### Red Bay Basque Whaling Station

#### 1. World Heritage Property Data

#### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Red Bay Basque Whaling Station

#### 1.2 - World Heritage property details

#### 1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year				
Red Bay Basque Whaling Station	51.727 / -56.43	312.973	285.2	598.173	2013				
Total (ha)		312.973	285.2	598.173					
1.4 - Map(s)	1.4 - Map(s)								
Title			Da	ate Lin	k to source				
Red Bay Basque Whaling Station - map of inscribed property					1				

#### 1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

#### 1. Parks Canada

#### 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

## 2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

#### 2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years? No

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

#### 2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

#### 2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

No

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

No

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

#### Statement of Outstanding Universal Value Brief synthesis

Situated in Labrador, in north-eastern Canada, on the shores of the Strait of Belle Isle, Red Bay was an Arctic maritime base for Basque mariners in the 16th century. It is the earliest, most comprehensive and best preserved archaeological testimony of a pre-industrial whaling station. It was used for coastal whale hunting in the summer, the butchery of the whales, and the rendering of the oil and its storage. The whale oil was sold in Europe primarily for lighting purposes. The property

includes the remains of rendering ovens, cooperages, a wharf, living quarters and a cemetery, together with the underwater wrecks of vessels and whale bone deposits.

Criterion (iii): Red Bay Basque Whaling Station is an outstanding example of the tradition of whale hunting established by the Basques in the 16th century for the production of oil which was transported for sale in Europe. In terms of the diversity of its archaeological remains, this is the most extensive, best preserved and most comprehensive whaling station of this type.

Criterion (iv): Red Bay Basque Whaling Station constitutes a fully intelligible ensemble of archaeological elements illustrating the establishment of a proto-industrial process of large-scale production of whale oil, during the 16th century.

#### Integrity

The property includes all the terrestrial and underwater elements that illustrate all the major phases of the whale hunting process. The various attributes of the property are generally well preserved, and their relationships with the land remain engraved on and visible in the landscape. They therefore satisfactorily express the Outstanding Universal Value of the property; however, as visibility of the remains is limited, a policy of active and thorough interpretation is necessary. The knowledge of the socio-technical system involved is sufficient to allow full interpretation of the ensemble of preserved remains at Red Bay.

#### Authenticity

The various attributes of the property are of unquestionable authenticity, as is the general landscape around the present-day village of Red Bay. However, the authenticity perceived by the visitor remains limited to an impression of the landscape, as the tangible attributes have been reburied, which is however justified in view of the need for conservation. The Visitor Interpretation Centre is essential to enable an understanding of the site and its authenticity.

#### Protection and management requirements

Red Bay was listed as a National Historic Site of Canada in 1979. The property management and protection plan has been in place for a long time; it is effective, and the responsibilities of each of the players are clearly identified. The Management Committee was set up at the end of the preparation of the nomination dossier, between the four institutional property management partners. The Management Plan for Red Bay, the National Historic Site of Canada is designed to be used in conjunction with the Management Plan for the Red Bay Whaling Station, which brings together all the partners involved in the management of the property. At present, the protection of the property – following an intensive phase of archaeological research from the 1970s to the 1990s - is ensured by the permanent covering and reburying of both terrestrial and underwater remains. Current management thus consists of monitoring the state of conservation and developing structures for visitor interpretation and reception.

## 3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Terrestrial archaeological remains associated with shore processing of whale oil	×			
3.2.2	Underwater archaeological remains of 16th-century vessels	×			
3.2.3	Underwater and terrestrial whale bone deposits	×			
3.2.4	Viewscapes to and from the property from various points and to the historic whaling grounds of the Strait of Belle Isle	×			
3.2.5	Extensive artifacts collections relating to archaeological sites	×			
3.2.6		×			
3.2.7		×			
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

#### 3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4. Factors Affecting the Property

#### 4.1. Buildings and Development

#### 4.1.1 - Housing

Relevant

× Not relevant

× Not relevant

#### 4.1.2 - Commercial development

Relevant

#### 4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Relevant			X Not relevant					
4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure								
Relevant	X Not relevant	X Not relevant						
4.1.5 - Interpretative and v	isitation facilition	es						
X Relevant	X Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×					
Negative								

## 4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Parks Canada is currently undertaking a major recapitalization of it's visitor interpretation centre; new exhibits will update the story of the site and better place it within the historical and geographical context. A new interpretive trail is being constructed on Saddle Island that will be more accessible and include updated interpretive elements. The Town of Red Bay is renewing a complimentary exhibit about right whale science and conservation.

#### 4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

#### 4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Or		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Coutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×		×			
Negative							

#### 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

_								
Relevant			× Not relevan	X Not relevant				
4.2.3 - Air transport in	frastructure							
Relevant			X Not relevan	X Not relevant				
4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure								
× Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×				1	
Negative								

#### 4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Relevant

× Not relevant

## 4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Highway upgrades through Labrador completed in 2022 and a larger capacity ferry for the Strait of Belle Isle ferry service introduced in 2019 are expected to attract more tourism traffic to the area. The Town of Red Bay's efforts to upgrade the cruise facility, located within the WHS, are attracting more cruise ships to the harbour annually.

#### 4.3. Services Infrastructures

#### 4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities	
Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Relevant			× Not releva	X Not relevant					
4.3.4 - Localised utilities									
X Relevant		Not relevant							
	Impact Orig		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×			×		<b>→</b>			
Negative									
435 - Major linear utilitie									

#### 4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

X Relevant			I	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×			×		<b>→</b>	

## 4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

New localized cell phone tower is increasing connectivity for visitors to the WHS. Existing electrical lines impact the viewscapes related to the site's OUV; defunct line on Saddle Island belongs to utility company; negotiations pending to remove the unused poles.

#### 4.4. Pollution

#### 4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.4.2 - Ground water pollution	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.4.3 - Surface water pollution	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.4.4 - Air pollution	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.4.5 - Solid waste	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.4.6 - Input of excess energy	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.5. Biological resource use/modification

#### 4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×			×		$\rightarrow$		
4.5.2 - Aquaculture								
Relevant			× Not relevant					

#### 4.5.3 - Land conversion

Relevant	X Not relevant						
4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals							
Relevant	X Not relevant						
4.5.5 - Crop production							
Relevant	X Not relevant						
4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection							
Relevant	X Not relevant						
4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection							
Relevant	X Not relevant						
4.5.8 - Commercial hunting							
Relevant	X Not relevant						
4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting							
Relevant	X Not relevant						
4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production							
Relevant	X Not relevant						

## 4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Seiners fishing for capelin and herring have recently started frequenting local waters, including those within the WHS. Damage to one corner of the 24M wreck site reburial mound has been attributed to the deployment of seines in the area. Notices to Mariners asking vessels to avoid deploying gear in the harbour are released by Dept of Fisheries and Oceans on behalf of the site; Parks Canada Underwater Archaeology is exploring the potential of creating an exclusion zone around the 24M wreck site wreck site

#### 4.6. Physical resource extraction

#### 4.6.1 - Mining

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.6.2 - Quarrying	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.6.3 - Oil and gas	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.6.4 - Water (extraction)	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

#### 4.7.1 - Wind

Relevant			× Not releva	ant			
4.7.2 - Relative humidity							
Relevant			× Not releva	ant			
4.7.3 - Temperature							
X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Coutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing

O Positive					
Negative X	×		×		1
4.7.4 - Radiation/Light					
Relevant			× Not relevant		
4.7.5 - Dust					
Relevant	Relevant				
4.7.6 - Water (rain/water tab	ole)				
Relevant	X Not relevant				
4.7.7 - Pests					
Relevant			× Not relevant		
4.7.8 - Micro-organisms					
Relevant			× Not relevant		

### 4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Rising temperatures are having an impact on other factors, such as increasing intensity of storm systems and lack of sea ice, which in turn are impacting the site. See Section 4.10 for additional comments.

#### 4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

#### 4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Relevant		X Not releva	X Not relevant						
4.8.2 - Society's valuing of	heritage								
X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	C Outside	S Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×			×			1		
Negative									
4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting,	gathering and	collecting							
Relevant	Relevant			X Not relevant					
4.8.4 - Changes in tradition	al ways of life	and knowledge	system						
Relevant	Relevant			X Not relevant					
4.8.5 - Identity, social cohe	sion, changes	in local populati	on and com	munity					
X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	C Outside	S Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
Negative X	×			×			1		
4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/\	/isitation/Recre	eation							
X Relevant				Not relevant					

× Relevant					Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	9 Potential	Inside	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
Negative X	×			×					

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×			×			
Negative							

## 4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

In the case of all relevant factors, there is growing interest in creating tourism experiences related to the WHS. This is in response to changing economic conditions in the area. The result is increasing economic opportunities and job creation/retention. Conversely, there is a negative impact related to an aging community with a declining population.

#### 4.9. Other human activities

#### 4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.3 - Military training	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.4 - War	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.5 - Terrorism	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.6 - Civil unrest	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

#### 4.10.1 - Storms

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	C Outside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
Negative X	×			×					
4.10.2 - Flooding									
Relevant			× Not relevar	nt					
4.10.3 - Drought									
Relevant			X Not relevar	nt					
4.10.4 - Desertification									
Relevant			X Not relevar	Not relevant					
4.10.5 - Changes to oceani	c waters								
X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
Negative X	×			×					
4.10.6 - Temperature chang	ge								
× Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				

Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive										
Negative X	×			×						
4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts										
Relevant			× Not relevant							

## 4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Increased height of tides and increased wave action in the ocean is resulting in shoreline erosion and ultimately the loss of shoreline. This is impacting infrastructure at parts of the WHS as well as cultural resources located near the shoreline. The increase in temperature of the sea water is resulting in the lack of winter freeze-up, meaning that the ice cover in the harbour in winter is no longer reliable as a source of protection for the shoreline during the winter storm season.

#### 4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

#### 4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.11.2 - Earthquake	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide	
Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×			×			1

#### 4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Relevant

× Not relevant

## 4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Coastal erosion impacts described above. There is also increased erosion on land due to more frequent and more intense storm systems.

### 4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

### 4.12.1 - Translocated species

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.6 - Modified genetic material	
Relevant	X Not relevant

## 4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.13. Management and institutional factors

#### 4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Import		Oninin		Trand of impost		
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×			$\rightarrow$	
Negative							
4.13.2 - Legal framework							
X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×			$\rightarrow$	

#### 4.13.3 - Governance

Negative

X Relevant			1	Not relevant					
	Impact				Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×		×			<b>→</b>			
Negative									

### 4.13.4 - Management activities

X Relevant	1	Not relevant							
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×		×			<b>→</b>			
Negative									

#### 4.13.5 - Financial resources

X Relevant	Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact					
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive										
Negative X		×	×			$\rightarrow$				

### 4.13.6 - Human resources

X Relevant			I	Not relevant			
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×			$\rightarrow$	

#### 4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

× Relevant

Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	<b>9</b> Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X		×	×	×		<b>→</b>		
Negative								
1 12 9 High impact recease								

#### 4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Relevant	
----------	--

× Not relevant

## 4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Ongoing historical and archaeological research related to the site's OUV is increasing our understanding of the site and helping to better situate it within the historical and geographical context. Challenges with increasing overhead and other operating costs due to factors beyond our control results in less money to spend on protecting and presenting the site. There are human resource challenges related to declining population in the area and difficulty recruiting staff from other areas.

#### 4.14. Other factor(s)

#### 4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

#### 4.15. Factors Summary Table

#### 4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities						Trend
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities						
	٢	9		٢		1
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	٢		9		۴	
4.2.4 Marine transport infrastructure	٢	4		۲		1
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.4 Localised utilities	٢	4			٢	→
4.3.5 Major linear utilities						
4.5.5 Major Intear utilities	<b>A</b>				76	-
4.5 Biological resource use/modification		7			G	
4.5.1 Fishing/collecting aquatic resources	٥	4			( <b>F</b>	<b>→</b>
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.3 Temperature						
	9	4		۲		
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	٢	9			Ċ	/
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						
	0	9			Ċ	1
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	٢	9			F	1
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.1 Storms						

Red Bay Basque Whaling Station

		٢	4			Ċ	1
4.10.5 Changes to oceanic waters							
		0	9			Ċ	
4.10.6 Temperature change							
		0	4			Ċ	1
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events							
4.11.5 Erosion and siltation/Deposition							
		0	4			Ċ	1
4.13 Management and institutional factors							
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan		٢	9		0		<b>→</b>
4.13.2 Legal framework		٢	9		۲		<b>→</b>
4.13.3 Governance		٢	9		۲		<b>→</b>
4.13.4 Management activities		٢	4		٢		<b>→</b>
4.13.5 Financial resources							
		0		9	۲		<b>→</b>
4.13.6 Human resources							
		0		9	۹		<b>→</b>
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities		٢		9	۲	Ċ	<b>→</b>

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

### 4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.1.5 Inter	pretative and visitation facilities	٢	9	٢	
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
х	On-going				

Impact - In	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

### 4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.2.1 Grou	I.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure		9		Ċ	
Snatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
opunai oou	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend
4.2.4 Marine transport infrastructure	•	4	۲	1

#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Spatial sca	ie - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

#### 4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.3.4 Local	4.3.4 Localised utilities		9			Ċ	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						

espond							
Impact Origin Tren ■ ¶		Frequent					
Impact Origin Tre	×	On-going					
Impact Origin Tre	Impact -	t - Impact on the attributes					
Impact Origin Tre		Insignificant					
Impact Origin Tre	×	Minor					
Impact Origin Tre		Significant					
Impact Origin Tre ● ¶		Major					
	Manage	gement response - Capacity of management to respond					
		High capacity					
	×	Medium capacity					
		Low capacity					
		No capacity and / or resources					
	Trend -	- Developement over the last 6 years					
		Decreasing					
		Static					
	×	Increasing					
	Name		Impac	t	Origin		Tren
	4.3.5 Ma	<i>f</i> lajor linear utilities		~		~	
spond			9	4		(F	7
sspond	Spatial	I scale - Area affected by the factor					
≥spond		Restricted					
≥spond	×	Localised					
≥spond		Extensive					
≥spond		Widespread					
≥spond	Tempor	oral scale - Occurence of the impact					
≥spond		One off or rare					
≥spond		Intermittent or sporadic					
≥spond		Frequent					
≥spond	x	On-going					
≥spond	Impact -	t - Impact on the attributes					
≥spond		Insignificant					
espond		Minor					
espond	×	Significant					
espond		Major					
	×						

High capacity

High capacity
Medium capacity
Low capacity
No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

Decreasing

×	Static
	Increasing

### 4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.5.1 Fis	.5.1 Fishing/collecting aquatic resources					
		٢	9		۴	<b>→</b>
Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Tempor	al scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
x	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact	Impact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manage	ment response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

### 4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.7.3 Temp	4.7.3 Temperature						
		0	4		٢		
Creation and	In these offersed by the factor						
Spatial Sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						

Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	Impact - Impact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					

### 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name       4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage       Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor       Restricted       Localised		Impact	9	Origin	E	Trend
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor Restricted			-1		G	•
Restricted						
Restricted						
Localised						
Extensive						
X Widespread						
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact						
One off or rare						
Intermittent or sporadic						
Frequent						
X On-going						
Impact - Impact on the attributes						
Insignificant						
Minor						
X Significant						
Major						
Management response - Capacity of mana	igement to respond					
High capacity						
X Medium capacity						

	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	Trend - Developement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						
	0	9			Ċ	1
Provide and a first device factor						
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
Restricted						

	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - In	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major

### Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing

	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation		٢	9		Ċ	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					

	Widespread
Temporal s	icale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

### 4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name		Impact		Origin			Trend
4.10.1 Stor	ns						
		0	9			Ċ	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						

	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name	Impact	t		t		:t		:t		Origin		Trend	
4.10.5 Changes to oceanic waters													
	9	9			Ċ	1							

### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Opatial Sec	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - In	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.10.6 Tem	perature change					
		0	9		F	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					

	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

### 4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name		Impact	•	Origin		Trend
	ion and siltation/Deposition	mpuot		eg.i		u
		0	9		Ċ	
		•	-1		G.	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - In	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					

	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

### 4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan		٢	9		٢	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name	Name Impact		Origin	Trend		
4.13.2 Lega	l framework	٢	4		٢	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					

	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - In	npact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.3 Governance	٢	9	۲		<b>→</b>

### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Restricted         Localised         Extensive         Videspread         Cemporal         Consort or rare         Intermittent or sporadic         Frequent         Non off or rare         Intermittent or sporadic         Intermittent or sporadic         Inspirificant         Inspirificant         Inspirificant         Inspirificant         Inspirificant         Inspirificant         Inspirificant         Inspirificant	oputar sou	
kensive         kensive         Videspread         Fempertation of the impact         Intermittent or sporadic         intermittent or sporadic         videspread         videspread         intermittent or sporadic         videspread         intermittent or sporadic         videspread         intermittent or sporadic		Restricted
K       Widespread         Femporal       Course of the impact         Internition of portare       Internition of portadic         Frequent       Frequent         Impact - Unit on the attributes       Insignificant         Insignificant       Minor		Localised
Temporal scale of the impact         One off or rare       One off or rare         Intermittent or sporadic       Intermittent or sporadic         Frequent       Frequent         No-going       Intpact - tor the attributes         Insignificant       Insignificant         Minor       Minor		Extensive
One off or rare         Intermittent or sporadic         Frequent         No- of or going         Impact - tor the attributes         Insignificant         Minor	×	Widespread
Intermittent or sporadic       Frequent       No-going       Impact - Unitattributes       Insignificant       Nior	Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
Frequent       Impact - Imp		One off or rare
Impact - Impa		Intermittent or sporadic
Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor		Frequent
Insignificant Minor	×	On-going
Minor	Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
		Insignificant
		Minor
X Significant	×	Significant
Major		Major

#### Management response - Capacity of management to respond

×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

lame		Impact			Origin	
4.13.4 Management activities		9		٢		<b>→</b>

### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

keticiad     keticiad     keticiad     koalsed
kai kaina ka
X       Wedepread         Temperation       Concernence of the impact         X       One off or rane         Internitient or sporadic       Internitient or sporadic         Y       One off or rane         Y       One off or rane         Internitient or sporadic       Internitient or sporadic         Y       One off or rane         Internitient or sporadic       Internitient or sporadic         Y       One off or rane         Internitient or sporadic       Internitient or sporadic         Y       One off or rane         Internitient or sporadic       Internitient or sporadic
Temperature       Concurrence of the impact         Impact Impact or sporadic       Intermittent or sporadic         Frequent       Frequent         Impact Impa
No         No           No         No           Internitient or sporadic         Frequent           Yequent         No           Inspiritient         No           Inspiritient         No           Spiritient         Spiritient           Inspiritient         Minor           Spiritient         Spiritient           Haper - Capacity of management to respond         High capacity           Medium capacity         Medium capacity
Intermittent or sporadic       Intermittent or sporadic       Frequent       Intermittent or sporadic
Frequent         Impact - I
k       Origoing         Insert-I
Impact - Uthe attributes Impact - Information and the attributes Impact - Information
Insignificant         Minor         Significant         Major         Maageement to respond         High capacity of management to respond         Kow capacity         Low capacity
<ul> <li>kinor</li> <li></li></ul>
X     Significant       Major       Matagement to respond       Major
Major         Management to respond         High capacity of management to respond         Modium capacity         Low capacity
Management response - Capacity of management to respond         High capacity         Medium capacity         Low capacity
High capacity         Medium capacity         Low capacity
Medium capacity         Low capacity
Low capacity
No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years
Decreasing
X Static
Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources					
	0	4	۲		<b>→</b>

	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - In	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.13.6 Human resources						
	0		9	٢		<b>→</b>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted			
	Localised			
×	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
×	On-going			
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes			
	Insignificant			
	Minor			
×	Significant			
	Major			

#### Management response - Capacity of management to respond

manageme							
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	•	9	٢	Ċ	<b>→</b>

### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

## 4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Terrestrial archaeological remains associated with shore processing of whale oil	×			
4.18.1.2	Underwater archaeological remains of 16th century vessels	×			
4.18.1.3	Terrestrial and underwater whale bone deposits	×			
4.18.1.4	Viewscapes to and from the property from various points and to the historic whaling grounds of the Strait of Belle Isle	×			
4.18.1.5	Extensive artifact collections relating to archaeological sites	×			

#### 5. Protection and Management of the Property

#### 5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The buffer zones are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners

#### 5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

Some education/engagement required with local residents regarding the boundaries of the property and the buffer zone and what obligations they have as landowners regarding the various protective measures that are in place.

#### 5.2. Protective Measures

#### 5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

The legal and administrative protection of the property is exercised by the three levels of government having jurisdiction (governmental, provincial and local). The three levels of protection are coordinated so that the measures taken are effective and complement each other. They form the legal framework for conservation and management of the property. The main federal legislation consists of:

- The Navigable Waters Protection Act (1995);

- The Parks Canada Agency Act (1998).

Their application for the protection of the property is the responsibility of the Parks Canada Agency, which is in charge of cultural and natural properties of national significance. Red Bay is one such property, as it was awarded National Historic Site of Canada status in 1979. The provincial protection legislation consists of:

- The Historic Resources Act (1990), of which the Department of Tourism, Culture and Recreation is in charge;

- The Municipalities Act (1990) and the Urban and Rural Planning Act (2000)

The Municipal Plan of the Town of Red Bay (2010-2020) contains the territorial planning information concerning the uses of the property, with a mixed development zone, a preservation zone for the archaeological sites, a commercial zone, and a rural resources zone. The additional documentation of February 2013 specifies the condition of the monitoring and protection of the underwater remains forming part of the property.

Source: Advisory Body Evaluation

## 5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

## 5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation

## 5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation

## 5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property

#### 5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain

#### 5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

Challenges related to implementation noted above: coordination of regular meetings is difficult due to two partner representatives not being located at the site; turn-over in partner representatives results in a lack of corporate memory as there are little or no mechanisms in place for succession planning.

## 5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

The legal framework is adequate in most cases, but there are some challenges related to the fact that the provincial government authorities are based in St. John's and don't have immediate access to the site, and the municipality has limited capacity to respond to issues related to implementation and enforcement. Parks Canada also has limited enforcement capacity at the site.

#### 5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Other

#### If 'Other', please specify

National, provincial and local

#### 5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

Agreed 'Memorandums of Understanding' between different managing institutions, groups or others, including documents agreed with local communities for management

A management plan

#### 5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

The WH property consists of three jurisdictions: Parks Canada Agency manages some areas on behalf of the Gov't of Canada; the Town of Red Bay manages other areas as a municipality; the Provincial Archaeology Office, on behalf of the Gov't of Newfoundland and Labrador, is responsible for the protection of archaeological resources within the municipality. A MOU establishes a Management Committee composed of representatives of the three organizations.

#### 5.3.4 - Management Documents

## 5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

The 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape is not relevant to this property

#### 5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

## 5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

## 5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

Monitoring of the impact of climate change on the property is being done regarding archaeological features located close to the shoreline. Part of that involves communicating with and following work at other sites in the region that are experiencing similar impacts from climate change.

#### 5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ? No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

### 5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

## 5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved

### 5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is  $\ensuremath{\textbf{fully}}\xspace$  adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being only partially implemented

#### 5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

No annual work/action plan exists and it is not needed

# 5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			×		

5.3.15.2	Local authorities			×	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone		×		
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×			
5.3.15.5	Women	×			
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×			
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify				

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities				×	
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples				×	
5.3.16.4	Landowners				×	
5.3.16.5	Women				×	
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children				×	
5.3.16.7	Researchers					×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry				×	
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries				×	
5.3.16.12	NGOs				×	
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention* 

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality	×				
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	×				
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status			×		
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach	×				
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				×	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property	×				

#### 5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

#### 5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

#### 6. Financial and Human Resources

#### 6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	85 %	100 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	10 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	5 %	0 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

#### 6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

#### 6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

#### 6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over both the medium- and long-term

### 6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

Budgets could be improved to keep pace with increasing operation costs.

## 6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	50 %	50 %
6.1.6.2	Women	50 %	50 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

#### 6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are adequate for management needs

## 6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Poor
Capacity development and education	Poor
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

## 6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation		

Fair

Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not available

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property? No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

#### 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained? Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **adequate** 

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value? There is a small amount of research, but it is not planned

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated? Research results are shared with local communities and some national agencies

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

#### 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Fair
Women	Good
Youth/children	Good
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Good
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Good
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable

# 8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities	
Youth/children	
Local Visitors	
National/international tourists	
Tourism industry	

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Good
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Not needed
Guided tours	Fair
Trails/routes	Fair
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Fair
Transportation facilities	Fair
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

#### 9. Visitor Management

#### 9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

12,130 / 2,711 / 558 / 10,052 / 10,887 /

#### 9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

#### 9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One to three hours

#### 9.4 - Please provide the source of information

Visitor Statistics, Red Bay National Historic Site. Statistics only for the National Historic Site; visitors to other facilities at the property would be included in this number, they would not represent additional visitors. Note that drop in visitation in 2020 and 2021 occurred due to COVID-19 pandemic and associated travel restrictions.

#### 9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

0.00 / 0.00 / 0.00 / 9.25 / 0.00 / 0.00 /

#### 9.6 - Please provide the source of information

Entry fee statistics, Red Bay National Historic Site only. Only data available on average daily spending is from the Government of Newfoundland and Labrador that was collected in 2016. Averages are for the entire province. Only about 7% of the respondents to the survey had visited Labrador.

## 9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation

#### 9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

#### 9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

#### 9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

### If a different system, please specify

Regular monitoring of site for indicators of impact

## 9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

#### 9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted

#### 9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In one location and easily visible to visitors

#### 9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property? Fees are collected, and make some contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

#### If 'Yes', please specify

Programs of the Government of Newfoundland, administered largely by Destination Labrador and other regional bodies

#### 9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

#### If 'Yes', please specify

The WHS sites visitors who use local businesses, many of them specific to tourism

#### 9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

#### 10. Monitoring

## 10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, integrated programme of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

## 10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **adequate and key indicators have been defined** for measuring the state of conservation and **are being used in monitoring** of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

#### 10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system		×		
10.3.3	Character of governance		×		
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations	×			
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development		×		
10.3.6	Capacity development	×			

#### 10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

#### 10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Local communities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Women	Not applicable

Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Not applicable
Local businesses and industry	Not applicable
NGOs	Not applicable
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

#### 10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is underway

#### 10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

WH Committee recommended high quality reproductions of archaeological remains to help visitors better understand the site's OUV. Reproductions are planned as part of an ongoing exhibit renewal project at Red Bay National Historic Site.

#### 10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

#### 11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

#### 11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones	
5.1.2	The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners	×
5.1.4	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known and recognized by local communities/landowners	
5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.3	An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	×
5.2.4	An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	×
5.2.5	An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	×
5.2.6	There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain	×
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved	×
5.3.13	The management system at the property is only being partially implemented	×
5.3.17	• In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status	
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	×
6.1.10	No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	
6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff	×
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects	
7.2	There is a small amount of research in the World Heritage property but it is not planned	
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
8.2	There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs	
9	Visitor Management	
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation	×
9.11	There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation	

Please save this question to reflect changes

### 12. Summary and Conclusions

### 12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

### 12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.3	Services Inf	rastructures									
4.3.5	Major linear utilities	Negotiations with       Visual monitoring of       2-5 years       Parks Canada Age         Viewscapes to and       utility to remove the       existing poles and       existing poles and       and Newfoundland         from the property       defunct line       isigns of further       deterioration       betriver and to the historic       whaling grounds of         whaling grounds of       the Strait of Belle Isle       sec       sec       sec       sec		vfoundland	and to ma Co Fis cus	have nece de to the ast Guard heries and stomer on	s made in 2021 not essary repairs line. Canadian //Department of d Oceans, the only the line, agreed to ght station to other ectricity.				
4.5	Biological re	Biological resource use/modification									
4.5.1	Fishing/collecting aquatic resources	sources archaeological remains asking th of 16th-century vessels deploy ge property harbour. are indice		them to not fishing vessels in the gear in WH area; 5-year monitoring y area of the schedule of underwater r. Wrecksites archaeological sites cated on located in the propoerty aphic charts of		Drigoing Parks Canada Agency, Provincial Archaeology Office Department of Fisheries and Ocea		, Provincial blogy Office, nent of	s activ atten an ez	e damage rted to covering of wreck site during t monitoring ity; consideration g given to npting to establish kclusion zone nd wreck sites.	
4.7	Local condi	tions affecting physical f	abric								
4.7.3	Temperature	Criterion III - Terrestrial archaeological remains associated with shore processing of whale oil and underwater archaeological remains 16th-century vessels	Consideration being to reestablishing sh in most affected are	noreline terrestrial sites for impacts		3	Parks Cana Agency				
4.8	Social/Cultu	ral uses of heritage									
4.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	Criteria III and IV - All attributes	Staff recruitment and retention plans		nber of staff ruited	ongoir	ng	Parks Ca	inada		ntion and nt will potentially te management
4.10	Climate cha	nge and severe weather	events								
4.10.1	Storms	Criterion IV - Terrest archaeological remain associated with shor processing of whale	installation of sandbags to pro	t one rary tt ans to ther ine	Ongoing visual r of shoreline and monitoring activi terrestrial archae sites.	5-year ity of	g Ongoing	3	Parks Cana Agency	; ;	More severe storms are creating wave action that is impacting the shoreline
4.10.5	Changes to oceanic waters	Criterion IV - Terrestr archaeological remain associated with shore processing of whale of	ns installation of sandbags to prote	of ect m ine te iry sit	ngoing visual mo shoreline and 5- onitoring activity rrestrial archaeol tes.	year of	Ongoing		rks Canada lency	of the in win the ha as it o years cover wave shore	asing temperatures ocean, particularly ter, are resulting in arbour not freezing did in previous . The lack of ice means that ocean s impact the line during severe r storms.

4.10.6	Temperature change	Criterion IV - Terrestrial archaeological remains associated with shore processing of whale oil	Short term installation of sandbags to protect the shoreline at one location; temporary repairs to trail at another with plans to re-route trail further from the shoreline within the next two years.	Ongoing visual monitorin of shoreline and 5-year monitoring activity of terrestrial archaeological sites.		Parks Canada	Increasing temperatures of the ocean, particularly in winter, are resulting in the harbour not freezing as it did in previous years. The lack of ice cover means that ocean waves impact the shoreline during severe winter storms.
4.11	Sudden ecolog	ical or geological events					
4.11.5	Erosion and siltation/Depositior	Criterion IV - Terrestrial archaeological remains associated with shore processing of whale oil	Short term installation of sandbags to protect the shoreline at on location; temporary repairs to trail at another with plans re-route trail furthe from the shoreline within the next two years.	e terrestrial archaeolog v sites. to	ar	Parks Canad Agency	a Erosion is related to both wave action along the shoreline and run off from severe rain events
4.13	Management a	nd institutional factors					
4.13.5	Financial resources	Criteria III and IV - All attributes		Monitoring for increased costs	Ongoing	Parks Canada	Challenges from increased operating costs
4.13.6	Human resources	Criteria III and IV - All attributes	Recruitment and retention plan	Number of people in required positions and rate of staff turn-over	Ongoing	Parks Canada	Difficulties recruiting from community with declining population and with recruitment from other areas to move to remote location
Summary - Factors affecting the Property completed							

### 12.2. Summary - Management Needs

### 12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones								
	Actions		Timeframe		Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment			
5.1.2	The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners	Community awareness programs	Ongoing		Parks Canada and Town of Red Bay	of Community awareness neede			
5.2	Protective Measures								
5.2.3	framework for lea	ontinued collaboration between ad agencies to respond to sues that arise.	Ongoing		anada Agency, Provincial ology Office, Town of Red	Areas of the property fall under different jurisdictions; financial and human resources are challenged, depending on the jurisdiction, to implement the respective legal requirements.			

5.2.4	An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	Continued collaboration between lead agencies to respond to issues that arise.	Ongoing	Parks Canada Agency, Provi Archaeology Office, Town of I Bay	
5.2.5	An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	Continued collaboration between lead agencies to respond to issues that arise.	Ongoing	Parks Canada Agency, Provi Archaeology Office, Town of I Bay	
5.2.6	There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain	Continued collaboration between lead agencies to respond to issues that arise.	Ongoing	Parks Canada Agency, Provir Archaeology Office, Town of I Bay	
5.3	Management Sys	tem/Management Plan			
5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved	More frequent and regular meetings	Ongoing	Parks Canada, Provincial Archaeology Office, Town of I Bay	Administrative bodies work well together but formal meetings are difficult to organize
5.3.13	The management system at the property is only being partially implemented	Continued collaboration between lead agencies to respond to issues that arise.	Ongoing	Parks Canada, Provincial Archaeology Office, Town of I Bay	Challenges related to limited capacity and location of provincial authorities
6.1	Funding				
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	Explore ways to reduce operating costs	Ongoing	Parks Canada	Challenges with increasing operational costs, which impacts all aspects of the site

out by external staff	
9 Visitor Management	
9.7 There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation	ddle Island. and its ently brings

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

**12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?** The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved

**12.3.2** - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact

## 12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

#### 12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

#### 12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

Continued monitoring of shoreline and archaeological remains located near shoreline is necessary as impacts of climate change increase. These impacts represent the most significant threat to the property's state of conservation.

#### 13. Impact of World Heritage Status

#### 13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Very positive
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	No impact
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	No impact
Advocacy	Positive

Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	No impact
Gender equality	Not applicable
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Not applicable
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	No impact
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Not applicable
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

#### 13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

In general. World Heritage status has been positive for the surrounding community; it has enhanced the region's tourism and economic development potential and provided multiple opportunities for development within the community.

#### 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

#### 14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

One particular archaeological feature is located very close to the shoreline of Saddle Island. Increased storms, storm surges and warming oceanic temperatures are together resulting in increased wave action along that part of the shoreline and we have consequently lost some of the shoreline, including part of an embankment that was constructed to protect the stonework feature. In 2019 sandbags were placed along the embankment as a short-term measure to reduce the impact of wave action until a longer term solution could be found. A number of solutions were proposed and rejected. Working with other agencies in the Atlantic region, we learned of some success with the use of coconut fiber as a binding agent that allowed revegetation. We were starting to cost out the material required during the winter of 2022 when we heard that a major storm had completely compromised the coconut fiber installations at one of the sites. Shortly after regular monitoring of the feature of concern at Red Bay revealed that the sandbags place two years before was actually acting as a binding agent and was helping to revegetate the embankment. While there is not final conclusion on this issue at this time, we are waiting to see how the sandbags hold up over this winter and if the revegetation will continue. As difficult as it seems, it may be necessary to leave this site to the forces of nature, as there are too many risks to other parts of the property to proceed with a major intervention at this one location. The feature itself was completely excavated during the 1980s and good records of it are available.

#### 14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Sustainable Development	
State of Conservation	
Management	
Governance	

#### 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

#### 15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

#### 15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value

## 15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	No follow-up
Site Managers	No follow-up
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	No follow-up
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	No follow-up

#### 15.2. Use of Data

#### 15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans

#### 15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

#### 15.3. Timing and resources

#### 15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

**15.3.2** - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance has **not been explicitly** considered or implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? Yes

#### 15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

23 / 8 / 23 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources	×	
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

#### 15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

### 15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

All required information was accessible.

#### 15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			×	

### 15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

#### 15.5. Training and Guidance

#### 15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	No support
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	No support
UNESCO National Commission	Good
ICOMOS International	No support
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	No support
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	No support

#### 15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	No support
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	No support
National Commission for UNESCO	No support
ICOMOS International	No support
ICCROM International/regional	No support
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	No support
IUCN International	No support

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Not applicable (i.e. I did not use these resources)

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

#### 15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

- 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.