Konso Cultural Landscape

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Konso Cultural Landscape

1.2 - World Heritage property details

Comment

Cultural Landscape property.

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Name Coordinates		Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Konso Cultural Landscape 5.3/37.4		14000	?	14000	2011
Total (ha)		14000	0	14000	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Konso Cultural Landscape - maps of inscribed property	2011	

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

Comment

I need further illustration about this question as it is possible

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

No comment

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years? No

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

No heritage related with 1954 armed conflict in our country.

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

No

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

Not particularly with this property, But in Ethiopia the following elements are inscribed Epiphany (Timket) The Geda system, Erecha of Oromo ritual ceremony Fiche Chambalala Siamo traditional year counting Meskel festival which is celebrated by Ethiopian Christian believers traditional musician Omar from Colombia

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

No

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

No comment

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value Brief Synthesis

The Konso Cultural Landscape is characterized by extensive dry stone terraces bearing witness to the persistent human struggle to use and harness the hard, dry and rocky environment. The terraces retain the soil from erosion, collect a maximum of water, discharge the excess, and create terraced fields that are used for agriculture. The terraces are the main features of the Konso landscape and the hills are contoured with the dry stone walls, which at places reach up to 5 meters in height.

The walled towns and settlements (paletas) of the Konso Cultural Landscape are located on high plains or hill summits selected for their strategic and defensive advantage. These towns are circled by between one and six rounds of dry stone defensive walls, built of locally available rock. The cultural spaces inside the walled towns, called moras, retain an important and central role in the life of the Konso. Some walled towns have as many as 17 moras. The tradition of erecting generation marking stones called daga-hela, quarried, transported and erected through a ritual process, makes the Konso one of the last megalithic people.

The traditional forests are used as burial places for ritual leaders and for medicinal purposes. Wooden anthropomorphic statues (waka), carved out of a hard wood and mimicking the deceased, are erected as grave markers. Water reservoirs (harda) located in or near these forests, are communally built and are, like the terraces, maintained by very specific communal social and cultural practices.

Criterion (iii): The Konso Cultural Landscape integrates spectacularly executed dry stone terrace works, which are still actively used by the Konso people, who created them. They bear testimony to the enormous efforts required to use the otherwise hostile environment in an area that covers over 230 square km, an effort which stands as an example of major human achievement. The association between these stone terraces and the fortified towns in their midst are features of an exceptional cultural landscape, which also bears testimony to a living tradition of stele erection. The Konso erect stone steles to commemorate and mark the transfer of responsibility from the older generation to the younger. Konso are among the last stele-erecting people and thus their continuous practice presents an exceptional testimony to an ongoing cultural tradition.

Criterion (v): The relation of the stone terraces and the fortified towns of Konso Cultural Landscape, and its highly organized social system, illustrates an outstanding example of a traditional human settlement and land-use, based on common values that have resulted in the creation of the Konso cultural and socio-economic fabric. The dry stone terraces show a sophisticated adaptive strategy to the environment and the labor needed to construct these terraces necessitated a strong cohesion and unified bond among the clans. This interaction with the environment is based on indigenous engineering knowledge and requires traditional work divisions, which are still utilized to consistently perform maintenance and conservation works.

Integrity

The boundaries of the Konso Cultural Landscape coincide with natural features, like rivers or edges of densely terraced landscape, and are demarcated by the cultural and socio-economic history of the Konso people. All components relevant to the understanding of the traditional system have been included, such as the key tangible attributes of terraces, walled settlements, sacred forests, shrines and burial sites. The clear distinctive character of the landscape is vulnerable to dispersal of the fortified settlements, in case houses are built outside the town walls.

Authenticity

The Konso Cultural Landscape still largely retains its original form and design. The materials used for the construction of the terraces and the town walls are original and their conservation continues following traditional practices, executed by the community members. The terraces continue in their original arrangements, use and function. The walled towns are still inhabited by the communities and remain organized following the traditional system. The traditionally protected forests are still protected and used for ritual and burial and the water reservoirs remain in use and are periodically conserved. Associated traditions, which continue shaping the landscape, such as the ritual erection of generation and man-hood stones and generation trees continues to be actively practiced. The same applies for the use of the moras and the erection of wakas on the graves. The communities nurture the traditional code of respect to the culture and adherence to the age group (hela) and the ward (kanta), which is responsible for the protection and conservation of the attributes and continues the traditional guardianship.

Protection and management requirements

The property is protected by traditional, regional and federal laws. The regional 'Proclamation to provide for the protection of Konso Cultural Landscape Heritage' (2010) gives protection to the nominated area including the 12 walled towns and endorses the traditional management system. The traditional code of management is practiced side by side with the modern administrative system and elected community members and elders ensure the protection and management of the cultural properties. In addition, management committees are formed at different levels – community and district – and a Konso Cultural Landscape Management Office with governmental personnel has been established on-site, to address primarily planning, funding, supervision and conservation tasks. Development is strictly regulated in the 2010 proclamation and no development may occur within 50 meters of the outermost walls of the fortified towns.

A management plan sets out in detail the current management structures and explains how the Konso community, through its recognized village committees and the district management committee, will endeavour to ensure the necessary standards of conservation. Presentation and visitor management strategies could yet be better addressed by the community to be of more benefit to the community itself. Supportive funds, including through international cooperation, could contribute to the long-term viability of the traditional management system.

Comment

The site management plan needs revision and the date has finished.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Villages		×		
3.2.2	Wakas	×			
3.2.3	Grooves		×		
3.2.4	Scared forest	×			
3.2.5	Generation trees	×			
3.2.6	Moras	×			

3.2.7	terraces	×		
3.2.8	gets of the villages	×		
3.2.9	indvigdual compounds	×		
3.2.10	rings of the walled villages	×		
3.2.11	erected stone for generation	×		
3.2.12	erected stone for heriosm	×		
3.2.13	Karra cermony and associated features	×		
3.2.14	erection of waka ritual cermony	×		
3.2.15	erection of generation tree	×		

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

former management plan must be rivised and implment properly as it is opperetional guide line. due to new government structure is emrgrd incoroporate all stakeholders which is newly concerned.

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×				N		
Negative X		×					

4.1.2 - Commercial development

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin Trend o			Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×						
Negative X	×		×				1

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infras	tructure
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

-vely urbanization, population pressuer, infrustructure expansion, modernization etc.

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

X Not relevant
g from use of transportation infrastructure
X Not relevant
· ·

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

exposed to selected factors

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Relevant			× Not relevant	X Not relevant				
4.3.2 - Renewable energy f	acilities							
× Relevant			1	Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×				N (1997)			
Negative X	×	×					1	
4.3.3 - Non-renewable ener	gy facilities							
Relevant			× Not relevant	t				

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

X Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×			×			
Negative X	×			×		→	

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Relevant

X Not relevant

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

aesthetic value decreased and losing integrity.

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.4.2 - Ground water pollution	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.4.3 - Surface water pollution	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.4.4 - Air pollution	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.4.5 - Solid waste	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.4.6 - Input of excess energy	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No impact is observed.

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.2 - Aquaculture	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.3 - Land conversion	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.5 - Crop production	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.8 - Commercial hunting	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No Impact is ovserved.

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.6.2 - Quarrying	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.6.3 - Oil and gas	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.6.4 - Water (extraction)	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No impact.is identified.

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Relevant

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.7.3 - Temperature	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.7.4 - Radiation/Light	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.7.5 - Dust	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.7.7 - Pests	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.7.8 - Micro-organisms	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No Impact is identified.

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

	Relevant	X Not relevant
4	.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage	
	Relevant	X Not relevant
4	.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting	
	Relevant	X Not relevant
4	.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge s	ystem
	X Relevant	Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Gurrent	9 Potential	Inside	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
OPositive X	×		×		N		
Negative X	×		×				1

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

× Not relevant

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

benefits from tourism is not properly goes to the host community

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

X Relevant			Not relevant			
	Impact	Origin		Trend of impact		

O Positive	
Negative X X X	/

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

4.5.2 Democrate destruction	on of nemage							
× Relevant			1	Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact	Frend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Coutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×	×	×	×				
4.9.3 - Military training								
Relevant			X Not relevant					
4.9.4 - War								
Relevant			× Not relevant					
4.9.5 - Terrorism								
Relevant			× Not relevant					

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

X Relevant			1	Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×		×	×			1	

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

misunderstanding of community the difference between culture and religion, poor attention of the government about the issue.s

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.10.2 - Flooding	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.10.3 - Drought	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.10.4 - Desertification	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.10.6 - Temperature change	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or

positively

No impact.is identified.

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.11.2 - Earthquake	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No impact.is identified.

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.6 - Modified genetic material	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No impact.is identified.

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

X Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×	\$		
Negative X	×		×	×	N		

4.13.2 - Legal framework

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.13.3 - Governance	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4 13 4 - Management activities	

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×	×	×	N		
Negative X	×		×	×			1

4.13.5 - Financial resources

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact	pact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X		×	×	×	N			
Negative X	×		×	×			1	

4.13.6 - Human resources

× Relevant			I	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact					
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive X		×					1			
Negative X		×	×		N					

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

X Relevant			I	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×	×	×	N		
🤤 Negative 🗶	×						

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No research conducted with listed affairs.

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s) No comment.

No comment.

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development					
4.1.1 Housing	٢	9			N
	٢		9		

4.1.2 Commercial development	٢	9				
	0	9		٢		1
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities	٢	9				\$
	9	4	9			1
4.3.4 Localised utilities	٢	9			Ċ	
	0	4			C ^C	→
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
	0	~				
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	٢	4		٩		
	9	4		٢		1
4.9 Other human activities						
4.9.1 Illegal activities						
	0	9		٢	Ċ	
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
	0	4	9	۲	Ċ	1
4.9.6 Civil unrest						
	0	4		۲	Ċ	1
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	٢	-	a		æ	~
	0	4			G	5
4.13.4 Management activities	0		4	۲	Ċ	~
	0	1 11		0	Certain Contraction of the second sec	
	-	-1	~			
4.13.5 Financial resources	٢		4	٢	¢	2
	0	4		۹	Ċ	1
4.13.6 Human resources	٢		9			1
	0		9	۲		5
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	٢		9	۲	۴	\$
	0	9				1
Legend Current Potential ONegative OPositive	 Insi 	de		C Outsid	de	
	U 1131			C Culon		

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name		Impact O		Origin	Trend		
4.1.1 Hous	1.1 Housing		9			N	
	•			9			
Spatial sca	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						

	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.1.2 Comr	nercial development	٢	9		
		0	9	٩	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				

×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	Int response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name	ame		Impact				Trend
4.3.2 Rene	wable energy facilities	٢	9				\$
		0	9	9			1
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Ir	mpact - Impact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
×	Decreasing						
	Static						
	Increasing						
Name	lised utilities		4		Origin	Ċ	Trend
4.3.4 LOCa	used millines		- 4			G C	
		9	-1			9	~
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						

Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - In	npact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
×	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Nome		lunna -t		Origin		Tropal
Name		Impact				Trend
4.8.4 Chan	ges in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	٢	4	0		N
		0	4	۲		1
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - In	npact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					

	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
×	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

4.9 Other human activities

Name	Name		Impact			Origin		
4.9.1 Illega	l activities				5		Trend	
		٢	9		٢	Ċ		
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted							
×	Localised							
	Extensive							
	Widespread							
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact							
×	One off or rare							
	Intermittent or sporadic							
	Frequent							
	On-going							
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes							
×	Insignificant							
	Minor							
	Significant							
	Major							
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond							
	High capacity							
×	Medium capacity							
	Low capacity							
	No capacity and / or resources							
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years							
×	Decreasing							
	Static							
	Increasing							
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend	
4.9.2 Delib	erate destruction of heritage							
		0	9	9	٩	Ċ		
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted							
×	Localised							

	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - In	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
×	Decreasing					
	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact	t	Origin		Trend
Name 4.9.6 Civil	unrest	Impact	t Ø	Origin	Ċ	Trend

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond

High capacity

×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
×	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.1 Ma	nagement system/Management plan	0	4	9		Ċ	\$
		0	9		۲	Ċ	\$
Snatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
opatial so							
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - In	npact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact	t		Origin		Trend

Restricted

0 (*

o 9

×	Localised			
	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
×	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
	On-going			
Impact - In	npact on the attributes			
×	Insignificant			
	Minor			
	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity			
×	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
×	Static			
	Increasing			
Name		Impact	Origin	Trend

Name	Name				Origin		Trend
4.13.5 Fin	4.13.5 Financial resources			9	٢	Ċ	\$
		0	9		٢	٢	1
Spatial so	sale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						

×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend
4.13.6 Human resources	٥	9		
	0	9	٢	N

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	٢		9	٢	Ċ	\$
	0	4				
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
- Participal						
Restricted						

	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

No comment

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	sacred forest		×		
4.18.1.2	generation trees	×			
4.18.1.3	moras	×			
4.18.1.4	terrace	×			
4.18.1.5	waka	×			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The boundaries do not limit the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The buffer zones **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

With in the boundary of world heritage site buffer zone highly endangerd due to urbanization. but it needs to amend Management plan and mitigate with current situation of the zone.

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

The regional Konso proclamation, which came into force in 2010, gives protection by the Southern Nations, Nationalities and Peoples Government to the nominated area and specifically to the area and attributes of the 12 walled towns of the original nomination which are listed.

The boundaries of these towns are set out. Specific protection is also given to the towns adjoining Karat. The legislation has placed posts as the limits of the traditional towns, and no construction is to be conducted within the 50 metres space outside the external walls of the traditional towns.

ICOMOS notes that the nomination dossier makes reference to existing Federal Law No. 209/2000 governing the protection of archaeological, ethnographic and cultural sites and artefacts. it is not clear how under decentralisation, specific enforcement under federal law, and prosecution where necessary, will be initiated.

The Rural Land Administration and Utilization Proclamation No.53/2003 makes provision for customary laws and communal protection and this provides the framework within which the Konso proclamation has been drafted.

Source: Advisory Body Evaluation (ICOMOS), 2011

Comment

now a day the world heritage property is under the regional proclamtion which is under adverserial condition it must be rigional proclamation replace by federal govenment.

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There are major deficiencies in capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice important to give awearnes to for government, local community, all stake holdrs etc to impliment legal frame work effective.

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

updating management plan, creat an apportunity to benefit the community from their own property as a custudians

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system joint regional/local

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)

Traditional ways of management recognised by local communities and other specific groups

Governance mechanisms that foster and respect traditional practices, knowledge and uses of the property

Agreed 'Memorandums of Understanding' between different managing institutions, groups or others, including documents agreed with local communities for management

Mechanisms to promote equal participation among and within groups, including different levels of authority, local communities, indigenous people, women and men, and other specific groups

A framework for inclusive economic development, including equal access and distribution of resources and opportunities arising from the protection of the property

A code of practice developed by local communities or other groups

An integrated management plan combining World Heritage and any other designations

A management plan

An annual work plan or business plan

An assessment of biological and cultural diversity and ecosystem services provided by the property

A joint approach to management of cultural and natural heritage

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property the community was involved during the preparation of management plan which is community interest based at all.

5.3.4 - Management Documents

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

No use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done. No comment

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

No comment

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ? No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

No comment

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is little coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The management system/plan is **only partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being only partially implemented

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists but few of its activities are being implemented

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.15.2	Local authorities			×		
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone				×	
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women				×	
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups				×	
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities				×	
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners					×
5.3.16.5	Women					×
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					×
5.3.16.7	Researchers					×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries				×	
5.3.16.12	NGOs		×			
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups		×			
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention*

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality				×	
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)				×	
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				×	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach					×
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				×	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property					×

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above No additional Comment.

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan No additional Comment.

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	20 %	20 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	20 %	25 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	10 %	25 %

6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	30 %	25 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	20 %	5 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above No others funding sources

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure No additional Comment.

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	60 %	50 %
6.1.6.2	Women	40 %	50 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Not available
Capacity development and education	Not available
Administration	Poor
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Not available
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Poor
Environmental sustainability	Poor
Community participation and inclusion	Poor
Risk preparedness	Not available
Capacity development and education	Poor
Administration	Poor
Research and monitoring	Poor
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Poor

Marketing and promotion	Not available
Interpretation	Poor
Visitor management/tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property? Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done. Among the core point of conservation capacity building is mandatory.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training No additional Comment.

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained? Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **acceptable** for most key areas but there are gaps

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a small amount of research, but it is not planned

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects No additional Comment.

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Poor
Local/municipal authorities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Poor
Women	Poor
Youth/children	Poor
Researchers	Non-existent
Local visitors	Non-existent
National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industries	Non-existent
NGOs	Not applicable
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is $\ensuremath{\textbf{no}}\xspace$ need for an education and awareness programme for children and/or youth

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities

Local/municipal authorities
Landowners
Women
Youth/children
Researchers
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry
Local businesses and industries

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Fair
Site museum	Poor
Information booths	Not needed
Guided tours	Fair
Trails/routes	Poor
Printed information materials	Poor
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Not provided but needed
Transportation facilities	Fair
Other	Fair
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

Creat an opportunity to educate youth and children which is inherit the heritage for sustainable conservation.

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

20000 / 15000 / 11000 / 10000 / 90000 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

Accommodation establishments

Transportation services

Tourism industry

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One to three hours

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

information from local guide associassion and tourism information Expertise at office; but some times they overnight stay.

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

55 USD / 25 USD / 20 USD / 6 USD / 30 USD / 50 USD /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

hotels, lodges, enterance fee tickts and office

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors,

tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

due to tour operators, travel agents, local guide interst most of the tourists visiting afew attributes example villages to make very fast their time to callect mony this coused mass tourism impacts on heritage sites. my comment all what i mention above tollerate the interst of tourists and the itenerery organised by the concernd officials insted of their own interest.

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

There is some management of the visitor use of the World Heritage property

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

No

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted? The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is **not adequately presented and interpreted**

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In one location and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property? Fees are collected, and make some contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Not applicable

If 'Yes', please specify

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities? Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

the money callected and 80% of the total income gose to the community and again from 80% 40 for other developments like education materials, water pipline, community griding millesetc and the rimaining 40% for heritage conservation.

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property no comment

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount of monitoring**, but it is not planned

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation			×	
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system		×		
10.3.3	Character of governance		×		
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations		×		
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development			×	
10.3.6	Capacity development		×		

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

No additional Comment.

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Poor
Local communities	Non-existent
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable

Landowners	Fair
Women	Fair
Researchers	Poor
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industry	Poor
NGOs	Poor
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is underway

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee. No additional Comment.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

Ushering experience from the other world heritage site which have the same characters.

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones	
5.1.4	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known and recognized by local communities/landowners	×
5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.3	An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	
5.2.4	An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	×
5.2.5	An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	×
5.2.6	There are major deficiencies in capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property	
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	No use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.11	There is little coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property	×
5.3.12	The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	
5.3.13	The management system at the property is only being partially implemented	
5.3.14	An annual work/action plan exists for the property but few of the activities are being implemented	
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage the World Heritage property	
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	×
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	×
6.1.12	There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred	×
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects	
7.2	There is a small amount of research in the World Heritage property but it is not planned	×
7.3	Research results are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies	
9	Visitor Management	
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation	

9.9	There is some management of the visitor use of the World Heritage property	
9.11	There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation	×
9.12	The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is not adequately presented and interpreted	
10	Monitoring	
10.1	There is a small amount of monitoring at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned	×
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	
Pleas	e select 0 more issues.	
D Ple	ease save this question to reflect changes	

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.1	Buildings and	l Developn	nent									
4.1.1	Housing		development the property	Put building regulation in place	Regular follow is needed.	2022	2-2023	and Tor adminis	I, Regional C urism, Zonal stration and I nity establish	ocal	Coordina impleme	tion is required for ntation,
4.1.2			Commercial development									
4.8	Social/Cultura	al uses of I	heritage									
4.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	Enhance t awareness local comr authorities	s of the munity and	Design awareness creation strategy	Introduce evaluation sys	tem.	2021-2022	a	RCCH, Regi nd Tourism, dministration ommunity es	Zonal and local	a	nsure enhance wareness.
4.9	Other human	activities										
4.9.1			Illegal activities									
4.9.2			Deliberate destruction of heritage									
4.13	Management	and institu	utional factors									
4.13.1	Management system/Manageme plan	ent mana syste institu	n place site agement em and utional ework	Strengthen the existing management and institutional capac			2022-2	025	Culture a Zonal ad	Regional and Tourism, ministration community ments.	m co ca	nsure anagement and onservation apacity of the operty.
4.13.4			Manager activities									
4.13.5	Financial resources		d financial ion for the ty	Seek for additional funding sources	Enhanced final capacity	ncial	2021-2025	á	ARCCH, Reg and Tourism, administratio community e	Zonal n and local		Ensure the availability of funding agencies.
4.13.6	Human resources		d human rce capacity	Ensure the availability of human resources.	er Ensure the availability o human reso capacity		On-going		ARCCH, Re Tourism, Zo and local co establishme	nal administ		Initiate capacity building strategy
4.13.7	Low impact research/monitor activities		nited research portunity	Introduce the research strated of the propery	Follow up gy research monitorin	and	On going	and adm	CCH, Region Tourism, Zo hinistration an munity estat	nal nd local	nee	the research d of the property universities

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones							
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment			
5.1.4	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known and recognized by local communities/landowner	indicting by map, may be embleme logos, standing flage with care of eashtic values to the community	cominig tow years	ARCCH, Regional Culture and Tourism, Zonal administration and local community establishments.	making very fast as it is possebile			
5.2	Protective Measures							
5.2.4	An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation		the coming year	ARCCH, Regional Culture and Tourism, Zonal administration and local community establishments.	impliment legale framework			
5.2.5	An adequate implementation of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	olementing the legal framework	all time	ARCCH, Regional Culture and Tourism, Zonal administration and local community establishments.	collabotion			
5.3	Management System	m/Management Plan						
5.3.11		Ensure coordination among lifferent stakeholders.	at any time beacouse nature of the heritage needs daily follw up	ARCCH, Regional Culture and Tourism Zonal administration and local community establishments.	, mid-term evaluation should be neccesery			
6.1	Funding							

0.4.7	1 hourses	F		On going				and The sector of	
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	Ensure funding sources (ARCCH, Regional Culture and Touri Zonal administration and local comm establishments.		Close follow up	
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	No world heritage strategy for (capacity development		On-going		ARCCH, Regional Culture and Tourism, Zonal administration and local community establishments.		Initiate the strategy.	
6.1.12	2 There is no site-based capacity building and sharing exprience from similar nature of heritage building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred			next 5 month			ARCCH, Regional Culture and Tour Zonal administration and local comr establishments.		make sure to do all things
7	Scientific Studies a	nd Research Projects							
7.2	There is a small conducting further research amount of research in the World Heritage property but it is not planned			next year w		wit	ith expertise, and researchers		statrtig timily
9	Visitor Management								
9.11		velop visitor management ategy.	2021-20)23		Touris	H, Regional Culture and m, Zonal administration and ommunity establishments.	Ensure same.	the implementation of the
10	Monitoring								
10.1		stablish regular monitoring ystem	2021			Iministra	al Culture and Tourism, titon and local community	Ensure the i	mplementation of the same.
Summary - N	lanagement Needs	completed							

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property? The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

state of conservation should be maintained.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	No impact
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	No impact
Infrastructure development	Negative
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	No impact
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	Positive
Advocacy	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Gender equality	Positive
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Positive
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

Impacts mentioned in the previous management plan to be addressed.

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level documentory film, ritual practice, benefiting community from cultural heritage tourism via community based tourism, most of the heritgae property is conserved by the host.

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

State of Conservation

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention

The concept of Outstanding Universal Value

The property's Outstanding Universal Value

The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity

The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity

Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Fair
Site Managers	Fair
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Fair

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans	
Fundraising	
Awareness raising	

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

No additional comments.

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff
--

Staff from other World Heritage properties

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance has **not been explicitly** considered or implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? No

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

32 hours / 3 hour / 70 hours /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training		×

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			×	

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

Before starting to filling the questionnaire, there is a need to have clue.

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Poor
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Poor
UNESCO National Commission	Poor

ICOMOS International	Poor
IUCN International	Poor
ICCROM international/regional	Poor
ICOMOS national/regional	Poor
IUCN national/regional	Poor

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

	0
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Fair
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Fair
National Commission for UNESCO	Fair
ICOMOS International	Fair
ICCROM International/regional	Fair
ICOMOS national/regional	Fair
IUCN national/regional	Fair
IUCN International	Fair

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented? No additional comment

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee Reason for update: The site management plan needs revision and the date has finished.

Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise the periodic reporting exercise was well supported and easy to understand about world heritage site management. it gives me basic insight which is necessary/general heritage resource management from all aspect of sustainable development.

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.