

Ancient City of Tauric Chersonese and its Chora

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Ancient City of Tauric Chersonese and its Chora

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Ancient city of Tauric Chersonese	44.611 / 33.491	42.811	207.22	250.031	2013
Chora plot in the Yukharina Gully	44.55 / 33.47	150.623	1235	1385.623	2013
Chora plot in Berman's Gully	44.524 / 33.501	19.557	291.092	310.649	2013
Chora plot on the Bezmyannaya Height	44.526 / 33.547	17.294	1116	1133.294	2013
Chora plot in the Streletskaya Gully	44.571 / 33.478	15.266	?	15.266	2013
Chora plot on the isthmus of the Mayachny Peninsula – part I	44.564 / 33.411	5.051	191.776	196.827	2013
Chora plot on the isthmus of the Mayachny Peninsula – part II	44.562 / 33.41	8.541	?	8.541	2013
Chora plot on the isthmus of the Mayachny Peninsula	44.561 / 33.408	0.231	?	0.231	2013
Total (ha)		259.374	3041.088	3300.462	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Ancient City of Tauric Chersonese and its Chora - map of inscribed property	2013	

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

1. [National Preserve of Tauric Chersonesos](#)

Comment

The link is not valid, because the Site is located in the territory annexed by the Russian Federation.

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		✗
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		✗
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		✗
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		✗
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		✗

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

The property is located on the annexed territory.

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

No

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

No

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you are aware.

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief synthesis

Tauric Chersonese and its chora are the remains of an ancient city, founded in the 5th century BCE as a colonial settlement of the Dorian Greeks, located on the Heracleian Peninsula in south-west Crimea. The polis and extended chora of Tauric Chersonese form an outstanding example of an ancient cultural landscape, consisting of a Greek polis and its agricultural hinterland established as part of colonist activities in the 4th and 3rd century BCE. The significant archaeological ruins of the city retain physical remains constructed between the 5th century BCE and the 13th century AD laid out on an orthogonal grid system. The basic orientation of this orthogonal grid continues into the wider landscape where fragments of a vast land demarcation system of 400 equal allotments in an area of 10,000 hectares have been preserved.

The Ancient City of Tauric Chersonese and its chora is an exceptional example of a peripheral centre of movement of people which acted as an important gateway to the north-eastern parts of the Greek trade influence, including the Crimea and the Scythian state. The city maintained its strategic role over almost two millennia and provides a unique example for the continuity and longevity of a mercantile outpost connecting the different Black Sea trade routes.

Criterion (ii): Tauric Chersonese provides an outstanding physical testimony to the exchange that took place between the Greek, Roman and Byzantine Empires and the populations north of the Black Sea. The polis and its chora stand out for having retained this role as a centre of exchange of influences and cross-fertilization between these cultures for a very long time and with continuity over millennia.

Criterion (v): Tauric Chersonese and its Chora represents a relict agricultural landscape of a vast and, at locations, well-preserved land allotment system, of formerly over 400 equal allotments connected to a preserved polis. The remains of the division walls, fortifications, farmsteads and the characteristic grid layout embodied the lifestyles of the city's inhabitants and illustrate the agricultural use and continuity of the landscape despite later changes in production.

Integrity

The six property components include the complete ancient polis of Tauric Chersonese as well as fragments of its chora. About half of the chora has been lost due to urban development and yet, only small parts of what remains have been inscribed. This selection provides a sufficient fragment of the chora landscape, but a future expansion of the property to include further chora segments would be desirable and would further strengthen the integrity of the property.

The impact of urban development on the chora setting is significant and the integrity of the wider landscape is fragile and requires decisive and consistent protection and planning mechanisms to prevent further negative impacts by insensitive urban or infrastructure developments. Likewise, the city of Tauric Chersonese has experienced significant developments of intrusive character, some of which have been committed to be relocated.

Authenticity

The condition of authenticity in material, design and substance is good for the archaeological remains of the polis and the chora. About 10 of the 40 hectares of the site of Tauric Chersonese have been excavated leading to a good understanding of the history and development of the town. Less excavations have taken place in the chora but its structure and layout is nevertheless well understood. No major restoration or conservation projects were carried out with the exception of a few cases of anastylosis. This has retained high degrees of authenticity in material and substance. Authenticity in form and design is well retained in its relations to the urban layout and chora plot division.

The authenticity in setting and location is partly affected, predominantly by the 20th century constructions which destroyed parts of the ancient city but also by urban encroachments and infrastructure projects close to the chora sites. Their impact could be reduced to the extent possible by removing the yacht club and associated structures from its present location and better integrating the cathedral within the archaeological site.

Management and protection requirements

The property enjoys the highest level of national protection according to the Law of Ukraine on Cultural Heritage Protection (No. 2518-VI of 9 September 2010). This status prohibits any activities within the boundaries that may have any negative impact on the state of preservation, or use of any cultural heritage sites and designated monuments. A recently launched project entitled "Boundaries and land use regimes for the protected areas of the monuments of the Tauric Chersonese National Preserve located on the territory of the Heracleian Peninsula in the City of Sevastopol" aims at integrating a more sophisticated zoning and protection concept in the Master Development Plan, which would strengthen the protection status of the extended chora landscape. The official adoption of the draft plan should be given priority.

The authority responsible for the property is the Tauric Chersonese National Preserve which was mandated as the management agency by the Ministry of Culture. Key protection challenges of the property are erosion, in particular shore erosion, the establishment of adequate security measures on all site components and urban development. Urban development has in the past been and will continue to be a key risk as the city of Sevastopol is located at very close distance to the archaeological sites and continues to grow. Inappropriate urban expansions will negatively impact the already fragile integrity of the archaeological landscape. Important works are underway to integrate the archaeological landscape into the wider land-use and protection system. These have to be finalized to cover a wider area beyond the presently designated protected areas and landscape protection zones. Future inclusion of these features through boundary extensions of the property would ensure that the relict landscape of the Chersonese chora could be protected in its larger context.

A revised management plan which is to be finalized in mid 2013 should be officially adopted and management priority should be given to conservation needs. In view of the critical state of conservation of the ruins in the city of Tauric Chersonese, some of which are highly dilapidated or even close to collapse, budgetary resources need to be increased to respond to the urgent conservation and security challenges. Clear budgetary priority needs to be given to conservation and visitor security rather than interpretation and other tourism projects.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Ancient cultural landscape of the 4th and 3d century BC		×		
3.2.2	Remains of Stone and Bronze Age settlements		×		
3.2.3	Roman and medieval fortifications and water supply systems		×		
3.2.4	Early Christian monuments		×		
3.2.5	Land organization and vineyard planting of an ancient polis		×		
3.2.6	Testimony of intercrossing of influences of Greek, Roman and Byzantine Empires		×		
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

The OUV of the Site is seriously compromised by occupation authorities. The illegal construction of a historical and archaeological park on the Chersonese territory annexed by Russia (a project called "New Chersonese") was announced in 2021. On a total area of almost 24 hectares, the Museum of Antiquity and Byzantium is planned to be built, the International Archaeological Center with office premises, the Museum of Christianity, as well as centers for tourists and pilgrims

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

✗ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative ✗	×	×	×				

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✗ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative ✗		×		×			

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Potential, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive							
➖ Negative ✕	✕	✕	✕				↗

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive							
➖ Negative ✕	✕	✕	✕				↗

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Illegal construction of the centers for tourists and pilgrims in the territory of the Site. The so-called landscaping of the territory: installation of stage structures and equipment weighing 500 tons, wooden tracks, and metal constructions, installed close to or on the ancient walls, electric cables laying through ancient walls, new stone pedestals with information boards, some information plates are screwed to the walls.

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕	✕		✕			
➖ Negative							

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Potential, Outside

Relevant		✕ Not relevant	
----------	--	----------------	--

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Potential, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive ✕		✕		✕	↘		
➖ Negative							

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant		✕ Not relevant	
----------	--	----------------	--

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Since 2014 Ukraine has had no access to the annexed territory of Crimea and is unable to conduct direct monitoring of the state of conservation of the World Heritage Site. The information is collected mostly from open sources

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant














<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		















Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

 Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------















	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant


 Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Since the occupation by Russia of Crimea the Black Sea water area undergoes the negative impact of Russian military trainings, and since 2022 - of the war initiated by Russia against Ukraine. Explosion products are deadly for the environment. Due to shell explosions in the coastal zone, fish and dolphins are dying en masse. The construction of the Kerch Bridge and the Taurid Highway undermined the ecology of the peninsula. The release of petroleum products from ships and tankers is uncontrolled

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):


- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✕ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕		✕		✕		➡	

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✕ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕		✕		✕		➡	

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✕ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive							

⊖ Negative ✕		✕		✕		→	
--------------	--	---	--	---	--	---	--

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔨 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
🟢 Positive							
⊖ Negative ✕	✕	✕	✕				↗

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔨 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
🟢 Positive							
⊖ Negative ✕	✕	✕		✕		→	

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔨 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
🟢 Positive							
⊖ Negative ✕	✕		✕	✕		→	

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

In 2015, the occupation authorities decided to turn Chersonese into an entertainment facility with a park, museum, opera and ballet theater. Tribunes for spectators were erected, paths were paved with modern paving tiles, and many modern electronic and sensor devices were installed. Destructive illegal "excavations" were conducted in Site's suburb in 2020-2021 to clear the site for the construction of the entertainment complex "New Chersonesus" (to glorify the cradle of Orthodoxy myth).

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔨 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive							
➖ Negative ✕	✕	✕	✕				↗

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔨 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive							
➖ Negative ✕	✕	✕	✕				↗

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔨 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive							
➖ Negative ✕	✕	✕		✕			↗

4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔨 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive							
➖ Negative ✕	✕	✕		✕			↗

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The occupation administration of the museum is preparing "handover acts for restoration for further display outside the museum" for 300,000 exhibits from the Preserve fund collection. Illegal archeological excavations, trainings of Russian militaries in the territory of the peninsula, full-scale war of Russia against Ukraine since 2022. Appropriation and decontextualization of the Ukrainian cultural heritage by occupation authorities. Russia is bombing Ukraine from the Black Sea water area

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	X	X		X		→	

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant






Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							

 Negative 							
--	--	---	--	---	--	---	--

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant
















Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

 Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------












	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

 Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.13.2 - Legal framework

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.13.3 - Governance

Relevant

✕ Not relevant











4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

✕ Relevant

Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕			

4.13.5 - Financial resources

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.13.6 - Human resources

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The WH Site is under the control of the occupation authority. It became known exhibits from the Kamyana Mohyla Archaeological Museum-Preserve, located in the temporarily uncontrolled territory of the Zaporizhzhia region, were moved to Chersonese. On April 13 2023, the museum was included as a branch to the Tauric Chersonese.

4.14. Other factor(s)


























































4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

As of today, the MCIP is unable to implement the requirements of the Laws of Ukraine "On the Protection of Cultural Heritage", "On Museums and Museum Affairs", "On the Export, Import and Return of Cultural Values" within the temporarily occupied territory of the Autonomous Republic of Crimea and the city of Sevastopol as well as other regulatory legal acts. This causes the impossibility to conduct direct monitoring in these territories.

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.1 Housing						
4.1.2 Commercial development						
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities						
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						
4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure						
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.5 Major linear utilities						
4.4 Pollution						
4.4.1 Pollution of marine waters						
4.4.2 Ground water pollution						
4.4.3 Surface water pollution						
4.4.4 Air pollution						
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.1 Wind						
4.7.2 Relative humidity						
4.7.8 Micro-organisms						
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses						
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation						

						
4.9 Other human activities						
4.9.1 Illegal activities						
						
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
						
4.9.3 Military training						
						
4.9.4 War						
						
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.1 Storms						
						
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.2 Earthquake						
						
4.11.5 Erosion and siltation/Deposition						
						
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)						
						
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.4 Management activities						
						
Legend	 Current	 Potential	 Negative	 Positive	 Inside	 Outside

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.1 Housing							
							
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted						
	Localised						
✕	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact							
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
✕	On-going						
Impact - Impact on the attributes							

	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
✕	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.2 Commercial development						
	⊖		📢		🔄	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
✕	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
------	--------	--------	-------

4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure							

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
✕	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant

	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
✕	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	<div> <div>+</div> <div>⬇️</div> <div>⬆️</div> </div>	<div> <div>🌐</div> </div>	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
✕	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing





Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure							
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact							
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Impact on the attributes							
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Management response - Capacity of management to respond							
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Development over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing						
	Static						
	Increasing						

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.3.5 Major linear utilities							
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact							
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						







	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
✕	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

4.4 Pollution

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.4.1 Pollution of marine waters						
						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
✕	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
✕	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing

✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.4.2 Ground water pollution			
			
			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes






	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
✕	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

✕	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.4.3 Surface water pollution			
			
			





Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic

	Frequent
✕	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
✕	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.4.4 Air pollution						
						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
✕	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing

	Static
✕	Increasing

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric





Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.7.1 Wind			
	⊖	📢	➡

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
✕	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.7.2 Relative humidity			
	⊖	📢	➡

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare





	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
✕	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.8 Micro-organisms						
						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
✕	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	

	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses			
	  		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes




	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
✕	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing






Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community			
	  		 

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact





	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
X	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
X	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
X	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
X	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation			
			
			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
X	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
X	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
X	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
X	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

4.9 Other human activities

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.9.1 Illegal activities						
						





Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
✖	Major






Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
✘	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
						





Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
✕	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.9.3 Military training						
						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity

✕	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.9.4 War			
	  		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread






Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
✕	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
✕	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.10.1 Storms			
	  		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive



	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
✕	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.11.2 Earthquake						
	⊖		📌		🔄	➡

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
✕	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity




	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
✕	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.11.5 Erosion and siltation/Deposition			
			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major






Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
✕	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)			
			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised

	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
✕	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.4 Management activities						
						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
✕	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	

	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
✕	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Ancient Cultural Landscape of the 4th and 3d century BC		✕		
4.18.1.2	Remains of Stone and Bronze Age settlements		✕		
4.18.1.3	Romans and medieval fortifications and water supply systems		✕		
4.18.1.4	Early Christian monuments		✕		
4.18.1.5	Land organization and vineyard planting of an ancient polis		✕		

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are **adequate to maintain** the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries **are known by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known and recognised by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

Answers to these questions relate to boundaries established by the State Party of Ukraine. Since 2014, the State Party of Ukraine has no access to the territory of Crimea annexed by Russia and cannot guarantee the compliance of the occupied authorities with the established boundaries.

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

The protected properties in the city of Tauric Chersonese and in its chora enjoy the highest degree of legal protection as protected areas, with their lands classified as historical and cultural lands.

The status of historical and cultural preserves and protected areas is regulated by Articles 33, 33-1, 33-2, 33-3 of the Law of Ukraine on Cultural Heritage Protection (version No. 2518-VI of 9 September 2010) stating that "any activities are prohibited within the boundaries of historical and cultural preserves and/or protected historical and cultural areas that have or may have any negative impact on the state of preservation, protection or use of any cultural heritage sites or monuments" (Art. 33, Cl. 6 of the Law of Ukraine on Cultural Heritage Protection). The observance of the status of historical and cultural preserves and protected areas is entrusted under Article 33-2, Clause 3 of the Law of Ukraine on Cultural Heritage Protection to the managers of such historical and cultural preserves and protected areas.

The protected areas of Tauric Chersonese and its chora have been transferred to the administration of Tauric Chersonese National Preserve for use in perpetuity on the basis of the following legislative acts:

- component part No. 001 – the ancient city of Tauric Chersonese – Regulation No. 55- of the Sevastopol City State Administration of 13 January 1999;
- component part No.002 – the chora site in the Yukharina Gully – Resolutions No. 475 and No. 10804 of the Sevastopol City Council of 5 July 2006 and 13 July 2010, respectively;
- component part No.003 – the chora site in Berman's Gully – Resolution No. 4126 of the Sevastopol City Council of 8 April 2008;

- component part No.004 – the chora site on the Bezmyannaya Height – Regulation No. 55- of the Sevastopol City State Administration of 13 January 1999;
- component part No.005 – the chora site in the Streletskaya Gully – Resolution No. 4127 of the Sevastopol City Council of 8 April 2008;
- component part No.006 – the chora site on the isthmus of the Mayachny Peninsula – Resolution No. 7982 of the Sevastopol City Council of 8 September 2009; and
- component part No.007 – the chora site on Cape Vinogradny – Resolution No. 4802 of the Sevastopol City Council of 8 July 2008.

Apart from that, Order No. 220/0/16-11 of the Ministry of Culture and Tourism of Ukraine of 6 April 2011 establishes the following land use regimes for the monuments (protected areas) of Tauric Chersonese National Preserve:

"The regime that the property is subject to prohibits any construction activities that are not related to conservation or exploitation of its heritage.

Allowed on the said sites are archaeological and other monument protection and preservation related research, restoration, conservation, monument interpretation, property improvement and other engineering activities aimed to preserve the heritage.

Permissible (on the basis of individually approved projects) are reconstruction of any existing structures that are considered as background as well as construction of individual structures designed to accommodate displayed archaeological items or house the Preserve services (though those cannot be higher than 8 m).

Any land and/or construction activities in the said areas can only be allowed after thorough archaeological exploration of the designated sites". Additionally, the Order establishes the following special regime for the city of Chersonese (component part No. 001): "The isolated structures of the yacht club on the shore of Quarantinnaya Bay, the private housing within the boundaries of the 12th Coastal Battery, the isolated archaeological expedition structures (outside the Archaeological Base of the Preserve) and the former monastery inn building should be considered as dissonant and are therefore to be demolished in the future."

An additional means of protection of the property 'Ancient city of Tauric Chersonese and its chora' are the buffer (protection) zones around the protected areas as such, with their specific land use regimes. Specifically, Order No. 220/0/16-11 of the Ministry of Culture of Ukraine of 6 April 2011 prescribes for the buffer (protection) zone of the sites administered by Tauric Chersonese National Preserve a land use regime with strict restrictions on any construction activities apart from those related to exploration, preservation and exploitation of cultural heritage or development of the tourist infrastructure:

"Allowed in the said zones are archaeological and other monument protection and preservation related research, restoration, conservation, monument interpretation, property improvement, shore protection and other engineering activities aimed to preserve the heritage and arrange access ways to the heritage.

Permissible (on the basis of individually approved projects) are reconstruction of any existing residential buildings that are considered as background as well as construction of any new structures designed to accommodate and display museum collections of the Preserve, organize pilgrim or monastery centres, expand the archaeological base of the Preserve and/or create visitor service facilities for tourists and pilgrims alike.

Any land and/or construction activities in any such zones can only be allowed after thorough archaeological exploration of the designated sites, with compulsory interpretation of any newly discovered valuable archaeological monuments and items.

The Black Sea bottom area falling within the boundaries of the monument protection zone of the Preserve shall be kept intact as containing old shipwrecks (on the shelf) and submerged ancient buildings (in the coastal area). Construction of any above-water structures (except for engineering structures) and/or landing stages in the said area is prohibited. Any land works at the bottom of the sea can only be allowed under supervision of professional archaeologists and in places containing any cultural heritage items – only after thorough archaeological explorations."

Source: nomination file

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

2014 / On Ensuring Civil Rights and Freedoms, and the Legal Regime on the Temporarily Occupied Territory of Ukraine / + /
<https://zakon.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/1207-18?lang=en#Text>

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate legal framework** exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property, **but there are some deficiencies in implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

The answers are based on the Ukrainian legal framework context. Since 2014 the Property is on the temporarily occupied territory by the Russian Federation.

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property

Other

If 'Other', please specify

The Property is under governance of the occupation authority of Russian Federation


5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

Other (please specify below)

The Property is managed by the occupation administration of the Tauric Chersonese Preserve

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Management Plan_Ancient City of Tauric Chersonese and its Chora (1411)	N/A	Available	2012	

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

No use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?

No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is **no coordination** between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

No management system/plan is currently in place to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

No management system is currently in place

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

No annual work/action plan exists despite an identified need

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities	✗				
5.3.15.2	Local authorities	✗				
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone	✗				
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	✗				
5.3.15.5	Women	✗				
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	✗				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities	✗				

5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
5.3.16.4	Landowners	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
5.3.16.5	Women	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
5.3.16.7	Researchers	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
5.3.16.12	NGOs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention*

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	%	%
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	%	%
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	%	%
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	%	%
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	%	%
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	%	%
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	%	%

6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 0 %	Total 0 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

There is **no budget** for the effective management of the World Heritage property **despite an identified need**

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are **not secure**

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

There is no information on the funding resources of the Property by the occupation authorities besides the information in the open sources

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	0 %	0 %
6.1.6.2	Women	0 %	0 %
		Total 0 %	Total 0 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

No human resources are dedicated to managing the property despite an identified need

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Not applicable
Environmental sustainability	Not applicable
Community participation and inclusion	Not applicable
Risk preparedness	Not applicable
Capacity development and education	Not applicable
Administration	Not applicable
Research and monitoring	Not applicable
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Not applicable
Marketing and promotion	Not applicable
Interpretation	Not applicable
Visitor management/tourism	Not applicable
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not applicable

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Not applicable
Environmental sustainability	Not applicable
Community participation and inclusion	Not applicable
Risk preparedness	Not applicable
Capacity development and education	Not applicable
Administration	Not applicable
Research and monitoring	Not applicable
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Not applicable
Marketing and promotion	Not applicable
Interpretation	Not applicable
Visitor management/tourism	Not applicable
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not applicable

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

No information available

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

There is **no site-based** capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

No information available

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **acceptable** for most key areas but there are gaps

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **no research** taking place in the World Heritage property **despite an identified need**

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are **not shared at any level**

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

Ukraine has no access to the temporarily occupied Property

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building**8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

Local communities	Not applicable
Local/municipal authorities	Not applicable
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Women	Not applicable
Youth/children	Not applicable
Researchers	Not applicable
Local visitors	Not applicable
National/international tourists	Not applicable
Tourism industry	Not applicable
Local businesses and industries	Not applicable
NGOs	Not applicable
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth **but it only partly meets the needs**

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Other specific groups

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Not needed
Site museum	Not needed

Information booths	Not needed
Guided tours	Not needed
Trails/routes	Not needed
Printed information materials	Not needed
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Not needed
Transportation facilities	Not needed
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	it is impossible to assess the adequacy because Ukraine has no access to the Site

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

0 / 0 / 0 / 0 / 0 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Other

No information available

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One to three hours

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

The State Party has no access to the temporarily occupied Site and the information for monitoring is mainly taken from open sources.

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

0 / 0 / 0 / 0 / 0 / 0 /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

The State Party has no access to the temporarily occupied Site and the information for monitoring is mainly taken from open sources.

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a **strategy** to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but it is **not implemented**

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **not being actively managed despite an identified need**

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

No

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

Although the tourism industry is active in the property, there is **no contact** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is **not adequately presented and interpreted**

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **one location** and **easily visible** to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

No fees are collected

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Not applicable

If 'Yes', please specify

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Not applicable

If 'Yes', please specify

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

Temporarily occupied territory, no information available.

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **no monitoring** taking place at the World Heritage property or buffer zone despite an identified need

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient to define key indicators, but this has not been done**

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation		✗		
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system		✗		
10.3.3	Character of governance		✗		
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations		✗		
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development		✗		
10.3.6	Capacity development		✗		

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

The State Party of the Property has no access to the temporarily occupied territory of the Site and can exercise only remote monitoring.

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Not applicable
Local/municipal authorities	Not applicable
Local communities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Not applicable
Women	Not applicable
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Not applicable
Local businesses and industry	Not applicable
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

There is no actual access to the monument for Ukrainian and international observers, which makes it impossible to analyze the real state of conservation of the Site. The monitoring is based on information from open sources, the information provided by representatives of the local communities, heritage specialists, journalists, or NGOs.

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.2	Protective Measures
-----	---------------------

5.2.5	An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	✕
5.2.6	There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain	
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	No use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	✕
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.11	There is no coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property	
5.3.12	No management system/plan is currently in place to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	
5.3.13	There is currently no management system in place at the property	✕
5.3.14	There is no existing annual work/action plan for the property despite an identified need	
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	There is no budget for effective management of the World Heritage property despite an identified need	✕
6.1.4	Existing sources of funding are not secure	
6.1.7	No human resources are dedicated to managing the World Heritage property despite an identified need	✕
6.1.10	No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	
6.1.12	There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred	
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects	
7.2	There is no research taking place in the World Heritage property despite an identified need	✕
7.3	Research results are not shared at any level	
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
8.2	There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs	
9	Visitor Management	
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but it is not implemented	✕
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is not being actively managed despite an identified need	
9.11	Although the tourism industry is active in the property, there is no contact between tourism operators and those responsible for the World Heritage property	
9.12	The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is not adequately presented and interpreted	✕
10	Monitoring	
10.1	There is no monitoring taking place in the World Heritage property or buffer zone despite an identified need	✕
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, but this has not been done	✕
Please select 0 more issues.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Please save this question to reflect changes		

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.1	Buildings and Development						
4.1.1	Housing	criteria (v), attributes 1, 2, 3, 4, 5	Appeal to international organizations, including UNESCO, to force Russia to stop the illegal construction and restoration projects within the site.	Remote monitoring and direct monitoring when possible	Constantly	Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Ministry of Culture and Information Policy, Crimean platform, research institutes, non-governmental organizations and initiatives, etc.	None
4.4	Pollution						

4.4.1	Pollution of marine waters	criteria (v), attributes 1, 5	Appeal to international organizations, including UNESCO, to force Russia to stop activities that disrupt the ecological system of the peninsula	Remote monitoring and direct monitoring when possible	Constantly	Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Ministry of Culture and Information Policy, Crimean platform, research institutes, non-governmental organizations and initiatives, etc.	None
4.4.4	Air pollution	criteria (v), attributes 1, 2, 3, 4, 5	Appeal to international organizations, including UNESCO, to force Russia to stop activities that disrupt the ecological system of the peninsula	Remote monitoring and direct monitoring when possible	Constantly	Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Ministry of Culture and Information Policy, Crimean platform, research institutes, non-governmental organizations and initiatives, etc.	None
4.8	Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1	Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	Criteria (ii, v), attributes 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6	Appeal to international organizations, including UNESCO, to force Russia to stop the illegal construction of the museum and opera and ballet centre together with the pilgrimage centre on the Site.	Remote monitoring and direct monitoring when possible	Constantly	Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Ministry of Culture and Information Policy, Crimean platform, research institutes, non-governmental organizations and initiatives, etc.	Many times the issue of the transfer of the Site to the Russian Orthodox Church was raised
4.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	Criteria (ii, v), attributes 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6	Appeal to international organizations, including UNESCO, to force Russia to stop forces changes in the demographic map of the annexed Crimea, displacing the indigenous population from the peninsula	Remote monitoring and direct monitoring when possible	Constantly	Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Ministry of Culture and Information Policy, Crimean platform, research institutes, non-governmental organizations and initiatives, etc.	The management of the property is exercised by representatives of the occupation authorities
4.9	Other human activities						
4.9.1	Illegal activities	Criteria (ii, v), attributes 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6	Appeal to international organizations, including UNESCO, to force Russia to stop illegal construction, restoration, archaeological activities as well as looting of the Property's artefacts and illegal transfer of the Preserve's collection to Russia	Remote monitoring and direct monitoring when possible	Constantly	Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Ministry of Culture and Information Policy, Crimean platform, research institutes, non-governmental organizations and initiatives, etc.	Ratification by Ukraine of the Nicosia Convention 2017
4.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage	Criteria (v), attributes 1, 2, 3, 4, 5	Appeal to international organizations, including UNESCO, to force Russia to stop the deliberate destruction of the Site under the disguise of illegal construction, restoration, and archaeological activities	Remote monitoring and direct monitoring when possible	Constantly	Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Ministry of Culture and Information Policy, Crimean platform, research institutes, non-governmental organizations and initiatives, etc.	None
4.9.4	War	Criteria (ii, v), attributes 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6	Appeal to international organizations, including UNESCO, to force Russia to stop violating the provisions of the international humanitarian law	Remote monitoring and direct monitoring when possible	Constantly	Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Ministry of Culture and Information Policy, Crimean platform initiative	None
4.11	Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.2	Earthquake	Criteria (v), attributes 1-5	Anti-Seismic measures	Remote monitoring and direct monitoring when possible	-	Occupation authorities	Ukraine has no access to the temporarily occupied Site
4.13	Management and institutional factors						

4.13.4	Management activities	Criteria (ii, v), attributes 1-6	Appeal to international organizations, including UNESCO, to force Russia to stop violating the provisions of the international humanitarian law	Remote monitoring and direct monitoring when possible	-	-	The Law On Securing Citizens' Rights and Freedoms and Legal Regime on the Temporary Occupied Territory of Ukraine stipulates that in the temp. occup. ter., the responsibility for the protection of cult. heritage rests with the occupation authority
--------	-----------------------	----------------------------------	---	---	---	---	---

Summary - Factors affecting the Property **completed**

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.2	Protective Measures					
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
5.2.5	An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	Ukraine has no access to the occupied territory. The responsibility for the protection of the Site lies with the occupation authorities	-	-	-	
5.3	Management System/Management Plan					
5.3.5		No use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	Ukraine has no access to the occupied territory. The responsibility for the protection of the Site lies with the occupation authorities	-	-	-
5.3.13		There is currently no management system in place at the property	Ukraine has no access to the occupied territory. The responsibility for the protection of the Site lies with the occupation authorities	-	-	-
6.1	Funding					
6.1.3		There is no budget for effective management of the World Heritage property despite an identified need	Ukraine has no access to the occupied territory. The responsibility for the protection of the Site lies with the occupation authorities	-	-	-
6.1.7		No human resources are dedicated to managing the World Heritage property despite an identified need	Ukraine has no access to the occupied territory. The responsibility for the protection of the Site lies with the occupation authorities	-	-	-

7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects				
7.2	There is no research taking place in the World Heritage property despite an identified need	Ukraine has no access to the occupied territory. The responsibility for the protection of the Site lies with the occupation authorities	-	-	-
9	Visitor Management				
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but it is not implemented	Ukraine has no access to the occupied territory. The responsibility for the protection of the Site lies with the occupation authorities	-	-	-
9.12	The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is not adequately presented and interpreted	Ukraine has no access to the occupied territory. The responsibility for the protection of the Site lies with the occupation authorities	-	-	-
10	Monitoring				
10.1	There is no monitoring taking place in the World Heritage property or buffer zone despite an identified need	Ukraine has no direct access to the Site and can conduct only a remote monitoring	Constantly	Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Ministry of Culture and Information Policy, Crimean platform, research institutes, non-governmental organizations and initiatives, etc.	-
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, but this has not been done	Ukraine has no direct access to the Site and can conduct only a remote monitoring	-	Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Ministry of Culture and Information Policy, Crimean platform, research institutes, non-governmental organizations and initiatives, etc.	-

Summary - Management Needs completed

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

The Authenticity of the World Heritage property **has been seriously compromised** by factors described in this report

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

The Integrity of the World Heritage property **has been seriously compromised** by factors described in this report

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value **has been seriously impacted by factors described in this report, but this situation can be addressed, or is currently being addressed through management actions.**

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values are being **severely degraded** and have had an impact on the state of conservation of the World Heritage property

12.3.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

The OUV of the Property and its movable values are seriously compromised by the management activities of the Russian occupation authorities

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Not applicable
Research and monitoring	Not applicable
Management effectiveness	Not applicable
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Recognition	Not applicable
Education	Not applicable
Infrastructure development	Not applicable
Funding for the property	Not applicable
International cooperation	Not applicable
Political support for conservation	Not applicable
Legal/Policy framework	Not applicable
Advocacy	Not applicable
Institutional coordination	Not applicable
Security	Not applicable
Gender equality	Not applicable
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Not applicable
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Not applicable
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Not applicable
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Not applicable
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

Due to the occupation of the peninsula by the Russian Federation and the impossibility of ensuring supervision of the monument by the Ukrainian authorities or the international community, Tauric Chersonese actually lost its protection. The Government of the Russian Federation, by direct order of the President of the Russian Federation, issues a number of decrees by which the Site was included in the list of cultural heritage properties of federal significance of the Russian Federation.

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	No follow-up
Site Managers	No follow-up
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	No follow-up

Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	No follow-up
--	--------------

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Other
Site is temporarily occupied

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
UNESCO National Commission
Local communities
Non-Governmental Organizations

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance has **not been explicitly** considered or implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

No

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

150 / 80 / 120 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources	✗	
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	✗	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Little of the required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			✗	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			✗	

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Fair
UNESCO National Commission	Fair
ICOMOS International	Good
IUCN International	Good
ICCROM international/regional	Good
ICOMOS national/regional	Fair
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good

UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Fair
National Commission for UNESCO	Poor
ICOMOS International	Poor
ICCROM International/regional	Poor
ICOMOS national/regional	Poor
IUCN national/regional	Poor
IUCN International	Poor

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

Elaborate mechanisms for reporting on the state of conservation of the properties located in the temporarily occupied territories

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.