

# Historic Monuments and Sites in Kaesong

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Historic Monuments and Sites in Kaesong

### 1.2 - World Heritage property details

### 1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Manwoldae & Kaesong Chomsongdae	37.986 / 126.542	43.5	5222.1	5265.6	2013
Kaesong Walls	37.987 / 126.535	175.8	?	175.8	2013
Kaesong Namdae Gate	37.972 / 126.556	0.5	?	0.5	2013
Koryo Songgyungwan	37.992 / 126.571	3.5	?	3.5	2013
Sungyang Sowon	37.975 / 126.561	2.9	?	2.9	2013
Sonjuk Bridge and Phyochung Monuments	37.977 / 126.566	1.8	?	1.8	2013
Mausoleum of King Wang Kon, Seven Tombs Cluster & Myongrung Cluster	37.986 / 126.505	214.6	?	214.6	2013
Mausoleum of King Kongmin	37.982 / 126.473	51.6	?	51.6	2013
Total (ha)		494.2	5222.1	5716.3	

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Historic Monuments and Sites in Kaesong - maps of inscribed property	2013	

### 1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

## 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	<b>International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection</b> (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		✕
2.1.2	<b>List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection</b> (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		✕
2.1.3	<b>The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List)</b> (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		✕
2.1.4	<b>World Network of Biosphere Reserves</b> Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		✕
2.1.5	<b>Global Geoparks Network</b> UNESCO Global Geoparks		✕

### 2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

Not applicable

**2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?**

Not applicable

**2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?**

Not applicable

**2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?**

Not applicable

**2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes**

<b>2.7.1</b>	<b>1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
<b>2.7.2</b>	<b>Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
<b>2.7.3</b>	<b>Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
<b>2.7.4</b>	<b>Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
<b>2.7.5</b>	<b>UNESCO Global Geoparks</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	

**2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)**

**2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?**

No

**2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware**

**2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?**

No

**2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World**

Programme of which you aware.

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief synthesis

Within the mountain-ringed basin of Kaesong City and extending into the foothills to the west, the Historic Monuments and Sites in Kaesong comprise an ensemble representing the ruling base of the Koryo dynasty (918-1392) with its associated tombs. The ensemble embodies the political, cultural, philosophical and spiritual values of the capital of the unified Koryo state as it transitioned from Buddhist to Confucian philosophy, through the geomantic layout of the city, palace and tomb complexes, the urban defence system of walls and gates, and educational institutions. The serial property consists of twelve separate property components, five of which are separate sections of the Kaesong City Walls forming parts of the triple-walled Koryo defence system. This included the innermost Palocham Wall of 896, within which the palace was later built; the Outer Wall built 1009-1029 to surround the city, connecting the mountains that protect it according to geomancy (Mt Songak, Mt Puhung, Tokam Peak, Mt Ryongsu and Mt Jine); and the Inner Wall of 1391-3. The other seven components are the Manwoldae Palace archaeological site and remains of the Kaesong Chomsongdae (an astronomical and meteorological observatory); the Kaesong Namdae Gate (the main southern city gate in the Inner Wall); Koryo Songgyungwan (a former high state education institute which educated Koryo national officials); Sungyang Sowon (a Confucian private school on the site of the former residence of Jong Mong Ju, 1337-1392, a Koryo minister whose assassination marked the overthrow of the Koryo); Sonjuk Bridge (where Jong Mong Ju was assassinated) and Phyochung Monuments (two stelae commemorating Jong Mong Ju); the Mausoleum of King Wang Kon with associated Seven Tombs Cluster and Myongrung Tombs Cluster; and the Mausoleum of King Kongmin.

**Criterion (ii):** The Historic Monuments and Sites in Kaesong exhibit the assimilation of the cultural, spiritual and political values of the various states that existed on the Peninsula prior to the Koryo, and the interchange of such values with other neighbouring kingdoms over five centuries.

**Criterion (iii):** The Historic Monuments and Sites in Kaesong are exceptional testimony to the unified Koryo civilisation as Buddhism gave way to neo-Confucianism in East Asia.

Integrity

The property components individually and together ensure the complete representation of the values of the Koryo state as it transitioned from Buddhism to neo-Confucianism and do not suffer from development or neglect. The excavated remains of Manwoldae Palace express credibly and truthfully its value in demonstrating the Buddhist foundation and geomantic beliefs of the Koryo dynasty and the property component is of sufficient size to include areas yet to be excavated which may contribute further to the understanding of the palace and observatory. Its natural environment has remained intact. The geomantic setting of the property is contained within the buffer zone, which encloses all the property components and covers the basin in which Kaesong City is sited including areas of traditional architecture, and the hilly areas to the west where the royal tombs are located. It includes the geomantic markers around the city: Mt Songak to the north, Mt Jine to the west, Mt Puhung and Tokam Peak to the east and Mt Ryongsu to the south. Strict management of the buffer zone will ensure that these elements that constitute the existence of this site and unite the property components as a reflection of the Koryo dynasty continue to dominate.

Authenticity

The authenticity of the individual nominated property components is retained in terms of form, design, materials, spirit and feeling, location and the overall geomantic setting of surrounding mountains.

Management and protection requirements

The serial property components are protected at the national level by the Law of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea on the Protection of Cultural Property (1994) and its Regulations (2009), administered by the National Bureau for Cultural Property Conservation (NBCPC). All except the Seven Tombs Cluster and the Myongrung Tombs Cluster are designated as National Treasure Sites; these two are protected as Preservation Sites. The mountains and forests in the buffer zone are protected by the Law of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea on Environmental Protection (1986) and the Forest Law of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea (1992). The urban land within the buffer zone is administered under the Land Law of the DPR Korea (1977) and the Law of the DPR Korea on City Management (1992). The amended Law on Protection of Cultural Property, the Regulation for the Implementation of the Law on Protection of Cultural Property and the newly prepared Guidelines for Protection and Management of the Historic Monuments and Sites in Kaesong to be approved and implemented in September 2013 will ensure protection of the buffer zone as a contiguous property, and will cover specific protection of the area of traditional houses located immediately north-northwest of the Namdae Gate.

Management of the serial property components as a whole is overseen by the Kaesong City Cultural Heritage Preservation Committee, which includes the head officials of the institutions that are involved in the implementation of national laws and policies related to the protection of cultural property in Kaesong. Individual property components are managed by the Cultural Preservation Department of the Kaesong People's Committee, of which the Cultural Property Management Office and the Management Office for the Mausoleum of King Wang Kon are responsible for executing the Management Plan. Under these offices, site managers are assigned to each site, with their corresponding monitors and caretakers. The site managers oversee actions related to the daily maintenance of the sites, including restoration and repair works, as well as convening the communities who are engaged to assist in the regular activities and maintenance of the properties.

The Management Plan for the property was prepared by the Korean Cultural Preservation Centre (KCPC) as authorised by the National Bureau for Cultural Property Conservation (NBCPC), and was approved by the Government of DPR Korea on 15 January 2011. The Management Plan has 5 and 10 year objectives and was drawn up in consultation with both the Kaesong City People's Committee and the Kaesong City Cooperative Farm Management Committee. It will be supplemented by guidelines for development in the buffer zone and should be taken into account by the local government organs in framing and implementing their regional development plans. The guidelines will specify that heights will be controlled on the basis of sightlines between key elements of the nominated property components and natural features; the original alignment of ancient roads in Kaesong city will be preserved; the visual harmony in form and colour of buildings will be controlled; the layout of waterways and volume of water flowing in the vicinity of the historical sites will be controlled; new development will be prohibited in the surrounding natural landscape that shows the relationship of feng shui with individual historical sites, including Mt Songak, Mt Jine, Mt Ryongsu, Mt Puhung, Tokam peak, Mt Janam, Jujak hill, Mt Mansu and Acha peak; any unnecessary and obtrusive structures or facilities will be removed and the natural landscape recovered as much as possible by promoting forestation where appropriate, and factory construction will be prohibited in the urban area. Tourism management and interpretation plans are also required.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Geomantic layout of city, palace and tomb complexes, urban defence system and educational institutions	✗			

3.2.2	The ensemble of twelve serial components exhibiting political, cultural, philosophical and spiritual values of Koryo	✕			
3.2.3	Assimilation of cultural, spiritual and political values of the various states that existed on the Korean Peninsula prior to Koryo and the interchange of such values with other neighboring kingdoms	✕			
3.2.4	Exceptional testimony to the unified Koryo civilization as Buddhism gave way to neo-Confucianism in East-Asia	✕			
3.2.5		✕			
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

### 3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

At present, the attributes make significant contribution to the understanding of the property's OUV. The inscribed twelve serial components as a whole retain the good state of conservation and their attributes.

## 4. Factors Affecting the Property

### 4.1. Buildings and Development

#### 4.1.1 - Housing

✕ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕		✕		✕		➡	

#### 4.1.2 - Commercial development

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

✕ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕		✕		✕			📈

#### 4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

✕ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive ✕	✕		✕			➡	
🔴 Negative							

**4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively**

Housing and visitor accommodation have a potential to affect the property. However, these are under the well-regulated management as indicated in the nomination. Interpretative and visitation facilities in place make a contribution to inclusive participation of communities and residents in the management of the property by enabling them to have better understanding of and be proud of the property in their local area.

**4.2. Transportation Infrastructure**

**4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure**

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure**

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure**

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure**

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure**

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively**

**4.3. Services Infrastructures**

**4.3.1 - Water infrastructure**

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities**

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities**

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.3.4 - Localised utilities**

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.3.5 - Major linear utilities**

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively**

**4.4. Pollution**

**4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters**

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.4.2 - Ground water pollution**

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.4.3 - Surface water pollution**

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.4.4 - Air pollution**

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.4.5 - Solid waste**

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively**

#### 4.5. Biological resource use/modification

##### 4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.5.3 - Land conversion

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.5.5 - Crop production

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively**

#### 4.6. Physical resource extraction

##### 4.6.1 - Mining

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.6.2 - Quarrying

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively**

#### 4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

##### 4.7.1 - Wind

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.7.2 - Relative humidity

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative ✕	✕		✕			→	

#### 4.7.3 - Temperature

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative ✕	✕		✕			→	

#### 4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Relevant				✕ Not relevant			
----------	--	--	--	----------------	--	--	--

#### 4.7.5 - Dust

Relevant				✕ Not relevant			
----------	--	--	--	----------------	--	--	--

#### 4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative ✕	✕		✕			→	

#### 4.7.7 - Pests

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative ✕	✕		✕			→	

#### 4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative ✕	✕		✕			→	

#### 4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

High humidity is likely to cause deterioration of the wooden material by micro-organisms. In addition, harmful insects cause cracks and even holes, resulting in undermining structural stability of the wooden constructional parts of relics. The changes in temperature and humidity might cause discoloration of mural paintings, formation of salt on the walls and separation of painting renders in the tomb chamber of Mausoleum of King Wang Kon.

### 4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

#### 4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Relevant				✕ Not relevant			
----------	--	--	--	----------------	--	--	--

#### 4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system








Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
--	---------------------------------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
 Negative							

#### 4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Income from tourism in the property area is also directed to day-to-day running, maintenance and protection, thereby making positive effect to management of the property.

#### 4.9. Other human activities

##### 4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.9.3 - Military training

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.9.4 - War

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.9.5 - Terrorism

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.9.6 - Civil unrest











Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

##### 4.10.1 - Storms














<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
--	---------------------------------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			

##### 4.10.2 - Flooding














<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
--	---------------------------------------



	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

#### 4.10.3 - Drought


 Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

#### 4.10.4 - Desertification

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.10.6 - Temperature change

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

In recent years, different parts of the country sustained damage from heavy rain and flood. In light of this, the property area has also potential risk of heavy rain and flood. Thus, rearrangement of water drainage to counter heavy rain and flooding is undertaken scientifically. In spite of change of temperature and increasing frequency of gale and drought due to global warming, there is ascertained effect on the property.














#### 4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

##### 4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption


Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.11.2 - Earthquake

 Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------














	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

##### 4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave


Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

 Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

##### 4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant				<input type="checkbox"/> Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				

#### 4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

So far, it has not been reported that earthquake caused damages to the property. There was no report of earthquake that could have caused damage and no instance of damage from fire or forest fire. Every site is equipped with adequate fire-fighting facilities which are upgraded regularly, and the system of monitoring, reporting and mobilizing local population, under their wide support, for control over fire is in place.

#### 4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

##### 4.12.1 - Translocated species

<input type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
-----------------------------------	--

##### 4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

<input type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
-----------------------------------	--

##### 4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

<input type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
-----------------------------------	--

##### 4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

<input type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
-----------------------------------	--

##### 4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

<input type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
-----------------------------------	--

##### 4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

<input type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
-----------------------------------	--

#### 4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.13. Management and institutional factors

##### 4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan










<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant				<input type="checkbox"/> Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Negative							

##### 4.13.2 - Legal framework

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant				<input type="checkbox"/> Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Negative							

##### 4.13.3 - Governance

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant				<input type="checkbox"/> Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		

Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕		✕	✕		→	
 Negative							

#### 4.13.4 - Management activities

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕		✕	✕		→	
 Negative							

#### 4.13.5 - Financial resources

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕		✕	✕		→	
 Negative							

#### 4.13.6 - Human resources

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕		✕	✕		→	
 Negative							

#### 4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕		✕	✕		→	
 Negative							

#### 4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Relevant			✕ Not relevant				
----------	--	--	----------------	--	--	--	--

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development					
4.1.1 Housing					
					 
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure					
					 
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities					

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.2 Relative humidity						
	⊖	41		⊕		→
4.7.3 Temperature						
	⊖	41		⊕		→
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
	⊖	41		⊕		→
4.7.7 Pests						
	⊖	41		⊕		→
4.7.8 Micro-organisms						
	⊖	41		⊕		→
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	⊕	41		⊕		→
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.1 Storms						
	⊖		41		↻	↗
4.10.2 Flooding						
	⊖	41			↻	→
4.10.3 Drought						
	⊖		41		↻	→
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.2 Earthquake						
	⊖		41		↻	↘
4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide						
	⊖		41		↻	↘
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)						
	⊖		41	⊕		↘
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	⊕	41		⊕	↻	→
4.13.2 Legal framework	⊕	41		⊕	↻	→
4.13.3 Governance	⊕	41		⊕	↻	→
4.13.4 Management activities	⊕	41		⊕	↻	→
4.13.5 Financial resources	⊕	41		⊕	↻	→
4.13.6 Human resources	⊕	41		⊕	↻	→

4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities								
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside		

## 4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

### 4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

#### 4.1 Buildings and Development





Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.1 Housing						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised



	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities						

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity

✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

#### 4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.2 Relative humidity						
						





<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major



<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.3 Temperature						
						

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted





X	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
X	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
X	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
X	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
X	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)			
			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
X	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
X	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
X	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	



	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.7.7 Pests			
	 		



<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread

<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources





<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.7.8 Micro-organisms			
	 		

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
✕	Restricted

	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing




## 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

#### 4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.10.1 Storms						
						








Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major




Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.10.2 Flooding						

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.10.3 Drought							
							
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact							
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Impact on the attributes							
	Insignificant						
	Minor						

	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
✕	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

#### 4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.11.2 Earthquake			
	 	 	

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
✕	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
------	--------	--------	-------

4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide						

#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

#### Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

#### Impact - Impact on the attributes

✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

#### Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

#### Trend - Development over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)						

#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

#### Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

#### Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
--	---------------

✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
✕	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

#### 4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>





<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.2 Legal framework							
<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>							
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>							
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>							
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>							
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>							
	Decreasing						
	Static						
	Increasing						

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.3 Governance							
<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>							
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>							
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>							



✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.4 Management activities	 	 	

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
------	--------	--------	-------






4.13.5 Financial resources						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.6 Human resources						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✕	Insignificant

	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

#### 4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

**4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor**

#### 4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

**4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)**

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Geomantic layout of city, palace and tomb complexes, urban defence system and educational institutions	✗			
4.18.1.2	The ensemble of twelve serial components exhibiting political, cultural, philosophical and spiritual values of Koryo	✗			
4.18.1.3	Assimilation of cultural, spiritual and political values of the various states that existed on the Korean Peninsula prior to Koryo and the interchange of such values with other neighboring kingdoms	✗			
4.18.1.4	Exceptional testimony to the unified Koryo civilization as Buddhism gave way to neo-Confucianism in East-Asia	✗			
4.18.1.5					

### 5. Protection and Management of the Property

#### 5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

**5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?**

The boundaries are **adequate to maintain** the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?**

The boundaries **are known by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

**5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?**

The buffer zones are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?**

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known and recognised by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

**5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property**

#### 5.2. Protective Measures

**5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).**

The nominated property components are protected at the national level by the Law of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea on the Protection of Cultural Property (1994) and its Regulations (2009), administered by the National Bureau for Cultural Property Conservation (NBCPC). All except the Seven Tombs Cluster and the Myongrung Tombs Cluster are designated as National Treasure Sites; these two are protected as Preservation Sites. The mountains and forests in the buffer zone are protected by the Law of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea on Environmental Protection (1986) and the Forest Law of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea (1992). The urban land within the buffer zone is administered under the Land Law of the DPR Korea (1977) and the Law of the DPR Korea on City Management (1992). The State Party responded to ICOMOS' request for clarification on protection of the integrity of the nominated property and the important views to and from thereof by giving details of the proposed Guidelines for the management of the buffer zone (see below). The State Party has advised in its additional information that the amended Law on Protection of Cultural Property, the Regulation for the Implementation of the Law on Protection of Cultural Property and the newly prepared Guidelines for Protection and Management of the Historic Monuments and Sites in Kaesong will be approved for implementation around September 2013 and that these will enable stricter regulations and controls to be enforced in this area as requested by the World Heritage Committee in its decision 32 COM 8B.21, point 2. c). The area of traditional houses located immediately northnorthwest of the Namdae Gate will be put under strict protection and control within the legal framework of the amended Law on Protection of Cultural Property

Source: Advisory Body Evaluation

**5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category**

**5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?**

The **legal framework** for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

**5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?**

The **legal framework** in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

**5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?**

The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

**5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?**

There is **adequate capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

**5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice**

The laws and regulations for protection of the property are enforced by the statutory organs under supervision of the National Authority for the Protection of the Cultural Heritage (NAPCH). Any development is strictly prohibited within the property. Any plan of development within the buffer zone is informed NAPCH for evaluation and decision, based on which the Non-standing Committee of National Heritage Protection of Kaesong City makes decision for implementation.

**5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property**

**5.3. Management System/Management Plan**

**5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property**

Public management system joint national/ local

If 'Other', please specify

**5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.**

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

Governance mechanisms that foster and respect traditional practices, knowledge and uses of the property

A management plan

An annual work plan or business plan

A visitor/visitation management plan

**5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property**

The National Authority for the Protection of Cultural Heritage (NAPCH) being the supreme guiding body, the system include the Department of Culture of Kaesong City People's Committee, Kaesong City National Heritage Protection Office, Management Office for Mausoleum of King Wang Kon, Management Office of Manwoldae, site managers, supervisors and other relevant public organs. There are also research entities, different relevant local authorities and local community involved.

**5.3.4 - Management Documents**

**5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?**

**Some use** has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

**5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.**

Researchers of the site's responsible instruments are carrying out the study on identification, recognition, conservation of the historic layers in the core area and buffer zone of the site.

**5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?**

**No use** has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

**5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:**

**5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?**

**Some use** has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

**5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done**

**5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property**

There is **adequate coordination** between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

**5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?**

The management system/plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?**

The management system is being **fully implemented and monitored**

**5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?**

An annual work/action plan **exists and all of its activities are being implemented and monitored**

**5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?**

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities				✕	
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				✕	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone	✕				
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	✕				
5.3.15.5	Women				✕	
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	✕				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

**5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups**

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					✕
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					✕
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	✕				
5.3.16.4	Landowners	✕				
5.3.16.5	Women					✕
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					✕
5.3.16.7	Researchers					✕
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists				✕	
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists				✕	
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					✕
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries				✕	
5.3.16.12	NGOs		✕			
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	✕				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

**5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention***

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality				✕	
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)				✕	
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				✕	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach					✕
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				✕	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property	✕				

### 5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

### 5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

## 6. Financial and Human Resources

### 6.1. Funding

**6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.**

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	64 %	20 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	29 %	70 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	6 %	8 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	1 %	2 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

### 6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

### 6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available **budget is adequate** for effective management of the World Heritage property

### 6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are **secure over the medium-term** and **planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term**

### 6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

The state pursues the policy to increase financial support for the management of the property in line with the requirement of modernization. In the future, it is expected that the support of the state would increase.

### 6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	70 %	0 %
6.1.6.2	Women	30 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 0 %

### 6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

### 6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair

Marketing and promotion	Poor
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

**6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Poor
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

**6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?**

**Some use** has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

**6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.**

**6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?**

**A site-based** capacity building plan or programme is in place and fully implemented; **all technical skills are being transferred** to those managing the property locally

**6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

Each year the graduates from the various universities are distributed to the sector of heritage and different opportunities are provided on the regular basis for training for skills and expertise for heritage management including the workshops, forum of experience-sharing and evaluation of competence, which contributes to the special capacity-building for the property.

## 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

**7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **adequate**

**7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?**

Research results **are shared with local communities and some national agencies**

**7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects**

## 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

**8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

Local communities	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable



Women	Good
Youth/children	Good
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Good
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Good
NGOs	Non-existent
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

## 8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a **planned and effective education and awareness programme** for children and youth that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

## 8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Local/municipal authorities
Women
Youth/children
Researchers
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry
Local businesses and industries

## 8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Fair
Information booths	Not provided but needed
Guided tours	Fair
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Fair
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Poor
Transportation facilities	Fair
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

## 8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

### 9. Visitor Management

#### 9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

0 / 103800 / 66800 / 35800 / 50600 /

#### 9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments
Transportation services
Visitor surveys

### 9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One day (no overnight stay)

### 9.4 - Please provide the source of information

Surveys of on-site organs such as Department of Culture of Kaesong People's Committee, Koryo Museum and Management Office for Mausoleum of King Wang Kon

### 9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

10 / 8 / 8 / 2 / 2 / 7 /

### 9.6 - Please provide the source of information

Surveys of service facilities including Kaesong Folk Hotel and on-site organs such as Department of Culture of Kaesong People's Committee, Koryo Museum and Management Office for Mausoleum of King Wang Kon

### 9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a planned and **effective strategy** to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

### 9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

In 2018, Kaesong Heritage Tourism Management Plan was elaborated in collaboration between National Authority for the Protection of Cultural Heritage and National Tourism Administration. The strategy embedded in the plan is based on sustainable tourism development, and covers such issues as preservation of OUV of the property, measures not to generate serious environmental or socio-cultural problems in the tourism area, fair distribution of benefits from tourism in the property area, etc.

### 9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value**

### 9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

Kaesong Heritage Tourism Management Plan

### 9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is **good cooperation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry **to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation**

### 9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property **is adequately presented and interpreted**

### 9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations** and **easily visible** to visitors

### 9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

**Fees are collected** and make a **substantial contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

### 9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

According to Kaesong Heritage Tourism Management Plan, the measures are taken to protect cultural heritage and its surrounding environment, provide a rewarding experience for visitors and to enhance the quality of life of the residents.

### 9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

Providing opportunities for productive employment and sense of pride and identity for community

### 9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

## 10. Monitoring

### 10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of monitoring**, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

### 10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **adequate and key indicators have been defined** for measuring the state of conservation and **are**

being used in monitoring of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

### 10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				×
10.3.3	Character of governance				×
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations	×			
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development				×
10.3.6	Capacity development				×

### 10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

State of conservation (dimension of cracks, area of discoloration and erosion, plant growth and distribution, detachment of renders of mural paintings, biological and physio-chemical process affecting the property), number of residents in the core zone and buffer zone, number, type, scale and height of new buildings, area of vegetation. Number of site managers and guides, state of World Heritage markers, documentation of conservative intervention, number of visitors, etc.

### 10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Local communities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Women	Good
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industry	Poor
NGOs	Not applicable
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

### 10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is underway

### 10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

### 10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

## 11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

### 11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	Some use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×
6.1	Funding	
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	×

Please select 1 more issues.

☒ Please save this question to reflect changes

## 12. Summary and Conclusions

### 12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

#### 12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.2		Relative humidity					
4.7.3		Temperature					
4.7.6		Water (rain/water table)					
4.7.7	Pests	Insects, lichen or moss and overgrowth of plant	Regular mowing/cutting of overgrown trees and grass, removal of trees rooting into the structures, and lichens (moss), periodic application of pesticide	Regular monitoring of growth of plant at relics	Long term	Kaesong City National Heritage Protection Office Management Office for Mausoleum of King Wang Kon Mangaeement Office of Manwoldae site	n/a
4.10	Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.1	Storms	Potential severe weather change due to climate change may call forth storm that will have negative impact to the property in general, in particular to roof tiles	Reinforcement of structural stability, consolidation of roof tiles	Close collaboration with National Disaster Prevention Committee and Central Hydro-meteorological Service to regularly collect climate information and identify ways of prevention	long term	Kaesong City National Heritage Protection Office Management Office for Mausoleum of King Wang Kon Mangaeement Office of Manwoldae site Central Hydro-meteorological Service	n/a
4.10.2	Flooding	Potential severe weather change due to climate change may call forth storm and flooding that will negatively impact the property in general.	Reinforcement of structural stability, improvement of water drainage system	Close collaboration with National Disaster Prevention Committee and Central Hydro-meteorological Service to regularly collect climate information and identify ways of prevention	long term	Kaesong City National Heritage Protection Office Management Office for Mausoleum of King Wang Kon Mangaeement Office of Manwoldae site O National Heritage Preservation Agency Central Hydro-meteorological Service	n/a
4.10.3		Drought					
4.11	Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.2	Earthquake	Potential risk of earthquake that will negatively impact the property in general	Reinforcement of structural stability and consolidation of constructional parts	Regular information sharing with seismic research institutions, stability of structures and constructional parts	long term	Kaesong City National Heritage Protection Office Management Office for Mausoleum of King Wang Kon Mangaeement Office of Manwoldae site O National Heritage Preservation Agency Seismic Research Institute	n/a
4.11.4	Avalanche/Landslide	Potential severe weather change due to climate change may call forth storm and flooding resulting in landslide in mountaineous areas of the property	Planting of trees befitting the surrounding setting of the individual components of the property, identification of and research in consolidation of risky landslide terrain	Repective management offices including site managers regularly check risky areas	long term	Kaesong City National Heritage Protection Office Management Office for Mausoleum of King Wang Kon Mangaeement Office of Manwoldae site	n/a
4.11.6	Fire (wildfire)	The property including its surrounding settings will be liable to severe damage by potential fire (wildfire)	Reinforcement and upgrading of fire-fighting facilities and alarm system, seek responsible visitation through adequate interpretation of the OUV of the property, improvement of emergency public mobilization system of local community	Regular patrol and inspection of the property by site managers and supervisors, seek wide participation of the local community in finding and/or fighting fire risk	long term	Kaesong City National Heritage Protection Office Management Office for Mausoleum of King Wang Kon Mangaeement Office of Manwoldae site Department of Culture of the Kaesong City People's Committee	n/a

## 12.2. Summary - Management Needs

### 12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan				
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	Identification of the impact of climate change on the property since 2020 based on the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties	2022-2024	- National Authority for the Protection of Cultural Heritage, Ministry of Culture - O National Heritage Preservation Agency - Central Hydro-meteorological Service - National Disaster Prevention Committee	n/a
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	-Identification of risk factors at the property area in collaboration with National Disaster Prevention Committee. -Evaluation of existing disaster preventive measures and integration of activities for reducing underlying risk factors affecting OUV.	2020-2025	- National Authority for the Protection of Cultural Heritage, Ministry of Culture - Kaesong City People's Committee - National Disaster Prevention Committee - Kaesong National Heritage Protection Office	n/a
6.1	Funding				
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	Extensive incorporation of World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage Property in the national capacity building planning and implementation	2020-2025	- National Authority for the Protection of Cultural Heritage, Ministry of Culture - Kaesong City People's Committee - National Disaster Prevention Committee - O National Heritage Preservation Agency	n/a

Summary - Management Needs completed

## 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

### 12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

The Authenticity of the World Heritage property **has been preserved**

### 12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

The Integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

### 12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

### 12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **intact**

### 12.3.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

The state of conservation of the property is good.

## 13. Impact of World Heritage Status

### 13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive

Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal/Policy framework	Very positive
Advocacy	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Gender equality	No impact
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	No impact
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Not applicable
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

### 13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

## 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

### 14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

-The non-standing National Heritage Protection Committee of Kaesong City includes the head officials of various fields such as education, culture, construction, land and environment and agriculture together with those of relevant social organizations and the judicial and procuratorial institutions. The committee meets twice a year to discuss and take proper measures, and assigns its members detailed tasks and has the authority to call to account under criminal law, if needed. -April and November are designated as the Month for National Heritage Protection. During these months, local government authorities, cultural property management offices and the institutions which are charged with public management of sites shall intensively organize and conduct restoration and management works of the historical sites.

### 14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Sustainable Development
Synergies
State of Conservation
Management
Governance
Capacity Building

## 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

### 15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

#### 15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

#### 15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	No follow-up

## 15.2. Use of Data

### 15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Update of management plans
Awareness raising
Advocacy

### 15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

## 15.3. Timing and resources

### 15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties
UNESCO National Commission
Local communities
ICOMOS national/regional

### 15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance is **explicitly considered and effectively implemented** in the process.

### 15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

Yes

### 15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

70 / 60 / 25 /
----------------

### 15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training		×

## 15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

### 15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

All required information was accessible.

### 15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			×	

### 15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

## 15.5. Training and Guidance

### 15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Fair
UNESCO National Commission	Good
ICOMOS International	No support
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	No support
ICOMOS national/regional	Good
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

### 15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Poor
National Commission for UNESCO	Good
ICOMOS International	No support
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Good
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

**15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?**

Yes

**15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?**

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

**15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**

No item were proposed for update

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

**15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.**