Site of Xanadu

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property
Site of Xanadu

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Site of Xanadu	42.358 / 116.185	25131.27	150721.96	175853.23	2012
Total (ha)		**25131.27**	**150721.96**	**175853.23**	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Site of Xanadu - Inscribed Property	2012	

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

Comment
Official website: www.sjycysdyz.org.cn Sina Weibo:https://weibo.com/u/7436280377 Douyin: https://v.douyin.com/JCE7UWW/

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) **is** designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) **is not** designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	**International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection** (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		✕
2.1.2	**List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection** (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		✕
2.1.3	**The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List)** (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		✕
2.1.4	**World Network of Biosphere Reserves** Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		✕
2.1.5	**Global Geoparks Network** UNESCO Global Geoparks		✕

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary
No comment

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?
Not applicable

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?
No

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?
No

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?
Yes

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	**1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict**	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	**Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict**	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	**Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)**	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	**Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme**	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	**UNESCO Global Geoparks**	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)
None

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?
No

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware
None

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?
No

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.
None

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief synthesis

The Site of Xanadu is the site of a grassland capital characteristic of cultural fusion, witnessing clashes and mutual assimilation between the nomadic and agrarian civilisations in northern Asia. Located on the southeast edge of the Mongolian plateau, it was the first capital (1263-1273) of Kublai Khan and later the summer capital (1274-1364) of the Yuan Dynasty. The city site and associated tombs are located on the grassland steppe with a north south axis determined by traditional Chinese feng shui principles, backed by mountains to the north and a river to the south.

From Xanadu, the mounted warriors of Kublai Khan unified the agrarian civilisations of China, and partly assimilated to the latter's culture, while extending the Yuan empire right across North Asia. The plan of Xanadu, with Palace and Imperial cities enclosed partly by the Outer City containing evidence of the nomadic encampments and royal hunting enclosure, comprises a unique example of this cultural fusion. Evidence of large water control works instigated to protect the city exists in the form of remains of the Tiefan'gan Canal. As the place where Kublai Khan rose to power, hosted religious debates and entertained foreign travellers whose writings gave inspiration down the centuries, it has achieved legendary status in the rest of the world and is the place from where Tibetan Buddhism expanded.

Criterion (ii): The location and environment of the Site of Xanadu exhibits influence from both Mongolian and Han Chinese values and lifestyles. The city site exhibits an urban planning pattern indicative of integration of the two ethnicities. From the combination of Mongolian and Han ideas and institutions the Yuan Dynasty was able to extend its control over an extremely large part of the known world at that time. The Site of Xanadu is a unique example of an integrated city plan involving different ethnic communities.

Criterion (iii): The Site of Xanadu is exceptional testimony to the supreme rule of the Yuan conqueror Kublai Khan, the assimilation and conversion to the culture and political system of the conquered, and the determination and effort of the conqueror in adhering to and maintaining the original cultural traditions.

Criterion (iv): The site location and environment of the Site of Xanadu together with its urban pattern demonstrates a coexistence and fusion of nomadic and farming cultures. The combination of a Han city plan with the gardens and landscape necessary to the Yuan dynasty's Mongolian lifestyle at Xanadu resulted in an outstanding example of urban layout that illustrates a significant stage in human history.

Criterion (vi): The city of Xanadu hosted the great debate between Buddhism and Taoism in the 13th century, an event that resulted in dissemination of Tibetan Buddhism over North-east Asia.

Integrity

The Site of Xanadu was abandoned in 1430. The large archaeological site now generally covered by grassland preserves the overall urban plan and city site of Xanadu as built and used in the 13th and 14th centuries. Wall lines of the Palace City, Imperial City and Outer City which together display the traditional urban planning of central China and arrangements for Mongolian tribal meetings and hunting can be clearly perceived, as can mounds indicating palace and temple buildings, some of which have been excavated, recorded and reburied. The remains of the neighbourhoods outside the gates, Tiefan'gan canal and the tomb areas, all within their natural and cultural environment. The latter preserves the natural elements crucial for the siting of the city – mountains to the north and water to the south, together with the four existing types of grassland landscape, especially the Xar Tala Globeflower plain associated with the river wetlands. The Site of Xanadu can be clearly read in the landscape.

Authenticity

Archaeological excavation and historical records bear witness to the authenticity of the property as representing the interchange between Mongolian and Han people in terms of capital design, historical layout and building materials. The Tombs authenticate the historical claims concerning the life of both Mongolian and Han people in Xanadu. Apart from repairs to the Mingde Gate and the east wall of the Imperial City, there has been minimal intervention in the structure. The geographical environment and grassland landscape are intact and still convey the environmental setting and spatial feeling of the grassland capital.

Protection and management requirements

The property is protected variously by the laws of the State, the Region and the Municipality. A limited area covering Xanadu city and its neighbourhoods and the Tiefan'gan Canal is protected at State level under the Law of the People's Republic of China on the Protection of Cultural Relics. A designated area including the Tombs of Zhenzi Hill is protected at the level of the Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region People's Government; a designated area including the Tombs of Modot and the 12 designated Oboo sites are also protected at the level of Zhenglan Qi. The entire property will be submitted to the State Council of China in 2012 for approval as a National Priority Protected Cultural Heritage Site.

The grassland surrounding the protected site falls under the Grassland Law of the People's Republic of China (promulgated in 1995, amended in 2002), and Grassland Regulations of Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region (promulgated in 1984, amended in 2004). Overall protection is provided by the Regulations on the Protection and Management of the Site of Xanadu in the Inner Mongolian Autonomous Region (2010), administered by Xilingol Meng. As a result of this legislation, farmland reclamation near the site has been controlled and the grassland eco-system and natural landscapes are conserved. The State protected area around the Xanadu city site and its neighbourhoods has been fenced, together with areas around the Tombs of Modot and Tombs of Zhenzi Hill.

Management of the property is co-ordinated by the Xilingol Meng Cultural Heritage Administration (Bureau/Office) of Xanadu, under the Xilingol Meng Conservation and Management Committee, guided by the Conservation and Management Plan for the Site of Xanadu (2009-2015). The aim is to achieve sustainable development of the local social economy while ensuring protection of the property. This requires a balance between conservation of the grassland ecology including control of desertification, and the needs of stakeholders in relation to livestock capacity and the rising demands of tourism. To this end the efficiency of heritage management is constantly being strengthened and improved.

Comment

Since June 2016, The Site of Xanadu has been under direct management of specialized agency directly under the Xilingol Meng Administrative Office.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	The remains of the prairie capital embodying the integration of nomadic civilization and farming civilization	✖			
3.2.2	The location of the capital city that is compatible with the integration of Mongolian and Han cultures and political systems, and the urban planning landscape that reflects the values and lifestyles of the Mongolian and Han ethnic groups	✖			
3.2.3	The characteristics of the environment and the space experience of the capital composed of grassland landscape and humanistic elements	✖			

3.2.4	Witnessing the multi-cultural inclusiveness that is rare in human history in the 13-14 centuries	✖			
3.2.5	The place where the Buddhist and Taoist debates took place in the 13th century	✖			
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

The Site of Xanadu is generally well-preserved with outstanding universal values and attributes. Though greatly affected by natural factors, it is all under reasonable control. Other heritage elements have been well preserved, and no elements have been damaged or lost. Its outstanding universal value can be preserved under the current planning and designated heritage protection scope as there are corresponding protection measures for the natural environment and the humanistic environment.

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

✖ Relevant		Not relevant			

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	⚑ Current	⚑ Potential	⊙ Inside	⎙ Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	⬈ Increasing
⊕ Positive ✖	✖			✖			⬈
⊖ Negative ✖		✖		✖	↘		

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

✖ Relevant		Not relevant			

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	⚑ Current	⚑ Potential	⊙ Inside	⎙ Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	⬈ Increasing
⊕ Positive ✖	✖	✖	✖	✖			⬈
⊖ Negative							

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The Site of Xanadu Museum has been completed and put into operation. The museum and the site are equipped with guides. The facilities in the site are basically complete. These facilities will provide better tourism services for the interpretation of the site. The complete service facilities also create more jobs for the locals, contributing to the realization of sustainable development in the region. Therefore, it positively affects the site.

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

	Relevant			Not relevant			

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔲 **Current**	🔲 **Potential**	⦿ **Inside**	🔲 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖			✖		→	
⊖ Negative ✖		✖		✖		→	

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Relevant		✖ Not relevant

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Relevant		✖ Not relevant

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Relevant		✖ Not relevant

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Relevant		✖ Not relevant

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The current traffic is mainly highway. With good road condition, it is easy to reach. The site The parking lot can meet the demand during peak season, but the modes of transportation are relatively limited.

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Relevant		✖ Not relevant

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Relevant		✖ Not relevant

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Relevant		✖ Not relevant

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

✖ Relevant				Not relevant			

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔲 **Current**	🔲 **Potential**	⦿ **Inside**	🔲 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖			✖		→	
⊖ Negative							

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

✖ Relevant				Not relevant			

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔲 **Current**	🔲 **Potential**	⦿ **Inside**	🔲 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖	✖		✖		→	
⊖ Negative							

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No comment

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Relevant		✖ Not relevant

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No comment

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

✖ Relevant				Not relevant		
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**	
Impact	🏳 **Current**	🏳 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	🖼 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
➕ Positive							
➖ Negative ✖		✖	✖		↘		

4.5.5 - Crop production

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No comment

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No comment

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

✖ Relevant		Not relevant				
Impact	**Impact**		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🏳 **Current**	🏳 **Potential**	⦿ **Inside**	⦿ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖	✖	✖	✖	✖		→	

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

✖ Relevant		Not relevant				
Impact	**Impact**		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🏳 **Current**	🏳 **Potential**	⦿ **Inside**	⦿ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖	✖	✖	✖	✖		→	

4.7.3 - Temperature

✖ Relevant		Not relevant				
Impact	**Impact**		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🏳 **Current**	🏳 **Potential**	⦿ **Inside**	⦿ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖	✖	✖	✖	✖		→	

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.5 - Dust

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

✖ Relevant		Not relevant				
Impact	**Impact**		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🏳 **Current**	🏳 **Potential**	⦿ **Inside**	⦿ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive ✖	✖		✖	✖		→	
Negative ✖		✖		✖		→	

4.7.7 - Pests

✖ Relevant	Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🗊 Current	🗊 Potential	◉ Inside	⬡ Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖	✖		✖	✖		→	

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The ground remains of the site are mainly affected by wind erosion. The annual average wind speed atf the site is between 3.2-4.8 m/s. The humidity and temperature change greatly in four seasons. Most of the walls of the site are greatly affected by plant roots. Insects such as bees are building nesting holes on the rammed earth surface. Other nagative impact includes rain wash and moss attached to the bricks on the surface of the dark site.

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

✖ Relevant	Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🗊 Current	🗊 Potential	◉ Inside	⬡ Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
⊕ Positive ✖	✖	✖	✖	✖		→	
⊖ Negative							

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

✖ Relevant	Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🗊 Current	🗊 Potential	◉ Inside	⬡ Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
⊕ Positive ✖	✖	✖	✖				↗
⊖ Negative							

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

✖ Relevant	Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🗊 Current	🗊 Potential	◉ Inside	⬡ Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
⊕ Positive ✖	✖	✖	✖				↗
⊖ Negative							

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

✖ Relevant	Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🗊 Current	🗊 Potential	◉ Inside	⬡ Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
⊕ Positive ✖	✖		✖	✖			↗

🛇 Negative			✖				

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No comment

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.3 - Military training

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.4 - War

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No comment

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.2 - Flooding

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.3 - Drought

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.4 - Desertification

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.6 - Temperature change

✖ Relevant		Not relevant				

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	⬀ **Outside**	⬂ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	⬈ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
🛇 Negative ✖	✖		✖	✖		→	

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No comment

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No comment

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No comment

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

✖ Relevant	Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	🚩 Current	🚩 Potential	◉ Inside	⟲ Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
⊕ Positive ✖	✖		✖	✖			↗
⊖ Negative							

4.13.2 - Legal framework

	Relevant			Not relevant			

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	**Current**	**Potential**	**Inside**	**Outside**	**Decreasing**	**Stable**	**Increasing**
Positive ✖	✖		✖	✖			➚
Negative							

4.13.3 - Governance

	Relevant			Not relevant			

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	**Current**	**Potential**	**Inside**	**Outside**	**Decreasing**	**Stable**	**Increasing**
Positive ✖	✖	✖	✖	✖		➙	
Negative							

4.13.4 - Management activities

	Relevant			Not relevant			

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	**Current**	**Potential**	**Inside**	**Outside**	**Decreasing**	**Stable**	**Increasing**
Positive ✖	✖	✖	✖	✖			➚
Negative							

4.13.5 - Financial resources

	Relevant			Not relevant			

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	**Current**	**Potential**	**Inside**	**Outside**	**Decreasing**	**Stable**	**Increasing**
Positive ✖	✖		✖	✖			➚
Negative							

4.13.6 - Human resources

	Relevant			Not relevant			

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	**Current**	**Potential**	**Inside**	**Outside**	**Decreasing**	**Stable**	**Increasing**
Positive ✖	✖		✖	✖			➚
Negative							

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

	Relevant			Not relevant			

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	**Current**	**Potential**	**Inside**	**Outside**	**Decreasing**	**Stable**	**Increasing**
Positive ✖	✖		✖			➙	
Negative							

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Relevant	Not relevant ✖

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No comment

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	⊕ positive	🚩 red			↪	↗
	⊖ negative		🚩 yellow		↪	↘
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	⊕ positive	🚩 red	🚩 yellow	◉	↪	↗
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	⊕ positive	🚩 red			↪	→
	⊖ negative		🚩 yellow		↪	→
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.4 Localised utilities	⊕ positive	🚩 red			↪	→
4.3.5 Major linear utilities	⊕ positive	🚩 red	🚩 yellow		↪	→
4.5 Biological resource use/modification						
4.5.4 Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals						
	⊖ negative		🚩 yellow	◉		↘
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.1 Wind						
	⊖ negative	🚩 red	🚩 yellow	◉	↪	→
4.7.2 Relative humidity						
	⊖ negative	🚩 red	🚩 yellow	◉	↪	→
4.7.3 Temperature						
	⊖ negative	🚩 red	🚩 yellow	◉	↪	→
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)	⊕ positive	🚩 red		◉	↪	→
	⊖ negative		🚩 yellow		↪	→
4.7.7 Pests						
	⊖ negative	🚩 red		◉	↪	→
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	⊕ positive	🚩 red	🚩 yellow	◉	↪	→
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	⊕ positive	🚩 red	🚩 yellow	◉		↗
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	⊕ positive	🚩 red	🚩 yellow	◉		↗
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	⊕ positive	🚩 red		◉	↪	↗

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.6 Temperature change						
	Negative	Current		Inside	Outside	→
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	Positive	Current		Inside	Outside	↗
4.13.2 Legal framework	Positive	Current		Inside	Outside	↗
4.13.3 Governance	Positive	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→
4.13.4 Management activities	Positive	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	↗
4.13.5 Financial resources	Positive	Current		Inside	Outside	↗
4.13.6 Human resources	Positive	Current		Inside	Outside	↗
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	Positive	Current		Inside		→

Legend	Current	Potential	⊖ Negative	⊕ Positive	⊙ Inside	⬘ Outside

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	Positive	Current		Outside	↗
	Negative		Potential	Outside	↘

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✖	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✖	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✖	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
✖	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	🟢	🚩	🚩	◉	☞	➚

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✖	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	🟢	🚩			☞	→
	🔴		🚩		☞	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✖	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend
4.3.4 Localised utilities	⊕	⚑		☛	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✖	Minor

	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.3.5 Major linear utilities	⊕	🚩	🚩		↻	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend

4.5.4 Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

	⊖		◁	◉	↘

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.1 Wind						
	⊖	◁	◁	◉	↻	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✖	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.2 Relative humidity						
	⊖	⚐	⚐	◉	⚑	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.3 Temperature						
	⊖	🚩	🚩	◉	🍃	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✖	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

✖	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)	⊕	🚩		◉	🍃	→
	⊖		🚩		🍃	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
✖	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare						
✖	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going	⊖	🚩	🚩	◉	🍃	→

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.7 Pests						
	⊖	🚩		◉	🍃	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	🟢	🚩	🚩	◉	☛	➡

	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
✖	Extensive
	Widespread

	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✖	On-going

	Impact - Impact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

	Management response - Capacity of management to respond
✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

	Trend - Developement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	🟢	🚩	🚩	◉		➚

	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
✖	Extensive
	Widespread

	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent 🟢 🚩 🚩 ◉ ☛ ➡

	On-going
✖	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	⊕	🚩	🚩	◉		↗

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static

		Increasing					

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	🟢	🚩		◉	☞	↗

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.10.6 Temperature change						
	🔴	🚩		◉	☞	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✖	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic

	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	🟢	🚩		◉	☞	↗

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✖	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✖	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
✖	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.2 Legal framework	⊕	🚩		◉	☞	↗

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✖	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✖	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.3 Governance	⊕	🚩	🚩	◉	☞	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✖	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare

	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✖	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.4 Management activities	⊕	⚑	⚑	◉	☞	➚

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✖	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✖	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing

	Static
✖	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources	⊕	⚑		◉	☞	➚

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✖	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.6 Human resources	⊕	⚑		◉	☞	➚

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✖	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic

	Frequent
✖	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	⊕	🚩		◉		→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
✖	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✖	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing

	Static
✖	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor
None

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	The remains of the prairie capital embodying the integration of nomadic civilization and farming civilization	✖			
4.18.1.2	The location of the capital city that is compatible with the integration of Mongolian and Han cultures and political systems, and the urban planning landscape that reflects the values and lifestyles of the Mongolian and Han ethnic groups	✖			
4.18.1.3	The characteristics of the environment and the space experience of the capital composed of grassland landscape and humanistic elements	✖			
4.18.1.4	Witnessing the multi-cultural inclusiveness that is rare in human history in the 13-14 centuries	✖			
4.18.1.5	The place where the Buddhist and Taoist debates took place in the 13th century	✖			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?
The boundaries are **adequate to maintain** the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?
The boundaries **are known by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?
The buffer zones are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?
The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known and recognised by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property
The property is composed of the Xanadu site, tombs, representative obo, and natural factors closely related to the Site of Xanadu. It is clearly delineated based on the existing topography. The buffer zone is comprehensively delineated based on factors such as the sight range, the complete space of the property environment, the distribution range of background elements, and the geographic environment of the grassland natural landscape on the southeastern margin of the Mongolian Plateau.

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

1990 / Cultural Relics Protection Management Measures of Zhenglan Banner / / 2016 /
Regulations on the Protection of the Site of Xanadu in Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region / / 2010 / Protection Measures of the Site of Xanadu in Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region /
/ 2005 / Regulations on the Protection of Cultural Relics of Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region / /
2010 / Measures for the Protection of Cultural Relics and Historic Sites in Duolun County / /
2003 / Implementation Guidelines on Strengthening the Protection of Field Cultural Relics in Xilingol Meng /

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?
The **legal framework** for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?
The **legal framework** in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World

Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for** effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

There are related legislations for the whole property and its attributes, with clearly defined executive subjects. The authorities have implemented the laws and regulations well, but they have limited effective coordination and unified goals. In addition, some members of the public lack heritage protection awareness and have little understanding of relevant legislation, which has affected the enforcement of legislation to some extent.

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

According to the standards of the protective measures of world cultural heritage, any protection must strictly abide by the principle of not changing the original state of the cultural relics, protect all the historical information contained in the Site of Xanadu as much as possible, and maintain its authenticity, integrity and continuity so that to make sure it is compatible with the overall environment and historical atmosphere of the property.

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property

Public management system joint national/ local

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

Traditional ways of management recognised by local communities and other specific groups

Governance mechanisms that foster and respect traditional practices, knowledge and uses of the property

Mechanisms to promote equal participation among and within groups, including different levels of authority, local communities, indigenous people, women and men, and other specific groups

A framework for inclusive economic development, including equal access and distribution of resources and opportunities arising from the protection of the property

A code of practice developed by local communities or other groups

A code of practice developed by industry

An integrated management plan combining World Heritage and any other designations

A management plan

An annual work plan or business plan

A disaster, climate or conflict risk management plan

A visitor/visitation management plan

An environmental management framework

An assessment of biological and cultural diversity and ecosystem services provided by the property

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

The Site of Xanadu has established a systematic management system, mainly including the four levels of administrative management and business systems at the national, autonomous region, meng, and banner (county) levels.

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Comment

Plan for the Protection and Management of the Site of Xanadu; Master Plan for the Protection of the Site of Xanadu; Plan for the Mongolian Cultural Heritage and Ecological Environment Protection around the Site of Xanadu, Zhenglan Banner, Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region; Plan for the Protection of Ecological Environment and Characteristic Landscape of the Site of Xanadu.

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

No use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

No comment

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

No comment

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?

No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

No comment

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is **coordination** between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, **but it could be improved**

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully implemented and monitored**

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan **exists and many of its activities are being implemented**

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			✖		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				✖	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone				✖	
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	✖				
5.3.15.5	Women				✖	
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	✖				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities				✖	
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					✖
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	✖				
5.3.16.4	Landowners					✖
5.3.16.5	Women					✖
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					✖
5.3.16.7	Researchers					✖
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					✖
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					✖
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					✖
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries					✖
5.3.16.12	NGOs					✖
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	✖				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention*

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality	✗				
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)				✗	
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				✗	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach	✗				
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				✗	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property				✗	

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

The management system of the Site of Xanadu protects and expands the good ecological environment for the local community, provides equal employment opportunities for local community residents, protects and respects all the attributes of the humanistic and natural environment contained in the property, respects cultural diversity, promotes the development of local tourism, and drives the development of regional tourism economy and cultural industry.

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

The management agency of the site is becoming more and more mature in terms of scope of management responsibilities, institutional building, rules and regulations, team building, management facilities, etc. The management system can meet the management needs of world cultural heritage.

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	21 %	0 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	18 %	7 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	61 %	76 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	17 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

No comment

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available **budget is adequate** for effective management of the World Heritage property

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are **secure over both the medium- and long-term**

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

The funds for the protection of the site come from the financial appropriations of the central government, the government of the Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region and the government of Xilin Gol Meng, and the revenues from tourism and related industries run by the management agency. From the current funding of the site, the expenditure on the protection and management of the site is mainly borne by the government. Such funding source is relatively stable, but the channels are not diversified.

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	46 %	43 %
6.1.6.2	Women	54 %	57 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?
Human resources **partly meet** the management needs of the World Heritage property

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?
Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.
Participate in training related to the study of world cultural heritage value, cultural heritage safety, etc.; regularly conduct grassland fire prevention and fire safety inspection and exercises, and distribute leaflets to the public; integrate traditional protection and management mechanisms with digital management models in inspection training; conduct social education and publicity with the thems of traditional skills, nomadic culture, etc.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?
A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, **but most technical work is carried out by external staff**

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

According to the protection and management needs of the site, the current talent team is still insufficient in professional knowledge coverage. The world heritage site protection and management agency has formulated a long-term development plan and is gradually improving, mainly through business learning and training sessions. It can meet basic work requirements, but there is still a gap between the actual scope of training and the needs of effective cultural heritage protection and management.

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **acceptable** for most key areas but there are gaps

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research **results are shared** with local communities and partners **but there is no active outreach** to national or international agencies

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

Most of the scientific survyes of archaeological sites are completed by the archaeological departments of the Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region with professional qualifications, and most of the results have been published. The comprehensive and systematic scientific survey of the natural environment of the site was conducted by the Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region Forestry Survey and Design Institute in 2009, and the report was compiled and completed.

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Women	Good
Youth/children	Fair
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industries	Fair
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a **limited and ad hoc education** and awareness programme for children and/or youth

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Local/municipal authorities
Landowners
Women
Youth/children
Researchers
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry

Local businesses and industries
NGOs

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Fair
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Fair
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Fair
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Fair
Transportation facilities	Fair
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

Education is mainly conducted by explaining to tourists, setting up archaeological practice bases, carrying out school education, promoting social publicity, etc. Information publicity and awareness raising is mainly conducted through multilingual publicity, reaching out to special groups, and offering free museum visits.

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

106074 / 561414 / 340000 / 126439 / 86490 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Tourism industry

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

Two overnight stays

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

Based on tourist surveys and statistics from Zhenglan Banner Tourism Development Service Center.

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

13319 / 6651 / 8868 / 1774 / 6651 / 11544 /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

Taking 2019 as an example. The average daily number of tourists is calculated according to the total number of tourists throughout the year, and the above values are obtained according to the local commodity prices and statistics from the tourism department.

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There **is a strategy** to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

The Chapter 11 Heritage Display Management Plan of the Plan for the Protection and Management of the Site of Xanadu contains the display objectives and strategies, heritage display structure, value interpretation system, city site display planning, tourist service facilities, tourist management planning, and heritage value promotion. As the entire system is being improved step by step, there are still some gaps in the implementation and practice.

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value**

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

Currently, the effectiveness of tourism management is mainly monitored on a monthly basis through manual ticket selling, ticket checking, registration, ID card identification systems, etc. And the effectiveness of tourism management is also regularly monitored through the semi-annual monitoring report twice a year and the annual monitoring report."

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is **good cooperation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry **to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation**

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?
The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property **is acceptable but improvements could be made**

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?
In **many locations** and **easily visible** to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?
Fees are collected and make a **substantial contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?
Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

Xilin Gol Meng Tourism Development Plan, Zhenglan Banner Tourism Development Plan

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?
Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

84% of the staff at the site are from the local community, as the site has providing direct job opportunities for local residents. And its tourism resources have brought indirect employment, such as souvenirs, accommodation industry, etc.

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property
The Site of Xanadu has brought tourism revenues and social and economic benefits to the Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region, the Xilin Gol Meng and even the Zhenglan Banner. It enhances local residents' sense of pride and identity, and enhances tourists' understanding of Chinese history and the history of Yuan Dynasty created by the Mongolian ethnic group, drives the local economy, hires local people, promotes the tourism economy, and increases local influence.

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?
There is **considerable monitoring** but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving the understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?
Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved**

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				✖
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				✖
10.3.3	Character of governance				✖
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations	✖			
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development				✖
10.3.6	Capacity development				✖

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property
The Site of Xanadu strictly follows the requirements of the Guidelines for the Monitoring Work of China's World Cultural Heritage and China's World Cultural Heritage Monitoring: Monitoring Data Specifications in conducting protection management and capacity building. It and has formulated a reasonable working mechanism based on the actual work requirements .

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Local communities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Women	Good

Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industry	Good
NGOs	Not applicable
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?
Implementation is underway

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.
No comment

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring
According to the requirements of world heritage protection and management, efforts are made to gradually improve the protection management and monitoring system of each attribute and enhance scientific monitoring. Currently the world cultural heritage monitoring and early warning system of the site has been established. According to actual needs, each monitoring project is implemented by manual inspection records, photographic image records, instrument monitoring data, and evaluation reports.

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.2	**Protective Measures**	
5.2.6	There is **acceptable capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain	
5.3	**Management System/Management Plan**	
5.3.5	**No use** has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	
5.3.7	**No use** has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	✖
5.3.9	**No use** has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.11	There is **coordination** between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, **but it could be improved**	✖
6.1	**Funding**	
6.1.7	Human resources **partly meet** the management needs of the World Heritage property	✖
6.1.10	**Some use** has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	✖
6.1.12	**A site-based** capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, **but most technical work is carried out by external staff**	✖
7	**Scientific Studies and Research Projects**	
7.3	Research **results are shared** with local communities and partners **but there is no active outreach** to national or international agencies	✖
8	**Education, Information and Awareness Building**	
8.2	There is a **limited and ad hoc education** and awareness programme for children and/or youth	✖
9	**Visitor Management**	
9.7	There **is a strategy** to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**	✖
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property **is acceptable but improvements could be made**	✖
10	**Monitoring**	
10.1	There is **considerable monitoring** at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	✖
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage **property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved**	

Please select 0 more issues.

⬛ **Please save this question to reflect changes**

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.1	**Buildings and Development**					
4.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	Visitor accommodation and related infrastructure affects mainly the overall layout and tourism management of the Site of Xanadu.	Regular inspections of the infrastructure in the property and its buffer zone are carried out with relevant authorities and joint actions are taken when necessary.	Regular inspections with relevant authorities	Continuous	Cultural Heritage Administration of the Site of Xanadu	The infrastructure involved in serving visitor accommodation has both an ameliorative and a potentially negative impact on the Site of Xanadu, and the management agencies have reasonable control over the infrastructure.
4.2	**Transportation Infrastructure**					
4.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	construction projects	project examining and approving	Regular inspections with relevant authorities	Before the project, in the process, and when the project completed	Cultural Heritage Administration of the Site of Xanadu	No comment
4.5	**Biological resource use/modification**					
4.5.4	Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals	The fabric of city wall	Manual inspection	Real time monitoring	Daily monitoring	Cultural Heritage Administration of the Site of Xanadu	Grazing by pastoralists caused some damage to the property, but in recent years grazing has been banned in the property, and this damage has largely been eliminated, with real-time monitoring being undertaken.
4.7	**Local conditions affecting physical fabric**					
4.7.1	Wind	The value attributes affected by wind are mainly the above-ground remains of the wall sections.	Regular manual observation of wind erosion surface damage and, if necessary, protective measures with relevant authorities will be carried out.	Monitoring is carried out by means of small meteorological stations in the property to monitor wind speed, direction and force, while regular manual monitoring of the wind erosion surface damage is conducted.	Daily monitoring Daily manual inspection and monthly manual measurement	Cultural Heritage Administration of the Site of Xanadu	Wind erosion is a relatively common hazard on the above-ground remains of the property, and is mainly controlled by regular inspections and monitoring, with certain protective measures taken to mitigate the damage caused by wind erosion.
4.7.2	Relative humidity	The value attributes affected by humidity are mainly the above-ground remains of the wall sections.	Regular observation	The humidity is monitored by small weather stations in the property.	weekly monitoring	Cultural Heritage Administration of the Site of Xanadu	The humidity mainly affects the interior of the property's walls and is potentially harmful to the remaining buildings of the property during the rainy season and in spring when snow and ice freeze and thaw.
4.7.3	Temperature	There is a potential negative impact of changes in temperature on the above-ground remains.	Daily monitoring of temperature	The temperature is monitored by small weather stations in the property.	Real time monitoring	Cultural Heritage Administration of the Site of Xanadu	The property is subject to wide variations in temperature, and any sudden drop in temperature could potentially have a negative impact on the property.

4.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	Rainfall has both positive and negative effects within the property	Monitoring of rainfall	The rainfall is monitored by small weather stations in the property.	Real time monitoring	Cultural Heritage Administration of the Site of Xanadu	Changes in groundwater levels can directly affect the state of wetlands within the property, with moderate rainfall having a positive impact on the natural landscape and heavy rain and hail having a potentially negative impact on the city site.
4.7.7	Pests	Plant roots and bioturbation have an impact on the above and below ground remains within the property.	Regular observation and, if necessary, joint study on solutions with relevant professional bodies	Regular manual inspection and monitoring	Daily monitoring	Cultural Heritage Administration of the Site of Xanadu	The property's walls are affected by plant roots, insect species and rodent burrows, and are currently monitored and manually intervened when necessary due to the interaction between grassland ecology and the root system and wall structure.
4.10	**Climate change and severe weather events**						
4.10.6	Temperature change	The change in temperature has had a negative impact on the earth and stone surfaces within the property, and apparent freeze-thaw damage can also be found.	Temperature observation and fortification of the walls	The property is monitored in real time by small weather stations and manual observation is carried out.	Real time monitoring	Cultural Heritage Administration of the Site of Xanadu	The property has large diurnal and annual temperature difference, coupled with the low temperature environment, which has caused freezing and thawing of the city site and building foundations, resulting in more serious negative impacts.

Summary - Factors affecting the Property **completed**

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.3	**Management System/Management Plan**				
		Actions	**Timeframe**	**Lead agency (and others involved)**	**More info / comment**
5.3.7	**No use** has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	N/A	Cultural Heritage Administration of the Site of Xanadu	Use to be made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property
5.3.11	There is **coordination** between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, **but it could be improved**	strengthen the coordination mechanism among various departments	Continuous	Local authorities,cultural Heritage Administration of the Site of Xanadu and related departments	No comment
6.1	**Funding**				

6.1.7	Human resources **partly meet** the management needs of the World Heritage property	Attracting relevant expertise from a variety of sources	Continuous	Cultural Heritage Administration of the Site of Xanadu	Managers can currently fulfill the management needs, but there is still a shortage of specialist staff.
6.1.10	**Some use** has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	Responding positively to the the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development	Continuous	Cultural Heritage Administration of the Site of Xanadu	Knowledge of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development can be enhanced to help meet the requirements of the capacity development at the property.
6.1.12	**A site-based** capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, **but most technical work is carried out by external staff**	Most of the technical work is currently done by relevant external professional bodies.	N/A	Cultural Heritage Administration of the Site of Xanadu	To build the property's own capacity development programme to gradually enable local heritage managers to learn the relevant skills.
7	**Scientific Studies and Research Projects**				
7.3	Research **results are shared** with local communities and partners **but there is no active outreach** to national or international agencies	The current research findings have not been expanded, but the situation is actively being improved.	N/A	Cultural Heritage Administration of the Site of Xanadu	The property should further conduct active sharing and exchange of research results and promote the scientific research projects.
8	**Education, Information and Awareness Building**				
8.2	There is a **limited and ad hoc education** and awareness programme for children and/or youth	Educational programmes for schools and youth groups in the surrounding community are being progressively developed.	Continuous	Cultural Heritage Administration of the Site of Xanadu	To make educational programmes for children and youth groups more widely and systematically available.
9	**Visitor Management**				
9.7	There **is a strategy** to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**	Visitor management planning is currently in place and is still in practice	Continuous	Cultural Heritage Administration of the Site of Xanadu	The visitor management plan currently in place still needs further improvement in its implementation.

| 9.12 | The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property **is acceptable but improvements could be made** | To strengthen social communication and to carry out presentation and interpretation projects as planned | Continuous | Cultural Heritage Administration of the Site of Xanadu | No comment |

10	Monitoring				
10.1	There is **considerable monitoring** at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	Monitoring is gradually being made more system-specific for the property.	Continuous	Cultural Heritage Administration of the Site of Xanadu	The monitoring system is being improved is actively being improved.

Summary - Management Needs completed

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

The Authenticity of the World Heritage property **has been preserved**

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

The Integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **intact**

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

At present, the authenticity and integrity of the property has been effectively preserved through concerted efforts at all levels of management. The designation of the property and buffer zone has also provided better protection. Heritage conservation projects are gradually being carried out, monitoring systems are being improved and the overall state of conservation is good, but more professional and targeted measures and advice are still needed.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	Positive
Advocacy	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive

Security	Positive
Gender equality	Positive
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Positive
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

The property is a legacy of human civilization and a precious tangible cultural heritage in the world, being the first grassland capital set up by the Mongolian after they unified the Mongolian plateau and entered the Central Plains. It laid the political foundation for the unification of China. With its grand scale, complete preservation and distinctive urban layout, the site is the grassland capital in Europe and Asia with richest information and the longest history.

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

The preservation and management of the property at the World Heritage level highlights the role of World Heritage sites in sustainable development. The ecological degradation of the land in the property was once caused by construction and production activities. The management agencies have actively taken a protection and management measures to restore the grassland vegetation and achieve environmental sustainability, providing a good reference for the conservation of grassland cultural sites. Secondly, in terms of socio-economics, the property has provided direct employment for residents of the surrounding communities and driven the development of the tourism industry. The property has now become a regional attraction, and the various cultural heritage events held at the site each year have added new vitality to the property and attracted a large number of domestic and international tourists. The property has also made an outstanding contribution to the preservation of local culture, with mountain worship and the Oboo culture being the main attributes of the original beliefs of the inhabitants in the property. The Oboo around the property is now in a good state of conservation and still carries on various folk activities, mainly Oboo rituals, and the people have a high degree of identification and participation in ethnic activities, and their own continuity mechanisms are well protected, carrying on the rich and colourful traditional arts of nomadic culture. The traditional art of nomadic culture is well preserved. As a large grassland capital archaeological site with the characteristic geographical landscape value of the Mongolian plateau and the cultural fusion of the Mongolian and Chinese peoples, the property has been effectively protected and sustained under scientific and rational planning, reflecting the concept of sustainable development of World Heritage based on capacity building, protection and management, and serving the public.

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Sustainable Development
Synergies
State of Conservation
Management
Governance
Capacity Building

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The *World Heritage Convention*
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Good

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Awareness raising

Advocacy

Other

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting
No comment

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?
Gender balance **is explicitly considered and effectively implemented** in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?
No

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

90 / 60 / 30 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		✖
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training		✖

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?
Not all required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			✖	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions		✖		

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire
The content of the questionnaire can be adapted to different heritage categories to make it more operational.

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Fair
UNESCO National Commission	Good
ICOMOS International	Fair
IUCN International	Fair
ICCROM international/regional	Fair
ICOMOS national/regional	Fair
IUCN national/regional	Fair

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good

UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Good
National Commission for UNESCO	Fair
ICOMOS International	Fair
ICCROM International/regional	Fair
ICOMOS national/regional	Fair
IUCN national/regional	Fair
IUCN International	Fair

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

The understanding of the problem and the factors influencing it could be more clearly and accurately analysed.

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

- **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee**

  Reason for update: Since June 2016, The Site of Xanadu has been under direct management of specialized agency directly under the Xilingol Meng Administrative Office.

Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

Recommendation: Targeted questions can be asked for different heritage categories.

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.