Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük

- 1. World Heritage Property Data
- 1.1 Name of World Heritage property

Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük

- 1.2 World Heritage property details
- 1.3 Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük	37.667 / 32.828	37	110.74	147.74	2012
Total (ha)		37	110.74	147.74	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük - Inscribed Property	2012	

- 1.5 Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)
 - 1. Catalhöyük
- 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)
- 2.1 Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is not designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

- 2.2 Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary
- 2.3 Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

Not applicable

Not applicable

- 2.4 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?
- 2.5 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük 1 of 51

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

- 2.8 Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)
- 2.9 Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

 No
- 2.10 Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware
- 2.11 Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?
- 2.12 Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.
- 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
- 3.1 Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value Brief synthesis

The vast archaeological site of Çatalhöyük comprises two tells rising up to 20 meters above the Konya plain on the Southern Anatolian Plateau. Excavations of the Eastern tell have revealed 18 levels of Neolithic occupation dating from 7,400-6,200 BC that have provided unique evidence of the evolution of prehistoric social organisation and cultural practices, illuminating the early adaptation of humans to sedentary life and agriculture. The Western tell excavations primarily revealed

Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük 2 of 51

Chalcolithic occupation levels from 6,200-5,200 BC, which reflect the continuation of the cultural practices evident in the earlier Eastern mound.

Çatalhöyük is a very rare example of a well-preserved Neolithic settlement and has been considered one of the key sites for understanding human Prehistory for some decades. The site is exceptional for its substantial size and great longevity of the settlement, its distinctive layout of back-to-back houses with roof access, the presence of a large assemblage of features including wall paintings and reliefs representing the symbolic world of the inhabitants. On the basis of the extensively documented research at the site, the above features make it the most significant human settlement documenting early settled agricultural life of a Neolithic community.

Criterion (iii): Çatalhöyük provides a unique testimony to a moment of the Neolithic, in which the first agrarian settlements were established in central Anatolia and developed over centuries from villages to urban centres, largely based on egalitarian principles. The early principles of these settlements have been well preserved through the abandonment of the site for several millennia. These principles can be read in the urban plan, architectural structures, wall paintings and burial evidence. The stratigraphy of up to 18 settlement layers provides an exceptional testimony to the gradual development, re-shaping and expansion of the settlement.

Criterion (iv): The house clusters of Çatalhöyük, characterized by their streetless neighbourhoods, dwellings with roof access, and house types representing a highly circumscribed distribution of activity areas and features according to a clear spatial order aligned on cardinal directions, form an outstanding settlement type of the Neolithic period. The comparable sizes of the dwellings throughout the city illustrate an early type of urban layout based on community and egalitarian ideals.

Integrity

The excavated remains of the prehistoric settlement spanning 2,000 years are preserved *in situ* in good condition, and are completely included in the property boundaries. The two archaeological mounds rise from the surrounding plain and constitute a distinctive landscape feature which has preserved its visual integrity. Shelters constructed above the two main excavation areas protect the archaeological structures from direct effects of the climate and thereby reduce the immediate dangers of rainfall and erosion.

Authenticity

The archaeological remains of Çatalhöyük have retained authenticity in material, substance, location and setting. Over forty years of well-documented research and excavation at the site bear testimony to the site's readability as an early Neolithic settlement and thereby its authenticity. The site and excavations are well preserved. The physical mass and scale of the mounds have not much altered since the site was first discovered in 1958.

Protection and management requirements

The property is protected at the highest level as an ancient monument under the Turkish Directorate General of Monuments by Law 2863/1983 on the Protection of Cultural and Natural Heritage amended in 1987 and 2004. It was registered as a conservation site on the national inventory of 1981 by the Superior Council for Immovable Antiquities and Monuments. According to these instruments, local authorities are also responsible for the property's protection.

The management of the site is supervised by the Çatalhöyük Coordination and Supervision Council (CSC), an Advisory Board and a Management Plan team. A site manager has been formally appointed and a Management Plan team including experts from the excavation team in Çatalhöyük and the departments related to the Ministry of Culture and Tourism has also been established. On the basis of the experience gained with a previous management plan drafted in 2004, the new management plan to be adopted shall contain specific sections on visitor management, access, education, risk preparedness and involvement of the local community and is announced to be finalized in late 2012. The provision of regular financial and human resources, as well as a dedicated archive for documentation of excavation and conservation activities are key to the management system.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	The substantial size and great longevity of prehistoric settlement dated to 7,400-6,200 BC at the Eastern (Neolithic) and to 6,200-5,200 BC at the Western (Chalcolithic) Mounds through gradual development, reshaping, and expansion.	×			
3.2.2	Unique evidence of evolution in prehistoric social organization and cultural practices for adaptation to sedentary life and agriculture, as evidenced in the settlement layout, architectural characteristics of the adobe structures, wall paintings, and burials in the 18 levels of Neolithic occupation on the Eastern Mound.	×			
3.2.3	The combination of streetless clusters of habitation units with roof access and a large assemblage of features including wall paintings and reliefs representing the symbolic world of the inhabitants that distinguish Çatalhöyük among the first agrarian settlements established in Central Anatolia and developed over centuries from villages to urban centres	×			
3.2.4	Habitation units representing a highly circumscribed distribution of activity areas and features according to a clear spatial order aligned on cardinal directions, forming an outstanding settlement type of the Neolithic period.	×			
3.2.5	The comparable sizes of the dwellings throughout the city, illustrating an early type of settlement layout based on community and egalitarian ideals.	×			
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					

Neolithic Site of Catalhövük 3 of 51

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

- 4. Factors Affecting the Property
- 4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×			×			
Negative							

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
○ Positive ★	×		×				<i>P</i>
Negative							

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

* Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×			×			•

Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük 4 of 51

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	№ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×			×			•
Negative							

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

• Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							

Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük 5 of 51

Alle welfing and	**			**			
	×			×		→	
4.3.6 - Please comment as positively	necessary on h	now the factors	selected as	relevant in 4.3 a	re affecting the pro	perty either i	negatively or
4.4. Pollution							
4.4.1 - Pollution of marine v Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2 ◆ Not relevant							
Relevant			× Not releva	nt			
4.4.2 - Ground water polluti Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2 • Not relevant							
Relevant			× Not releva	nt			
4.4.3 - Surface water polluti Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2 • Not relevant							
Relevant			× Not releva	nt			
4.4.4 - Air pollution Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2 • Not relevant	2014):						
Relevant			× Not releva	nt			
4.4.5 - Solid waste Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2 ◆ Not relevant	2014):						
Relevant			× Not releva	nt			
4.4.6 - Input of excess ener Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2 • Not relevant							
Relevant			× Not releva	nt			
4.4.7 - Please comment as a positively	necessary on h	now the factors	selected as	relevant in 4.4 a	re affecting the pro	perty either i	negatively or
4.5. Biological resource us	se/modification	n					
4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting ac Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2 • Not relevant	-	9 S					
Relevant			X Not releva	nt			
4.5.2 - Aquaculture Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2 • Not relevant	2014):						
Relevant			× Not releva	nt			
4.5.3 - Land conversion Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2 • Not relevant	2014):						
Relevant			× Not releva	nt			
4.5.4 - Livestock farming/G Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2 • Relevant, Positive, Curren	2014):	esticated anima	ls				
X Relevant				Not relevant			

Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük 6 of 51

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	♦ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×		×		\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Outside

* Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
	×			×		→	

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
	THE TOLERAN

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
Holovalit	** Not relevant

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Not relevant

R	elevant	X Not relevant

Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük 7 of 51

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

X Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current Potential		• Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing ⇒ Stable		Increasing
Positive							
Negative X		×		×			7

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current Potential		Inside	© Outside	Decreasing ⇒ Stable		Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×			×			<i>P</i>

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current Potential		Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing → Stable		Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×			×		→	

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

✗ Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
	×	×		×		→	

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin	Origin Trend of impact			
Impact	Current Potential		Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing

Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük 8 of 51

Positive					
○ Negative X	×	×	×	→	

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Not relevant

✗ Relevant	Not relevant							
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact	rend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
Negative X	×	×		×			P	

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current Potential		Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	Decreasing ⇒ Stable Increasing	
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×		\rightarrow	

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow	

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×	×	×	×			7	
Negative								

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X		×		×			<i>P</i>	

Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük 9 of 51

4	.8.3 - Inc	digenous	hunting,	gathering	and o	collecting	3

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Outside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X		×		×			,	
Negative								

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X		×	×	×			•
Negative							

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

* Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×	×		\rightarrow		
Negative								

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Not relevant

Rel	evant	X Not relevant

Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük 10 of 51

4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
		×		×			-

4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×			P

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük 11 of 51

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X		×		×			<i>P</i>

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Since some structural features in the field are made of mud brick material which is highly affected by climate change and environmental conditions, the management plan will be updated soon according to the climate change.

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant	
-------------------------	--

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Not relevant

Delevent	Water to the second sec
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×			/

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük 12 of 51

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow	

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact	Impact			Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive 🗶	×		×	×		→			
Negative									

4.13.2 - Legal framework

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
○ Positive X	×		×	×		→			
Negative									

4.13.3 - Governance

× Relevant			Not relevant	
	Impact	Origin		Trend of impact

Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük 13 of 51

Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
○ Positive ★	×		×	×		\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing		
Positive X	×		×	×			7		
Negative									

4.13.5 - Financial resources

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
Positive									
○ Negative X	×		×	×	S				

4.13.6 - Human resources

× Relevant		Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×		×	×				
○ Negative X	×		×	×	>			

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	G Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
Positive X	×		×			→			
Negative									

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Not relevant

elevant	✗ Not relevant
---------	----------------

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

A number of projects are under implementation to improve visitor management and conservation.

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development			

Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük 14 of 51

4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure		em			ndi.	
4.1.4 Major Visitor accommodation and associated initiastructure	•	9			Ġ.	
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	©	A		@		<i>p</i>
		-,		9		•
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	O	A			্ৰে	
4.2.1 Ground transport finiastructure	•	7			G	
4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure	O	9			F	1
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.5 Major linear utilities						
					Œ	→
4.5 Biological resource use/modification						
4.5.4 Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals	•		9		G	→
4.5.5 Crop production						
		q			Œ	→
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.1 Wind						
			9		Œ	<i>P</i>
4.7.2 Relative humidity						
		q			G	<i>p</i>
4.7.3 Temperature						
		ø			F	→
4.7.4 Radiation/Light		·				
4.1-4 Nadallon Egil		n a	103		100	_
		7	7		6	
4.7.5 Dust			_			
			4		F	→
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
		q	9		F	1
4.7.7 Pests						
			9		F	\rightarrow
4.7.8 Micro-organisms						
		9	9	•	G	\rightarrow
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	•	9	9	•	G	1
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	O		q		G	<i>></i>
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	O		q		G	1
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	(q	()	(§	7
			•	9	3	

Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük 15 of 51

4.9 Other human a	ctivities										
4.9.2 Deliberate de	struction of heritage				•	9		•	Œ	→	
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events											
4.10.3 Drought											
							q		F	1	
4.10.4 Desertificati	on										
							9		(1	
4.10.6 Temperature	e change										
							9		C	1	
4.11 Sudden ecolo	gical or geological event	ts									
4.11.5 Erosion and	siltation/Deposition										
						q	q		Œ	-	
4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species											
4.12.2 Invasive/Alie	en terrestrial species										
						9	9	•	Œ	\rightarrow	
4.13 Management	and institutional factors										
4.13.1 Managemen	t system/Management p	lan			•	9		()	Œ	→	
4.13.2 Legal frame	work				O	9		•	G	→	
4.13.3 Governance					0	9		•	G	→	
4.13.4 Managemen	t activities				()	9		•	F	7	
4.13.5 Financial re	sources										
						9		©	ઉ	•	
4.13.6 Human reso	urces				O	9		•	G		
						9		•	G	•	
4.13.7 Low impact	research/monitoring act	ivities			•	9		•		→	
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	O Positive	Inside	de		Outside	de		

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name	Impact		pact Origin			Trend	
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	O	9			Œ		
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor							
Restricted							
Localized							

Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük 16 of 51

×	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
×	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				
Name	contribution and distribution for William	Impact		Origin	Trend
4.1.5 Interp	etative and visitation facilities	O	9	•	
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s					
	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	cale - Occurence of the impact				
×	Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare				
×	One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic				
	Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent				
	Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going				
	Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes				
	Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Deact on the attributes Insignificant				
Impact - Im	Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Deact on the attributes Insignificant Minor				
Impact - Im	One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant				

Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük 17 of 51

	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	ne		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure		•	9			Œ	P
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure	•	A			Œ	7

Spatial sca	cale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted	

Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük 18 of 51

	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.3.5 Major linear utilities						
		q			G	\Rightarrow

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor			
×	Restricted			
	Localised			
	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact			
×	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
	On-going			
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes			
	Insignificant			
	Minor			
×	Significant			
	Major			

Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük 19 of 51

Management response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.5.4 Livest	tock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals	•			C	\rightarrow	
Spatial sea	le - Area affected by the factor						
Spatial Sca							
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
×	Decreasing						
	Static						
	Increasing						

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.5.5 Crop production			

Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük 20 of 51

		9		ઉ	\rightarrow
Snatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
Opatiai Soa	Restricted				
	Localised				
×	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
×	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.7.1 Wind							
				9		C	<i>P</i>
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - In	ppact on the attributes						

Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük 21 of 51

	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Impact		Origin		Origin		Trend
4.7.2 Relative humidity								
		9			ઉ	1		

		9		F	<i>p</i>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
×	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
×	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

Name Impact Origin Trend

Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük 22 of 51

4.7.0 Temp	o. u.u.o		9			G	→
Continuo	Associated by the feeter						
Spatiai sca	Restricted						
	Localised						
~	Extensive						
×							
Tomporal	Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact						
remporars	One off or rare						
~	Intermittent or sporadic Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
IIIpact - III	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
^	Major						
Managomo	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
Wanageme	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
^	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
Trend - De	Decreasing						
×	Static						
•	Increasing						
	inoted still g						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.4 Radia	tion/Light						
			9	9		Œ	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	lle - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						

Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük 23 of 51

×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.5 Dust							
			9	9		(→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)			

Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük 24 of 51

			9	9		G	1
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal se	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Imp	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managemer	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.7 Pests							

Name	Impact	4		Origin		Trend
4.7.7 Pests						
			9		Œ	\rightarrow
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						

Spatial soa	ele - Area affected by the factor
Spatial Sca	ile - Area arrected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor

Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük 25 of 51

	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name 4.7.8 Micro	organisms	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.6 WILCIO	O gamams		q	q	•	F	→
			7	-1	Q	Ģ	_
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük 26 of 51

4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses		O	q	9	•	G	1
Spatial sca	lle - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name	ty's valuing of heritage	Impact		9	Origin	Œ	Trend
4.0.2 00010	y o valuing of normage			-1		G	•
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						

Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük 27 of 51

	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact	-71	Origin		Trend
4.8.5 Identi	y, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	•	9		(7
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
No		lu.		0		-
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend

4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	•	9	•	©	P

Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük 28 of 51

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.9 Other human activities

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.9.2 Delib	4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage		q		•	Œ	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	Impact - Impact on the attributes						

Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük 29 of 51

×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
×	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.10.3	4.10.3 Drought						
				9		F	7
Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Tempo	ral scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact	- Impact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manage	ement response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						

Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük 30 of 51

4.10.4 Desertification						
			9		C	1
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Managemei	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name	perature change	Impact		Origin		Trend
			a		(5	7
					4	
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					

Impact

Origin

Trend

Name

Extensive

Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

One off or rare

Frequent
On-going

Intermittent or sporadic

×

×

Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük 31 of 51

Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
×	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.11.5 Erosion and siltation/Deposition							
			9	9		©	1
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						

Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük 32 of 51

4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species							
			9	q	•	G	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan		•	9		•	Œ	\rightarrow
0	he Assa effected by the factor						
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact							

Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük 33 of 51

	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
×	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact	_	Origin		Trend
4.13.2 Lega	l framework	•	9	•	G	→
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					

Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük 34 of 51

Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin	Trend	
4.13.3 Gove	ernance	•	9		•	Œ	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name 4.13.4 Management activities		Impact	q		Origin	Œ	Trend
			-7			G.	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						

Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük 35 of 51

	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.5 Fina	ncial resources				04	
			4	•	G	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Medium capacity Low capacity					

Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük 36 of 51

Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
×	Decreasing					
	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.6 Huma	an resources	O	9	•	G	
			9	•	ઉ	•
Spatial scale	e - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal so	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact - Imp	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Managemen	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
	mpact research/monitoring activities	impact ©	9	Origin		→
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal so	cale - Occurence of the impact					

Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük 37 of 51

	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity
Manageme	
	High capacity
	High capacity Medium capacity
×	High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity
×	High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources
×	High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources velopement over the last 6 years

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	The substantial size and great longevity of prehistoric settlement dated to 7,400-6,200 BC at the Eastern (Neolithic) and to 6,200-5,200 BC at the Western (Chalcolithic) Mounds through gradual development, reshaping, and expansion.	×			
4.18.1.2	Unique evidence of evolution in prehistoric social organization and cultural practices for adaptation to sedentary life and agriculture, as evidenced in the settlement layout, architectural characteristics of the adobe structures, wall paintings, and burials in the 18 levels of Neolithic occupation on the Eastern Mound.	×			
4.18.1.3	The combination of streetless clusters of habitation units with roof access and a large assemblage of features including wall paintings and reliefs representing the symbolic world of the inhabitants that distinguish Çatalhöyük among the first agrarian settlements established in Central Anatolia and developed over centuries from villages to urban centres	×			
4.18.1.4	Habitation units representing a highly circumscribed distribution of activity areas and features according to a clear spatial order aligned on cardinal directions, forming an outstanding settlement type of the Neolithic period.	×			
4.18.1.5	The comparable sizes of the dwellings throughout the city, illustrating an early type of settlement layout based on community and egalitarian ideals.	×			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are $\mbox{\bf adequate}\ \mbox{\bf to}\ \mbox{\bf maintain}\ \mbox{\bf the}\ \mbox{\bf property's}\ \mbox{\bf Outstanding}\ \mbox{\bf Universal Value}$

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük 38 of 51

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

The site is mainly owned by the State, whereas there are some privately owned parcels under the expropriation agenda of the Ministry of Culture and Tourism. On the other hand, the surrounding 3rd grade archaeological site, which is proposed as buffer zone, is mainly owned by different private owners, who are mostly engaged in farming.

In 1958 Turkish law designated the property as an ancient monument under the protection of the Directorate General of Monuments. The area was first registered as a conservation site on the National Inventory on 11 December 1981 by the decision of Superior Council for Immovable Antiquities and Monuments numbered A-3256. On 07 December 1994, the 8^d grade archaeological conservation zone surrounding the core area was also registered by the decision of Konya Council for the Conservation of Cultural and Natural Heritage. Later, the decision of Konya Regional Council for the Conservation of Cultural and Natural Heritage, dated 28.06.2010 numbered 3890, reevaluated the situation of the site in scope of the world heritage nomination and enlarged the 5^t and 3rd grade archaeological conservation zone boundaries.

The site is also protected by Law 2863/1983 on the Protection of Cultural and Natural Heritage amended in 1987 and 2004, which established numerous provisions and regulations for the cultural immovable patrimony. According to these instruments, local authorities are also responsible for the property's protection.

According to the article 45 of the National Conservation Law; maintenance, repair and landscaping of immovable cultural and natural properties found during excavations that have been permitted by the Ministry of Culture and Tourism and maintenance and repair of movable cultural and natural properties shall be undertaken by the directorship of excavation.

All conservation and development activities take place according to the national Law on the Preservation of

Cultural and Natural Heritage with the approval of the Regional Conservation Council. Archaeological excavation and all the protective activites carried out by the excavation team are supervised and controlled regularly by the Ministry of Culture and Tourism, either through the General Directorate, or the Directorate of Archaeology Museum in Konya. If there is a problem with implementation of projects, these organs implement legal action.

The property is legally protected at the highest and local levels against any negative development or change. Effectiveness of protection measures. Nevertheless, effective legal protection in practice requires an efficient management structure and operation.

Source: Nomination File; Advisory Body Evaluation

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for** effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

- 5.2.7 Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice
- 5.2.8 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property
- 5.3. Management System/Management Plan
- 5.3.1 Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system joint national/ local

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

A management plan

An annual work plan or business plan

Neolithic Site of Catalhövük 39 of 51

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Management Plan of Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük 2013	N/A	Available	2013	

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

The 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape is not relevant to this property

- 5.3.6 If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.
- 5.3.7 Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

- 5.3.8 If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:
- 5.3.9 Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property?

 No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties
- 5.3.10 If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done
- 5.3.11 Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being fully implemented and monitored

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and many of its activities are being implemented

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				×	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone			×		
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women				×	
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners				×	

Neolithic Site of Catalhövük 40 of 51

5.3.16.5	Women				×
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children				×
5.3.16.7	Researchers				×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists				×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists				×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry				×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries			×	
5.3.16.12	NGOs			×	
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×			
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify				

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality					×
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)					×
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status					×
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach					×
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood					×
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property					×

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	25 %	25 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	60 %	55 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	15 %	20 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük 41 of 51

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	100 %	0 %
6.1.6.2	Women	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 0 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are inadequate for management needs

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Poor
Environmental sustainability	Poor
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Poor
Interpretation	Poor
Visitor management/tourism	Not available
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not available

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Poor
Environmental sustainability	Poor
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Poor
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Poor
Marketing and promotion	Not available
Interpretation	Not available
Visitor management/tourism	Not available
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not available

Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük 42 of 51

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

- 6.1.11 If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.
- 6.1.12 Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme has been developed but it is not implemented and skills are not being transferred

- 6.1.13 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training
- 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects
- 7.1 Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is adequate

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared widely with active outreach to local communities and national and international audiences

- 7.4 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects
- 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Women	Good
Youth/children	Good
Researchers	Fair
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industries	Fair
NGOs	Poor
Other specific groups	Non-existent
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

ocal communities	
andowners	
Vomen	
outh/children	
Researchers	
ocal businesses and industries	
IGOs	

Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük 43 of 51

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Good
Site museum	Not needed
Information booths	Poor
Guided tours	Fair
Trails/routes	Fair
Printed information materials	Poor
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Fair
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

44200 / 26841 / 13979 / 30964 / 4725 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

Visitor surveys

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One to three hours

- 9.4 Please provide the source of information
- 9.5 What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

70 / 30 / 20 / 0 / 70 / 100 /

- 9.6 Please provide the source of information
- 9.7 Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but it is not implemented

- 9.8 Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7
- 9.9 Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

No

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations, but not easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

No fees are collected

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

No

If 'Yes', please specify

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Nic

If 'Yes', please specify

- 9.17 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property
- 10. Monitoring
- 10.1 Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving the understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				X
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				X
10.3.3	Character of governance			×	
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations			×	
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development			×	
10.3.6	Capacity development			×	

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Local communities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Poor
Women	Poor
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industry	Poor
NGOs	Non-existent
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee? Implementation is complete

- 10.7 Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.
- 10.8 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring
- 11. Identification of Priority Management Needs
- 11.1 Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×

Neolithic Site of Catalhövük 45 of 51

5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved	×
5.3.12	The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	×
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	
6.1.7	Human resources are inadequate for the management needs of the World Heritage property	×
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	
6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme has been developed but it is not implemented and skills are not being transferred	×
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
8.2	There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs	×
9	Visitor Management	
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but it is not implemented	
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made	×
9.11	There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation	×
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	
10	Monitoring	
10.1	There is considerable monitoring at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	×
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	
Pleas	se select 0 more issues.	
Ŋ Ple	ease save this question to reflect changes	

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.5	Biological re	source use/modification									
4.5.5	Crop production	The effect on the immovable cultural assets unearthed on the mound structure	It will be kept controlled and supervised within the area.		oring according revised plan	,	ears with six s follow-up	Excav		frame Globa techno	is an increase in the work of the effects of I Warming and ological opments.
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric											
4.7.1	Wind	The effect on the immovable cultural assets unearthed on the mound structure	Meteorological data will checked periodically	ll be	Monitoring according to the revised p		Two years w months follow		Excavation Directorate		There is an increase within the framework of Global Warming effects.
4.7.2	Relative humidity	The effect on the immovables exposed on the mound	Measurements are mathematical by the excavidirectorate.		Monitoring according to the revised plan	е	Two years w		Excavation Directorate		There is an increase within the framework of Global Warming effects.
4.7.3	Temperature	The effect on the immovables exposed on the mound	Meteorological data w checked periodically	rill be	Monitoring according to the revised plan	е	Five years w months follow		Excavation Directorate		There is an increase within the framework of Global Warming effects.
4.10	4.10 Climate change and severe weather events										

Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük 46 of 51

4.10.3	Drought	The effect on the immovables exposed on the mound	Measurements from relevant institutions will be checked	Monitoring according to the revised plan	5	Five years with six months follow-up	Excavation Directorate		There is an increase within the framework of Global Warming effects.
4.10.4	Desertification	Adverse living conditions in and around the mound	Measurements from relevant institutions will be checked	Monitoring accord to the revised plan	-	Five years with yearly follow-up	Excavation Directorate and relevant institutio	ns	Global Warming effects.
4.10.6	Temperature change	The effect on the immovables exposed on the mound	Meteorological data will be checked periodically	Monitoring according to the revised plan		Five years with months follow-u		ons	Global Warming effects.
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events									
4.11.5	Erosion and siltation/Deposition	The effect on the immovables exposed on the mound	Measurements from relevant institutions will b checked	Monitoring e according to the revised plan		Five years with monthly follow-	Excavation Directorate and relevant institution	ins	Global Warming effects.
4.12	Invasive/alien s	pecies or hyper-abunda	ant species						
4.12.2	Invasive/Alien terrestrial species	The effect on the immovables exposed on the mound	Measurements from relevant institutions will be checked	Monitoring according to the revised plan	mor	e years with nthly ow-up	Excavation Directorate and relevant institutions	othe	icultural activities and er environmental ors in the area.
4.13 Management and institutional factors									
4.13.5		Neolithic City as a	administrations	Ü	•	follow-up adr	ntral and local ministrations and onsors	SI	flore efficient ustainable onservation.
Summary	- Factors affecting t	the Property complet	ed						

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.3	Managament Cur	tem/Management Plan			
5.3	Management Sys	tem/Management Plan			
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	The policy document will be reviewed.	Year	Excavation Directorate and local administration	Minimum impact from climate change will be ensured
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	Updates on disaster risk reduction will be made in the plan to be renewed.	Year.	Excavation Directorate and local administration	Disaster risk will be minimized
5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved	Conservation of Cultural Heritage in Çatalhöyük.	At six-monthly periods	Site Presidency, Excavation Directorate, Local Administration	Conservation and efficient use of immovable cultural assets in Çatalhöyük

Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük 47 of 51

5.3.12	The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	All stakeholders will comply with the plan.	year.	Site Presidency, Excavation Directorate, Local Administration	Within the framework of the provisions of the plan, it should be ensured that the central and local administrations and stakeholders make extra efforts to protect the superior universal value.
6.1	Funding				
6.1.7	Human resources are inadequate for the management needs of the World Heritage property	Ministry of Culture and Tourism Site and Excavation Department, partly local administration	year	Local Authorities, Non-governmental organizations, Universities	We must mobilize human resources that can provide all kinds of technical support regarding our world heritage.
6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme has been developed but it is not implemented and skills are not being transferred	Efforts will be made to implement the capacity building plan and program, and all skills will be transferred.	Five years	Ministry of Culture and Tourism and local governments	Full implementation of the plan
8	Education, Information	on and Awareness Building			
8.2			Ministry of Culture and Tourism, Excavations, Local Authorities	A full awareness will be created when implemented	
9	Visitor Manageme	ent			
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made	A controlled use without damaging the area.	two years	Ministry of Culture and Tourism, local government	Irregular mass tourism will be prevented and the perception of visitors about the area will be increased.
9.11	There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation	It should be aimed to increase cooperation.	two years	Ministry of Culture and Tourism, Local government, Stakeholders.	It will contribute to regional development and development.
10	Monitoring				
10.1	considerable monitoring at	Ministry of Culture and Tourism, Site management and Excavation Department partially local government	Five years	Site Presidency, Excavation Directorate, Lo governments, Non-Governmental Organizat and Universities	

Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük 48 of 51

understanding of Outstanding		
Universal Value		

Summary - Management Needs completed

- 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property
- 12.3.1 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

 The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved
- 12.3.2 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact
- 12.3.3 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

- 12.3.5 Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.
- 13. Impact of World Heritage Status
- 13.1 Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	Positive
Advocacy	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Very positive
Gender equality	Positive
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Very positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Very positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Very positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Very positive
Other	Very positive
If 'Other', please specify	protect the landscape

- 13.2 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts
- 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention
- 14.1 Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level
- 14.2 Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level
- 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük 49 of 51

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Fair
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Fair

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Update of management plans

Awareness raising

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff
Responsible persons for local designated sites under other international conventions/ programmes
Staff from other World Heritage properties
UNESCO National Commission
Local communities
Non-Governmental Organizations
ICOMOS national/regional
ICCROM International/regional

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? Yes

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

240 / 15 / 8 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training		×

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

All required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Good
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Good
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Fair
National Commission for UNESCO	Good
ICOMOS International	Poor
ICCROM International/regional	Poor
ICOMOS national/regional	Good
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

- 15.5.4 If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?
- 15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
- 15.6.1 Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

- 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.2 Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.

Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük 51 of 51