## Historic Centre of Prague

1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Historic Centre of Prague

### 1.2 - World Heritage property details

### 1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
	0/0	?	?	?	
	0/0	?	?	?	
Historic Centre of Prague	50.09 / 14.419	894.94	9015.89	9910.83	1992
Pr honice Park	49.989 / 14.55	211.42	871.2	1082.62	1992
Total (ha)		1106.36	9887.09	10993.45	

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Historic Centre of Prague - Map of the World Heritage property	2012	

### 1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

- 1. The Pr honice Park
- 2. Portal of Prague
- 3. Hundred-spired Prague

### Comment

Update as follows: 1. https://pamatky.praha.eu/jnp/en/index.html (Portal of Prague) 2. https://www.pruhonickypark.cz/en/ (Pr honice Park) 3. www.prague.eu (Prague City Tourism) 4. https://www.instagram.com/cityofprague/ (cityofprague Instagram) 5. https://www.facebook.com/prague.eu (prague Facebook)

- 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)
- 2.1 Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

### 2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

Yes

Historic Centre of Prague 1 of 64

- 2.4 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?
- 2.5 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?
- 2.6 Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

  No
- 2.7 Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	

- 2.8 Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)
- 2.9 Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

  Yes

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

Tradition of timber rafting, inscribed on the List of Intangible Cultural Heritage, is closely connected with the Vltava River, an important city-forming element in the HCP.

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

Yes

Historic Centre of Prague 2 of 64

## 2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

Collection of medieval manuscripts of the Czech Reformation Coll. of Russian, Ukrainian and Belorussian émigré periodicals 1918-1945 Coll. of 526 prints of university theses from 1637-1754 Libri Prohibiti:Collection of periodicals of Czech and Slovak Samizdat in the years 1948-1989 Moving picture shows of É. Reynaud Camocio Maps Archive of Antonín Dvo ák Many of the listed items are located in the Czech National Library (located in the HCP), which is the first recipient of the Jikii award.

#### 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

#### Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

#### **Brief synthesis**

The inscribed site is a serial property comprising the Historic Centre of Prague situated on the territory of the self-governing administrative unit of the City of Prague, and of the Pr. honice Park, located southeast of the city on the territory of the Central Bohemia.

Prague is one of the most beautiful cities in Europe in terms of its setting on both banks of the Vltava River, its townscape of burgher houses and palaces punctuated by towers, and its individual buildings. The historic centre represents a supreme manifestation of Medieval urbanism (the New Town of Emperor Charles IV built as the New Jerusalem). It has been saved from any large-scale urban renewal or massive demolitions and thus preserves its overall configuration, pattern and spatial composition. The Prague architectural works of the Gothic Period (14th and 15th centuries), of the High Baroque of the 1st half of the 18th century and of the rising modernism after the year 1900, influenced the development of Central European, perhaps even all European, architecture. The historic centre also represents one of the most prominent world centres of creative life in the field of urbanism and architecture across generations, human mentality and beliefs.

In the course of the 1100 years of its existence, Prague's development can be documented in the architectural expression of many historical periods and their styles. The city is rich in outstanding monuments from all periods of its history. Of particular importance are Prague Castle, the Cathedral of St Vitus, Hrad any Square in front of the Castle, the Valdštejn Palace on the left bank of the river, the Gothic Charles Bridge, the Romanesque Rotunda of the Holy Rood, the Gothic arcaded houses with Romanesque cores around the Old Town Square, the Church of Our Lady in front of Týn, the High Gothic Minorite Church of St James in the Old Town (Staré M sto), the Early Gothic so-called Old-New Synagogue in the Jewish Quarter (Josefov), the late 19th century buildings and the medieval town plan of the New Town (Nové M sto).

As early as the Middle Ages, Prague became one of the leading cultural centres of Christian Europe. The Prague University, founded in 1348, is one of the earliest in Europe. The milieu of the University in the last quarter of the 14th century and the first years of the 15th century contributed among other things to the formation of ideas of the Hussite Movement which represented in fact the first steps of the European Reformation. As a metropolis of culture, Prague is connected with prominent names in art, science and politics, such as Charles IV, Petr Parlé, Jan Hus, Johannes Kepler, Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart, Franz Kafka, Antonín Dvo ák, Albert Einstein, Edvard Beneš (co-founder of the League of Nations) and Václav Havel.

The Pr honice Park (the area of 211.42 ha) was founded in the year 1885 by the Count Arnošt Emanuel Silva-Tarouca. The result of his lifelong work is an original masterpiece of garden landscape architecture of worldwide importance. The park uses advantage of the miscellaneous valley of the Boti Stream and the unique combination of native and introduced exotic tree species. The Pr honice Park became in the time of its foundation the entrance gate to Bohemia (as well as to the whole Europe) for newly introduced plants. An integral part of the park is also a Neo-Renaissance country house. In the area there is also a small medieval church of the Nativity of the Virgin Mary.

Criterion (ii): The Historic Centre of Prague admirably illustrates the process of continuous urban growth from the Middle Ages to the present day. Its important role in the political, economic, social, and cultural evolution of Central Europe from the 14th century onwards and the richness of its architectural and artistic traditions meant that it served as a major model for urban development of much of Central and Eastern Europe.

Criterion (iv): Prague is an urban architectural ensemble of outstanding quality, in terms of both its individual monuments and its townscape, and one that is deservedly world-famous.

Criterion (vi): The role of Prague in the medieval development of Christianity in Central Europe was an outstanding one, as was its formative influence in the evolution of towns. By virtue of its political significance in the later Middle Ages and later, it attracted architects and artists from all over Europe, who contributed to its wealth of architectural and artistic treasures. The 14th century founding of the Charles University made it a renowned seat of learning, a reputation that it has preserved up to the present day. Since the reign of Charles IV, Prague has been intellectual and cultural centre of its region, and is indelibly associated with such world-famous names as Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart and Franz Kafka.

#### Integrity

All the key elements that convey the Outstanding Universal Value of this serial property are situated within the inscribed area. The boundaries and the areas of the two component parts of the serial property are adequate. At the national level, their buffer zones are defined in accordance with existing regulations. The two component parts have stabilized town-planning structures.

The integrity of the Historic Centre of Prague is threatened by the pressure of the developers wishing to build oversized new buildings in the historic centre and its buffer zone. For this reason, the height and volume of new buildings must be reviewed by competent authorities. The integrity of the Historic Centre of Prague is also threatened by an increasing development pressure on the roofscape and it might have a negative impact on the visual integrity of the city which has remained well-preserved so far. The integrity of the Pr honice Park is threatened by the pressure of urban development in its buffer zone. This fact is provoked by the location of Pr honice close to the capital city.

#### Authenticity

The Historic Centre of Prague is of high authenticity. It represents an organic urban development over more than a thousand years.

The degree of authenticity of single buildings or building complexes is also very high, especially in terms of preservation of their original plots, massing, structures, materials, decoration and architectural details, in spite of the fact that some adaptations and changes were made necessary to allow continued use. The present form and appearance of the Historic Centre of Prague reflect different stages of its centuries-long development, which also proves exceptionally valuable archaeological terrain, which is protected by law. The long tradition of conservation in Prague helps to keep the authenticity of the property. Restoration works are carried out in accordance with strict criteria and using historical materials and technological processes.

The Pr honice Park is of high authenticity concerning at its present form and appearance closely reflect an example of a uniquely preserved landscape park with its original combination of native and introduced tree species. This assertion is proved by the comparison of the present form with historical plans and other documents.

#### Protection and management requirements

The property is protected by Act No. 20/1987 Coll. on State Heritage Preservation, as amended. The historic city centre itself contains a number of buildings that are

Historic Centre of Prague 3 of 64

designated cultural heritage or national cultural heritage sites and is protected as an urban heritage reservation under national legislation. Any actions that may affect it must be authorized by the appropriate state or local authorities. The Pr honice Park is a national cultural heritage site, thus enjoying the highest level of protection under the Act mentioned above.

With the exception of Prague Castle, heritage preservation on the whole territory of the Historic Centre of Prague is provided by the municipal authority of the City of Prague The Prague Castle is managed by a special organisation established specifically by the Office of the President of the Republic. The Prague Castle Management has a high level of professional competence in heritage preservation.

The historic centre is adequately protected by mobile flood barriers whose efficiency has been approved during the floods in June 2013. As regards the pressure of the developers on the territory of the historic centre, the enforcement of land use planning standards and of the relevant regulations is expected to keep this type of threat under control.

The Pr honice Park is managed by the Botanical Institute of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic which is responsible for the maintenance, functioning and development of the Park. In this case, it is the regional authority of Central Bohemia which is responsible for state heritage preservation.

The buffer zone of the Historic Centre of Prague is identical to the protective zone of the urban heritage reservation under the current regulations. The height and volume of new buildings are reviewed by competent authorities. The development pressures in the buffer zone of the Pr honice Park are regulated by the Land Use Plan of Pr honice. The buffer zone is identical to a protective zone of the national cultural heritage site which has set out conditions of protection.

Due to the area of the property and the complicated ownership structure inside the property, maintenance and restoration of individually protected cultural heritage sites and ensembles are subject to individual programmes. Financial instruments for the conservation of the property mainly include grant schemes, funding through the programmes of the Ministry of Culture of the Czech Republic allocated to the maintenance and conservation of the immovable cultural heritage, and amounts allocated from other state budgets.

The management plan of both component parts, i.e. the Historic Centre of Prague and the Pr honice Park, is currently under preparation. In case of a part including the historic city itself, the management plan is coordinated by the steering group and prepared by the Municipal Authority of Prague, which also prepares the General Conception of Tourism in the Capital City of Prague. The management plan of the Pr honice Park is being worked out by the Institute of Botany of the Academy of Science of the Czech Republic.

In terms of heritage preservation, the condition of the property is good, and is subject to regular maintenance. Since 2000, annual monitoring reports have been prepared at the national level to serve World Heritage property managers, the Ministry of Culture, the National Heritage Institute and other agencies involved.

## 3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	urban structure	×			
3.2.2	panorama		×		
3.2.3	architectural values		×		
3.2.4	historical materials and structures		×		
3.2.5	material evidence of world-renowned personalities of science, technologies and art	×			
3.2.6	painterly-landscaped composition of the park based on plant-geographical sceneries	×			
3.2.7	dendrological and botanical value of the collection	×			
3.2.8	closedness of the composition (intentional isolation of the park from the surrounding landscape)	×			
3.2.9	water and building elements	×			
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

### 3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

## 4. Factors Affecting the Property

### 4.1. Buildings and Development

#### 4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin			in Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing

Historic Centre of Prague 4 of 64

Positive      X	×		×		$\rightarrow$	
Negative	×	×	×	×	$\rightarrow$	

## 4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	<b>Gurrent</b>	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	© Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×			-

### 4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

× Relevant	Not relevant						
Impact Origin			Origin	rigin Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×			×			,

## 4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	<b>G</b> Current	Potential	Inside	<b>G</b> Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×		<b>S</b>		
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×			7

### 4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact Origin			rigin Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>№</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
○ Positive    ★	×		×				<i>P</i>
Negative							

# 4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

## 4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

### 4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Inside

✗ Relevant	1	Not relevant					
Impact Origin			Origin	Trend of impact			
Impact	npact Current Potential		• Inside	© Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×		×			<b>→</b>	

Historic Centre of Prague 5 of 64

Negative X	×		×			<b>⇒</b>	
------------	---	--	---	--	--	----------	--

### 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	<b>G</b> Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×			-
Negative							

### 4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

## 4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×			×		<b>→</b>	
Negative X	×	×	×	×			7

# 4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.3. Services Infrastructures

#### 4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×		×	×		<b>→</b>	
Negative							

### 4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Origin			gin Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
○ Negative X	×	×	×				1	

Historic Centre of Prague 6 of 64

### 4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×			×		$\rightarrow$	
Negative		×	×	×			1

### 4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×			/
Negative X		×	×			<b>→</b>	

# 4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.4. Pollution

#### 4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

## 4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

★ Relevant		Not relevant					
Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
<ul><li>Positive</li></ul>							
Negative X		×		×			1

### 4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

✗ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×		<b>→</b>	

Historic Centre of Prague 7 of 64

### 4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	<b>G</b> Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×		<b>→</b>	

### 4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

### 4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

<b>≭</b> Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×		<b>→</b>	

# 4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.5. Biological resource use/modification

### 4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×		×			<b>→</b>		
Negative X	×		×			<b>→</b>		

### 4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Delevent	W N A A A
Relevant	X Not relevant

## 4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant	
-------------------------	--

### 4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

### 4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Historic Centre of Prague 8 of 64

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×		×		$\rightarrow$	
Negative							

## 4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Not relevant × Relevant Impact Origin Trend of impact Impact Potential Current Inside Outside > Decreasing → Stable Increasing O Positive X × × Negative X × × ×

# 4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.6. Physical resource extraction

### 4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

## 4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

Historic Centre of Prague 9 of 64

### 4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

# 4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

### 4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×				7

## 4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×				<i>P</i>

### 4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact				Trend of impact		
Impact	Impact Current Potential		Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×			7

### 4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Delevent	W N A A A
Relevant	X Not relevant

## 4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

<b>≭</b> Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current Potential		• Inside	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing

Historic Centre of Prague 10 of 64

② Positive ★	×	×	×	×		$\Rightarrow$	
Negative		×		×	<b>S</b>		

#### 4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current Potential		Inside	Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×		<b>→</b>	

### 4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

### 4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

<b>X</b> Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Ori				Trend of impact		
Impact	<b>G</b> Current	Potential	• Inside	<b>G</b> Outside	<b>№</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×			<b>→</b>	
Negative							

### 4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current Potential		Inside	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×			$\rightarrow$	
○ Negative X		×		×	•		

### 4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

## 4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

Y D I	No. 1
× Relevant	Not relevant
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Historic Centre of Prague 11 of 64

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>Outside</b>	<b>♦</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative	×	×	×	×			P

## 4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	<b>G</b> Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×			<b>→</b>	
Negative	×		×				-

# 4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.9. Other human activities

### 4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×		×			$\rightarrow$	

## 4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×			<b>→</b>	

### 4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Delevent	
Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant
-------------------------

### 4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant
	** Not relevant

Historic Centre of Prague 12 of 64

### 4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

## 4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

### 4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×			7

## 4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Original Control of the Contr		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X		×	×	×		$\rightarrow$	

### 4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×	×	×	×			1	

### 4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

## 4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current Potential		• Inside	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing	

Historic Centre of Prague 13 of 64

Positive						
Negative X	×	×	×	×		·

### 4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

### 4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

## 4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

✗ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	<b>G</b> Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X		×	×		•		

# 4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

## 4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

### 4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current Potential		Inside	Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	

Historic Centre of Prague 14 of 64

O Positive X	×	×	×		$\Rightarrow$	
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×	$\rightarrow$	

## 4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

× Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	<b>G</b> Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×	×	×	×		<b>→</b>		

### 4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	Y Net relevant
Relevant	X Not relevant

## 4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

### 4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

× Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
<ul><li>Positive</li></ul>								
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×		<b>→</b>		

## 4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

# 4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.13. Management and institutional factors

### 4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

### 4.13.2 - Legal framework

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×		<b>→</b>	
Negative X		×		×		$\rightarrow$	

### 4.13.3 - Governance

✗ Relevant			Not relevant		
	Impact	Origin		Trend of impact	

Historic Centre of Prague 15 of 64

Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×			<b>→</b>	
Negative							

## 4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>№</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×				/
Negative							

#### 4.13.5 - Financial resources

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×		$\rightarrow$	
Negative							

### 4.13.6 - Human resources

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×		×			<b>→</b>	
Negative X	×		×			<b>→</b>	

## 4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>G</b> Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
○ Positive    ★	×		×				7
Negative							

### 4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✗ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive       ★	×	×	×			<b>→</b>	
Negative							

# 4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The Historic Centre of Prague has had a valid and approved Management Plan since 2019.

4.14. Other factor(s)

## 4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

Historic Centre of Prague 16 of 64

## 4.15. Factors Summary Table


## 4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impac	ŧ		Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.1 Housing	<b>O</b>	9		•		$\rightarrow$
		9	9	•	<b>ઉ</b>	<b>→</b>
4.1.2 Commercial development						
		9	9	•	<b>(</b>	/
4.1.3 Industrial areas		_				
		9			<b>G</b>	
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	•	9		•		3
		4	9	•	<b>(</b>	1
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	<b>O</b>	4		•		
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	<b>O</b>	9		•		<b>→</b>
		9		•		$\rightarrow$
4.2.2 Underground transport infrastructure	•	9		•	<b>©</b>	1
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	•	9			Œ	<b>→</b>
		9	9	•	<b>G</b>	1
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.1 Water infrastructure	•	9		•	<b>©</b>	$\rightarrow$
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities						
		9	9	•		,
4.3.4 Localised utilities	•	9			Œ	<b>→</b>
			q	•	<b>F</b>	7
4.3.5 Major linear utilities	•	4		<b>(</b> )	<b>(</b>	_
			9	•		→
4.4 Pollution			·			
4.4.2 Ground water pollution						
C. Carlot political					75	
4.4.2 Surface water mallution			-1		Ģ	
4.4.3 Surface water pollution					0	
4.4.4 Air pollution		4	4	<b>(</b> )	(F	<b>→</b>
					78	
4.4.6 Input of excess energy		-1	-1	٩	Ç.	
			ø		æ	-
4.5 Biological resource use/meditication			-1		5	7
4.5 Biological resource use/modification		-3				
4.5.1 Fishing/collecting aquatic resources	0	9		•		<b>→</b>
		9		•		<b>→</b>
4.5.5 Crop production	<b>()</b>	9	9		<b>(</b>	$\rightarrow$

Historic Centre of Prague 17 of 64

4 E 40 Caracter Miles d'avadustica		-ca	~3			
4.5.10 Forestry/Wood production	•	9	9	•		<b>→</b>
		4		•		<b>→</b>
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.1 Wind						
	•	q	q	•		7
4.7.2 Relative humidity						
4.7.2 Relative numuly						
		4		•		
4.7.3 Temperature						
	•	9	q	•	<b>(</b>	1
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)	<b>©</b>	q	q	•	<b>G</b>	$\rightarrow$
			q		<b>(</b> \$	<b>S</b>
4.7.7 Pests						
	9	4	<b>E</b> -1	•	<b>G</b>	<b>→</b>
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	<b>©</b>	9		•		$\rightarrow$
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	•	q		<b>@</b>		<b>→</b>
			<b>6</b> 31		/#	•
	•		7		G	31
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						
	•	9	q	•	<b>ઉ</b>	1
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	•	9	<b>A</b>	•		$\rightarrow$
	•	q		•		1
4.9 Other human activities						
4.0.4 Ward autilities						
4.9.1 Illegal activities						
		9		•		<b>→</b>
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
	•	9		•		$\rightarrow$
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.1 Storms						
4.10.1 Storms						
	•	q		•	<b>(</b>	-
4.10.2 Flooding						
	•		9	•	<b>ઉ</b>	$\rightarrow$
4.10.3 Drought						
	<b>a</b>	<b>A</b>		<b>(</b> )	(F	7
4.10.6 Temperature change		•		-	-	
		a	E3		/#	-
4.44 Studden ecological or goological eye-te-		-1	4	•	Ġ	
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)						
			P	•		<b>S</b>
4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species						
						-
4 12 1 Transforated species			<b>E</b>	C - 1		-
4.12.1 Translocated species	•	9	4	•		
	<ul><li><b>⊙</b></li><li><b>⊝</b></li></ul>	9	9	•	Œ	<b>→</b>
4.12.1 Translocated species  4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species		9	9		<b>ઉ</b>	<b>→</b>

Historic Centre of Prague 18 of 64



4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

### 4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

### 4.1 Buildings and Development

Name		Impact	t		Origin		Trend
4.1.1 Hous	ing	•	9		•		<b>→</b>
			<b>A</b>	<b>A</b>	•	Œ	<b>→</b>
			-				
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - In	npact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						

Historic Centre of Prague 19 of 64

	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.1.2 Commercial development					
		P	•	Œ	<b>/</b>

		9	9	•	<b>©</b>	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.1.3 Industrial areas					
				<b>(</b>	<i>P</i>

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted

Historic Centre of Prague 20 of 64

×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
×	Static Increasing						
Name	Increasing	Impac			Origin		Trend
Name		•	9		•	76	\$
Name	Increasing		9	9	•	Œ	Trend
Name 4.1.4 Major	Increasing	•	9	q	•	Œ	\$
Name 4.1.4 Major	Increasing  visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	•	9	q	•	Œ	\$
Name 4.1.4 Major	Increasing  visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure  le - Area affected by the factor	•	9	q	•	Œ	\$
Name 4.1.4 Major	Increasing  visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure  lle - Area affected by the factor  Restricted	•	9	9	•	Œ	\$
Name 4.1.4 Major	Increasing  visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure  lle - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised	•	9	q	•	Œ	\$
Name 4.1.4 Major Spatial sca	Increasing  visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure  ile - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive	•	9	q	•	<b>©</b>	\$
Name 4.1.4 Major Spatial sca	Increasing  visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure  lie - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread	•	9	व	•	Œ	\$
Name 4.1.4 Major Spatial sca	Increasing  visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure  lle - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  scale - Occurence of the impact	•	9	q	•	Œ	\$
Name 4.1.4 Major Spatial sca	Increasing  visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure  lle - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare	•	9	q	•	<b>&amp;</b>	\$
Name 4.1.4 Major Spatial sca	Increasing  visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure  lle - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic	•	9	q	•	<b>©</b>	\$
Name 4.1.4 Major Spatial sca	Increasing  visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure  lie - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  spact on the attributes	•	9	व	•	<b>E</b>	\$
Name 4.1.4 Major Spatial sca	visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure  lle - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going	•	9	4	•	<b>E</b>	\$
Name 4.1.4 Major Spatial sca	Increasing  visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure  lie - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  spact on the attributes	•	9	व	•	<b>E</b>	\$
Name 4.1.4 Major Spatial sca	Increasing  visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure  lle - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  pact on the attributes  Insignificant	•	9	q	•	<b>©</b>	\$
Name 4.1.4 Major  Spatial sca  X  Temporal s	Increasing  visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure  lile - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  case - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  inpact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor	•	9	q	•	<b>(</b> \$\infty\$	\$

Historic Centre of Prague 21 of 64

Name		Impact	Origin	Trend
×	Increasing			
	Static			
	Decreasing			
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years			
	No capacity and / or resources			
×	Low capacity			
	Medium capacity			

Name	Impact	Impact		Ct Origin		Trend
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	<b>O</b>	9		•		P

Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going On-going
Impact - I	mpact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

## 4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend	
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	<b>O</b>	9	•		$\rightarrow$
		<b>P</b>	•		$\rightarrow$

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor		

Historic Centre of Prague 22 of 64

	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name	ground transport infrastructure	Impact		Origin	100	Trend
4.2.2 Onder	ground transport infrastructure		9		G	
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					

Historic Centre of Prague 23 of 64

Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin	net.	Trend
4.2.5 Effect	s arising from use of transportation infrastructure	<b>O</b>	9	a		E E	<b>→</b>
			9	4	•	G.	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						

## 4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name	Impact	Impact		Origin	Trend	
4.3.1 Water infrastructure	<b>O</b>	9		•	<b>G</b>	$\rightarrow$

Historic Centre of Prague 24 of 64

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
4.3.2 Renev	vable energy facilities		<sub>e</sub> co	<i>~</i> 3		20
			9	9	<b>Q</b>	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					

Historic Centre of Prague 25 of 64

×

Significant

	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.3.4 Locali	sed utilities	•	9			<b>G</b>	<b>→</b>
				9	•	F	7
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

Name	Impact	Impact		Impact Original		Impact Origin			Trend
4.3.5 Major linear utilities	<b>O</b>	9		•	<b>G</b>	7			
			9	•		$\rightarrow$			

Historic Centre of Prague 26 of 64

Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Managemen	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

## 4.4 Pollution

Name		Impact		Origin			Trend
4.4.2 Groun	d water pollution						
				9		Œ	1
0	le Averelle de l'orde						
Spatiai sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						

Historic Centre of Prague 27 of 64

	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.4.3 Surfac	e water pollution		_	_			
			9	9	•	<b>(</b>	<b>→</b>
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managemei	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
×	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Nama		Impost			Origin		Trand

4.4.4 Air pollution		

Historic Centre of Prague 28 of 64

			7	7	©	G	_
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name	of excess energy	Impact			Origin		Trend
				<b>a</b>		<b>₹</b>	<b>→</b>
				•		3	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						

Historic Centre of Prague 29 of 64

	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
×	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

## 4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.5.1 FIShii	ng/collecting aquatic resources	•	9	•		<b>→</b>
				•		<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

Name	illipaci	Origin	Heliu

Historic Centre of Prague 30 of 64

4.5.5 Crop	5.5 Crop production		9	q		<b>G</b>	$\rightarrow$
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name	stry/Wood production	Impact	q	q	Origin		Trend
4.5.101016	sa y wood production		9	9	<b>Q</b>		_
			7	-1			
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						

Historic Centre of Prague 31 of 64

×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

## 4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.7.1 Wind							
			4	9	•		1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
×	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

Historic Centre of Prague 32 of 64

			9	9	•	,
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
4.7.3 Temp	erature					

Impact

Origin

Trend

Name

4.7.2 Relative humidity

		9	9	•	<b>G</b>	7
Spatial cas	als. Area affacted by the factor					
Spatial Sca	ale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					

Historic Centre of Prague 33 of 64

	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managemen	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name	(alphantarialis)	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.6 water	(rain/water table)		9	9	•	E E	→ •
				•			
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going Control of the Control of t						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managemei	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
×	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

Historic Centre of Prague 34 of 64

Name

Impact

Origin

Trend

4.7.7 Pests							
			9	9	•	<b>(</b>	$\rightarrow$
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Impact on the attributes							
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Management response - Capacity of management to respond							
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

## 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses		<b>O</b>	4		•		<b>→</b>
Custial ass	ale - Area affected by the factor						
Spatial Sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact							
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						

Historic Centre of Prague 35 of 64

Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.2 Socie	ty's valuing of heritage	0	9		•		<b>→</b>
				9		<b>G</b>	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

Historic Centre of Prague 36 of 64

			9	9	•	F	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		lmmaat			Orinin		Trend
	ets of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	Impact	q	q	Origin		→
			q		<b>(</b> )		7
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going Control of the Control of t						

Impact

Origin

Trend

Name

4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Historic Centre of Prague 37 of 64

Impact - In	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

### 4.9 Other human activities

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.9.1 Illegal	activities				
			9	•	$\rightarrow$
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
×	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				

Historic Centre of Prague 38 of 64

Name	Impact		:t		et (		Origin		Trend
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage									
		9		•	<b>→</b>				
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor									

Restricted  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  Temporal scale - Occurence of the Impact  Intermittent or sporadic						
Extensive Widespread  Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare						
Extensive  Widespread  Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact  X One off or rare						
Widespread  Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare						
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare						
X One off or rare						
Intermittent or sporadic						
Frequent						
On-going Control of the Control of t						
Impact - Impact on the attributes						
Insignificant						
Minor Minor						
Significant						
Major						
Management response - Capacity of management to respond						
High capacity						
Medium capacity						
Low capacity						
No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years						
Decreasing						
* Static						
Increasing						

### 4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.10.1 Storms						
				<b>(</b>	(C)	

			4	4	<b>(</b> )	(5	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						

Historic Centre of Prague 39 of 64

	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact	pact			Trend
4.10.2 Floo	ding					
			9	•	<b>(</b>	$\rightarrow$
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor  Restricted					
Spatial sca						
	Restricted					
	Restricted Localised Extensive					
×	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread					
×	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurrence of the impact					
X Temporal s	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare					
×	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic					
X Temporal s	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent					
X Temporal s	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going					
X Temporal s	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  pact on the attributes					
X Temporal s	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  pact on the attributes  Insignificant					
X Temporal s X	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  pact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor					
X Temporal s	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  pact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant					
X Impact - Im	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  pact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant  Major					
X  Temporal s  X	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  pact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant  Major  nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
X  Temporal s  X  Impact - Im	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  pact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant  Major  nt response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity					
X  Temporal s  X	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  pact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant  Major  nt response - Capacity of management to respond					

Historic Centre of Prague 40 of 64

No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

Decreasing

×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.10.3 Drought						
		<b>P</b>	4	•	Œ	

		4	4	<b>(</b> )	C.	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.10.6 Temperature change						
		9	9	•	Œ	1

Spatial sca	lle - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				

Historic Centre of Prague 41 of 64

	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
×	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

### 4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.11.6 Fire	e (wildfire)						
				9	•		•
Snatial so	ale - Area affected by the factor						
opatiai so	Restricted						
~							
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - II	npact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						

Historic Centre of Prague 42 of 64

×	Static
	Increasing

### 4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.12.1 Tran	slocated species	•	9	9	•		$\rightarrow$
			9	9	•	F	$\rightarrow$
Spatial sca	lle - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.12.2 Inva	sive/Alien terrestrial species						
			9	q	•	Œ	$\rightarrow$
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact							
	One off or rare						

Historic Centre of Prague 43 of 64

×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
×	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.12.5 Hype	er-abundant species					
			9	•	F	$\rightarrow$

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.12.5 Hy	per-abundant species						
				9	•	F	$\rightarrow$
Spatial so	ale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - I	npact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - D	evelopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						

Historic Centre of Prague 44 of 64

×	Static
	Increasing

### 4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.13.2 Lega	al framework	•			•	F	$\rightarrow$
				9		C	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact		~3	Origin		Trend
4.13.3 Gov	ernance	•	9	9	•		<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	lle - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						

Historic Centre of Prague 45 of 64

Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent On-going  Impact - Impact on the attributes  Insignificant Minor  Significant Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity  Medium capacity  Low capacity  No capacity and / or resources  Trend - Developement over the last 6 years  Decreasing
Cn-going  Impact - Impact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  X Significant  Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity  X Medium capacity  Low capacity  No capacity and / or resources  Trend - Developement over the last 6 years  Decreasing
Insignificant  Insignificant  Minor  Significant  Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity  Medium capacity  Low capacity  No capacity and / or resources  Trend - Developement over the last 6 years  Decreasing
Insignificant  Minor  X Significant  Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity  X Medium capacity  Low capacity  No capacity and / or resources  Trend - Developement over the last 6 years  Decreasing
Minor  Significant  Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity  Medium capacity  Low capacity  No capacity and / or resources  Trend - Developement over the last 6 years  Decreasing
X Significant  Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity  X Medium capacity  Low capacity  No capacity and / or resources  Trend - Developement over the last 6 years  Decreasing
Management response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity  Medium capacity  Low capacity  No capacity and / or resources  Trend - Developement over the last 6 years  Decreasing
Management response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity  Medium capacity  Low capacity  No capacity and / or resources  Trend - Developement over the last 6 years  Decreasing
High capacity  Medium capacity  Low capacity  No capacity and / or resources  Trend - Developement over the last 6 years  Decreasing
Medium capacity  Low capacity  No capacity and / or resources  Trend - Developement over the last 6 years  Decreasing
Low capacity  No capacity and / or resources  Trend - Developement over the last 6 years  Decreasing
No capacity and / or resources  Trend - Developement over the last 6 years  Decreasing
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years  Decreasing
Decreasing
Y Cratic
X Static
Increasing
Name Impact Origin Trend
4.13.4 Management activities
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor
Restricted
Localised
<b>X</b> Extensive
Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact
One off or rare
Intermittent or sporadic
X Frequent
On-going On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes
Insignificant
Insignificant Minor
Minor
Minor  ★ Significant
Minor  ★ Significant  Major
Minor  Significant  Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond
Minor  Significant  Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity

Historic Centre of Prague 46 of 64

Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.5 Finan	cial resources	<b>O</b>	9	•	<b>F</b>	<b>→</b>
Spatial scale	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal so	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact - Imp	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Managemen	t response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		lmmaat		Onlaria		Trend
Name 4.13.6 Huma	in resources	Impact	q	Origin		→
			q	•		<b>→</b>
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal so	cale - Occurence of the impact					

Historic Centre of Prague 47 of 64

	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			
×	Frequent			
	On-going			
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes			
	Insignificant			
×	Minor			
	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity			
×	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
×	Static			
	Increasing			
Name		Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.7 Low	impact research/monitoring activities	<b>⊙</b> •	•	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor			
Spatial sca	Restricted			
Spatial sca				
	Restricted			
	Restricted  Localised			
×	Restricted  Localised  Extensive			
×	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread			
×	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  scale - Occurrence of the impact			
X Temporal s	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare			
X Temporal s	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  Scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic			
X Temporal s	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent			
X Temporal s	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  Cocale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going			
X Temporal s	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  spact on the attributes			
X Temporal s	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  Scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  spact on the attributes  Insignificant			
X Temporal s	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  Scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  Ipact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor			
X Impact - Im	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  Scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  Spact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant			
X Impact - Im	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  spact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant  Major			
X Impact - Im	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  Scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  spact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant  Major  Int response - Capacity of management to respond			
X Impact - Im	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  Scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  spact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant  Major  Intersponse - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity			
X Impact - Im	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  Grale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  Apact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant  Major  Int response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity  Medium capacity			

Historic Centre of Prague 48 of 64

Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
4.13.8 High	impact research/monitoring activities	•	4	9	•	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

### 4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

# 4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

001: 4.1 (all factors); 4.2 (all relevant factors); 4.3 (all relevant factors); 4.4 (all relevant factors); 4.7 (4.7.3; 4.7.6); 4.8 (all relevant factors); 4.9. (4.9.1; 4.9.2); 4.10. (4.10.2); 4.13. (all relevant factors) 002: 4.1 (4.1.2; 4.1.3); 4.2. (4.2.1); 4.3 (4.3.4); 4.4. (4.4.3); 4.5. (all relevant factors); 4.7. (all relevant factors); 4.8. (4.8.6); 4.10. (all relevant factors); 4.12. (all relevant factors), 4.13. (all relevant factors)

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

# 4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	urban structure	×			
4.18.1.2	panorama		×		

Historic Centre of Prague 49 of 64

4.18.1.3	architectural values		×	
4.18.1.4	historical materials and structures	×		
4.18.1.5	painterly-landscaped composition of the park based on plant-geographical sceneries	×		

#### 5. Protection and Management of the Property

#### 5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

#### 5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

#### 5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

#### 5.2. Protective Measures

#### 5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

- The draft of a new Law on National Heritage Conservation which should replace the existing Act No. 20 of 1987 Coll. has been finalized by the Czech Ministry of Culture. This proposal takes in account the World Heritage and defines principles and mechanisms in relation to it.
- On 20 July 2011 the government of the Czech Republic approved the "Concept of monument care in the Czech Republic for 2011-2016". The concept is based on a joint general conceptual material of the resort called "State Culture Policy", and it also follows up on conceptual materials about protection of other elements of cultural heritage, i.e. the "Concept of more effective care of movable cultural heritage in the Czech Republic for 2010-2014", which was acknowledged by the government of the Czech Republic on 1 December 2010, and the "Concept of more effective care of traditional folk culture in the Czech Republic for 2011 2015", which was approved by the government of the Czech Republic on 5 January 2011.
- Act No. 183/2006 Coll., on Land Use Planning and on the Building Procedure Code (the Building Act) (<u>Source: SOC report 2012, Periodic Reporting</u> Cycle 1, Periodic Reporting Cycle 2

#### Comment

In order to unify the terminology of some of the laws currently still in force, we use the opportunity to add the corrected translations to section 5.2.2.

### 5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

1987(2021) / Act No. 20/1987 Sb., on the State Heritage Protection (The Heritage Act) / Law of the Czech Republic / https://www.zakonyprolidi.cz/cs/1987-20

1988 (2002) / Decree No. 66/1988 Sb., For the Implementation of the Act on the State Heritage Protection / Decree of the Ministry of Culture / https://www.zakonyprolidi.cz/cs/1988-66

2007 / Decree No. 187/2007 Sb., stipulating the content and essential requirements of zoning plans concerning areas with archaeological finds / Decree of the Ministry of Culture / https://www.zakonyprolidi.cz/cs/2007-187

2008 (2023) / Decree No. 420/2008 Sb., which establishes the requirements and content of the plan for the protection of heritage reserves and heritage zones / Decree of the Ministry of Culture /

https://www.zakonyprolidi.cz/cs/2008-420

2006 (2020) / Act No. 183/2006 Sb., on Spatial Planning and Building Rules (The Building Act) / Law of the Czech Republic / https://www.zakonyprolidi.cz/cs/2006-183

2006 (2022) / Decree No. 500/2006 Sb., on Supporting Analytical Zoning Data, Zoning Documentation and Zoning Records / Decree of the Ministry of Regional Development / https://www.zakonyprolidi.cz/cs/2006-500

# 5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation

# 5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation

# 5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property

Historic Centre of Prague 50 of 64

#### 5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice None

## 5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

None

#### 5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system joint regional/local

#### If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.
Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)
A management plan
A disaster, climate or conflict risk management plan
A visitor/visitation management plan
An environmental management framework

#### 5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

None

#### 5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Management plan for the Historic Centre of Prague	N/A	Available	2021	

#### Comment

Management Plan for the Pr honice Park, 2012 A new Management Plan for comp.002 (Pr honice Park) is currently being developed.

# 5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

Some use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

**5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.** The HUL recommendations were taken into account in the formulation of MgP and MP.

## 5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

### 5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

Prague City Assembly approved its climate plan in 2021.

# 5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property? Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

### 5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

Prague City Assembly has its risk reduction management strategy.

## 5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved

#### 5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

 $The \ management \ system/plan \ is \ \textbf{fully adequate} \ to \ maintain \ the \ property's \ Outstanding \ Universal \ Value$ 

#### 5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being only partially implemented

#### 5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and many of its activities are being implemented

Historic Centre of Prague 51 of 64

# 5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				×	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone			×		
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women				×	
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

# 5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners			×		
5.3.16.5	Women					×
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children				×	
5.3.16.7	Researchers				×	
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists				×	
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists				×	
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry			×		
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries			×		
5.3.16.12	NGOs				×	
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

# 5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality					×
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)			×		
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status					×
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach					×
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				×	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property				×	

Historic Centre of Prague 52 of 64

### 5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

### 5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

#### 6. Financial and Human Resources

#### 6.1. Funding

# 6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	10 %	0 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	90 %	100 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

#### 6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

The financing of the two components (001 a 002) is different. Component 002 (Pr honický park) has a budget allocated by the state, other income comes from entrance fees, parking fees, filming, conference centre, weddings, sales and other activities.

#### 6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

#### 6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term

#### 6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

# 6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	30 %	30 %
6.1.6.2	Women	70 %	70 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

### 6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are adequate for management needs

# 6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Good

Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

### 6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Poor
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Poor
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

#### 6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

The capacities for the implementation of the World Heritage agenda are sufficiently ensured by the site manager even without special strategies.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme has been developed but it is not implemented and skills are not being transferred

- 6.1.13 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training
- 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects
- 7.1 Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is adequate

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared with local communities and some national agencies

- 7.4 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects
- 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building
- 8.1 Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Poor
Women	Good

Historic Centre of Prague 54 of 64

Youth/children	Poor
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Good
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

# 8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Local/municipal authorities
Landowners
Youth/children
Researchers
NGOs

## 8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Fair
Site museum	Not needed
Information booths	Not needed
Guided tours	Fair
Trails/routes	Fair
Printed information materials	Fair
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Good
Other	Poor
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	Outdoor activities for youths

#### 8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

9. Visitor Management

#### 9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

5976267 / 2354720 / 2182443 / 8044324 / 7892184 / 132952 / 134985 / 115953 / 124087 / 122646 /

#### 9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

Accommodation establishments

#### 9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

Two overnight stays

#### 9.4 - Please provide the source of information

Accommodation establishments

#### 9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

Historic Centre of Prague 55 of 64

#### 9.6 - Please provide the source of information

Total approximate average daily visitor expenditure: 200 USD Source: CzechTourism

### 9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a planned and effective strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

#### 9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

None

#### 9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made

#### 9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

#### If a different system, please specify

Arrival travel concept traffic of the capital city of Prague

### 9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is good cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

#### 9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted

#### 9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

### 9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property? No fees are collected

#### 9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

### If 'Yes', please specify

Arrival travel concept traffic of the capital city of Prague

#### 9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

#### If 'Yes', please specify

through opportunities to develop cultural and business activities

#### 9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

The situation for visitor revenue differs for component 001 and 002. At the component 002 (Pr honice Park) fees are collected, and make some contribution to the management of the World Heritage property.

#### 10. Monitoring

### 10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme of monitoring**, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

### 10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined for measuring the state of conservation and are being used in monitoring of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

#### 10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				×
10.3.3	Character of governance				×

10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations	×	
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development	×	
10.3.6	Capacity development	×	

#### 10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

Key indicators are defined, monitored and updated on an ongoing basis depending on the momentary site management needs

#### 10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Poor
Local communities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Women	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industry	Non-existent
NGOs	Non-existent
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

### 10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is underway

#### 10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

WHC recommendations have long been in harmony with the Czech legal framework and are regularly discussed in the advisory body to Prague City Council and the Steering Committee for the property, which passes along its opinions to Council.

#### 10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

#### 11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

### 11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.3	An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	×
5.2.4	An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	×
5.2.5	An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	×
5.2.6	There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain	×
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	Some use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	×
5.3.7	Some use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved	×
5.3.13	The management system at the property is only being partially implemented	×
5.3.17	• In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does provide ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	×
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	

Historic Centre of Prague 57 of 64

6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme has been developed but it is not implemented and skills are not being transferred	
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
8.2	There is a <b>limited and ad hoc education</b> and awareness programme for children and/or youth	×
9	Visitor Management	
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made	×
Pleas	e select 0 more issues.	
□ Ple	ase save this question to reflect changes	

### 12. Summary and Conclusions

### 12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

### 12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

2.1.1 - Sı	ummary - Facto	ors affe	ecting the Property								
4.1	Buildings and	d Develo	pment								
4.1.1	Housing	ii, iv, vi	All development in the HCP will be regulated following approval of the new spatial plan, adoption of territorial study for PHR and creation of other conceptual documents guaranteeing detailed regulation within the HCP. 002: preparation of new MP	ongoir territor author Prague	Conducted on an ongoing ongoing basis by the erritorial development authorities and the Prague City Hall housing department.		ngoing City of Prague, Prague Institute of Planning and Development		Issue of housing affordability is one of Prague's priorities and is being addressed comprehensively under various strategic documents (MgP, Metropolitan Plan, Strategic Plan). City of Prague also plans to build its own residential housing.		
4.1.2	Commercial development	ii, iV	All development in the HCP will be regulated following approval of the new spatial plan, adoption of territorial study for PHR and creation of other conceptual documents guaranteeing detailed regulation within the HCP. 002: preparation of new MP	the deve	nitoring is conducted b affected territorial elopment authorities ed on their current ds.	У	ongoing		City of Prague, territorial planning authorities, state heritage authorities	t 6 7 0 1	The new zoning plan has been prepared in accordance with the principles of the Management Plan and commented on by the neritage protection authorities (Ministry of Culture).
4.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	ii, vi	Creating suitable conditions for increasing and maintaining the number of permanent resident the Historic Centre of Prague, which could help boost the lev residential housing compared visitor accommodations.	e ts in vel of	Monitoring is conducted by the affected territor development authorities based on their current needs.	orial ties	ongoing		City of Prague, Parliament of the Czech Republic, territorial planning authorities, authorities and institutions specialising in tourism issues	S	Overtourism of HCP leads to rising property prices and depletion of permanent housing capacity in favour of short-term rentals. Prague is reacting with measures (Prague Housing Development Strategy). Situation is being reacted to at national level.
4.2	Transportation	on Infrasi	tructure								
4.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	ii, iv	The development of surface transport is addressed under the forthcoming spatial plan. it depends among other things on completion of the city and outer ring roads, following which traffic should be calmed in the HCP.	Monitoring is carried out on an ongoing basis by the competent authorities for territorial development and development of transport works.		the of the (inner) r has not yet be nd specified Con		road ion of work gue	Prague City Hall (inr ring road), Czech government (motorw ring road)		The calming of surface traffic is dependent on completion of the two planned ring roads, following which transport structures should be converted into pedestrian ones and urban boulevards.

Historic Centre of Prague 58 of 64

4.2.5	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	F C ii F ii r	Party sup aiming to character infrastruct positive in Priorities: inner ring next part	gue and State port initiatives change of transport ture and its npact on OUV. completing road, building of motorway nanising" of uth Trunk Rd.	(see Ag out regu the tran function	cted institutions of lency tab) carry ular monitoring of sport situation and ling of transport ucture in Prague.	ngoing		Plann Pragu Comp Admir	f Prague, Institute of ing and Development, the Public Transport in any, Technical instration of Roads, try of Transport	tran desc deve tran cons line) deve netv	rities in development of sport infrastructure are cribed in Actions tab, with elopment of mass sport (particularly struction of a 4th metro and planned elopment of the urban rail vork taking place ultaneously.
4.4	Pollution											
4.4.4	Air pollution	HCP - ii, i		olementation of City igue climate plan.	of	Monitoring associat implementing the cl plan.		Until 20	30	City of Prague, Environment Department Ministry of the Environment	nt,	The City of Prague has approved a Climate Plan to 2030, in which it took on a commitment to reduce the production of greenhouse gases by 45% in comparison with 2010.
4.7	Local conditi	ons affecti	ng physi	cal fabric								
4.7.3	Temperature	F E I ii s	For 002, taccount a principles approach Behaviour nstitute omplements sustainab	of climate plans. aking into appropriate and es in MgP. r strategy of if Botany for ting ideas of lle development ating climate	protection out ong	vironmental on authorities carry oing monitoring at egional and global	ongoing		auth auth	ronmental protection orities, decision-making orities at the global and I level		Prague is reacting to the global rise in temperatures with measures to mitigate these negative trends. Pr honice Park chooses appropriate management of care and selection of a diverse composition of plants and trees as measures.
4.8	Social/Cultur	al uses of l	neritage									
4.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage	ii, iv, vi	concerr strategi with lay help in value o conserv special	12 – All institutions need adopt internal less for communicating public, which are to understanding the f cultural heritage at vation thereof with regard for position eleritage Site.	ng d	no systematic monitoring is conducted	ongoing		ins Ins Ed Ins (Pr	Culture and its expert institutions (National Heritage Institute), Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports, Institute of Botany of the CAS (Pr honice Park Administration)		There is public open dialogue underway in CZE on cultural values and necessity to preserve and develop them. At the same time, value education is being incorporated into study plans and is part of education process as part of memory institutions.
4.8.6	Impacts of tourism/Visitation/I	Recreation	ii, vi	HCP has to conte overtourism. In re to it, the City of Pl Tourism Strategy been updated and additional measur being adopted to OUV as well as Cl permanent reside which is reduced heightened touris	sponse rague has defense are protect to COL of onts, by	Monitoring takes place as part of regular monitoring reports, which experimentations produce on basis of requests from the Ministry of Culture. Further monitoring is conducted by institutions dealing with tourism (PCT).		ing		City of Prague, Ministry o Regional Development (under whose agenda tourism belongs), PCT, CzechTourism	f	Impact of tourism on OUV and QOL has been being dealt with for long time. As result of COVID-19 pandemic, changes occurred in this area. City of Prague is prepared to implement relevant strategic documents, thus reducing impact of overtourism on OUV
4.10	Climate chan	ige and sev	ere weat	ther events								
4.10.6	Temperature change	ii, vi	and sus develop (001 an behavio Institute CAS (S implem sustains and mit	ng of climate plans stainable oment strategies ad 002). The our strategy of the e of Botany of the ePP) for enting the ideas of able development igating dramatic change.	autho ongoi regior Pr ho Admir ongoi	onmental protection rities carry out ng monitoring at the nal and global level unice Park histration carries out ng monitoring of ive impact.	ongoing		aut loc of t	vironmental protection thorities, decision-making thorities at the global and al level Institute of Botany the CAS (Pr honice Park ministration)	,	Prague is reacting to global rise in temperatures with measures to mitigate these negative trends. Pr honice Park chooses appropriate management of care and selection of a diverse composition of plants and trees as measures.

Historic Centre of Prague 59 of 64

### 12.2. Summary - Management Needs

### 12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.2	Protective Measures				
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
5.2.3	An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	Better conditions for preserving the authenticity and integrity of the property will be part of the new conceptual documents, the binding spatial plan of Prague - the Metropolitan Plan and the Prague Heritage Reservation Territorial Study.	Approval and the beginning of the effectiveness of the Metropolitan Plan is expected during 2023. The Prague Heritage Reservation Territorial Study should be completed in September 2023.	The City of Prague, The Prague Institute of Planning and Development, Ministry of Culture	The new spatial plan has been prepared in accordance with the principles of the Management Plan and commented on by the authorities of heritage protection (Ministry of Culture).
5.2.4	An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	Better conditions for the protection of the authenticity and integrity of the WH property as a result of changes in the protection zone will be created by the approval of the new Metropolitan Plan and Prague Heritage Reservation Territorial Study.	Approval and the beginning of the effectiveness of the Metropolitan Plan is expected during 2023. The territorial study should be completed in September 2023.	The City of Prague, The Prague Institute of Planning and Development, Ministry of Culture	The new zoning plan has been prepared in accordance with the principles of the Management Plan and commented on by the heritage protection authorities (Ministry of Culture).
5.2.5	An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	Better conditions for the protection of the authenticity and integrity of the WH property as a result of changes in its wider area will be created by the approval of new conceptual document, the binding spatial plan of Prague - the Metropolitan Plan	Approval and the beginning of the effectiveness of the Metropolitan Plan is expected during 2023.	The City of Prague, The Prague Institute of Planning and Development, Ministry of Culture	The new zoning plan has been prepared in accordance with the principles of the Management Plan and commented on by the heritage protection authorities (Ministry of Culture).
5.2.6	There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain	ources instruments of heritage authorities (fines could be higher for example).  the ge some of		Improving of relevant heritage legislation and local regulation is a continuous procedure. Czech legal system corresponds to requirements and wording of Operational Guidelines and Convention.	
5.3	Management System	n/Management Plan			
5.3.5	been made of the Historic Urban	The Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation is reflected in the concepts for the PHR Territorial Pla and Territorial Study.		Institute of Planning and Development	The Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation was and is an important material for the teams that produced the conceptual materials for Prague. These materials are, however, still waiting for approval at present.

Historic Centre of Prague 60 of 64

coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved	and joint working groups.		and other affected public administration bodies.	among the bodies involved has taken place in recent years.		
The management system at the property is only being partially implemented	Management system will be implemented in accordance with the Management Plan.	Continuous process	Plenty of authorities and stakeholders according to the Management Plan.	No further comment		
Funding						
The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property			The City of Prague / Parliament of the Czech Republic	Due to current socio-economic situation, it is not possible to increase budget for needs of the World Heritage Site. However, site manager annually invests sufficient funds in restoration of historic buildings and preservation of OUV of the site.		
Education, Informat	tion and Awareness Building					
limited and ad hoc education i	petween schools and memory nstitutions in educating children and	Long-term	City of Prague, Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports, Prague City Tourism	General Education Programmes (for schools) have been being harmonised long-term with the offer of informal education offered by memory institutions so that all age categories have access to quality education on local history and the OUV of property.		
Visitor Management						
Heritage property is managed but improvements	At national level we have access to document: Czech Republic Tourism Development Strategy 2021–2030. For HCP: PCT Inbound Tourism Strategy. These documents determine direction for improving aspects of comfort of visitors to World Heritage property.	Long-term	City of Prague, PCT	The tourism industry has been developing dynamically in recent years, from addressing the issue of overtourism to the de facto, though temporary, cessation of tourism and greater concentration on domestic tourists.		
	between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved  The management system at the property is only being partially implemented  Funding  The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property  Education, Information and awareness programme for children and/or youth  Visitor Management Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements	continue to be improved upon and deepened in the form of commissions and joint working groups.  Management system will be improved  The management system will be implemented in accordance with the management system at the property is only being partially implemented  Funding  The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property  Education, Information and Awareness Building  There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth  Visitor Management  Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements  The Available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property  Education, Information and Awareness Building  There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth  Visitor Wanagement  Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements  The available budget for the maintenance and protection of the world Heritage property  Education, Information and Awareness Building  Development and cooperation between schools and memory institutions in educating children and youth continues to take place.	continue to be improved upon and deepened in the form of commissions and joint working groups.  The management of the property, but it could be improved  The management system will be implemented in accordance with the property is only being partially implemented  Management Plan.  Management system will be implemented in accordance with the Management Plan.  Funding  The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property  Education, Information and Awareness Building  There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth  Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but limprovements  Continuous process  Continuous process  Continuous process  Phanagement system will be implemented in accordance with the Management species is not possible due to the current socio-economic situation.  There is a limited and and between schools and memory institutions in educating children and youth continues to take place.  Visitor use of the World Heritage Development Strategy 2021–2030. For HCP: PCT Inbound Tourism Strategy.  These documents (determine direction for improvements of the management of the managemen	between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved  The management system at the property soling partially implemented  The available budget is acceptablebut could be further improved to fully meet the management management improved to fully meet the management property so of the World Heritage property  Education, Information and Awareness Building  There is a limited and ad hose deucation and awareness programme for children and/or youth  Visitor Wanagement  Visitor Wanagement  Visitor Management  Visitor Management  Visitor improvements  Continuous process  Plenty of authorities and stakeholders according to the Management Plan.  There are no plans to address this deficiency.  Parliament of the Czech Republic  City of Prague / Pra		

#### Summary - Management Needs completed

- 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property
- 12.3.1 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

  The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved
- **12.3.2 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?** The Integrity of the World Heritage property **has been compromised** by factors described in this report
- 12.3.3 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been impacted by factors described in this report, but this situation is being addressed through effective management actions.

#### 12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **intact** 

- 12.3.5 Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.
- 13. Impact of World Heritage Status
- 13.1 Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive

Historic Centre of Prague 61 of 64

Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	Positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Very positive
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	No impact
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	Positive
Advocacy	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Very positive
Gender equality	Positive
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	No impact
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Very positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	No impact
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Positive
Other	No impact
If 'Other', please specify	

#### 13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

#### 14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

Within HCP, original medieval street network and block structure is successfully preserved on vast majority of historic urban lay-out. In terms of panorama towards centre of Part 001, authentic undisturbed harmonic whole fulfilling OUV criteria is successfully maintained. Strong aspect is preservation of diverse character of blocks. Significant proportion of green space has also been preserved in Part 001 and natural element of meandering river. Successful restoration and revitalisation of a number of important heritage buildings and complexes takes place. All structural changes and restoration plans, not just in Part 001 but also in the Buffer Zone, are assessed by heritage authorities at level of the City of Prague. These can also make use of opinion of state expert institution as basis for their decisions. Buffer Zone of Part 001 has been established to a sufficient extent, and its territory is also home to a number of areas with a stricter level of heritage protection. Prague public is extremely sensitive to inappropriate encroachment on historical building stock, and this societal interest is supported by critical media attention. In Pr honice Park, systematic and professional care works to maintain and restore concept of park's founder Count Silva-Tarouca in form of revitalising vegetation component in a compositional and taxonomical sense, repairing park's paths, and caring for watercourses and bodies of water. Spatial composition is successfully being rehabilitated and interesting parts of park restored. Also successful is care for extensive rock garden. A marked success was closing road that cut through parts I and II of park to public traffic, thus boosting and stabilising monument's integrity. Likewise, fencing around whole park is being successfully completed in order to ensure its protection. Minor structures in park and chateau are being repaired. Information regarding heritage care, buffer zone and interest of media is similar to with component 001.

#### 14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Sustainable Development

Synergies

State of Conservation

Management

### 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

#### 15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

#### 15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity

Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value

Monitoring and reporting

### 15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

Historic Centre of Prague 62 of 64

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Fair

#### 15.2. Use of Data

#### 15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans

Awareness raising

#### 15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

#### 15.3. Timing and resources

#### 15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

Staff from other World Heritage properties

### 15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

### 15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

#### 15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

100 / 10 / 40 /

#### 15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

#### 15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

#### 15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

#### 15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			×	

#### 15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

The questionnaire could be better designed for serial world heritage sites where the individual components are different in nature and it is quite difficult to include the needs of both components in detail in a single questionnaire that does not distinguish the components.

#### 15.5. Training and Guidance

#### 15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	No support
UNESCO National Commission	No support
ICOMOS International	No support
IUCN International	No support
ICCROM international/regional	No support
ICOMOS national/regional	No support

Historic Centre of Prague 63 of 64

IUCN national/regional No support

#### 15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	No support
National Commission for UNESCO	No support
ICOMOS International	No support
ICCROM International/regional	No support
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	No support
IUCN International	No support

# 15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Not applicable (i.e. I did not use these resources)

- 15.5.4 If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?
- 15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
- 15.6.1 Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

- 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.2 Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.

Historic Centre of Prague 64 of 64