

Group of Monuments at Hampi

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property


Group of Monuments at Hampi

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

| Name | Coordinates | Property (ha) | Buffer zone (ha) | Total (ha) | Inscription year |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------|------------|------------------|
| Group of Monuments at Hampi | 15.314 / 76.472 | 4187.24 | 19453.62 | 23640.86 | 1986 |
| Total (ha) | | 4187.24 | 19453.62 | 23640.86 | |

1.4 - Map(s)

| Title | Date | Link to source |
|---|------|---|
| Group of Monuments at Hampi - Map of the inscribed property and its buffer zone | 2012 |  |

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

1. [The Official Website of Ministry of Tourism](#)
2. [Vijayanagara Research Project](#)

Comment

<https://asi.nic.in>

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

| | | The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme | The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme |
|-------|---|---|---|
| 2.1.1 | International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict) | | × |
| 2.1.2 | List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict) | | × |
| 2.1.3 | The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)) | | × |
| 2.1.4 | World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme | | × |
| 2.1.5 | Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks | | × |

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

Not Applicable

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

No

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

| | | |
|--------------|--|---|
| 2.7.1 | 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict | |
| 2.7.1 | There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | ✕ |
| 2.7.2 | The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.3 | The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.4 | The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.2 | Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict | |
| 2.7.1 | There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | ✕ |
| 2.7.2 | The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.3 | The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.4 | The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.3 | Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention) | |
| 2.7.1 | There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | ✕ |
| 2.7.2 | The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.3 | The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.4 | The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.4 | Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme | |
| 2.7.1 | There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | ✕ |
| 2.7.2 | The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.3 | The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.4 | The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.5 | UNESCO Global Geoparks | |
| 2.7.1 | There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | ✕ |
| 2.7.2 | The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.3 | The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.4 | The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme. | |

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

Not Applicable

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

No

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

Not Applicable

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

No

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you are aware.

Not applicable

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief synthesis

The austere and grandiose site of Hampi comprise mainly the remnants of the Capital City of Vijayanagara Empire (14th-16th Cent CE), the last great Hindu Kingdom. The property encompasses an area of 4187, 24 hectares, located in the Tungabhadra basin in Central Karnataka, Bellary District.

Hampi's spectacular setting is dominated by river Tungabhadra, craggy hill ranges and open plains, with widespread physical remains. The sophistication of the varied urban, royal and sacred systems is evident from the more than 1600 surviving remains that include forts, riverside features, royal and sacred complexes, temples, shrines, pillared halls, Mandapas, memorial structures, gateways, defence check posts, stables, water structures, etc.

Among these, the Krishna temple complex, Narasimha, Ganesa, Hemakuta group of temples, Achyutaraya temple complex, Vitthala temple complex, Pattabhirama temple complex, Lotus Mahal complex, can be highlighted. Suburban townships (puras) surrounded the large Dravidian temple complexes containing subsidiary shrines, bazaars, residential areas and tanks applying the unique hydraulic technologies and skilfully and harmoniously integrating the town and defence architecture with surrounding landscape. The remains unearthed in the site delineate both the extent of the economic prosperity and political status that once existed indicating a highly developed society.

Dravidian architecture flourished under the Vijayanagara Empire and its ultimate form is characterised by their massive dimensions, cloistered enclosures, and lofty towers over the entrances encased by decorated pillars.

The Vitthala temple is the most exquisitely ornate structure on the site and represents the culmination of Vijayanagara temple architecture. It is a fully developed temple with associated buildings like Kalyana Mandapa and Utsava Mandapa within a cloistered enclosure pierced with three entrance Gopurams. In addition to the typical spaces present in contemporary temples, it boasts of a Garuda shrine fashioned as a granite *ratha* and a grand bazaar street. This complex also has a large *Pushkarani* (stepped tank) with a *Vasantotsava mandapa* (ceremonial pavilion at the centre), wells and a network of water channels.

Another unique feature of temples at Hampi is the wide Chariot streets flanked by the rows of Pillared Mandapas, introduced when chariot festivals became an integral part of the rituals. The stone chariot in front of the temple is also testimony to its religious ritual. Most of the structures at Hampi are constructed from local granite, burnt bricks and lime mortar. The stone masonry and lantern roofed post and lintel system were the most favoured construction technique. The massive fortification walls have irregular cut size stones with paper joints by filling the core with rubble masonry without any binding material. The gopuras over the entrances and the sanctum proper have been constructed with stone and brick. The roofs have been laid with the heavy thick granite slabs covered with a water proof course of brick jelly and lime mortar.

Vijayanagara architecture is also known for its adoption of elements of Indo Islamic Architecture in secular buildings like the Queen's Bath and the Elephant Stables, representing a highly evolved multi-religious and multi-ethnic society. Building activity in Hampi continued over a period of 200 years reflecting the evolution in the religious and political scenario as well as the advancements in art and architecture. The city rose to metropolitan proportions and is immortalized in the words of many foreign travellers as one of the most beautiful cities. The Battle of Talikota (1565 CE) led to a massive destruction of its physical fabric.

Dravidian architecture survives in the rest of Southern India spread through the patronage of the Vijayanagara rulers. The Raya Gopura, introduced first in the temples attributed to Raja Krishna Deva Raya, is a landmark all over South India.

Criterion (i): The remarkable integration between the planned and defended city of Hampi with its exemplary temple architecture and its spectacular natural setting represent a unique artistic creation.

Criterion (iii): The city bears exceptional testimony to the vanished civilization of the kingdom of Vijayanagara, which reached its apogee under the reign of Krishna Deva Raya (1509-1530).

Criterion (iv): This capital offers an outstanding example of a type of structure which illustrates a significant historical situation: that of the destruction of the Vijayanagara kingdom at the Battle of Talikota (1565 CE) which left behind an ensemble of living temples, magnificent archaeological remains in the form of elaborate sacred, royal, civil and military structures as well as traces of its rich lifestyle, all integrated within its natural setting.

Integrity

The area of the property is adequate to accommodate, represent and protect all the key attributes of the site.

The majority of the monuments are in good state of preservation and conservation. The highly developed and extremely sophisticated settlement articulates architectural manifestations, agricultural activities, irrigation systems, formal and informal paths, boulders and rocks, religious and social expressions. However, maintaining these conditions of integrity poses significant challenges derived mainly from pressures associated with development, planned and unplanned, which pose a threat to the landscape of the property, as well as encroachments and changes in land use, especially increased agricultural activity of commercial crops that might threaten the physical stability of the diverse monuments.

Particular attention will need to be placed on regulating residential constructions and potential development to accommodate visitor use, as well as infrastructure to address communication needs, particular by pass roads. Addressing also the visual impact of modern electrification fixtures, telephone poles and other elements, will also be important to maintain the integrity of the property.

Authenticity

The attributes like strategic location and abundance of natural resources, rendering this spectacular landscape befit for a Capital City have been maintained in the property.

The authenticity of the site has been maintained in terms of location and setting, as the original setting comprising of river Tungabhadra and boulders is fully retained. In terms of form and function, the integration of the geographic setting with man-made features in the design and functional layout of the entire capital can still be discerned and the form of the original city planning with suburban pattern is evident. The largely untouched archaeological elements provide ample evidences of authentic materials and construction and interventions have maintained qualities when undertaken. The stages of evolution and perfection of the Vijayanagara Architecture are evident in the monumental structures As for traditions and techniques; the physical remains are a befitting tribute to the ingenuity of the builders in shaping the metropolis of this grand scale by utilizing locally available material, traditional knowledge system and skilled craftsmanship. Today there is a continuity of several religious rituals, associations, traditional skills and occupations within the society that have been maintained.

However, the destruction by the battle of Talikota and the passage of time have led to some of the original functions and traditions becoming obsolete and altered, while several are in continuum forming an integral part of the site like festivals, temple rituals, pilgrimage, agriculture, etc. The Virupaksha temple is in constant worship, this has led to many additions and alterations to different parts of temple complex. Similarly, the haphazard growth of modern shops, restaurants in and around it and its bazaar that caters to religious and social tourists has impacted adversely on its setting as has the asphaltting of the roads over the ancient pathway in front of the Virupaksha temple. The tensions between modern uses and protecting the fabric and setting of the ancient remains need to be managed with the utmost sensitivity.

Protection and management requirements

Different legal instruments exist for the protection of the property, including the Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Remains and Sites Act, 1958 (AMASR Act, 1958), AMASR (Amendment and Validation) Act, 2010 and Rules 1959 of the Government of India and Karnataka Ancient and Historical Monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains Act, 1961. Recently, the Draft (Bill) of Hampi World Heritage Area Management Authority Act, 2001 has been framed to look after the protection and management of the 4187,24 hectares of the World Heritage Area.

There are different levels of authorities and agencies that have mandates that influence the protection and management of the property under a diversity of Acts. The Government of India, the Archaeological Survey of India (ASI) and the Government of Karnataka are responsible for the protection and management of fifty-six Nationally Protected Monuments and the rest of the area covered by 46.8 sq. kms respectively under their respective legal provisions. The ASI has established site office at Kamalapuram to manage the Centrally Protected Monuments. It is also functioning as World Heritage Site Co-ordinator at the local level and district level interacting with various local self Government and district authorities and the Hampi Development Authority for preserving the values of the property. The regional level office at Bangalore, which co-ordinates with Directorate, ASI, New Delhi and concerned agencies of the Government of Karnataka at higher level, supports the ASI site office at Kamalapur.

Office of the Director General, ASI, New Delhi office is a national apex body coordinating with UNESCO on one hand and the regional offices under whose jurisdiction the World Heritage Property falls and also the highest authorities of the Government of Karnataka on the other. The DAM has its office at Mysore and local office at Hampi. The HUDA, HWHAMA, Town Planning and other district level authorities are located in Hospet and Bellary, which is also the Head Quarters of the Deputy Commissioner. The management of other aspects of the property such as the cultural landscape, living traditions, rest with State, Town, Municipal and Village level agencies.

The constitution of a single heritage authority, Hampi World Heritage Area Management Authority (HWHAMA) ensure the effectiveness of the management system and coordination of works from different agencies while allowing local self Government authorities to continue to exercise the powers as enlisted in the respective Acts. The final powers for approving and regulating any developmental activities in the property rest with the HWHAMA. The establishment of the Integrated Information Management Centre and initiation of the Joint Heritage Management Program are major steps towards effective protection and management within the Indian legal frame work.

The present perspective acknowledges its diverse attributes and complex cultural systems. The management framework visualizes the site in its entirety where heritage management is the first priority followed by human resource development, which elevates the economic status. The implementation of the Integrated Management Plan aims at value-based management and ensures safeguarding of the outstanding universal value of the property.

Specific long, mid and short term goals for ensuring effective management of the property have been identified and their implementation processes are in various stages. The periodic review and update of management tools including the Master Plan, the Base Map on the GIS platform, the Conservation Plan, the Risk Preparedness Plan, the Public Use plan, and other tools to ensure sustainable development of the local community and also reduce the risk from natural and human made disaster in different areas of the property, is critical to ensure the sustainability of the management system. Long-term goals include internal capacity building and adoption of a new systematic approach where actions are coordinated and participatory. Sustained funding will be essential to ensure an operational system and the allocation of resources for the implementation of projects for the conservation and management of the diverse elements of the property.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

| | Brief identification of attribute | Preserved | Compromised | Seriously compromised | Lost |
|--------|---|-----------|-------------|-----------------------|------|
| 3.2.1 | Capital City of Vijayanagara Empire | × | | | |
| 3.2.2 | Apogee / Example of urban, royal and sacred systems in medieval India | × | | | |
| 3.2.3 | Classic Vijayanagara temple architecture | × | | | |
| 3.2.4 | Spectacular natural setting represents a unique artistic creation | × | | | |
| 3.2.5 | Unique hydraulic technologies integrating the town and defence architecture | × | | | |
| 3.2.6 | | × | | | |
| 3.2.7 | | × | | | |
| 3.2.8 | | × | | | |
| 3.2.9 | | × | | | |
| 3.2.10 | | × | | | |
| 3.2.11 | | × | | | |
| 3.2.12 | | × | | | |
| 3.2.13 | | × | | | |
| 3.2.14 | | × | | | |
| 3.2.15 | | × | | | |

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

The ancient remains of the fort walls and gates, royal palaces and the civil structures, water storage tanks and channels and the monuments with beautiful carvings of the great Vijayanagar dynasty exhibits the importance and standing example for the traditional human settlements of the medieval period in Karnataka, India and well preserved besides, the natural beauty and the aesthetic importance. The properties of the OUV which is exeptional preserved for future generations and humanity.

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

| ✕ Relevant | Not relevant | | | | | | |
|--------------|--------------|-------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|----------|--------------|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | 🔴 Current | 🟡 Potential | 🟢 Inside | 🟢 Outside | 📉 Decreasing | ➡ Stable | 📈 Increasing |
| ➕ Positive ✕ | ✕ | | | ✕ | | ➡ | |
| ➖ Negative | | | | | | | |

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

| ✕ Relevant | Not relevant | | | | | | |
|--------------|--------------|-------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|----------|--------------|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | 🔴 Current | 🟡 Potential | 🟢 Inside | 🟢 Outside | 📉 Decreasing | ➡ Stable | 📈 Increasing |
| ➕ Positive ✕ | ✕ | | ✕ | ✕ | | ➡ | |
| ➖ Negative | | | | | | | |

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The site has proper interpretation and visitation facilities like signage etc. There are proper accommodation facility for the visitor to cater the current visitor needs.

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

| ✕ Relevant | Not relevant | | | | | | |
|--------------|--------------|-------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|----------|--------------|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | 🔴 Current | 🟡 Potential | 🟢 Inside | 🟢 Outside | 📉 Decreasing | ➡ Stable | 📈 Increasing |
| ➕ Positive ✕ | ✕ | | | ✕ | | ➡ | |
| ➖ Negative | | | | | | | |

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

In the state of conservation reports examined by the world heritage committee, identified factor affecting the cultural property is transportation infrastructure. The growing urbanization required the land transportation to facilitate movement. However, these developments are away from the cultural property and thus do not impact the historic fabric. Thus, ground transport infrastructure helps in proper commuting of the visitors.

4.3. Services Infrastructures









4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant

Not relevant

| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
|---|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| Impact |  Current |  Potential |  Inside |  Outside |  Decreasing |  Stable |  Increasing |
|  Positive | | | | | | | |
|  Negative ✕ | | ✕ | ✕ | ✕ | | → | |

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No infrastructure facilities is permitted in the world heritage property at Hampi and the value of the cultural property is maintained. But once in three, four years, when the Dams are opened, water from these dams accumulates near to the property, although no damage is caused by this till now. But it is one of the major potential factor.

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No impact of the above factors.

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No impact of the above factors.

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No impact of the above factors on the monument.

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|--|
| Relevant | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant |
|----------|--|

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|--|
| Relevant | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant |
|----------|--|

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

| | |
|----------|--|
| Relevant | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant |
|----------|--|

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

| | |
|----------|--|
| Relevant | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant |
|----------|--|

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

| | |
|----------|--|
| Relevant | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant |
|----------|--|

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

| | |
|----------|--|
| Relevant | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant |
|----------|--|

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

None of the above factors affect the site.

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

| | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------------------|---------|-----------------|--------|------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant | <input type="checkbox"/> Not relevant | | | | | | |
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | Current | Potential | Inside | Outside | Decreasing | Stable | Increasing |
| Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | | |
| Negative | | | | | | | |

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

| | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|--------|------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant | <input type="checkbox"/> Not relevant | | | | | | |
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | Current | Potential | Inside | Outside | Decreasing | Stable | Increasing |
| Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | |
| Negative | | | | | | | |

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant











4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant

Not relevant

| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| Impact |  Current |  Potential |  Inside |  Outside |  Decreasing |  Stable |  Increasing |
|  Positive ✕ | ✕ | | ✕ | ✕ | |  | |
|  Negative | | | | | | | |

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Religious activities are integral part of this site, thus, being a religious and touristic site, have increased the value of the site over the period. This has eventually increase the tourism at the site as well. Thus, providing better livelihood opportunity to the locals.

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

None of the above factors affect the site...

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

| | |
|----------|--|
| Relevant | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant |
|----------|--|

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

| | |
|----------|--|
| Relevant | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant |
|----------|--|

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|--|
| Relevant | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant |
|----------|--|

4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|--|
| Relevant | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant |
|----------|--|

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|--|
| Relevant | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant |
|----------|--|

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|--|
| Relevant | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant |
|----------|--|

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|--|
| Relevant | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant |
|----------|--|

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Not relevant.

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|--|
| Relevant | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant |
|----------|--|

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

| | |
|----------|--|
| Relevant | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant |
|----------|--|

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|--|
| Relevant | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant |
|----------|--|

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

None of the above factors are relevant to the site.

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

None of the above factors affect the site.

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan















✕ Relevant

Not relevant

Impact















Origin

Trend of impact

| Impact |  Current |  Potential |  Inside |  Outside |  Decreasing |  Stable |  Increasing |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
|  Positive  |  | |  |  | |  | |
|  Negative | | | | | | | |















4.13.2 - Legal framework

| | |
|--|--------------|
|  Relevant | Not relevant |
|--|--------------|

| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| Impact |  Current |  Potential |  Inside |  Outside |  Decreasing |  Stable |  Increasing |
|  Positive  |  | |  |  | |  | |
|  Negative | | | | | | | |

4.13.3 - Governance

| | |
|--|--------------|
|  Relevant | Not relevant |
|--|--------------|















| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| Impact |  Current |  Potential |  Inside |  Outside |  Decreasing |  Stable |  Increasing |
|  Positive  |  | |  |  | |  | |
|  Negative | | | | | | | |

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):













- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

| | |
|--|--------------|
|  Relevant | Not relevant |
|--|--------------|

| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| Impact |  Current |  Potential |  Inside |  Outside |  Decreasing |  Stable |  Increasing |
|  Positive  |  | |  |  | |  | |
|  Negative | | | | | | | |















4.13.5 - Financial resources

| | |
|--|--------------|
|  Relevant | Not relevant |
|--|--------------|

| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| Impact |  Current |  Potential |  Inside |  Outside |  Decreasing |  Stable |  Increasing |
|  Positive  |  | |  | | | |  |
|  Negative | | | | | | | |

4.13.6 - Human resources

| | |
|--|--------------|
|  Relevant | Not relevant |
|--|--------------|















| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| Impact |  Current |  Potential |  Inside |  Outside |  Decreasing |  Stable |  Increasing |
|  Positive  |  | |  |  | | |  |
|  Negative | | | | | | | |

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

| | |
|--|--------------|
|  Relevant | Not relevant |
|--|--------------|

| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| Impact |  Current |  Potential |  Inside |  Outside |  Decreasing |  Stable |  Increasing |
|  Positive  |  | |  |  | |  | |
|  Negative | | | | | | | |

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Different legal instruments exist for the protection of the property, including the Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Remains and Sites Act, 1958 (AMASR Act, 1958), AMASR (Amendment and Validation) Act, 2010 and Rules 1959 of the Government of India and Karnataka Ancient and Historical Monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains Act, 1961 and Hampi World Heritage Area Management Authority Act, 2001 to look after the management of the site which is presently adequate and efficient at site

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

There are different levels of authorities and agencies that have mandates that influence the protection and management of the property under a diversity of Acts. The Government of India, the Archaeological Survey of India (ASI) and the Government of Karnataka are responsible for the protection and management of 57 Nationally Protected Monuments and the rest of the area covered by 46.8 sq. kms respectively under their respective legal provisions. The ASI has established site office at Kamalapur.

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

| Name | Impact | | Origin | | Trend | |
|---|--------|--|--------|--|-------|--|
| 4.1 Buildings and Development | | | | | | |
| 4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.2 Transportation Infrastructure | | | | | | |
| 4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.3 Services Infrastructures | | | | | | |
| 4.3.1 Water infrastructure | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage | | | | | | |
| 4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.13 Management and institutional factors | | | | | | |
| 4.13.1 Management system/Management plan | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.13.2 Legal framework | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.13.3 Governance | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.13.4 Management activities | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | |
|--|---------|-----------|----------|----------|--------|---------|
| 4.13.5 Financial resources | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.13.6 Human resources | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Legend | Current | Potential | Negative | Positive | Inside | Outside |

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | Trend |
|---|--------|--|--|--------|-------|
| 4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| | Restricted |
| | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| | Static |
| | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | Trend |
|--|--------|--|--|--------|-------|
| 4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities | | | | | |







| | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | | | | | | | |
| | Restricted | | | | | | |
| ✕ | Localised | | | | | | |
| | Extensive | | | | | | |
| | Widespread | | | | | | |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | | | | | | | |
| | One off or rare | | | | | | |
| | Intermittent or sporadic | | | | | | |
| | Frequent | | | | | | |
| ✕ | On-going | | | | | | |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | | | | | | | |
| ✕ | Insignificant | | | | | | |
| | Minor | | | | | | |
| | Significant | | | | | | |
| | Major | | | | | | |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | | | | | | | |
| ✕ | High capacity | | | | | | |
| | Medium capacity | | | | | | |
| | Low capacity | | | | | | |
| | No capacity and / or resources | | | | | | |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | | | | | | | |
| | Decreasing | | | | | | |
| ✕ | Static | | | | | | |
| | Increasing | | | | | | |

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|--|--------------------------|--|--|--------|--|-------|
| 4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | | | | | | |
| | Restricted | | | | | |
| ✕ | Localised | | | | | |
| | Extensive | | | | | |
| | Widespread | | | | | |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | | | | | | |
| | One off or rare | | | | | |
| ✕ | Intermittent or sporadic | | | | | |
| | Frequent | | | | | |
| | On-going | | | | | |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | | | | | | |





| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| | Insignificant |
| ✕ | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| ✕ | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| | Static |
| ✕ | Increasing |

4.3 Services Infrastructures

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|----------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 4.3.1 Water infrastructure | | | | | | |
| |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
| | Restricted |
| ✕ | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| ✕ | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| ✕ | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| ✕ | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| ✕ | Static |
| | Increasing |

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|---|---|---|---|
| 4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses |   |  |  |
| | | | |

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

| | |
|---|------------|
| | Restricted |
| ✕ | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

| | |
|---|--------------------------|
| | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| ✕ | Frequent |
| | On-going |

Impact - Impact on the attributes





| | |
|---|---------------|
| ✕ | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

| | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| ✕ | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

| | |
|---|------------|
| | Decreasing |
| ✕ | Static |
| | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| 4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage |   |   |  |
| | | | |




Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

| | |
|---|------------|
| | Restricted |
| | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| ✕ | Widespread |

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

| | |
|--|--------------------------|
| | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |






| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| ✕ | Frequent |
| | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| ✕ | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| ✕ | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| | Static |
| ✕ | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|---|
| 4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation |  |  | |  |  |  |
| | | | | | | |






| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
| | Restricted |
| ✕ | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |
| ✕ | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| ✕ | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| ✕ | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |

| | |
|---|------------|
| ✕ | Static |
| | Increasing |

4.13 Management and institutional factors





| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|--|---|---|---|
| 4.13.1 Management system/Management plan |   |   |  |
| | | | |

| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| | Restricted |
| | Localised |
| ✕ | Extensive |
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| ✕ | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| ✕ | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| ✕ | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| | Static |
| ✕ | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|------------------------|---|---|---|
| 4.13.2 Legal framework |   |   |  |
| | | | |





| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
|---|-----------------|
| | Restricted |
| ✕ | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |

| | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| ✕ | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| ✕ | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| ✕ | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| | Static |
| ✕ | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 4.13.3 Governance |  |  | |  |  |  |
| | | | | | | |

| | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
| | Restricted |
| ✕ | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| ✕ | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| ✕ | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| ✕ | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |

| | |
|---|------------|
| | Decreasing |
| | Static |
| ✕ | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|------------------------------|---|---|---|
| 4.13.4 Management activities |   |   |  |
| | | | |





| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
|---|------------|
| | Restricted |
| ✕ | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |

| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
|---|--------------------------|
| | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| ✕ | On-going |

| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| ✕ | Significant |
| | Major |

| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| ✕ | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |





| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
|---|------------|
| | Decreasing |
| | Static |
| ✕ | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|----------------------------|---|---|---|
| 4.13.5 Financial resources |   |  |  |
| | | | |

| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
|---|------------|
| | Restricted |
| ✕ | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |




| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
|---|-----------------|
| | One off or rare |

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| ✕ | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| ✕ | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| ✕ | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| | Static |
| ✕ | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 4.13.6 Human resources |  |  | |  |  |  |
| | | | | | | |

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
| | Restricted |
| | Localised |
| ✕ | Extensive |
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| ✕ | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| ✕ | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| ✕ | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |

| | |
|---|------------|
| | Decreasing |
| | Static |
| ✕ | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|--|---|---|---|
| 4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities |   |   |  |
| | | | |

| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
|---|------------|
| | Restricted |
| ✕ | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |

| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
|---|--------------------------|
| | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| ✕ | On-going |

| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| ✕ | Significant |
| | Major |

| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| ✕ | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |

| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
|---|------------|
| | Decreasing |
| | Static |
| ✕ | Increasing |

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

Not Applicable

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

| | Attribute | Preserved | Compromised | Seriously compromised | Lost |
|----------|---|-----------|-------------|-----------------------|------|
| 4.18.1.1 | Capital City of Vijayanagara Empire | ✕ | | | |
| 4.18.1.2 | Apogee / Example of urban, royal and sacred systems in medieval India | ✕ | | | |
| 4.18.1.3 | Classic Vijayanagara temple architecture | ✕ | | | |
| 4.18.1.4 | Spectacular natural setting represents a unique artistic creation | ✕ | | | |

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are **adequate to maintain** the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries **are known by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known and recognised by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

At the spatial level, three boundaries have been demarcated, the core zone, buffer zone and peripheral zone under Hampi World Heritage Management Authority Act covering to 105.90sq. km area (1) core zone 41.80sq.km (2) buffer zone 33sq.km and 3) Peripheral zones 31.10sq.kl

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

- Hampi World Heritage Area Management Authority Act 2002
- Ancient Monuments, Archaeological Sites and Remains (Validation and Amendment) Act 2010
- Karnataka Historical Monuments, Archaeological Sites and Remains Act 1961
- Karnataka Town and Country Planning Act 1961

In addition to the existing the Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Remains and Sites Act, 1958 (=AMASR Act, 1958) and Rules 1959 of the Government of India and Karnataka Ancient and Historical Monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains Act, 1961, the Draft (Bill) of HWHAMA Act, 2001 and the HWHMA have been framed to look after the protection and management of the World Heritage Area.

Source: Periodic Reporting Cycle 2

Comment

There other Acts also involved in protection of the cultural property. 1) Air (Prevention and control of pollution) Act, 1981, 2) The water (prevention and control of pollution) Act, 1974 3) The (Protection) Act 1972, 4) Indian Forest Act 1927, 5) The biological diversity Act 2002 and 6) Environment (Protection) Act 1986.

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

1991 / Gazette Notification Regulating Prohibited and Regulated Area / ===== / 1972 and 1976 /

Antiquities and Art Treasure Act and Rules / === / ===== / 1879 / Indian Treasure Trove Act /

---- / --- / ----- / --- / --- / 1963 and 1969 / Karnataka Forest Act and Rules / -- /

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for** effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is **adequate capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

Different legal instruments exist for the protection of the property, including the AMASR Act, 1958, AMASR (Amendment and Validation) Act, 2010 and Rules 1959, KAHM and ASRemains Act, 1961 and HWHAMA- Act, 2001 framed to look after the protection and management of the cultural property. There are different levels of authorities and agencies also that have mandates that influence the protection and management of the property under a diversity of Acts.

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

The ASI has established site office at Kamalapuram to manage the Centrally Protected Monuments. It is also functioning as World Heritage Site Co-ordinator at the local level and district level interacting with various local self Government and district authorities and the Hampi Development Authority for adequately preserving the

values of the property.

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property

Public management system joint national/ local

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

A management plan

An annual work plan or business plan

A disaster, climate or conflict risk management plan

A visitor/visitation management plan

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

Different levels of authorities and agencies involved in protection and management activities, the ASI and the HWAMA a local governing body. The ASI is also functioning as World Heritage Site Co-ordinator at the local level and district level interacting with various local self Government and district authorities and the Hampi Development Authority for preserving the values of the property.

5.3.4 - Management Documents

| Title | Status | Available | Date | Link to source |
|--|--------|-----------|------|---|
| Integrated Management Plan for Hampi World Heritage Site | N/A | Available | 2006 |  |

Comment

Final copy of the IMP with sectoral plans is submitted 2021.

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

The policy for dealing with development proposals is **fully based** on the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

The Hospet Urban Development Authority and other development authorities constituted under the Karnataka TCP Act, 1961, exercising jurisdiction within the HWWA have been given specific roles to play in the Management and decision making process. The Department of Town Planning with the HUDA and other Urban development authorities shall make the land use plan.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

As per the policy of the government of India launched National Action Plan on Climate Change (NAPCC) on 30th June, 2008 outlining eight national Missions on climate change, which includes National Solar Mission, National Mission for Enhanced Energy Efficiency, proposed for the solar lighting system where ever it is required. Energy efficiency lights are being proposed instead of the conventional type of lights. Tree plantations are being done where ever it is required for greenish environment.

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?

Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

To avoid disasters, disaster management plan has been prepared and a team formed with the various stakeholders and the agencies.

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is **adequate coordination** between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully implemented and monitored**

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan **exists** and all of its activities are being implemented and monitored

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the

Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

| | | Not applicable | No mechanisms for participation | Some participation | Direct participation | Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes |
|----------|---|----------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---|
| 5.3.15.1 | Local communities | | | | ✗ | |
| 5.3.15.2 | Local authorities | | | | ✗ | |
| 5.3.15.3 | Landowners in the property and the buffer zone | | | | ✗ | |
| 5.3.15.4 | Indigenous peoples | | | | ✗ | |
| 5.3.15.5 | Women | | | | ✗ | |
| 5.3.15.6 | Other specific groups | | | ✗ | | |
| | If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify | local artisans | | | | |

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

| | | Not applicable | Non-existent | Poor | Fair | Good |
|-----------|---|----------------|--------------|------|------|------|
| 5.3.16.1 | Local communities | | | | | ✗ |
| 5.3.16.2 | Local/Municipal authorities | | | | | ✗ |
| 5.3.16.3 | Indigenous peoples | | | | | ✗ |
| 5.3.16.4 | Landowners | | | | | ✗ |
| 5.3.16.5 | Women | | | | | ✗ |
| 5.3.16.6 | Youth/Children | | | | | ✗ |
| 5.3.16.7 | Researchers | | | | | ✗ |
| 5.3.16.8 | Local Visitors/Tourists | | | | | ✗ |
| 5.3.16.9 | National/International tourists | | | | | ✗ |
| 5.3.16.10 | Tourism Industry | | | | | ✗ |
| 5.3.16.11 | Local businesses and industries | | | | | ✗ |
| 5.3.16.12 | NGOs | | | | | ✗ |
| 5.3.16.13 | Other specific groups | ✗ | | | | |
| | If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify | | | | | |

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention*

| | | Not applicable | No contribution | Limited | Significant | Full achievement |
|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|---------|-------------|------------------|
| 5.3.17.1 | The management system of the property contributes to gender equality | | | | ✗ | |
| 5.3.17.2 | The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants) | | | | ✗ | |
| 5.3.17.3 | The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status | | | | ✗ | |
| 5.3.17.4 | The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach | | | | ✗ | |
| 5.3.17.5 | The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood | | | | ✗ | |
| 5.3.17.6 | The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property | | | | ✗ | |

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

The management framework visualizes the site holistically where heritage management is the first priority followed by human resource development, which elevates the economic status. The implementation of the Integrated Management Plan aims at value-based management and ensures safeguarding of the outstanding universal value of the property. Specific long, mid and short term goals for ensuring effective management of the property have been identified

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

A management plan is a statutory document within the act and that will be instrument for the protection of the cultural resources and the sustainable development of the Hampi Worl Heritage cultural landscape. The management plan will be the means to integrate with other sectors and activities so that the management of Hampi World Heritage Cultural Landscape is harmonious. .

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

| | | Project costs | Running costs |
|----------|--|---------------|---------------|
| 6.1.1.1 | Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.) | 00 % | 0 % |
| 6.1.1.2 | Bilateral international funding | 0 % | 0 % |
| 6.1.1.3 | World Heritage Fund (International Assistance) | 0 % | 0 % |
| 6.1.1.4 | Contribution from other conventions and programmes | 0 % | 0 % |
| 6.1.1.5 | International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.) | 0 % | 0 % |
| 6.1.1.6 | Governmental (national/federal) | 100 % | 100 % |
| 6.1.1.7 | Governmental (regional/provincial/state) | 0 % | 0 % |
| 6.1.1.8 | Governmental (local/municipal) | 0 % | 0 % |
| 6.1.1.9 | In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.) | 0 % | 0 % |
| 6.1.1.10 | Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.) | 0 % | 0 % |
| 6.1.1.11 | Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.) | 0 % | 0 % |
| 6.1.1.12 | Other | 0 % | 0 % |
| | | Total 100 % | Total 100 % |

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

No comments.

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is adequate for effective management of the World Heritage property

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over both the medium- and long-term

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

The funding is adequate for conservation, management and monitoring of the site.

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

| | | From local communities % | From elsewhere % |
|---------|-------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 6.1.6.1 | Men | 50 % | 50 % |
| 6.1.6.2 | Women | 50 % | 50 % |
| | | Total 100 % | Total 100 % |

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are adequate for management needs

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

| | |
|---------------------------------------|------|
| Conservation | Good |
| Environmental sustainability | Fair |
| Community participation and inclusion | Fair |
| Risk preparedness | Fair |
| Capacity development and education | Fair |

| | |
|--|------|
| Administration | Fair |
| Research and monitoring | Fair |
| Awareness raising and public information/communication | Fair |
| Marketing and promotion | Fair |
| Interpretation | Fair |
| Visitor management/tourism | Fair |
| Enforcement (custodians, police) | Fair |

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

| | |
|--|------|
| Conservation | Fair |
| Environmental sustainability | Fair |
| Community participation and inclusion | Fair |
| Risk preparedness | Fair |
| Capacity development and education | Fair |
| Administration | Fair |
| Research and monitoring | Fair |
| Awareness raising and public information/communication | Fair |
| Marketing and promotion | Fair |
| Interpretation | Fair |
| Visitor management/tourism | Fair |
| Enforcement (custodians, police) | Fair |

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Training and capacity building at this property is **fully based** on the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

Regular training and programs are under taken for conservation and management of the site. Apart from this, Policies are framed on training and capacity building to recognize the need for multi disciplinary skills to manage world heritage site, to collaborate with institutions, experts that can impart training to various stake holders responsible for management of the world heritage training and the local community in their respective occupations. .

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A **site-based** capacity building plan or programme is in place and fully implemented; **all technical skills are being transferred** to those managing the property locally

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Human resources are sufficient to manage the site.

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **adequate**

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results **are shared** widely with **active outreach** to local communities and national and international audiences

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

Regular scientific researches are carried out from time to time.

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Group of Monuments at Hampi

| | |
|--|----------------|
| Local communities | Good |
| Local/municipal authorities | Good |
| Indigenous peoples | Good |
| Landowners | Good |
| Women | Good |
| Youth/children | Good |
| Researchers | Good |
| Local visitors | Good |
| National/international tourists | Good |
| Tourism industry | Good |
| Local businesses and industries | Good |
| NGOs | Good |
| Other specific groups | Not applicable |
| If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe | |

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a **planned and effective education and awareness programme** for children and youth that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

| |
|---------------------------------|
| Local communities |
| Local/municipal authorities |
| Indigenous peoples |
| Landowners |
| Women |
| Youth/children |
| Researchers |
| Local Visitors |
| National/international tourists |
| Tourism industry |
| Local businesses and industries |
| NGOs |

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

| | |
|--|------------|
| Visitor centre | Good |
| Site museum | Good |
| Information booths | Good |
| Guided tours | Good |
| Trails/routes | Good |
| Printed information materials | Good |
| Online (website, social media, etc.) | Good |
| Transportation facilities | Good |
| Other | Not needed |
| If 'Other' is selected, please specify | |

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

To recognize all the cultures and traditions, the World Heritage Day being celebrated on 18th April every year. To create awareness among the public about the preservation and safety of our heritage sites the World Heritage Week is celebrated from 19th -25th November every year. During the week long programs the student community from schools and colleges and the local scholars are being invited as special guest. Different competitions are also organized.

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

42669275 / 43431980 / 4478895 / 28127905 / 15769480 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

Accommodation establishments

Transportation services

Tourism industry

Visitor surveys

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

Two overnight stays

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

The length of the stay in the site may differ depending upon the visitors. However, the visitors statistics was calculated through the entry tickets.

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

67.5 / 17.5 / 12.5 / 7.5 / 0 / 2.5 /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

The source of information are visitors registers .

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a planned and **effective strategy** to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

Visitors management plan (VMP) is an important tool in achieving sustainable development. It is a method used to influence the visitor activities. An effective sustainable tourism program is implemented at the site.

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and **does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value**

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

State Tourism Department

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is **good cooperation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry **to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation**

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property **is adequately presented and interpreted**

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations** and **easily visible** to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

Fees are collected, but make **no contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

State Tourism Department

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

State Tourism Department

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

No comments.

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of monitoring**, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **adequate and key indicators have been defined** for measuring the state of conservation and **are being used in monitoring** of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

| | Extend of indicators | Not applicable | No indicators | Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use | Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle |
|--------|--|----------------|---------------|---|--|
| 10.3.1 | State of conservation | | | | × |
| 10.3.2 | Effectiveness of the management system | | | | × |
| 10.3.3 | Character of governance | | | | × |
| 10.3.4 | Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations | | | | × |
| 10.3.5 | Contribution to sustainable development | | | | × |
| 10.3.6 | Capacity development | | | | × |

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

Efforts are taken in the field of conservation, management, sustainable development. Proper monitoring exercise is carried out at the site in the field of conservation regularly. Workshops and training programs are conducted to spread awareness and to equip professionals with latest techniques in the field.

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

| | |
|---|----------------|
| World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff | Good |
| Local/municipal authorities | Good |
| Local communities | Good |
| Indigenous peoples | Good |
| Landowners | Good |
| Women | Good |
| Researchers | Good |
| Tourism industry | Good |
| Local businesses and industry | Good |
| NGOs | Good |
| Other specific groups | Not applicable |
| If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify | |

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is complete

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

The committee expressed its appreciation for the positive actions and the measures taken to ensure the conservation of the World Heritage value of the group of monuments at Hampi. As per the recommendation in the SOC, the construction of the bridges was halted. Preparation of the comprehensive conservation plan (CCP) has also been taken up by the state party.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

As per the decision taken by the state party, 2-levels of committees formed, 1) state level steering committee and 2) the technical level committee. The district authority will be chairman, some experts in the relevant field and the officers from the national are the members, 2) the technical advisory committee includes the members from the national with local experts in the relevant. commencement of the meeting in every three months.

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

| | | |
|--|---|---|
| 5.3 | Management System/Management Plan | |
| 5.3.7 | Some use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property | ✕ |
| 5.3.9 | Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property | ✕ |
| Please select 0 more issues. | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Please save this question to reflect changes | | |

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

| 4.3 | Services Infrastructures | | | | | | |
|-------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---|-------------------------------|----------|--------------------------------|-----|
| 4.3.1 | Water infrastructure | No impact on the attributes | Water recedes naturally, and is pumped out if required. | Whenever the Dams are opened. | On going | Archaeological Survey of India | Nil |

Summary - Factors affecting the Property **completed**

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

| 5.3 | Management System/Management Plan | | | | |
|-------|---|---|-----------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|
| | | Actions | Timeframe | Lead agency (and others involved) | More info / comment |
| 5.3.7 | Some use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property | Energy efficient lighting is proposed for sustainability. | Ongoing | Archaeological Survey of India | No comments |
| 5.3.9 | Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property | Disaster Management Plan id being formulated | On going | Archaeological Survey of India | No comments |

Summary - Management Needs **completed**

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

The Authenticity of the World Heritage property **has been preserved**

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

The Integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **intact**

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

Proper conservation measures are undertaken at the site with regular monitoring mechanism,for effective preservation of the site.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

| | |
|---|----------------|
| Conservation | Very positive |
| Research and monitoring | Very positive |
| Management effectiveness | Very positive |
| Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples | Very positive |
| Recognition | Very positive |
| Education | Very positive |
| Infrastructure development | Positive |
| Funding for the property | Very positive |
| International cooperation | Positive |
| Political support for conservation | Very positive |
| Legal/Policy framework | Very positive |
| Advocacy | Positive |
| Institutional coordination | Positive |
| Security | Very positive |
| Gender equality | Positive |
| Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities | Positive |
| Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status | Positive |
| Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood | Positive |
| Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties | Very positive |
| Other | Not applicable |
| If 'Other', please specify | |

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

Ensured an appropriate and equitable balance between conservation, sustainable and development, so that, the OUV can be protected through appropriate activities contributing to the social and economic development.

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

For effective implementation of sustainable development following objective are followed at the site 1) full participation of all stack holders and rights holders, including indigenous peoples and local communities, 2) setting up of effective inter institutional co-ordination mechanisms, 3) provision for the systematic assessment of environmental, social, and economic impacts of all proposed developments, 4) effective monitoring through continuity in data collection against agreed indicators. High priority on long term process development.

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

| |
|-------------------------|
| Sustainable Development |
| Synergies |
| State of Conservation |
| Management |
| Governance |
| Capacity Building |

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

| |
|--|
| The World Heritage Convention |
| The concept of Outstanding Universal Value |

| |
|--|
| The property's Outstanding Universal Value |
| The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity |
| The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity |
| Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value |
| Monitoring and reporting |

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

| | |
|--|------|
| State Party | Good |
| Site Managers | Good |
| UNESCO World Heritage Centre | Good |
| Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM) | Good |

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

| |
|--|
| Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage |
| Update of management plans |
| Fundraising |
| Awareness raising |
| Advocacy |
| Research |

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

Excellent guidance for filling up of the periodical reporting. The reporting exercise has improved the understanding of each of the world heritage elements. Completion questionnaire of 3rd Cycle periodic reporting has increased knowledge and understanding regarding management parameters of the World Heritage Site.

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

| |
|---|
| Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage |
| Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff |

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

Yes

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

| |
|---------------|
| 95 / 5 / 77 / |
|---------------|

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

| | Additional resources | No | Yes |
|----------|--|----|-----|
| 15.3.5.1 | Human resources | ✕ | |
| 15.3.5.2 | Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training | ✕ | |

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

All required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

| | | Very Difficult | Difficult | Easy | Very easy |
|----------|------------------------------|----------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| 15.4.2.1 | Ease of use of questionnaire | | | ✕ | |
| 15.4.2.2 | Clarity of questions | | | ✕ | |

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

No Commands

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

| | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|
| UNESCO World Heritage Centre | Good |
| UNESCO (other sectors/field offices) | Good |
| UNESCO National Commission | Not applicable |
| ICOMOS International | Not applicable |
| IUCN International | Not applicable |
| ICCROM international/regional | Not applicable |
| ICOMOS national/regional | Not applicable |
| IUCN national/regional | Not applicable |

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

| | |
|---|----------------|
| UNESCO World Heritage Centre | Good |
| State Party Representative (national Focal Point) | Good |
| UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office) | Good |
| National Commission for UNESCO | Not applicable |
| ICOMOS International | Not applicable |
| ICCROM International/regional | Not applicable |
| ICOMOS national/regional | Not applicable |
| IUCN national/regional | Not applicable |
| IUCN International | Not applicable |

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

No Comments.

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

The technology on the conservation methods adopted by the member countries, may be circulated with other member countries in the way of journals and periodical reporting.

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.