				

	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	Impact - Impact on the attributes						

Tasmanian Wilderness 31 of 70


	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Impact		Impact		Impact		Impact		Impact		Origin	Trend
9 Subsistence hunting		9		•	\rightarrow									
		9		•	→									

4.5.9 Subsistence hunting		O	9	•	\rightarrow
			q	•	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

Name Origin	Trend
-------------	-------

Tasmanian Wilderness 32 of 70



4.6 Physical resource extraction

Name	Name			Origin	Trend
4.6.2 Quar	rying				
			9	•	→
Spatial age	ale - Area affected by the factor				
Spatial Sca	ale - Alea allected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going On-going				

Tasmanian Wilderness 33 of 70

Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name	Name		Impact			Origin		
4.7.1 Wind		•	P	9	•		\rightarrow	
			q	9	•		\rightarrow	
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted							
×	Localised							
	Extensive							
	Widespread							
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact							
	One off or rare							
×	Intermittent or sporadic							
	Frequent							
	On-going							
Impact - Ir	npact on the attributes							
×	Insignificant							
	Minor							
	Significant							
	Major							
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond							
	High capacity							
	Medium capacity							
	Low capacity							
×	No capacity and / or resources							
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing							
×	Static							

Tasmanian Wilderness 34 of 70

١n	CI	rea	ISI	no

4.7.4 Radiation/Light	Name	Impact			Origin	Trend	
	4.7.4 Radiation/Light						
			9	9	•	G	<i>P</i>

		4	4	•	4	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.7.5 Dust						
		9			(•

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent

Tasmanian Wilderness 35 of 70

	On-going				
Impost	mpact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
^	Minor				
	Significant				
Managan	Major				
wanager	nent response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
×	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - E	evelopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name		Impac		Origin	Trend
	er (rain/water table)	Шрас		Origin	Heliu
			q	•	<i>></i>
Spatial s	cale - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Tempora	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going On-going				
Impact -	mpact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Managen	nent response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
×	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - D	evelopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				

Tasmanian Wilderness 36 of 70

In	CI	ea	ISI	nc

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend	
4.7.7 Pests						
		q	9	•	Œ	7

		7	7	Q	G	
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact - Imp	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Managemer	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					

Name	Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.7.8 Micro-organisms						
		q	9	•	Œ	/

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going Control of the control of t

Tasmanian Wilderness 37 of 70

Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	lmpact			Origin		Trend	
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage		O	q	q	•	Œ	→
			9	9	•		1
Spatial so	ale - Area affected by the factor						
·	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
	scale - Occurence of the impact						
Temporal	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - I	npact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						

Tasmanian Wilderness 38 of 70

On-going

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.4 Chang	es in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	(q	9	•	F	→
			9		•	Œ	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name	y, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	Impact	q	q	Origin	Œ	Trend
4.0.5 Identi	y, social conesion, changes in local population and community		-1	-1	9	G	•
	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
_	Widespread						
	cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						

Impact - Impact on the attributes										
×	Insignificant									
	Minor									
	Significant									
	Major									
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond									
	High capacity									
	Medium capacity									
×	Low capacity									
	No capacity and / or resources									
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years									
	Decreasing									
×	Static									
	Increasing									
Name	cts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	Impact	· ·	<i>~</i> 3	Origin	Œ	Trend			
4.6.6 impa	cts or tourism/visitation/recreation		9	9	©	œ.	7			
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor									
	Restricted									
×	Localised									
	Extensive									

×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impac	ts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	O	4	9	•	E	7
			Ą	9	•	G	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						

Tasmanian Wilderness 40 of 70

4.9 Other human activities

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.9.1 Illegal activities						
		9	9	•		<i>></i>

		9	4	(I)	
Spatial scale	- Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal sc	ale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
×	Frequent				
	On-going On-going				
Impact - Imp	act on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Managemen	response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
×	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Deve	lopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				

Name		t		Origin	Trend
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage					
		q	9	•	\rightarrow

Spatial sca	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor			
×	Restricted			
	Localised			
	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact			
×	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			

Tasmanian Wilderness 41 of 70

	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name	lmpact lmpact		Origin		Trend		
4.10.1 Stor	ns						
			q	q	•	Œ	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
×	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	relopement over the last 6 years						

Tasmanian Wilderness 42 of 70

	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

me Impact		Origin	Trend		
4.10.2 Flooding	O	9	9	•	7
		q	9	•	1

		•		
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor			
	Restricted			
×	Localised			
	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
×	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
	On-going			
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes			
	Insignificant			
×	Minor			
	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity			
	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
×	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
	Static			
×	Increasing			

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.10.3 Drought						
		q	9	•		1

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor			
	Restricted			
	Localised			
×	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare			

Tasmanian Wilderness 43 of 70

×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact -	Impact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manager	ment response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
×	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - I	Developement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name			Impact	Origin		Trend
4.10.5 C	hanges to oceanic waters					
					(P
Spatial s	scale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Tempora	al scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
**	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact -	Impact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
•	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manago	ment response - Capacity of management to respond					
manayer						
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
×	No capacity and / or resources Developement over the last 6 years					

Tasmanian Wilderness 44 of 70

	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend
4.10.6 Temperature change	O	9	9	•	7
		q	9	•	/

		7	7	O	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
×	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
×	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend	
4.10.7 Other climate change impacts					
		9	9	•	-

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor								
	Restricted							
	Localised							
	Extensive							
×	Widespread							
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact							
	One off or rare							
	One on or rare							

Tasmanian Wilderness 45 of 70

	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
×	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.11.2 Earth	4.11.2 Earthquake			q	•		\rightarrow
Snatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
Opatiai sca	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
×	No capacity and / or resources						

Tasmanian Wilderness 46 of 70

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend
4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide	•	9	9	•	\rightarrow
		9	9	•	\rightarrow

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor			
×	Restricted			
	Localised			
	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact			
×	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
	On-going			
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes			
×	Insignificant			
	Minor			
	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity			
	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
×	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
×	Static			
	Increasing			

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend
4.11.5 Erosion and siltation/Deposition	•	A	9	•	\rightarrow
		4	q	•	\rightarrow

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact						

Tasmanian Wilderness 47 of 70

	One off or rare		
	Intermittent or sporadic		
	Frequent		
×	On-going On-going		
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes		
	Insignificant		
×	Minor		
	Significant		
	Major		
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond		
	High capacity		
	Medium capacity		
×	Low capacity		
	No capacity and / or resources		
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years		
	Decreasing		
×	Static		
	Increasing		
		Origin	Trend

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)	•	A	9	•	G	S
		q	9	•	G	

Spatial sca	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
×	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going On-going				
Impact - In	npact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
×	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				

Tasmanian Wilderness 48 of 70

	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.12.1 Tran	4.12.1 Translocated species			9	•		1
			9		•		\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
opana ooa	Restricted						
**							
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.12.2 Inva	12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species				•	Œ	\rightarrow

		9	9	•	Œ	-
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					

Tasmanian Wilderness 49 of 70

Marian		0 "				
Program		One off or rare				
Repair conting and interest continue and int						
impact on the attributes Magnificane M						
Ministration of the property of management in respond Ministration of the property						
Minignation	Impact - Im					
Major Majo						
Major pacity of management to respond Major pacity Management to respond Management to respond	×					
May a capacity of management to respond						
High capacity Medium capacity Medium capacity Medium capacity Medium capacity Medium capacity Medium capacity Monage Medium capacity Med						
Madum capacity	Manageme					
Xeal of the state						
Trend- volume to the last 6 years Paramatan Para		Medium capacity				
Personal Power	×	Low capacity				
Section Sect		No capacity and / or resources				
Maniform	Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
Name		Decreasing				
Name majer Origin Tend 4.12.3 invasive Allen freshwater species majer Origin Tend 4.12.3 invasive Allen freshwater species majer Origin Tend 8 Area affected by the factor Tend		Static				
A12.3 Invariant Alien freshwater species	×	Increasing				
A12.3 Invariant Alien freshwater species						
Spatial scales Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised X Extensive Temporal scales - Occurrence of the impact Intermittent or sporadic Intermittent or sporadic Intermittent or sporadic Intermittent or sporadic Impact -		sive/Alien freshwater species	impaci		Origin	Trena
Spatial scale Restricted Localised X Extensive Videspread Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact Intermittent or sporadic Intermittent or sporadic Prequent X On-going Impact - Impact - Impact Insignificant X Minor Insignificant Maior Management versponse - Capacity of management to respond Management versponse - Capacity of management to respond Management versponse - Capacity Medium capacity Low capacity Low capacity Low capacity				m3		
Restricted Localised X Extensive Widespread Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact Intermittent or sporadic Intermittent or sporadic Frequent X On-going Impact - Impact to the attributes Insignificant X Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond Medium capacity Low capacity Low capacity Low capacity Low capacity Low capacity				-7		\rightarrow
Extensive Extensive Cocurence of the impact Intermittent or sporadic Impact - Impact				-1	Q	→
Extensive Widespread Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent And On-going Impact - Impact of the attributes Insignificant Insig	Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor		-1	Q	→
Widespread Temporal value of the impact Cone off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact or the attributes Minor Significant Major Management to respond Management to pacity Medium capacity Medium capacity Low capacity	Spatial sca			-1	©	→
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Unitermittent or sporadic Impact - Unitermittent or sporadic	Spatial sca	Restricted		7	0	→
Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management to respond Minor Management to respond Management to respond Low capacity Medium capacity Low capacity Low capacity		Restricted Localised			0	⇒
Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Im		Restricted Localised Extensive			0	⇒
Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity Low capacity	×	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread			0	→
Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity Low capacity	×	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurrence of the impact			0	⇒
Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity Low capacity	×	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare			0	→
Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity	×	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic			0	
Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity Low capacity	X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent			0	
Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity	X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going				
Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity	X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going mpact on the attributes				
Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity	X Temporal s X Impact - Im	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going mpact on the attributes Insignificant				
High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity	X Temporal s X Impact - Im	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going mpact on the attributes Insignificant Minor				
Medium capacity Low capacity	X Temporal s X Impact - Im	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Inpact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant				
Low capacity	X Impact - Im	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going mpact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major				
	X Impact - Im	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going mact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major ent response - Capacity of management to respond				
X No capacity and / or resources	X Impact - Im	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going npact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major ent response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity				
	X Impact - Im	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major ent response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity				

Tasmanian Wilderness 50 of 70

Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.12.4 Inva	sive/Alien marine species		~	~		-	7
			A	4	•	G	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Widespread

Name		Impact		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.13.1 Mana	4.13.1 Management system/Management plan		9		•	\rightarrow	
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						

Tasmanian Wilderness 51 of 70

	scale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - I	mpact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Managem	nent response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - D	evelopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name		Impac		Origin	Trend
4.13.2 Le	gal framework	O	9	•	→
Spatial so	cale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
×	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - I	mpact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Managem	nent response - Capacity of management to respond				
×					
	High capacity				
	High capacity Medium capacity				

Tasmanian Wilderness 52 of 70

	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	Trend - Developement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.13.3 Gov	ernance	•	9	•	→
0	als. Assault at his the feeten				
Spatial Sca	ale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
×	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - In	pact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				

	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years

Name	Impact	t		Origin	Trend
4.13.4 Management activities	O	9	q	•	\rightarrow

Decreasing
Static
Increasing

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread

Tasmanian Wilderness 53 of 70

Tomporal	scale - Occurence of the impact										
	One off or rare										
×											
	Intermittent or sporadic										
	Frequent										
	On-going										
	mpact on the attributes										
×	Insignificant										
	Minor										
	Significant										
	Major										
Managem	nent response - Capacity of mana	jement to respor	nd								
×	High capacity										
	Medium capacity										
	Low capacity										
	No capacity and / or resources										
Trend - D	evelopement over the last 6 years	i									
	Decreasing										
×	Static										
	Increasing										
Name						Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.5 Fin	ancial resources					0	9	9	•		→
							9	4	•		→
Spatial so	cale - Area affected by the factor										
	Restricted										
	Localised										
	Extensive										
×	Widespread										
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact										
	One off or rare										
	Intermittent or sporadic										
	Frequent										
×	On-going										
Impact - I	mpact on the attributes										
	Insignificant										
×	Minor										
	Significant										
	Major										
Managem											
		jement to respor	nd								
	nent response - Capacity of mana	gement to respor	nd								
	nent response - Capacity of mana	gement to respor	nd								
×	nent response - Capacity of mana	gement to respor	nd								

Tasmanian Wilderness 54 of 70

	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name				Origin	Trend
4.13.6 Human resources		9	A	•	→
		9	9	•	→
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor					
Restricted					
Localised					

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

Extensive Widespread

One off or rare

Intermittent or sporadic

Frequent
On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

Insignificant
Minor

Significant

Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

High capacity

Medium capacity

Low capacity

No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

Decreasing

Static
Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	•	9	9	•	\rightarrow

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Tasmanian Wilderness 55 of 70

Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
remporar	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
~	Frequent					
×						
	On-going					
	ppact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
Tourist De	No capacity and / or resources					
Trena - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
~	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impac	t		Origin	Tren
4.13.8 Hig	n impact research/monitoring activities	O	9		•	→
			9	q	•	→
Snatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
~	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
~	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Ir	npact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					

Tasmanian Wilderness 56 of 70

	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

N/A

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	High degree of ecological naturalness	×			
4.18.1.2	Undisturbed wilderness and spectacular landscapes		×		
4.18.1.3	Rare relict and endemic species		×		
4.18.1.4	A diverse Aboriginal cultural landscape used, modified and managed for at least 35,000 years		×		
4.18.1.5	Exceptional diversity and scale of karst features	×			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property has ${\bf no}$ buffer zone and ${\bf does}$ not need one

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The property has no known and recognised buffer zone

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

A significant part of the TWWHA boundary is adjacent to State forest managed by Sustainable Timber Tasmania, a Government-owned business enterprise and the TWWHA boundary interface with forestry activities is also well managed. Current practice now takes into account the proximity of the TWWHA and implements the necessary measures to protect its values with extensive areas adjacent to the TWWHA either not available for logging, not suitable for forestry, or set aside from harvest.

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

Since the inscription of the Tasmanian Wilderness on the World Heritage List, there have been two main pieces of legislation protecting it at a Commonwealth Level. These are The World Heritage Properties Conservation Act 1983, and The Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999. In addition, The Environment Protection (Impact of Proposals) Act, 1974 provided protection in regards to some types of proposals in the area.

The primary piece of legislation that is used to protect the World Heritage Area at the national level is the National Parks and Wildlife Act 1970.

Conservation Areas within the World Heritage Area also come under the National Parks and Wildlife Act 1970.

Comment

The Commonwealth Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 is the Australian Government's central piece of environmental legislation that applies to the property. In Tasmania, proclamation and management of protected areas is through the Nature Conservation Act 2002 and the National Parks and Reserves Management Act 2002.

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides

Tasmanian Wilderness 57 of 70

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The property has no buffer zone

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for** effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

Legal framework is complex due to the overlapping Australian and Tasmanian government jurisdictions, diverse land tenure, large land area, and complex ecological and cultural values. The Management Plan applies to over 97% of the property. The Australian Government has the main constitutional power to implement international legal obligations. The Tasmanian Govt has introduced the Land Use Planning and Approvals Amendment Act 2020, providing a statutory EIA process for significant developments

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

The property has appropriate management planning and legislation to ensure it remains protected.

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Other

If 'Other', please specify

Government managed

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.
Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)
Governance mechanisms that foster and respect traditional practices, knowledge and uses of the property
Agreed 'Memorandums of Understanding' between different managing institutions, groups or others, including documents agreed with local communities for management
Mechanisms to promote equal participation among and within groups, including different levels of authority, local communities, indigenous people, women and men, and other specific groups
An integrated management plan combining World Heritage and any other designations
A management plan
An annual work plan or business plan
An environmental management framework

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

The 2016 Management Plan applies to 97% of the property. A Strategic Management Statement documents management arrangements for the remaining area. A Tourism Master Plan has been in the process of development. Management has been effective in maintaining the values of the site, except where threats to values are uncontrollable or not readily mitigated.

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Tasmanian Wilderness World Heritage Area. Management Plan 1997 Draft	N/A	Available	1997	

Comment

The current management plan is Tasmanian Wilderness World Heritage Area Management Plan 2016 https://parks.tas.gov.au/Documents/TWWHA_Management_Plan_2016.pdf

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

No use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

Tasmanian Wilderness 58 of 70

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

Document has been used to inform the development of an Natural Values Climate Change Adaptation Strategy. Monitoring of Climate Change impacts on Natural Values and fire interactions has also made reference to it.

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property? No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

N/A

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being only partially implemented

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and many of its activities are being implemented

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities			×		
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone			×		
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples			×		
5.3.15.5	Women	×				
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups			×		
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify	NGO volunteer	organisations			

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities				×	
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples			×		
5.3.16.4	Landowners				×	
5.3.16.5	Women	×				
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children			×		
5.3.16.7	Researchers				×	
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists				×	
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry				×	
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries				×	
5.3.16.12	NGOs				×	
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups				×	

Tasmanian Wilderness 59 of 70

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality	×				
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)				×	
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				×	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach	×				
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood			×		
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property			×		

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

The extent and nature of the property enables significant ecosystem benefits/services to the people of Tasmania. The continued use of Management Plans (1999 and 2016) ensures that benefits flow to the entire state of Tasmania from carefully managed tourism and development.

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

The management of the property is helped by a high degree of natural protection due to the scale, location, limited infrastructure and harsh environmental conditions. The series of extensions to the property have added a layer of protection. The Management Plan 2016 provides a comprehensive approach to management of a World Heritage site.

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 0 %	Total 0 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

Australia cannot provide the requested data for 6.1, as funding is spread across a large number of different programs and priorities which may have multiple benefits for particular properties. That is, it is not possible to disaggregate the funding component attributed to each property. Funding comes from the State and Commonwealth Government, commercial activities and individual charges.

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are $\ensuremath{\textit{secure over both the medium-}}$ and $\ensuremath{\textit{long-term}}$

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

The information is contained in the current 5 year funding agreement between the Australian government and the Tasmanian government. There are also

Tasmanian Wilderness 60 of 70

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	50 %	50 %
6.1.6.2	Women	50 %	50 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Poor
Community participation and inclusion	Poor
Risk preparedness	Poor
Capacity development and education	Poor
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Poor
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done. N/A

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme has been developed but it is not implemented and skills are not being transferred

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Capacity building remains a key strategic direction that has started to be considered and approaches implemented.

Tasmanian Wilderness 61 of 70

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is insufficient

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared with local communities and some national agencies

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

A new Business Strategy has been developed to help focus investment on key strategic management needs. Further knowledge transfer and engagement with local communities and university researchers is a key identified need. Cultural values remain poorly identified and there is a concerted effort now in place to enhance our identification, understanding and protection of Tasmanian Aboriginal sites and values across the property.

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Poor
Local/municipal authorities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Poor
Women	Not applicable
Youth/children	Poor
Researchers	Fair
Local visitors	Poor
National/international tourists	Poor
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industries	Poor
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a ${\bf limited}$ and ${\bf ad}$ hoc ${\bf education}$ and awareness programme for children and/or youth

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities	
Landowners	
Tourism industry	

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Good
Site museum	Not needed
Information booths	Fair
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Fair
Printed information materials	Good

Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

School education about the significance of the TWWHA remains a challenge.

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

616 000 / 765 000 / 770 000 / 722 000 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

Tourism industry

Visitor surveys

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One day (no overnight stay)

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

The visitor numbers provided are for key gateway sites only within the property. Total visitor numbers are currently not available. Options for monitoring all entry points are under consideration.

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

This type of visitor expenditure data is not collected.

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

Visitor management is a key focus of the Management Plan 2016. A Tourism Master Plan is one outcome identified by the Management Plan, and this document was finalised and made available on a Tasmania Government's website in May 2021.

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

No

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is good cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations, but not easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property? Fees are collected, and make some contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

there is an intent for a number of examples eg Par Avion standing camp at Bathurst Harbour

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Ye

If 'Yes', please specify

Tasmanian Wilderness 63 of 70

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

Tourism and visitation is a key focus of the 2016 Management Plan, showcasing the qualities of the property through careful development of visitor facilities at icon sites whilst zoning the remainder of the property to limit tourism development and focus on day and multi-day walkers.

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving the understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation			×	
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system			×	
10.3.3	Character of governance		×		
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations		×		
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development		×		
10.3.6	Capacity development		×		

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

Extent of vegetation types within tolerable fire intervals Extent of fire sensitive vegetation affected by fire Mapped wilderness quality maintained or improved at 2020 baseline Mange-free status of wombat population maintained no degrading loss of collectables such as rare minerals and fossils No significant loss of marine species in Port Davey / Bathurst Harbour No new vehicular access to dune areas Populations of Maugean skate (Endangered, endemic) are maintained or improved

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Not applicable
Local communities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Poor
Landowners	Poor
Women	Good
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industry	Poor
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is underway

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

A number of activities have been initiated as a response to the threat posed by fires, including a preparation of a Fire Management Plan for the World Heritage site and Model of Fire Cover to inform response strategies. A Tourism Master Plan will provide further guidance on the policies required and opportunities for a range of tourism and related recreation experiences and was released for public consultation in March 2020

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

Could be improved, but requires significant investment of resources and finances. Needs to be strategic and focussed upon the OUV and any changes in this over time related to threat impacts and management

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

Tasmanian Wilderness 64 of 70

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones	
5.1.3	The property has no buffer zone	
5.1.4	The property has no known and recognised buffer zone	
5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.4	The property has no buffer zone	
5.2.6	There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain	
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	No use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	
5.3.7	Some use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved	
5.3.13	The management system at the property is only being partially implemented	
5.3.17	 In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property 	
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	×
6.1.10	No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	×
6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme has been developed but it is not implemented and skills are not being transferred	×
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects	
7.1	Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is insufficient	
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
8.2	There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth	×
9	Visitor Management	
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation	×
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made	
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	×
10	Monitoring	
10.1	There is considerable monitoring at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	
Pleas	se select 4 more issues.	
Ŋ Ple	ease save this question to reflect changes	

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.2	Transportation Infrastructure
-----	-------------------------------

Tasmanian Wilderness 65 of 70

4.2.5	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	vii	Ongoing mai	ntenance		NA	NA		Tasmar Service	nian Parks &	Wildlife	pri im wil a k	pads, bridges etc p or to listing. Whils pact on aesthetics derness quality, the ey mechanism to management ver	t they may and ney function as allow access
4.3	Services Infras	tructures												
4.3.1		Wat	er astructure											
4.8	Social/Cultural	uses of heri	tage											
4.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage	iii, iv, vi, vii, viii, ix, x	Efforts are undo through the nev and interpretati at gateway site property to bett the value of a V community	w website on facilities s within the er promote		tion inclusio ure visitor ys	on 2022	2 then	ongoing	Tasmaniar Wildlife Se		&	Community und the reason for lis of the property a of the WHA to lo communities is I and an identified future improved of the property	sting, the OUV and the value local less than hoped if need for
4.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	iii, ∀i	Action underw traditional know to document e past ways of lii the property by Tasmanian Ab people.	wledge and vidence of fe and use of y the	establissue Busin for the	fic projects lished to ad as part of the ess Strateg e property a generated	Idress this he ny 2018-22 and data is	20	018-22		Service Depart	e Tasma ment of ies, Park		N/A
4.10	Climate change	e and severe	weather event	s										
4.10.6	Temperature change	vii, ix, x		ent of a Climate laptation Strategy	y	Ongoing n	nonitoring		Strategy 2021	release	Park Dep Indu	s & Wild	ch Tasmanian dlife Service of Primary darks, Water &	N/A
4.10.7	Other climate change impacts	vii, ix, x	Development of a Climate Change Adaptation Strategy		Ongoing Monitoring			2021 Parks Depa		t approach Tasmanian as & Wildlife Service artment of Primary stries, Parks, Water & ronment		N/A		
4.11	Sudden ecolog	jical or geolo	gical events											
4.11.6	Fire (wildfire)	iii, iv, vi, vii, viii, ix, x	TWWHA Fir	e Management elopment	Bio Ta Wo Mo	re Impacts of odiversity Value of the control of th	alues in the ilderness e Area:		e Manager ease 2021		F C	Parks & \ Departmo	oroach Tasmanian Wildlife Service ent of Primary s, Parks, Water & nent	N/A
4.12	Invasive/alien	species or hy	/per-abundant	species										
4.12.2			Invasive/Alier terrestrial species	n										
4.12.3	Invasive/Alien freshwater species	viii, ix, x		nt of a Biosecurity the TWWHA and tion		with the B	g associated liosecurity outcomes an		Strategy	by staging of o deliver	P C Ir	arks & V epartme	roach Tasmanian Wildlife Service ent of Primary s, Parks, Water & ent	N/A
4.13	Management a	nd institutio	nal factors											
4.13.5	Financial resources	N/A	-	going discussions ding and resourci	-	ding N/	'A	on	going		Tasma Service		rks & Wildlife	N/A
Question not completed														

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

6.1	Funding	

Tasmanian Wilderness 66 of 70

		Actions	Timeframe		Lead agency (and othe involved)	ers	More info / comment				
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	Negotiate with State Party an appropriate budget for the next 5 year funding agreement based on identified risks and management needs that allows an increase in staffing appropriate to the Management Plan expectations	development scheduled for		Joint Tasmanian Parks & Wildlife Service Australian Government		The current Management Plan is too detailed and not all 156 actions are able to be undertaken due to cost and resourcing constraints, particularly those related to the identification and management of cultural values of the property.				
6.1.10	No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	Whilst capacity development remains an issue, the current 5 year Business Plan is starting to address this. Implementation of a joint management approach requires dedicated funding and resourcing.	1		2023		V		Joint Tasmanian Parks & Wildlife Service Australia Government		Capacity building, retention and capture of knowledge and use of emerging technologies to build capacity are all being considered for future programming
6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme has been developed but it is not implemented and skills are not being transferred	Whilst capacity development remains an issue, the current 5 year Business Plan is starting to address this through the inclusion of one of the five key focus areas of the Strategy being "Enhancing TWWHA Management Capacity".	2023		Tasmanian Parks & Wil Service	dlife	N/A				
8	Education, Informati	ion and Awareness Building									
8.2	limited and ad s	development of education strategies and chool curricula are options for inclusion in the next 5 year Business Strategy.	2023	av th av se ac		aware the st aware sector	ere is a difference in the general areness of different audiences about status of the property. The level of areness is highest within the tourism tor, followed by local communities pining the property, then youth and dren.				
9	Visitor Manageme	ent									
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation	The development of the Tourism Master Plan will provide certainty to tourism operators as to what experiences are appropriate and where in order to maintain the OUV of the property.	2021	Tasmanian Service	needed to i actions con		al funding and resources will be o implement the recommended contained within the Tourism Plan for the property				
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	Some specific individual attributes of the OUV have been the focus of interpretation rather than the overall OUV, integrity and authenticity and the history of listing	post 2023	Tasmanian Parks & Wildlife Service		existence	reness and understanding of the e and justification for inscription operty varies greatly.				

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

Summary - Management Needs completed

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been compromised by factors described in this report

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding

Tasmanian Wilderness 67 of 70

Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been impacted by factors described in this report, but this situation is being addressed through effective management actions.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has **not been significantly impacted**

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

Even though the vast scale, active management, very limited access and harsh environmental conditions, help protect the property and its values, it faces ongoing current and future threats. Intense bushfires caused by climate change constitute the major threat to many of the site's ancient and other significant life forms along with important cultural landscapes. Some landscape and wilderness values, have declined is restricted parts of the property since inscription.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	No impact
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	No impact
Political support for conservation	No impact
Legal/Policy framework	Positive
Advocacy	Positive
Institutional coordination	No impact
Security	Positive
Gender equality	Not applicable
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	No impact
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	No impact
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts $_{\mbox{\scriptsize N/A}}$

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

Establishment of a Monitoring & Evaluation Framework for the TWWHA. The Monitoring and Evaluation Framework for the TWWHA was developed to guide monitoring and assessment of our progress in delivering the current and any future 5 year TWWHA Business Strategy directions. It also facilitates an evaluation of our success in achieving the key desired outcomes of the TWWHA Management Plan 2016 and helps ensure that we have available the necessary information to enable us to meet our current and future international reporting obligations. It uses a series of Key Evaluation Questions to set out a high-level approach for assessing effectiveness of our management actions underway in the TWWHA. It will guide monitoring, evaluation and reporting by suggesting performance targets that can be monitored using simple or more complex monitoring approaches. The Framework builds on the existing system used by Parks & Wildlife Service, using feedback loops to help improve program design and implementation as part of an adaptive approach to management of the TWWHA and the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value. This will enable: * recognition of the need for and support for the implementation and use of monitoring and evaluation in managing the TWWHA; * using 'best-available' or 'fit-for-purpose' information when implementing management strategies or developing policy; * understanding the information and knowledge gaps and using outputs from the Framework to help prioritise investment; * development of targeted monitoring to help measure our performance in managing for the Outstanding Universal Value of the TWWHA; and * acknowledgement that some values respond slowly to management actions requiring support for long term monitoring throughout successive 5 year funding cycles for the TWWHA.

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Tasmanian Wilderness 68 of 70

State of Conservation	
Management	
Governance	
Capacity Building	

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value

Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	No follow-up
Site Managers	No follow-up
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	No follow-up
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	No follow-up

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans

Awareness raising

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

The site manager has established a Monitoring & Evaluation Framework for the property and the information generated through the 3rd cycle Periodic Report will be incorporated into the Framework and used for future management plan development and management strategies designed to maintain the OUV of the property.

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance has **not been explicitly** considered or implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

70 / 20 / 100 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

 $\textbf{Most} \ \text{required information was accessible}.$

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire				×
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			×	

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

Greater clarity around interpreting use of trend in the question responses.....eg Increasing positive is good, increasing negative is bad.....

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Fair
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

The recent set of YouTube videos were very useful and should assist all world heritage site managers in completing future Periodic Reporting

- 15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
- 15.6.1 Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
 - Geographic information table

Reason for update: The easting/northing describing the property places it outside of the boundary. We have suggested a new centroid 437404E, 5225742N The Boundary can be best described with (top) -41° 31' 45.17" (left) 145° 24' 40.56" (right) 147° 5' 22.12" (bottom) -43° 51' 37.35"

• Map(s)

Reason for update: replace with map located at https://www.environment.gov.au/system/files/pages/f99dbb51-03c2-4eb2-a66e-87c404 4117b4/files/map-boundary-2013.pdf which is a simpler version of that in the above 2013 link to the map of the property

Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

- 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.2 Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.

Tasmanian Wilderness 70 of 70