Maloti-Drakensberg Park

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Maloti-Drakensberg Park

1.2 - World Heritage property details

Comment

Type of Property: Mixed

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
uKhahlamba Drakensberg Park (South Africa)	-29.383 / 29.541	242813	?	242813	2000
Sehlabathebe National Park (Lesotho)	-29.915 / 29.123	6500	46630	53130	2013
Total (ha)		249313	46630	295943	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Property Map – The Drakensberg Park/oKhahlamba Park	2000	

Comment

Please also provide links to the Maps provided for the Extension of the uKhahlamba Drakensberg Park World Heritage Site to include Sehlabathebe National Park in 2013 (Decision: 37 COM 8B.18); hence the two assuming the name Maloti-Drakensberg Park World Heritage Site.

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

- 1. Natural site datasheet from WCMC
- 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)
- 2.1 Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is not designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))	×	
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

No comment

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 1 of 75

of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years? $\ensuremath{\mathsf{No}}$

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

Yes

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

Cooperation with Maloti Drakensberg Transfrontier Conservation Area Programme (MDTP), environmental management, cultural heritage conservation and tourism development; also collaboration with local communities on the implementation of the COMPACT Strategy.

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

No

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

None

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

No

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

None

- 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
- 3.1 Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief synthesis

The Maloti Drakensberg Transboundary World Heritage Site is a transnational property spanning the border between the Kingdom of Lesotho and the Republic of South Africa. The property comprises Sehlabathebe National Park (6,500ha) in Lesotho and uKhahlamba Drakensberg Park (242,813 ha) in South Africa. Maloti Drakensberg Transboundary World Heritage Site is renowned for its spectacular natural landscape, importance as a haven for many threatened and endemic species, and for its wealth of rock paintings made by the San people over a period of 4000 years. The property covers an area of 249,313 ha making it the largest protected area complex along the Great Escarpment of southern Africa.

The Maloti Drakensberg range of mountains constitutes the principal water production area in Southern Africa. The areas along the international border between the two countries create a drainage divide on the escarpment that forms the watershed for two of southern Africa's largest drainage basins. The Thukela River from uKhahlamba Drakensberg Park flows eastwards into the Indian Ocean. The rivers of southern Maloti Drakensberg including SNP drain into the Senqu/Orange River which flows westwards into the Atlantic Ocean, and extension of the UDP WHS to include SNP will add special hydrologic qualities to the area. The Senqu/Orange River from Sehlabathebe National Park flows westwards into the Atlantic Ocean.

With its pristine steep-sided river valleys and rocky gorges, the property has numerous caves and rock shelters containing an estimated 665 rock art sites, and the number of individual images in those sites probably exceeds 35,000. The images depict animals and human beings, and represent the spiritual life of the San people, representing an exceptionally coherent tradition that embodies their beliefs and cosmology over several millennia. There are also paintings done during the nineteenth and twentieth centuries, attributable to Bantu speaking people.

Extending along most of KwaZulu-Natal's south-western border with Lesotho, the property provides a vital refuge for more than 250 endemic plant species and their associated fauna. It also holds almost all of the remaining subalpine and alpine vegetation in KwaZulu-Natal, including extensive high altitude wetlands above 2,750m and is a RAMSAR site. The Park has been identified as an Important Bird Area, and forms a critical part of the Lesotho Highlands Endemic Bird Area.

Criterion (i): The rock art of the Maloti-Drakensberg Park is the largest and most concentrated group of rock paintings in Africa south of the Sahara and is outstanding both in quality and diversity of subject.

Criterion (iii): The San people lived in the mountainous Maloti-Drakensberg area for more than four millennia, leaving behind them a corpus of outstanding rock art, providing a unique testimony which throws much light on their way of life and their beliefs.

Criterion (vii): The site has exceptional natural beauty with soaring basaltic buttresses, incisive dramatic cutbacks and golden sandstone ramparts. Rolling high altitude grasslands, the pristine steep-sided river valleys and rocky gorges also contribute to the beauty of the site.

Criterion (x): The property contains significant natural habitats for in situ conservation of biological diversity. It has outstanding species richness, particularly of plants. It is recognised as a Global Centre of Plant Diversity and endemism, and occurs within its own floristic region – the Drakensberg Alpine Region of South Africa. It is also within a globally important endemic bird area and is notable for the occurrence of a number of globally threatened species, such as the Yellow-breasted Pipit. The diversity of habitats is outstanding, ranging across alpine plateaux, steep rocky slopes and river valleys. These habitats protect a high level of endemic and threatened species.

Integrity

The uKhahlamba Drakensberg Park, composed of 12 protected areas established between 1903 and 1973 has a long history of effective conservation management. Covering 242,813 ha in area, it is large enough to survive as a natural area and to maintain natural values. It includes 4 proclaimed Wilderness areas almost 50% of the Park, while largely unaffected by human development, the property remains vulnerable to external land uses including agriculture, plantation forestry and ecotourism, although agreements between Ezemvelo KZN Wildlife and local stakeholders have been implemented to manage these threats.

Invasive species and fire also threaten the integrity of the site, along with land claims in certain areas, infrastructural developments, soil erosion caused by fire and tourist impacts on vulnerable alpine trails, and poaching. The lack of formal protection of the mountain ecosystem over the border in Lesotho exacerbates these threats.

Boundary issues highlighted at time of inscription included the gap belonging to the amaNgwane and amaZizi Traditional Council between the northern and much larger southern section of the Park. While planning mechanisms restrict development above the 1,650m contour to maintain ecological integrity, it was recommended that a cooperative agreement between the amaNgwane and amaZizi Traditional Council and Ezemvelo KZN Wildlife be envisaged. Extending conservation areas by agreements with privately-owned land along the escarpment to the south of the property was also recommended. Finally an important step to strengthening integrity has been the development of the Drakensberg Maloti Transfrontier Conservation and Development Area, which has recognised the importance of a Transboundary Peace Park linking the Sehlabathebe National Park (and eventually the contiguous Sehlabathebe and Mokhotlong Range Management Areas) in Lesotho with uKhahlamba Drakensberg Park. Project Coordinating Committees in both KwaZulu-Natal and Lesotho are cooperating in a planning process.

The extension of the area to include SNP (6,500ha) has been protected since 1970 as a wildlife sanctuary and a national park, and gazetted in 2001 to enhance protection of the biodiversity and scenic qualities of the property. This area, added to the UDP World Heritage Site is sufficient to protect the biodiversity and cultural values of the area.

The property contains the main corpus of rock art related to the San in this area. A comparatively high concentration of rock art sites seems present in the western buffer zone in Lesotho and future surveys of these should be undertaking with the surveys for rock art in the Maloti-Drakensberg Park to judge their potential contribution to the Outstanding Universal Value. Although the area has changed relatively little since the caves were inhabited, management practices, the removal of trees (which formerly sheltered the paintings) and the smoke from burning grass both have the capacity to impact adversely on the fragile images of the rock shelters, as does unregulated public access.

Authenticity

The synthesis of rock art sites and their natural setting in Maloti-Drakensberg Park convey a very strong sense of authenticity in setting, location and atmosphere but also material, substance and workmanship. It should be noted as a positive factor that in large parts of the property no systematic conservation or consolidation treatment has been attempted, which has left the rock art sites perhaps more fragile but with the utmost possible degree of authenticity. The sites remain closely integrated with their surrounding landscape and credibly convey the narratives of San life and activity in respect to the harsh climatic conditions of the area and necessary exploitation of natural resources and shelter. Potential influences of UV rays and weathering on the images could lead to fading of colors and reduce the clarity of image content, which in turn that could lessen their ability to display their meaning. It is important that explanatory materials assist the interpretation of the

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 3 of 75

image content as understood by the San people.

Protection and Management requirements

Management of the Park is guided by an Integrated Management Plan with subsidiary plans, and is undertaken in accordance with the World Heritage Convention Act, 1999 (South Africa, Act No. 49 of 1999); National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act, 2003 (South Africa, Act 57 of 2003); National Environmental Management Biodiversity Act, 2004 (South Africa, Act No 10 of 2004); KwaZulu-Natal Nature Conservation Management Amendment Act (South Africa, No 5 of 1999); the Game Preservation Proclamation (Lesotho, No. 55 of 1951); the Historical Monuments, Relics, Fauna and Flora Act (Lesotho, No. 41 of 1967); the National Heritage Act 2011 and Environment Act (Lesotho, No. 10 of 2008); World Heritage Convention Operational Guidelines; Environment policies in Lesotho and Ezemvelo KZN Wildlife policies. In terms of these legislation, all development within or outside the property is subjected to an Environmental Impact Assessment and Heritage Impact Assessments respectively, which consider the Outstanding Universal Value of the property. In addition all World Heritage Sites are recognized as protected areas, meaning that mining or prospecting will be completely prohibited from taking place within the property or the proclaimed buffer zone. Furthermore, any unsuitable development with a potential impact on the property will not be permitted by the South African Minister of Water and Environmental Affairs and the Lesotho Minister of Environment and Culture who are responsible for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention.

Invasive species and fire are major management challenges. At the time of inscription 1% of the property was covered with alien vegetation, including existing plantations and wattle infestations. This poses a threat to the ecological integrity of the Park as well as to the yield of water from its wetlands and river systems. Park management is actively addressing the removal of alien species. The interaction between the management of invasive species and the management of fire should also be carefully considered, taking into account the effects of fire on fire-sensitive fauna such as endemic frogs. Management of fire and invasive species needs to be addressed jointly by Lesotho and KwaZulu-Natal, ideally within the framework established for transboundary protected area cooperation.

There is a need to ensure an equitable balance between the management of nature and culture through incorporating adequate cultural heritage expertise into the management of the Park and providing the responsible cultural heritage authorities with adequate budgets for the inventory, conservation and monitoring tasks. This shall ensure that all land management processes respect the paintings, that satisfactory natural shelter is provided to the rock art sites, that monitoring of the rock art images is conducted on a regular basis by appropriately qualified conservators, and that access to the paintings is adequately regulated. Furthermore, there is a need to ensure that Heritage Impact Assessments are undertaken in conjunction with Environmental Impact Assessments for any proposed development affecting the setting within the property.

Comment

The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value was updated after Documentation of Rock and Oral History Research were completed in 2015 on the Lesotho side. The Updated OUV is awaiting approval by the WHC

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	The exceptional concentration, quality, diversity of subject, detailed depictions	×			
3.2.2	Spiritual significance of San rock art	×			
3.2.3	Superlative natural beauty and aesthetic value of the area	×			
3.2.4	Exceptional biological diversity	×			
3.2.5	Extensive system of natural wetlands and rivers	×			
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Research was undertaken in 2015 (Documentation of Rock Art and Oral History), and we revised our OUV based on the outcome of the studies / research; now awaiting the approval of the revised OUV by UNESCO-WHC

- 4. Factors Affecting the Property
- 4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant
TOOTAIN	** INOLIGIOVAIIL

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 4 of 75

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

★ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×		×			→	
Negative							

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Facilities are affecting the site positively (site museum, environment centre, signage).

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	G Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×		×			1
Negative							

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

X Relevant Not rel	levant
--------------------	--------

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 5 of 75

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	♦ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×	×	×		\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The main road leading to the WHS is being upgraded from gravel road to the tarred road, all the way to the Park's main entrance gate. it is anticipated that the visitation to the Park will increase after the completion of the tarred road. The eco-cultural opportunities will also increase in communities in the buffer zone.

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×		×			\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	G Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×			×		→	
Negative							

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×			→	
Negative							

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

All facilities in the WHS use Solar energy for power by way of constructed mini-grids; the cellphone towers were also erected in the buffer zones to improve communication in the area; water pipelines were installed from water sources to the facilities in the WHS - and pass through less sensitive areas

4.4. Pollution

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 6 of 75

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×			×			7

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Gurrent	Potential	Inside	C Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×			×			1

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×	×			1

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

★ Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×			×			7

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant			!	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×		×	×			1

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 7 of 75

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Increase in littering outside the property, temperatures increasing possibly due to increasing climate change. This could be mitigated by increase awareness and this will reduce littering.

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

Not relevant

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×		×	×			7

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	G Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×			×		\Rightarrow	

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×	×			P

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	№ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×			7

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

X Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 8 of 75

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
	×		×	×			P

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

★ Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive	×		×	×		→	
○ Negative X	×		×	×			7

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

Not relevant

Re	elevant	X Not relevant

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Grazing of livestock occurs in the buffer zone leading to overgrazing at times; communities live on subsistence farming (crops and livestocks); local communities get thatching grasses from the WHS, and traditional healers also have access to limited quantities of medicinal plants in the WHS However at times there is overharvesting of these resources as well as illegal grazing inside the property.

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

× Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×		×	×			<i>P</i>

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

X Relevant	Not relevant							
	Impact Origin				Trend of impact	act		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
○ Negative X	×		×	×			1	

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 9 of 75

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

Not relevant

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X		×	×	×			1

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

Not relevant

× Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing		
Positive X	×		×			→			
Negative X	×		×	×			*		

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Water supplied to the facilities in the WHS (Lodges, staff housing and offices) as well as communities around There is prospecting for mining and fracking in and outside the property. Over-extraction of water for agricultural purposes.

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact Origin				Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
	×		×	×			P

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

Not relevant

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×			•

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
	×		×	×			,	

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 10 of 75

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

Not relevant

× Relevant	K Relevant					Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact					
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive										
Negative X	×		×	×			7			

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact Origin				Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×			7

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant	1	Not relevant						
	Impact Origin				Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×		×	×			<i>P</i>	

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Origin			igin Trend of impact				
Impact	Current Potential		Inside	G Outside	Decreasing ⇒ Stable		Increasing	
O Positive								
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×			/	

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

≭ Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×		×	×			7

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The WHS experiences high humidity which causes flaking of the San rock art; some San rock art sites are close to the main access road in the buffer zone, and dust coming from the road affects the San rock art; Rain water seeps into to the caves and shelters with San rock art and affects the rock art negatively; Lichens encroaching on the San rock art in the caves and shelters and damage the rock art. Dust from fire burning affects rock art sites.

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 11 of 75

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

★ Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive 🗶	×		×	×		\rightarrow		
Negative X	×		×	×			7	

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
○ Positive ★	×			×			7	
Negative X	×		×	×			/	

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

※ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
	×		×	×		\Rightarrow	

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×		×	×			P

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
Negative X	×		×	×			-	

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant No.	Not relevant
----------------	--------------

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 12 of 75

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×				/
Negative							

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Tourism improves local economy. Local communities still value their heritage hence improves protection unsustainable numbers visiting a single site and loss of heritage knowlodge leads less value on heritage resources.

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	G Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×		×	×			,	

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
○ Negative X	×		×	×		\rightarrow		

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive 🗶	×		×	×		→		
○ Negative X	×		×	×		\rightarrow		

4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant		Not relevant		
	Impact	Origin		Trend of impact

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 13 of 75

Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×			×			<i>P</i>

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Drug smuggling and stock theft happening across the border through the WHS, San rock art being damaged due to graffiti, arson fires and vandalism; Military training taking place which improves security and revenue but may be negative when numbers of people are too high.

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×	×			7

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Ori		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×		×	×			7

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
	×		×	×			1

4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative	×		×	×			-

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

Not relevant

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 14 of 75

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×	×			/

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Climate change has resulted in prolonged drought, desertification and severe weather events

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant	
-------------------------	--

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×		ж			→	

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
○ Negative X	×		×			\Rightarrow		

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 15 of 75

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×		×	×			7

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Accidental and arson wildfires occur during the fire season and might cause damage to the cultural and natural heritage. Soil erosion minor landslides and rockfalls takes place in certain parts of the property. This could be mitigated by providing awareness to the community about the impact of burning fires in a wrong season. Soil erosion could be mitigated by avoiding burning at the wrong time and proper land use planing could mitigate this challenge.

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
	×	×	×	×			/	

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

✗ Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×			1

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
Negative X	×		×	×			1	

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant Not relevant	
Relevant	

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 16 of 75

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	№ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
	×		×	×		⇒	

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Alien fish (Trout) and some aquatic and terrestrial weeds were introduced during the colonial era for various reasons. Trout predates on indigenous fish species such as Maloti Minnow (Pseudobarbus quathlambae); the weeds dry out aquatic systems and out-compete the indigenous species. Black-backed jackal and bush pigs numbers have increased over the years hence causing conflicts between the farmers and conservationists

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

× Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X		×	×			\rightarrow	
Negative X	×		×			\rightarrow	

4.13.2 - Legal framework

× Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
○ Positive ★	×		×			→		
Negative X	×		×	×		\rightarrow		

4.13.3 - Governance

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×		×				1	
Negative X	×			×		→		

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

Not relevant

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×		×			\rightarrow	
Negative X	×	×	×				1

4.13.5 - Financial resources

× Relevant			ı	Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 17 of 75

○ Positive ★	×		×	S	
Negative	×	×	×		P

4.13.6 - Human resources

X Relevant			ı	Not relevant			
	Impact Origin Trend of impact						
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×		S		
	×	×	×				7

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside

× Relevant				Not relevant						
	Impact Orig				Trend of impact	npact				
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing			
Positive X	×		×			\rightarrow				
Negative										

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/10/2010):

Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×			→	
Negative							

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Both governance and management structures are in place, though with some need for improvement, however, increasingly limited resources are affecting the management effectiveness of the property

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

None

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	O	P		•		\rightarrow
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	•		9		C	1
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure			9	•	Œ	\rightarrow
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities	O	9		•		\rightarrow

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 18 of 75

4.3.4 Localised utilities					(\$	→
		·				
4.3.5 Major linear utilities	O	4		•		→
4.4 Pollution						
4.4.2 Ground water pollution						
					Œ	7
4.4.3 Surface water pollution						
4.40 Guillace Mater politation						_
		eq.			C.	
4.4.4 Air pollution						
		9		•	C	7
4.4.5 Solid waste						
		P			Œ	7
4.4.6 Input of excess energy						
		~			/e	21
	•	4		•	C.	
4.5 Biological resource use/modification						
4.5.1 Fishing/collecting aquatic resources						
		P		•	Œ	7
4.5.3 Land conversion						
					₹	→
A.E.A.I. be set a before the all Completes of decreased and analysis.		•				
4.5.4 Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals						
		9		•	C	7
4.5.5 Crop production						
		9	9		Œ	7
4.5.6 Commercial wild plant collection						
		q		@	C	7
4.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection	©			②	18	_
4.5.1 Substituting that contection					3	_
				•	Œ.	
4.6 Physical resource extraction						
4.6.1 Mining						
				•	C	>
4.6.2 Quarrying						
		q		()	C	7
4.6.3 Oil and gas						
On unu gas						
			E-j	•	(F	
4.6.4 Water (extraction)	O	9		•		\rightarrow
		9		•	G	1
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.1 Wind						
				()	(G	
		-1		9	3	•
4.7.2 Relative humidity						

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 19 of 75

		q	q	•	G	7
4.7.3 Temperature						
		9		•	E	<i>p</i>
4.7.4 Radiation/Light						
		q			18	
		4		•	G	
4.7.5 Dust						
		9	9	•	C	<i>P</i>
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
		9		•	G	
4.7.7 Pests						
		9	9	•	Œ	1
4.7.8 Micro-organisms						
		9		•	Œ	<i>></i>
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	(q		@	F	→
		q		•	CF.	7
4000 salated analysis of health an				3		
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	•	9			(
		9		•	C	
4.8.3 Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting						
		9		•	C	\rightarrow
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system						
		9		•	Œ	7
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						
		q		•	<i>₹</i>	,
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation		q		@		
4.6.6 Impacts of tourismyvisitation/recreation	O	-1		•		
4.9 Other human activities						
4.9.1 Illegal activities						
		9		•	F	/
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
		q		•	G	→
4.9.3 Military training	(q		•	G	→
		q		•	G	→
4.9.6 Civil unrest						
		A			æ	
		4			G	
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.1 Storms						
		9		•	G	-
4.10.2 Flooding						
		9		•	C	-
4.10.3 Drought						
		q		@	G	-
4.10.4 Desertification						

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 20 of 75

						9		•	Œ	-
4.10.6 Temperature	change									
						q		•	Œ	<i>p</i>
4.11 Sudden ecolog	gical or geological event	s								
4.11.4 Avalanche/L	andslide									
						4		•		→
4.11.5 Erosion and	siltation/Deposition									
						q		•		→
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)									
						q		•	Œ	<i>></i>
4.12 Invasive/alien	species or hyper-abunda	ant species								
4.12.1 Translocated	d species									
						q	q	•	Œ	<i>P</i>
4.12.2 Invasive/Alie	en terrestrial species									
						9	9	•	Œ	<i>></i>
4.12.3 Invasive/Alie	en freshwater species									
						9		•	Œ	7
4.12.5 Hyper-abund	dant species									
						9		•	Œ	\rightarrow
4.13 Management a	and institutional factors									
4.13.1 Management	t system/Management pl	an			O		9	•		\rightarrow
						9		•		\rightarrow
4.13.2 Legal framev	work				O	9		•		\rightarrow
						9		•	Œ	\rightarrow
4.13.3 Governance					0	9		•		1
						9			Œ	\rightarrow
4.13.4 Managemen	t activities				0	9		•		\rightarrow
						9	9	•		1
4.13.5 Financial res	sources				0	9		•		•
						9	9	•		<i>P</i>
4.13.6 Human reso	urces				O	9		•		•
						9	9	•		<i>P</i>
4.13.7 Low impact	research/monitoring acti	vities			•	9		•		\rightarrow
4.13.8 High impact	research/monitoring act	ivities			O	9		•		\rightarrow
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	O Positive	Insi	de		Outside	de	

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

lame	Impact	Origin	Trend

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 21 of 75

4.1.5 Interp	retative and visitation facilities	O	9	•	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going On-going				
lumant lum					
impact - im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.2.1 Grou	nd transport infrastructure	•	9		F	-
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 22 of 75

Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact	i		Origin		Trend
4.2.5 Effect	s arising from use of transportation infrastructure	O		9	•	(→
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 23 of 75

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.3.2 Rene	wable energy facilities	O	9	•		\rightarrow
Snatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
**	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
**	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - In	npact on the attributes					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.3.4 Loca	lised utilities	•	9		G	→
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					

Origin Trend

Impact

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 24 of 75

	Frequent				
	On-going On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	relopement over the last 6 years				
×	Decreasing				
	Static				
	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.3.5 Major	linear utilities	O	9	•	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	relopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 25 of 75

×	Static
	Increasing

4.4 Pollution

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.4.2 Grou	nd water pollution					
			9		G	1
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - In	npact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.4.3 Surface water pollution					
		q		G	P

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 26 of 75

×	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
	On-going On-going			
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes			
	Insignificant			
×	Minor			
	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity			
×	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
×	Static			
	Increasing			
Name		Impact	Origin	Trend
4.4.4 Air po	llution			

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.4.4 Air pollution					
		9	•	G	-

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years

27 of 75 Maloti-Drakensberg Park

	Decreasing
×	Static
1	Increasing

4.4.5 Solid waste	
◎ 4	1

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor			
×	Restricted			
	Localised			
	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact			
×	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
	On-going			
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes			
×	Insignificant			
	Minor			
	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond			
×	High capacity			
	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years			
×	Decreasing			
	Static			
	Increasing			

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.4.6 Input of excess energy					
		q	•	Œ	>

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 28 of 75

	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Nama		lununant			Outsin		Trand
Name		Impact	pact		Origin		Trend
4.5.1 Fishir	g/collecting aquatic resources						
			9		•	C	7
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						

	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 29 of 75

Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact Origin Tre	Trend			
4.5.3 Land conversion					
		9		G	\rightarrow

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor			
	Restricted			
×	Localised			
	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
×	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
	On-going			
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes			
	Insignificant			
×	Minor			
	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity			
×	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
×	Static			
	Increasing			

Name	Impact Origin		Trend		
4.5.4 Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals					
		9	•	Œ	1

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 30 of 75

	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going Control of the Control of t						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.5.5 Crop	production						
			q	9		G	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s							
	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×							
×	One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic						
×	One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent						
	One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going						
	One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes						
Impact - Im	One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going						
	One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Ipact on the attributes Insignificant Minor						
Impact - Im	One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant						
Impact - Im	One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Insignificant Minor Significant Major						
Impact - Im	One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Inpact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Intermittent or sporadic						
Impact - Im	One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Ipact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Intermittent or sporadic Intermittent or sp						
Impact - Im	One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Insignificant Minor Significant Major Int response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity						
Impact - Im	One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Ipact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Intermittent or sporadic Intermittent or sp						

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 31 of 75

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing		
×	Static		
	Increasing		

Name	Impact		Origin			Trend
4.5.6 Commercial wild plant collection						
		A		•	Œ	7
Constitution and a Auror office and bushes front on						

		•		
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor			
	Restricted			
×	Localised			
	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact			
×	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
	On-going			
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes			
×	Insignificant			
	Minor			
	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity			
×	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
×	Static			
	Increasing			

Name	Impact		Impact		npact Or		Origin			Trend
4.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection	O	9		•	C	\rightarrow				
		q		•	G	-				

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 32 of 75

×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going Control of the Control of t
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
Manageme	High capacity
Manageme	
	High capacity
	High capacity Medium capacity
×	High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity
×	High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources
×	High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources velopement over the last 6 years

4.6 Physical resource extraction

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.6.1 Minir	g						
			9		•	G	-
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
^							
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - In	npact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 33 of 75

	No capacity and / or resources		
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing		
×	Static		
	Increasing		

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend	
4.6.2 Quarrying					
		P	•	G	P

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor			
×	Restricted			
	Localised			
	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact			
×	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
	On-going			
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes			
×	Insignificant			
	Minor			
	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity			
×	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - De	relopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
×	Static			
	Increasing			

Name	Impact	Impact			Trend
4.6.3 Oil and gas					
		ø	•	G	1

Spatial sca	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor			
×	Restricted			
	Localised			
	Extensive			
	Widespread			

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 34 of 75

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact							
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name	Voutrostion	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.6.4 Water (extraction)							\rightarrow
4.0.4 Water	(extraction)		4		@	re#	→
4.0.4 Water	(extraction)	9	9		()	Œ	→
	le - Area affected by the factor	9				F	→
		•				ઉ	→ /
	le - Area affected by the factor	Θ				ઉ	→ /
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted	9				ઉ	→ /
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised	•				E	→ P
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive	9					→ /
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread	9				E	→ / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact					&	→ / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare					©	→ / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic					(→ / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /
X Temporal s	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent					E	
X Temporal s	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going					&	
X Temporal s	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes					©	
X Temporal s	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant					E	
X Temporal s	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor					&	
X Temporal s X	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant					&	
X Temporal s X	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major					(%	
X Temporal s X	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Int response - Capacity of management to respond					(%	

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 35 of 75

	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing			
×	Static			
	Increasing			

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name	Impact C		Origin	Origin				
4.7.1 Wind								
			9		•	G	1	
Snatial so	ale - Area affected by the factor							
Spatial SC								
	Restricted							
×	Localised							
	Extensive							
	Widespread							
Temporal	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact							
	One off or rare							
×	Intermittent or sporadic							
	Frequent							
	On-going On-going							
Impact - Ir	Impact - Impact on the attributes							
	Insignificant							
×	Minor							
	Significant							
	Major							
Managem	Management response - Capacity of management to respond							
	High capacity							
×	Medium capacity							
	Low capacity							
	No capacity and / or resources							
Trend - De	Trend - Developement over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing							
×	Static							
	Increasing							

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.7.2 Relative humidity						
		q	9	•	C	<i>P</i>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor			
	Restricted		
×	Localised		
	Extensive		

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 36 of 75

	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
×	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact	į	Origin		Trend
4.7.3 Temp	erature					
			9	•	Œ	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor	•	9	•	F	,
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted	•	q	•	ઉ	7
Spatial sca	Restricted	(2)	9	•	ઉ	<i>P</i>
Spatial sca	Restricted Localised		q	②	(1
	Restricted Localised Extensive	©	9	•	(F	,
×	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread	•	q	•	(F	,
×	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurrence of the impact	•	9	•		
×	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread ccale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare	•	q	•	E	
×	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic	•	q	•		
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent		q	•		
× Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going	•	9	•	**	
× Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes		q	•	**	
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant		q	•		
× Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor		q	•		
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant		9	•	**	
X Temporal s Impact - Im	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major		q	•	**	
X Temporal s Impact - Im	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Int response - Capacity of management to respond		q			
X Temporal s Impact - Im	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major		9		**	

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 37 of 75

Low capacity
No capacity and / or resources
relopement over the last 6 years
Decreasing
Static
Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.7.4 Radiation/Light						
		9		•	Œ	1

		9	•	ઉ	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
×	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.7.5 Dust						
		9	9	()	Œ	7

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 38 of 75

	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impac	t	Origin		Trend
	r (rain/water table)					
	r (rain/water table)	Impac	t ¶	Origin	E	Trend
4.7.6 Water	r (rain/water table) ile - Area affected by the factor				Œ	
4.7.6 Water					Œ	
4.7.6 Water	lle - Area affected by the factor				Œ	
4.7.6 Water	lle - Area affected by the factor Restricted				Œ	
4.7.6 Water	Restricted Localised				G	
4.7.6 Water	Restricted Localised Extensive				Œ	
4.7.6 Water	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread				©	
4.7.6 Water	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurrence of the impact				Œ	
4.7.6 Water	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare				G	
4.7.6 Water Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Cocurence of the impact Untermittent or sporadic				G	
4.7.6 Water Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Cocale - Occurence of the impact Untermittent or sporadic Frequent				(%	
4.7.6 Water Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going				(
4.7.6 Water Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Grade - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Extensive Intermittent or the attributes				G	
4.7.6 Water Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes Insignificant				(\$	
4.7.6 Water Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cacle - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor				(
4.7.6 Water Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant				(

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 39 of 75

Medium capacity

	Low capacity
×	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.7.7 Pests						
		9	9	•	Œ	>

		q	9	•	Œ	1
Snatial sea	le - Area affected by the factor					
Spatial Sca						
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend	
4.7.8 Micro-organisms						
		P		•	(/

Spatial sca	lle - Area affected by the factor
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 40 of 75

	/idespread
Temporal scale	e - Occurence of the impact
×	ne off or rare
In	ntermittent or sporadic
Fr	requent
O	n-going
Impact - Impac	ct on the attributes
× In	significant
М	linor
Si	ignificant
M	lajor
Management re	esponse - Capacity of management to respond
Hi	igh capacity
M	ledium capacity
X Lo	ow capacity
No	o capacity and / or resources
Trend - Develo	opement over the last 6 years
De	ecreasing
× St	tatic
In	creasing

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.8.1 Ritua	//Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	O	9	•	G	\rightarrow
			q	•	G	1
Smatial and	Associated by the feeter					
Spatiai Sca	lle - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 41 of 75

×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.8.2 Socie	ty's valuing of heritage	O	9		F	P
			9	•	©	/
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.8.3 Indige	enous hunting, gathering and collecting					
			9	•	G	\Rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 42 of 75

	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
×	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
	On-going			
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes			
	Insignificant			
×	Minor			
	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity			
×	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
×	Static			
	Increasing			
Name		Impact	Origin	Trend

Name	Impact	t	Origin		Trend	
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system						
		9		•	Œ	

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 43 of 75

×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact	i	Origin		Trend
4.8.5 Identi	ty, social cohesion, changes in local population and community		_e ca		·	
			9	•	(
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name	ets of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	Impact	·	Origin		Trend
v impac	npacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation		•	3		
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 44 of 75

×

Localised

	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.9 Other human activities

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.9.1 Illegal activities					
		9	•	F	<i>P</i>

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going Control of the Control of t
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 45 of 75

×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
×	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage					
		q	•	G	\rightarrow

4.9.2 Deli	estricted ocalised xtensive //idespread					
			9	•	C	→
Spatial se	cale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going						
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
Impact - I	mpact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant						
	High capacity					
×						
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - D	evelopement over the last 6 years					
×	Decreasing					
	Static					

Name	Impact				Origin	
4.9.3 Military training	•	4		•	Œ	\rightarrow
				•	F	\rightarrow

Increasing

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
×	Restricted

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 46 of 75

	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Managemen	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.9.6 Civil u	nrest					
					18	7

		A		F	1
Custial sea	alla Assa official bushe finates				
Spatial Sca	lle - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				

	гіециен
	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 47 of 75

	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
Trend - De	Decreasing
Trend - De	

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.10.1 Storr	ns					
			9	•	G	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread ale - Occurrence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going act on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Attributes Insignificant Major Attributes Insignificant Major Attributes Intermittent or response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Mo capacity and / or resources Inopement over the last 6 years Decreasing Stock					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					Trend
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.10.2 Flooding					
		q	•	(-

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 48 of 75

	Restricted			
	Localised			
×	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			
×	Frequent			
	On-going			
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes			
	Insignificant			
×	Minor			
	Significant			
	Major			
Managemei	nt response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity			
	Medium capacity			
×	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
	Static			
×	Increasing			
Name		Impact	Origin	Trend

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.10.3 Drought					
		9	•	Œ	<i>P</i>

			q		•	G	
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - I	npact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 49 of 75

Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name	Impact		Impact		Impact		Impact		Impact		Impact		Impact		Impact		Impact		Impact		Impact		mpact		pact C		ipact (Impact		mpact		ct Origin			Trend
4.10.4 Desertification																																				
		4		•	G	1																														

Spatial sc	cale - Area affected by the factor			
	Restricted			
×	Localised			
	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
×	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
	On-going On-going			
Impact - In	mpact on the attributes			
	Insignificant			
×	Minor			
	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	nent response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity			
	Medium capacity			
×	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
	Static			
×	Increasing			

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.10.6 Temperature change						
		4		•	Œ	/

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 50 of 75

	Restricted				
	Localised				
×	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal so	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going On-going				
Impact - Imp	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Managemen	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
×	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.11.4 Aval	4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide				
			9	•	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 51 of 75

	Major						
Manageme	Management response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

Name	Impact	:	Origin	Trend
4.11.5 Erosion and siltation/Deposition				
		9	•	\rightarrow

		9	•	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor			
	Restricted			
×	Localised			
	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
×	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
	On-going			
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes			
	Insignificant			
×	Minor			
	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity			
×	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
×	Static			
	Increasing			

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend		
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)						
		q		•	(P

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 52 of 75

Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Managemei	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	Trend - Developement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

Name		Impact		Origin			Trend
4.12.1 Tran	4.12.1 Translocated species						
			9	9	•	C	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 53 of 75

×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.12.2 Inva	sive/Alien terrestrial species		_				
			A	9	•	(F	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
×	Decreasing						
	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.12.3 Inva	sive/Alien freshwater species						

Maloti-Drakensberg Park	54 of 75
-------------------------	----------

			9	•	\$ P
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
×	Frequent				
	On-going On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend

		q	•	©	\rightarrow
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact - In	npact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				

4.12.5 Hyper-abundant species

Minor

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 55 of 75

×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name

Name		Impact	i		Origin	Trend
4.13.1 Mai	agement system/Management plan	0		q	•	→
			9		•	\rightarrow
Snatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
~	Localised					
	Extensive					
T	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going Control of the Control of t					
Impact - Ir	npact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
×	Major					
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					

Impact

Origin

Trend

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 56 of 75

4.13.2 Lega	1.13.2 Legal framework		9	•		\rightarrow
			9	•	Œ	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
×	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name	ernance	Impact	q	Origin		Trend
			9	3	₹.	→
			,		3	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 57 of 75

	Minor					
	Significant					
×	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
4.13.4 Mana	agement activities	O	9		•	→
			9	9	•	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					

Name	Impac	Impact			Origin	
4.13.5 Financial resources	O	q		•		S

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 58 of 75

			9	9	•	7
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
×	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name	ian resources	Impact			Origin	Trend
4. 13.0 Hull	ian resources	O	9	9	•	3
			7	4	Q	
Spatial sca	lle - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 59 of 75

×	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
×	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name		Impact	~	Origin	Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities		•	9	•	→
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

4.13.8 High impact research/monitoring activities	O	4	•	\rightarrow

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 60 of 75

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

Limited resources on the either side, especially the Lesotho side affects the on going activities and the staffing for the whole Park

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	quality and quantity of san rock art	×			
4.18.1.2	spiritual sites	×			
4.18.1.3	beautiful landscapes	×			
4.18.1.4	biodiversity	×			
4.18.1.5	wetlands	×			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?The boundaries **do not limit the ability to maintain** the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they **could be improved**

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 61 of 75

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

$5.1.3 - Are \ the \ buffer \ zone(s) \ of \ the \ World \ Her itage \ property \ adequate \ to \ maintain \ the \ property's \ Outstanding \ Universal \ Value?$

The buffer zones do not limit the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

The current boundaries of the WH property could be delineated to better represent the ecosystems and better management of the WHS and the community rangelands in the buffer zone

5.2 Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

Sehlabathebe was declared a Wild Life Sanctuary and a National Park according to the provisions of the Game Preservation Proclamation (No. 55) of 1951. This proclamation was later superseded by the National Parks Act of 1975. In terms of cultural property, the Historical Monuments, Relics, Fauna and Flora Act (No. 41) of 1967 is said to provide the legal framework for the protection of all engravings and paintings that are found in Sehlabathebe. The Act provides that monuments and relics found, which have been identified for preservation and protection, are listed and publicized by legal notice. The nomination dossier did not include information on the legal status of the 65 rock art sites and whether they had been gazetted through this mechanism. The additional information provided by the State Party at ICOMOS' request suggests that the sites are considered to be generically protected by the Act – as are any other rock art site discovered in the Kingdom – but that they are not designated as national monuments. It is therefore the remoteness and inaccessibility of the rock art sites that makes the strongest contribution to their protection, rather than their legal status. The administration of Sehlabathebe National Park cooperates with grazing associations in forms of traditional protection of the property as these regulate access to the pastures and prevent overexploitation. However, there is no indication that these traditional protection mechanisms also cover the rock art sites.

Source: Advisory Body Evaluation

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

2008 / legislation / Environment Act 2008; / conservation of natural heritage / Department of Environment / Local Chiefs / 2011 /

Legislation / National Heritage Resources Act 2011; / conservation of cultural heritage / Department of Culture / Local Chiefs / 2010 / legislation /

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice Our legislation needs to be reviewed and revised to close the gaps in implementation

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

Adequate resources are necessary for better or improved management of the WH property

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system joint national/ local

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)

Traditional ways of management recognised by local communities and other specific groups

Governance mechanisms that foster and respect traditional practices, knowledge and uses of the property

Agreed 'Memorandums of Understanding' between different managing institutions, groups or others, including documents agreed with local communities for management

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 62 of 75

Mechanisms to promote equal participation among and within groups, including different levels of authority, local communities, indigenous people, women and men, and other specific groups

A framework for inclusive economic development, including equal access and distribution of resources and opportunities arising from the protection of the property

An integrated management plan combining World Heritage and any other designations

A management plan

An annual work plan or business plan

A disaster, climate or conflict risk management plan

A visitor/visitation management plan

An environmental management framework

An assessment of biological and cultural diversity and ecosystem services provided by the property

A joint approach to management of cultural and natural heritage

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

The management system consists of staff under the three departments (tourism, environment, culture), responsible to the Ministry of Tourism, Environment and Culture. The WHS is involved in co-management with communities with their representatives in a form of Community Conservation Forum

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Drakensberg Park Management Plan	N/A	Available	2006	
Drakensberg Park Management Plan	In Force	Available	1999	

Comment

Maloti Drakensberg Park Joint Management Plan 2014, Fire Management Plan 2018, Sehlabathebe National Park Management Plan 2017,

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

The 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape is not relevant to this property

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

The policy for dealing with climate change is fully based on the agreed World Heritage policy

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

Rehabilitation of wetlands and other degraded areas; control of invasive alien species, protection of cultural and natural heritage sites in the buffer zone.

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property?

Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

Documentation of san rock art sites in the buffer zone and development of control measures to protect san rock art; development of a plan to implement the recommendations in the Cultural Heritage Management Plan (awaiting approval by UNESCO).

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

 $The \ management \ system/plan \ is \ \textbf{only partially adequate} \ to \ maintain \ the \ property's \ Outstanding \ Universal \ Value$

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being only partially implemented

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and many of its activities are being implemented

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Not	No mechanisms for	Some	Direct	Transformative participation in all relevant
applicable	participation	participation	participation	decision processes

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 63 of 75

5.3.15.1	Local communities				×
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				×
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone				×
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples				×
5.3.15.5	Women				×
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×			
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify				

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					×
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities				×	
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples					×
5.3.16.4	Landowners				×	
5.3.16.5	Women				×	
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children				×	
5.3.16.7	Researchers				×	
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries				×	
5.3.16.12	NGOs				×	
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups					
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality					×
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)					×
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status					×
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach					×
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood					×
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property					×

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

The WH property also collaborates with local communities to seek funding and implement the heritage conservation and eco-cultural tourism projects

${\bf 5.3.19 \cdot Comments, conclusions \ and/or \ recommendations \ related \ to \ the \ management \ system/plan}$

The management plans are adequate and are reviewed regularly; however there are deficiencies in the management system which hamper implementation of management plan.

6. Financial and Human Resources

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 64 of 75

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	20 %	%
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	%	%
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	25 %	%
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	%	%
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	20 %	%
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	10 %	100 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	25 %	%
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	%	%
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.12	Other	%	%
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

The running costs are mostly covered by the governments. Other sources of funding are mainly for community projects. Other contributions are in-kind mainly in a form of transport and accommodation for bilateral meetings.

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

The current budget is not sufficient enough to sustain the effective management of the WHS. However, the government has intended to prioritise funding for WHS in the coming financial year/s. Funding is needed for the supplementary budget for the Projects.

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	70 %	70 %
6.1.6.2	Women	30 %	30 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Poor
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Poor
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Fair

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 65 of 75

Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair
----------------------------------	------

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Not available
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Not available
Research and monitoring	Poor
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Not available
Marketing and promotion	Not available
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

There are ongoing in-house training for culture and environment officers to equip them with skill to better manage the natural and cultural heritage of the Park. Communities are also capacitated in various aspects for co-management of the WHS. Capacity building is done in collaboration with our RSA counterparts and non-governmental organisations.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Further capacity building is required in various aspects to ensure effective management of the WHS.

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is acceptable for most key areas but there are gaps

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a small amount of research, but it is not planned

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

The small amount of research is undertaken mostly by external researchers due to limited resources and skills in the WHS

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Good
Landowners	Fair
Women	Fair
Youth/children	Fair

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 66 of 75

Researchers	Fair
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industries	Good
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Local/municipal authorities
Indigenous peoples
Landowners
Women
Youth/children
Researchers
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry
Local businesses and industries
NGOs

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Good
Site museum	Fair
Information booths	Fair
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Fair
Printed information materials	Not provided but needed
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Not provided but needed
Transportation facilities	Not provided but needed
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

The general public knows about the WHS and its values through on going awareness campaigns and school visits; all the visitors are guided through the fully functional Environmental Interpretation Centre encompassing all aspects of cultural and natural heritage in the WHS. The WHS is in the process of developing a marketing strategy which will include the website, social media platforms and printed information materials, to supplement of the existing Facebook page.

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

4700 / 5000 / 4200 / 3000 / 2700 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries	
Accommodation establishments	

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 67 of 75

Visitor surveys

Other

Lesotho Tourism Development Corporation Visitor Statistics done at the WHS

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

Two overnight stavs

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

Lesotho Tourism Development Corporation Statistics, Visitor Receipts.

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

20USD / 50USD / N/A / 3USD / 4USD / 10USD /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

Visitor Records

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a planned and effective strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

The WHS has the comprehensive Sustainable Tourism Strategy finalised in 2019 which guides management to manage visitors, tourism activities and opportunities, and the derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts.

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

Sustainable Tourism Strategy

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is good cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

Fees are collected, but make no contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

Home Stays, Horse rides, Arts and Crafts

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

Employment, Horse rides, Arts and Crafts

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

The WHS manages the OUV inside and outside the WHS through collaboration with the local Communities, the community derives benefits for employment, sale of arts and crafts, horse rides and homestays. However, all revenue collected by the Park goes to the government, there are plans underway to give 10% of the Park-collected revenue to the community fund

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme of monitoring**, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 68 of 75

monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				X
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				X
10.3.3	Character of governance				X
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations				×
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development				X
10.3.6	Capacity development				X

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

Preparation of the state of conservation report was to indicate the progress on the issues raised by WHC for management to prepare and implement in order to protect and conserve the OUV; Management Effectiveness Tracking Tool has been implemented since 2015. COMPACT Sreategy has been developed as way of ensuring sustainability.

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Local communities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Poor
Women	Fair
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industry	Poor
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is underway

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

Most of the documents and suggestions by WHC have been submitted, and are awaiting approval. the source of information is the State of Conservation Reports.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

METT has to be institutionalised to suit the Lesotho situation.

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.3	An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	
5.2.4	An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	×
5.2.5	An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	×
5.2.6	There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain	
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 69 of 75

5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved					
5.3.12	The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value					
5.3.13	The management system at the property is only being partially implemented					
6.1	Funding					
6.1.3	The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage the World Heritage property	×				
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property					
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	×				
6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff					
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects					
7.2	There is a small amount of research in the World Heritage property but it is not planned					
7.3	Research results are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies	×				
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building					
8.2	There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs	×				
9	Visitor Management					
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	×				
10	Monitoring					
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved					
Pleas	se select 3 more issues.					
□ Ple	☑ Please save this question to reflect changes					

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.5	Biological resource	use/modification									
4.5.4		Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals									
4.7	Local conditions af	fecting physical fabri	С								
4.7.2		Relative humidity									
4.7.3		Temperature									
4.12	Invasive/alien speci	es or hyper-abundan	t species								
4.12.5	species	The are is infected by invasive alien species criteria (vii) and (i)	To remove invasive alien species	Monito	or re-growth	2028		Ezemvelo		species is	enge of invasive s noted and s are in place to radication
4.13	Management and in	stitutional factors									
4.13.1	Management system/Management plan	Criteria (i,iii, vii and x)	Management plan und review	der	Ensure that n	-		2020	Ezemvelo		None
4.13.2	Legal framework	Criteria (i,iii, vii and x)	Ensure the park composite with all relevant legisla		Update policies legislations	and	2020		Ezemvelo and	Lesotho	None

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 70 of 75

4.13.3	Governance	Criteria (i, iii,vii and x)	Update managment paln	n Ensure managment plan is updated	2020	Ezemvelo	None
4.13.4	Management activities	Criteria (i,iii,vii and x)	Ensure human capital is updated	Review staff establishment	2020	Ezemvelo and Lesotho	None
4.13.5	Financial resources	Criteria (i,iii, vii and x)	Ensure that the park is well financed	Monitor budget over and under expenditure	2020	Lesotho and Ezemvelo	None
4.13.6		Human resources					

Question not completed

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

2.2.1 - Summa	ary - Managemen	nt Needs					
5.2	Protective Measure	es					
		Actions	Timefram	е		Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
5.2.4	An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	Buffer zone has been approved by the board for Ezemvelo and has been sent to the national focal point for perusal and final approval to UNESCO.	2020			Ezemvelo	The process is ongoing to pursue the focal point in ensuring the document is approved and sent to UNESCO for final approval.
5.2.5	An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	None	2020			Ezemvelo	No comment
6.1	Funding						
6.1.3	The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage the World Heritage property	Provide adequate budget for the The Department of Forestry, Fis the Environment has funded the R20 million to erect fence within	hery and site by	2022		stry of Tourism, Environment Culture	Budgets are limited

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 71 of 75

6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	in terms of implementation of the WH Strategy and liaise with IUCN and ICOMOS to assist in usig the Strategy		Ministry of Tourism, Environment and Culture	The Conditions require the WHS to train staff, hence IUCN and ICOMOS are requested to advise accordingly.
7	Scientific Studies	and Research Projects			
7.3	Research results are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies	To improve on research for the site.	2020	Both countries	To improve on research projects taking place inside the site.
8	Education, Information	n and Awareness Building			
8.2		alize Education and Awareness Strategy d fundraise for its implementation.		Ministry of Tourism, Environment A and Culture	comprehensive strategy is required
9	Visitor Managem	ent			
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	Finalise the development of the Website and marketing strategy for the WHS	e 2022	Ministry of Tourism, Environment and Culture and Lesotho Tourism development Corporation	Website and Marketing are a priority for the WHS

Summary - Management Needs completed

- 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property
- 12.3.1 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

 The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved
- 12.3.2 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact
- 12.3.3 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

Even there are challenges in management because limited human and financial resources, the integrity of the WHS is still maintained.

- 13. Impact of World Heritage Status
- 13.1 Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 72 of 75

Management effectiveness Positive Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples Positive Recognition Very positive Education Positive Infrastructure development Very positive Funding for the property Positive International cooperation Very positive Political support for conservation Positive Legal/Policy framework Positive Advocacy Positive Institutional coordination Positive Security Very positive Gender equality Positive Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities Positive Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status Positive Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood Positive Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties Positive Other Not applicable	Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples Recognition Education	Positive Very positive
Recognition Education Positive Infrastructure development Very positive Funding for the property International cooperation Very positive Political support for conservation Legal/Policy framework Advocacy Positive Institutional coordination Security Very positive Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties Positive	Recognition Education	Very positive
Education Positive Infrastructure development Very positive Funding for the property Positive International cooperation Very positive International cooperation Positive Political support for conservation Positive Legal/Policy framework Positive Advocacy Positive Institutional coordination Positive Security Very positive Gender equality Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities Positive Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood Positive Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties Positive	Education	
Infrastructure development Funding for the property International cooperation Very positive Political support for conservation Legal/Policy framework Advocacy Institutional coordination Security Cender equality Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties Positive Positive Positive Positive Positive		Positive
Funding for the property International cooperation Very positive Political support for conservation Legal/Policy framework Advocacy Positive Institutional coordination Positive Security Very positive Gender equality Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties Positive Positive Positive	Infrastructure development	
International cooperation Positive Political support for conservation Legal/Policy framework Advocacy Positive Institutional coordination Security Gender equality Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties Positive Positive Positive		Very positive
Political support for conservation Legal/Policy framework Advocacy Institutional coordination Security Very positive Gender equality Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties Positive	Funding for the property	Positive
Legal/Policy framework Advocacy Positive Positive Institutional coordination Positive Very positive Very positive Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood Positive Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties Positive	International cooperation	Very positive
Advocacy Institutional coordination Positive Security Very positive Gender equality Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities Positive Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood Positive Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties Positive	Political support for conservation	Positive
Institutional coordination Positive Very positive Gender equality Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities Positive Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood Positive Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties Positive	Legal/Policy framework	Positive
Security Gender equality Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood Positive Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties Positive	Advocacy	Positive
Gender equality Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities Positive Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood Positive Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties Positive	Institutional coordination	Positive
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood Positive Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties Positive	Security	Very positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood Positive Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties Positive	Gender equality	Positive
economic or other status Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood Positive Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties Positive	Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties Positive		Positive
	Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Other Not applicable	Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Positive
	Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

Since acquiring the WH status, the Park has gotten more attention from the Government with its different Agencies, including security agencies. The legislations are now under review in order to enhance better management of the WHS. the Communities are also supporting the WHS as they have their own heritage conservation projects being implemented.

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

Communities are now involved in co-management of the property, and they have their own conservation projects. The Park staff now consists of Cultural Heritage Officers, Natural Heritage Officers (Environment) and Tourism Officers. There has been support from security agencies through deployment of Military personnel in the Park and surrounding in order to combat illegal activities that used to happen in the Park. Buffer zone management plan and COMPACT Strategy were also developed in order to enhance community beneficiation and conservation of the OUV of the WHS (as the core area).

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Sustainable Development

Synergies

State of Conservation

Management

Governance

Capacity Building

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 73 of 75

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Fair

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans

Fundraising

Awareness raising

Advocacy

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

This will be an eye opener in terms of gaps in the management of the WHS.

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

Focal points of other international conventions/programmes

UNESCO National Commission

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

2 months / 1 month / 2 weeks /
2 months / 1 month / 2 weeks /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training		×

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire		×		
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions		×		

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

It should easily accessed and there should be an option of filling the Questionnaire offline

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 74 of 75

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Poor
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Fair
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

- 15.5.4 If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented? Improvement on the connectivity will assist smooth and quick completion of the questionnaire.
- 15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
- 15.6.1 Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
 - Map(s)

Reason for update: Please also provide links to the Maps provided for the Extension of the uKhahlamba Drakensberg Park World Heritage Site to include Sehlabathebe National Park in 2013 (Decision: 37 COM 8B.18); hence the two assuming the name Maloti-Drakensberg Park World Heritage Site.

• Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee
Reason for update: The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value was updated after Documentation of Rock and Oral History Research were
completed in 2015 on the Lesotho side. The Updated OUV is awaiting approval by the WHC

Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

- 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- **15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise**This the first Reporting exercise for the Lesotho side of the WHS; hence it can assist much in areas where improvement is needed.
- 15.7.2 Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.

Maloti-Drakensberg Park 75 of 75