Coffee Cultural Landscape of Colombia

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property
Coffee Cultural Landscape of Colombia

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Zone A	5.472 / -75.682	1390	6089	7479	2011
Zone B	5.334 / -75.711	826	1552	2378	2011
Zone C	5.289 / -75.521	47406	60024	107430	2011
Zone D	4.583 / -75.685	42820	60495	103315	2011
Zone E	4.16 / -76.395	4008	8613	12621	2011
Zone F	5.036 / -75.941	44670	70228	114898	2011
Total (ha)		**141120**	**207001**	**348121**	

Comment
ZONE COORDINATES ZONE A N5 28 18.00 W75 40 54.00 ZONE B N5 20 02 00 W75 42 38.99 ZONE C N5 17 22.00 W75 31 16.00 ZONE D N4 34 57.81 W75 41 06.40 ZONE E N4 09 35.00 W76 23 41.00 ZONE F N5 02 11.00 W75 56 29.00

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Map of the inscribed serial property - CCLC	2011	

Comment
The map was sent with the conditions requested by Unesco in the month of November 2021

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

Comment
Twitter user: @PcccOficial Instagram user: pccc_oficial Facebook associated with the mail: pccc.oficial2016@gmail.com Email: info.pcc@paisajeculturalcafetero.org.co Web page: http://paisajeculturalcafetero.org.co/ Canal youtube: https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCE8ApAqepgpKF7qmHi424dA

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) **is** designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) **is not** designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	**International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection** (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		✕
2.1.2	**List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection** (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		✕
2.1.3	**The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List)** (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		✕
2.1.4	**World Network of Biosphere Reserves** Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		✕
2.1.5	**Global Geoparks Network** UNESCO Global Geoparks		✕

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

DOES NOT APPLY

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

No

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	**1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict**	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	

2.7.2	**Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict**	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	

2.7.3	**Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)**	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	

2.7.4	**Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme**	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	

2.7.5	**UNESCO Global Geoparks**	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)
DOES NOT APPLY

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?
No

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware
DOES NOT APPLY

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?
No

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.
DOES NOT APPLY

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
Brief synthesis

The Coffee Cultural Landscape of Colombia (CCLC) is a continuing productive landscape consisting of a series of six sites, which integrate eighteen urban settlements. The property illustrates natural, economic and cultural features, combined in a mountainous area with collaboratively farmed coffee plantations, some of these in clearings of high forest.

The CCLC is the result of the adaptation process of Antioquian settlers, who arrived in the 19th century, a process which persists to this day and has created an economy and culture deeply rooted in the coffee production tradition. Coffee farms are located on steep mountains ranges with vertiginous slopes of over 25% (55 degrees), characteristic to the challenging coffee terrain. These unusual geographic features also affect the small orthogonal plot layouts, and influence the architectural typology, lifestyle and land-use techniques of the cafeteros (coffee farmers). The distinctive way of life of the cafeteros is based on legacies that have been passed down from generation to generation, and is linked to their traditional landownership and the distinctive small farm production system.

The typical architecture in the urban settlements is a fusion between the Spanish cultural patterns and the indigenous culture of the region adapted as well to the coffee growing process, through for example their sliding roofs. Houses function as both dwelling units and centers of economic activity, with walls built in the traditional, more flexible and dynamic 'bahareque' constructive system, and covered by a layer of bamboo well known for its resistance and malleability. Over fifty percent of the walls are still built using this traditional method.

Criterion (v): The CCLC is an outstanding example of continuing land-use, in which the collective effort of several generations of campesino families generated innovative management practices of natural resources in extraordinarily challenging geographical conditions. The strong community focus on coffee production in all aspects of life produced an unparalleled cultural identity, which finds its physical expression in the cultural patterns and materials used for coffee farming as well as the urban settlements.

Criterion (vi): The coffee tradition is the most representative symbol of national culture in Colombia, for which Colombia has gained worldwide recognition. In the CCLC this coffee culture has led to rich tangible and intangible manifestations in the territory, with a unique legacy, included in, but not limited to, the harmonious integration of the productive process in the social organization and housing typology, and communicated though associated local traditions and costumes, such as the sombrero aguadeño – a traditional type of hat – and the raw hide shoulder bag, still used by the coffee producers.

Integrity

The six site components of the CCLC are located in what is known as the Eje Cafetero, or coffee growing axis, a region that is characterized by the social and cultural characteristics of the coffee landscape and production. The site components of the property provide localized glimpses into production activities and landscape features, which equally dominate the wider setting and region. To facilitate the understanding of this exceptional landscape, the property's elements of social adaptation to a unique use of land, and the development of highly specific cultural and social traditions in both agricultural practices and arrangement of settlements, contribute to the complete image of a continuing, productive and living landscape. The continuity of traditional small plot coffee farming, often run in family units, and the strong linkages to the associated cultural traditions contribute to the integrity, but are vulnerable to fluctuations in the international coffee market prices and resulting economic pressures. The integrity of the property would also be negatively affected by gold mining activities.

Authenticity

The Coffee Cultural Landscape of Colombia is an authentic reflection of a centenary process of man's adaptation to challenging geographical and climatic conditions of this area, known as the Eje Cafetero. The CCLC contains very few contemporary incongruous additions to its traditional architectural and landscape patterns, and no substantial modifications to the small towns located in the property as well as in the buffer zone. Aspects such as traditions, language and other forms of intangible heritage, have been preserved, mostly by owners and the local community, who have a high sense of social appropriation of their cultural heritage.

Protection and management requirements

While the traditional land-use patterns of the CCLC are legally protected, the legal protection of the property area is provided through the land-use plans. Further legal protection of the six component sites as cultural heritage sites may be desirable. An additional basis for some of the protection mechanisms is customary law and governance integrating customary management. The property would benefit from a better integration of these customary practices with the formal protection and management provisions.

The management of the CCLC is coordinated by a management committee, which was established by the Ministry of Culture, the Colombian Coffee Growers Federation (FNC), the Governors of Caldas, Quindío, Risaralda and Valle or their delegates, representatives of the Coffee Growers and universities. An Executive Director was appointed to oversee the implementation of the management system, which is guided by a management plan, developed with the support of the Centre for Regional, Coffee and Business Studies (CRECE).

Within the management framework, strong emphasis is given to the economic and social well-being of the inhabitants and coffee farmers, their appropriation of the

cultural heritage, and environmental sustainability of the coffee production in the living cultural landscape. Although the management plan does address some of the predominant pressures, including inappropriate development, gold mining, changes to local farming traditions through inappropriate use of pesticides, fertilizers, waste-water processing and soil erosion, the respective land use plans yet need to be integrated with and adjusted to the management plan objectives and additional legislation is required for the semi-urban and rural area traditional buildings, which contribute to the significance of the CCLC.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	COFFEE FARMING	✖			
3.2.2	ARCHITECTURAL	✖			
3.2.3	URBAN	✖			
3.2.4	NATURAL	✖			
3.2.5	INMATERIAL	✖			
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
NONE

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.1.2 - Commercial development
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.1.3 - Industrial areas
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

✖ Relevant		Not relevant	

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔧 Current	🔧 Potential	◉ Inside	🔧 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
⊕ Positive ✖	✖	✖	✖	✖		→	
⊖ Negative ✖		✖	✖			→	

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Potential

✖ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	🛡 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	🖊 **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖		✖			→	
⊖ Negative							

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

CURRENTLY THERE IS ROAD SIGNS RELATED TO THE CULTURAL LANDSCAPE COHERENT WITH THE SITE INSTALLED ON THE MAIN ROUTES OF COMMUNICATION

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

DOES NOT APPLY

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant | ✖ Not relevant

4.3.4 - Localised utilities
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant | ✖ Not relevant

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

✖ Relevant	Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🗐 Current	🗐 Potential	◉ Inside	☁ Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	⬈ Increasing
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖	✖		✖			→	

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively
SOME ENERGY TOWER PROJECTS BUT DUE TO THEIR DIMENSIONS AND LOCATION THEY ARE NOT AFFECTING THE EXCEPTIONAL VALUE OF THE CULTURAL LANDSCAPE AND ARE NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN THE PRODUCTIVE CHARACTER OF THE LANDSCAPE AND ITS RELATIONSHIP WITH THE REST OF THE COUNTRY

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant | ✖ Not relevant

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

✖ Relevant	Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🗐 Current	🗐 Potential	◉ Inside	☁ Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	⬈ Increasing
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖		✖	✖			→	

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant | ✖ Not relevant

4.4.4 - Air pollution
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant | ✖ Not relevant

4.4.5 - Solid waste
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant | ✖ Not relevant

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant | ✖ Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

DOES NOT APPLY

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✖ Relevant					Not relevant		
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	**Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖		✖			→	
⊖ Negative							

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

DOES NOT APPLY

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

✖ Relevant					Not relevant		

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🏳 **Current**	🏳 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	🏳 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	✏ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖		✖	✖			→	

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✖ Relevant					Not relevant		

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🏳 **Current**	🏳 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	🏳 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	✏ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖		✖			→	
⊖ Negative ✖		✖	✖			→	

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

DOES NOT APPLY

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.5 - Dust
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.7 - Pests
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively
DOES NOT APPLY

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Not relevant

✖ Relevant							
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⦿ **Inside**	🏳 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖	✖	✖			→	
⊖ Negative							

Not relevant

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

✖ Relevant							
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⦿ **Inside**	🏳 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖		✖			→	
⊖ Negative							

Not relevant

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

✖ Relevant					Not relevant		

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	☁ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	✏ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖		✖	✖			→	
⊖ Negative ✖		✖	✖			→	

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively
DOES NOT APPLY

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.3 - Military training
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.4 - War
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.5 - Terrorism
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.6 - Civil unrest
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively
DOES NOT APPLY

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant		✖ Not relevant

4.10.2 - Flooding
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant		✖ Not relevant

4.10.3 - Drought
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant		✖ Not relevant

4.10.4 - Desertification
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant		✖ Not relevant

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant		✖ Not relevant

4.10.6 - Temperature change
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant		✖ Not relevant

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant		✖ Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively
DOES NOT APPLY

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

✖ Relevant				Not relevant			

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖		✖	✖			→	

4.11.2 - Earthquake
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

✖ Relevant				Not relevant			

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖		✖	✖			→	

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

✖ Relevant				Not relevant			

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	⬈ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	✏ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖		✖	✖			→	

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

DOES NOT APPLY

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively
DOES NOT APPLY

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

✕ Relevant	Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	🗺 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✕	✕		✕				↗
⊖ Negative							

4.13.2 - Legal framework

✕ Relevant	Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	🗺 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✕	✕		✕				↗
⊖ Negative							

4.13.3 - Governance

✕ Relevant	Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	🗺 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✕	✕		✕				↗
⊖ Negative							

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Not relevant

✕ Relevant	Not relevant

|	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	🗺 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕				↗
⊖ Negative							

4.13.5 - Financial resources

✕ Relevant	Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	🗺 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✕	✕		✕				↗
⊖ Negative							

4.13.6 - Human resources

✕ Relevant	Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	🗺 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕				↗

⊖ Negative									

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Not relevant

✖ Relevant					Not relevant			

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	**Current**	**Potential**	**Inside**	**Outside**	**Decreasing**	**Stable**	**Increasing**	
⊕ Positive ✖	✖		✖			→		
⊖ Negative								

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively
DOES NOT APPLY

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)
DOES NOT APPLY

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	⊕	🚩	🚩	⊙	⬚	→
	⊖		🚩	⊙		→
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	⊕	🚩		⊙		→
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.5 Major linear utilities						
	⊖	🚩		⊙		→
4.4 Pollution						
4.4.2 Ground water pollution						
	⊖		🚩	⊙		→
4.5 Biological resource use/modification						
4.5.3 Land conversion	⊕	🚩		⊙		→
4.6 Physical resource extraction						
4.6.1 Mining						
	⊖		🚩	⊙		→
4.6.2 Quarrying	⊕	🚩		⊙		→
	⊖		🚩	⊙		→
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	⊕	🚩	🚩	⊙		→

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	Positive	Current		Inside		→
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	Positive		Potential	Inside		→
	Negative		Potential	Inside		→
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.1 Volcanic eruption						
	Negative		Potential	Inside		→
4.11.2 Earthquake						
	Negative		Potential	Inside		→
4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide						
	Negative		Potential	Inside		→
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	Positive	Current		Inside		↗
4.13.2 Legal framework	Positive	Current		Inside		↗
4.13.3 Governance	Positive	Current		Inside		↗
4.13.4 Management activities	Positive	Current	Potential	Inside		↗
4.13.5 Financial resources	Positive	Current		Inside		↗
4.13.6 Human resources	Positive	Current	Potential	Inside		↗
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	Positive	Current		Inside		→

Legend		Current		Potential		Negative		Positive		Inside		Outside

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	Positive	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→
	Negative		Potential	Inside		→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic

| | Frequent |
| | On-going |

| **Impact - Impact on the attributes** |
	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

| **Management response - Capacity of management to respond** |
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

| **Trend - Developement over the last 6 years** |
	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	🟢	🚩		◉		→

| **Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor** |
	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

| **Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact** |
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

| **Impact - Impact on the attributes** |
	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

| **Management response - Capacity of management to respond** |
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

| **Trend - Developement over the last 6 years** |
| | Decreasing |

✖	Static
✖	Increasing

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.3.5 Major linear utilities						
	⊖	🚩		◉		→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.4 Pollution

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.4.2 Ground water pollution						
	⊖		🚩	◉		→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✖	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.5.3 Land conversion	🟢	🚩		◉		➡

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity

	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.6 Physical resource extraction

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.6.1 Mining						
	⊖		▧	◉		→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.6.2 Quarrying	⊕	▧		◉		→
	⊖		▧	◉		→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
✖	Localised

	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	🟢	🚩	🚩	◎		➡

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✖	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	⊕	⚑		◉		➡

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
✖	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	⊕		⚑	◉		➡
	⊖		⚑	◉		➡

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted

	Localised
✖	
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.11.1 Volcanic eruption						
	⊖		◁	◉		→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
✖	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.11.2 Earthquake						
	⊖		🚩	◉		→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide						
	⊖		🚩	◉		→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	

	Restricted
✖	
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	⊕	🚩		◉		↗

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✖	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant

	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.2 Legal framework	⊕	🚩		◉		↗

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.3 Governance	⊕	🚩		◉		↗

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.4 Management activities	🟢	🚩	🚩	◉		↗

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant

	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources	⊕	⚑		◉		➚

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.6 Human resources	⊕	⚑	⚑	◉		➚

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	🟢	🚩		◉		➡

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✖	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant

	Major			

Management response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity			
✗	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing			
✗	Static			
	Increasing			

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

THE SIX AREAS THAT MAKE UP THE COFFEE CULTURAL LANDSCAPE HAVE THE SAME EXPOSURE TO FACTORS

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	COFFEE FARMING	✗			
4.18.1.2	ARCHITECTURAL	✗			
4.18.1.3	URBAN	✗			
4.18.1.4	NATURAL	✗			
4.18.1.5	INMATERIAL	✗			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are **adequate to maintain** the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries **are known by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known and recognised by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

DOES NOT APPLY

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

Legal protection exists for certain buildings in the cities and the historic centres of Salamina and El Cairo have been protected at national and local level respectively. Protection is however absent for buildings in the semiurban and rural areas that make up the majority of the property and for the overall coffee landscape. The coffee farmers have a degree of protection, in terms of the use of the land.

Protection is implemented at the national government level, primarily through the Ministry of Culture in conjunction with the Ministry of Environment, Housing and Territorial Development, and also the Ministry of Commerce, Industry and Tourism. These collaborate with Private Sector and Civil Society organisations and the community to implement protection within the PCC.

Thus there is an integrated protection mechanism operating at the National, Provincial, Municipal, Sub-District, and Town Centre levels. Apart from legal protection, the main protective instruments are land-use plans and protection of the status of the coffee growing area that is wider than the property.

The land use plans of the municipalities when revised will need to take into account the provisions of the PCC Management Plan.

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?
The **legal framework** for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?
The **legal framework** in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?
The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for** effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?
There is **acceptable capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice
THERE IS AN INSTITUTIONAL ORGANIZATION CREATED BY CONVENTION 1769 FROM 2009 TO 2029 THAT GROUPS THE REGIONAL GOVERNMENTS OF THE 4 DEPARTMENTS INVOLVED IN THE COFFEE CULTURAL LANDSCAPE, THE MINISTRY OF CULTURE AND THE NATIONAL FEDERATION OF COFFEE GROWNERS AS LOCAL MANAGER OF THE SITE, LAW 1913 STRENGTHENED THIS ORGANIZATION INSTITUTIONAL INCORPORATING 4 OTHER MINISTRIES, THE NATIONAL PLANNING DEPARTMENT AND THE DELEGATE OF THE PRESIDENT OF THE REPUBLIC, THE UNIVERSITIES AND THE MAYOR'S OFFICES

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property
DOES NOT APPLY

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property
Public management system joint national/ local

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A management plan

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property
THERE IS A CULTURAL LANDSCAPE MANAGEMENT PLAN IN CHARGE OF THE INSTITUTIONAL ORGANIZATION THAT COMBINES THE NATIONAL, REGIONAL, LOCAL GOVERNMENT AND THE NATIONAL FEDERATION OF COFFEE GROWNERS. THE PLAN IS ORIENTED TO THE PROTECTION OF CULTURAL VALUES AND RISK FACTORS, IT IS ORGANIZED INTO 7 OBJECTIVES AND 14 STRATEGIES THAT SEEK TO PRESERVE THE PRODUCTIVE AND LIVING CHARACTER OF THE CULTURAL LANDSCAPE, PROMOTING COFFEE FARMING, CULTURAL AND NATURAL HERITAGE AND ITS INTEGRATION TO THE TERRITORY

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Coffee Cultural Landscape of Colombia - Management Plan 2009	In Force	Available	2010	

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?
Some use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.
IT HAS BEEN RECOMMENDED TO THE 51 MUNICIPALITIES THE INCLUSION OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE HISTORICAL URBAN LANDSCAPE IN THE TERRITORIAL ORDERING PLANS WHICH ARE THE MAIN INSTRUMENT OF LAND REGULATION. EMPHASIS HAS BEEN PLACED ON THE PROPER IDENTIFICATION AND MANAGEMENT OF URBAN HERITAGE ATTRIBUTES RELATED TO RURAL AREAS THAT MAKE UP THE WIDER TERRITORY OF THE CULTURAL LANDSCAPE, SUCH AS THE ORGANIZATION OF URBAN SETTLEMENTS IN THE FORM OF A GRID IN THE MOUNTAINS

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?
Some use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:
To promote enviromental sustenability we have the Environmental Sustainability program led by the Federation and the regional Environmental Corporations. In the

case of Inclusive social development, we have developed programs through 6 paths: education, infrastructure, social protection, community participation and associativity, equity of gender and generation gap. In relation to economic development the capacity of coffee growers in the efficient management of the farm is strengthened.

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?

Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

In order to reduce risks in the Landscape, risk management workshops have been developed in the coffee cultural landscape with the risk management secretaries of the regional governments addressed to the 51 municipalities of the site, applying the technical guidelines of the ICOMOS documents, promoting the management with cultural risk and planning authorities of the different levels of government.

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is **coordination** between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, **but it could be improved**

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully implemented and monitored**

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan **exists and all of its activities are being implemented and monitored**

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			✖		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities			✖		
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone			✖		
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples		✖			
5.3.15.5	Women			✖		
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	✖				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities			✖		
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities				✖	
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples		✖			
5.3.16.4	Landowners				✖	
5.3.16.5	Women				✖	
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children			✖		
5.3.16.7	Researchers				✖	
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists				✖	
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists			✖		
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry				✖	
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries				✖	
5.3.16.12	NGOs			✖		
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	✖				

	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention*

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality				✖	
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)				✖	
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				✖	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach				✖	
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				✖	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property			✖		

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

It promotes gender equity through fair and equal access to development opportunities and empowering women to lead processes and communities. It also contributes to the care of ecosystems through the comprehensive management of hydrographic basins. The focus on human rights is given by democracy and participation that allows coffee producers to communicate and solve their problems through collective action, facilitated by an organizational structure and the Federation of Coffee Growers.

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

The Coffee Cultural Landscape of Colombia, has the Management Plan as a guide where objectives, strategies and actions for the management of the property are presented, the main tools for its management, standards, institutional structure, conservation plan and the elements are found as well as the monitoring system. Through Law 1913-2018, the Intersectoral Commission is created as an entity that coordinates and promotes programs to strengthen the attributes of the UNESCO declaration

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	2 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	15 %	5 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	10 %	10 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	3 %	5 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	70 %	80 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above
does not apply

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?
The available **budget is acceptable** but **could be further improved** to fully meet the management needs

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?
The existing sources of funding are **secure over the medium-term** and **planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term**

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

does not apply

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	50 %	50 %
6.1.6.2	Women	50 %	50 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?
Human resources **partly meet** the management needs of the World Heritage property

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?
Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.
Cultural heritage programs have been developed for organized heritage groups and the coffee community, and risk management workshops have been developed for CCLC municipalities. The Federation promotes the generational connection program, strengthening leadership, entrepreneurship opportunities and life options in coffee for boys, girls and young people.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?
A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, **but most technical work is carried out by external staff**

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

The Caldas Workshop School Foundation is part of the CCLC, which is part of the National Workshop School Program of Colombia and has the mission of recovering and promoting trades related to cultural heritage such as traditional construction techniques, traditional kitchens, weaving, among others. others. The Manuel Mejia Foundation, a leading non-profit organization in the development of rural educational processes in accordance with the culture of the site, is also located in the territory.

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **acceptable** for most key areas but there are gaps

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results **are shared with local communities and some national agencies**

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

The studies are published and accessible on the website paisajeculturalcafetero.org

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Poor
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Women	Fair
Youth/children	Fair
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Fair
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Fair
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth **but it only partly meets the needs**

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Local/municipal authorities
Landowners
Women
Youth/children
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry
Local businesses and industries
NGOs

Other specific groups

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Fair
Site museum	Fair
Information booths	Fair
Guided tours	Fair
Trails/routes	Fair
Printed information materials	Fair
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Fair
Other	Fair
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building
does not apply

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

3160694 / 1424008 / 4129374 / 3713737 / 3663372 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Transportation services
Tourism industry
Other
The Ministry of Commerce, Industry and Tourism developed the new platform Center for Tourist Information of Colombia, CITUR.

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?
Two overnight stays

9.4 - Please provide the source of information
IV TECHNICAL COMMITTEE OF TOURISM STATISTICS 2018 SITUR CCLC

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

4.4 / 9.36 / 10.46 / 0.23 / 3.16 / 0.59 /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information
INBOUND TOURISM REPORT MARCH 2019 SITUR CCLC

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?
There is a planned and **effective strategy** to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7
The CCLC Management Plan has Objective 2: Promote the development of the coffee community and its surroundings, Strategy 4: Encourage the development of productive and tourist projects that generate value for rural inhabitants. The Intersectoral Technical Commission of the CCLC prioritized and approved the project Strengthening the productive chain of tourism and community-based initiatives in the CCLC.

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?
Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?
There is **good cooperation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry **to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation**

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?
The Outstanding Universal Value of the property **is adequately presented and interpreted**

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?
In **many locations**, but **not easily visible** to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?
No fees are collected

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?
Not applicable

If 'Yes', please specify

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?
Not applicable

If 'Yes', please specify

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property
does not apply

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?
There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of monitoring**, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?
Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved**

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				✖
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				✖
10.3.3	Character of governance				✖
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations				✖
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development				✖
10.3.6	Capacity development				✖

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property
The monitoring indicators of the Management Plan and the state of conservation of the site are found in Chapter 6 of the CCLC Management Plan.

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Poor
Local communities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Poor
Landowners	Poor
Women	Fair
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industry	Fair
NGOs	Not applicable
Other specific groups	Not applicable

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is underway

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

The recommendations related to the strengthening of the protection measures of the cultural and natural attributes of the site, to the realization of an inventory of cultural heritage as well as the management of activities such as mining with the corresponding local, regional and national entities are being implemented.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

does not apply

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.6	There is **acceptable capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain	✖
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	**Some use** has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	✖
5.3.7	**Some use** has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	✖
5.3.9	**Some use** has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	✖
5.3.11	There is **coordination** between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, **but it could be improved**	✖
5.3.17	• **In a limited manner**, the management system of the World Heritage property does **contribute to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property**	
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available **budget is acceptable** but **could be further improved** to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	
6.1.7	Human resources **partly meet** the management needs of the World Heritage property	
6.1.10	**Some use** has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	
6.1.12	**A site-based** capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, **but most technical work is carried out by external staff**	✖
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
8.2	There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth **but it only partly meets the needs**	✖
9	Visitor Management	
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**	
10	Monitoring	
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage **property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved**	

> **Please select 3 more issues.**

> ⬚ **Please save this question to reflect changes**

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.1	Buildings and Development					
4.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	a large tourism infrastructure project could affect the urban and architectural heritage by building projects that do not take into account the cultural and natural values of the territory	the guide for the incorporation of the CCLC into the land use plans was developed, which contains the rules for the use and buildability of the land and a follow-up of possible interventions on the site is carried out, issuing the technical concept	The revision of the Territorial Ordering Plans is promoted to include the protection of the CCLC with actions that must be improved every time there is a change of local and regional government.	every two years	Ministry of Culture and regional governments of the CCLC	does not apply

4.3	Services Infrastructures						
4.3.5	Major linear utilities	Energy infrastructure projects could affect the visual perception attribute of the Landscape	The concept requests on this type of project are reviewed before the CCLC and the management measures on this type of project	It is carried out according to the timeline that describes each type of project	It is carried out according to the timeline that describes each type of project	culture Ministry	does not apply
4.4	**Pollution**						
4.4.2	Ground water pollution	Contamination could affect natural attributes and coffee production	The CENICAFE research center has monitoring teams at different points in order to carry out follow-up and control to take management measures with the people from the rural extension service who reach coffee producers.	The CENICAFE research center has monitoring teams at different points in order to carry out follow-up and control to take management measures with the people from the rural extension service who reach coffee producers.	permanent	Cenicafe and Autonomous Regional Environmental Corporations	does not apply
4.6	**Physical resource extraction**						
4.6.1	Mining	some mining activities could affect the natural attributes of the CCLC	The activities related to mining are being investigated with the activities related to that sector and the Ministry of Environment and Sustainable Development in order to specify the management needs according to each activity and its location.	annual	annual	Ministries of: Culture, Environment and Mines and Energy	does not apply
4.6.2	Quarrying	some Quarrying could affect the natural attributes of the CCLC	The activities related to Quarrying are being investigated with the activities related to that sector and the Ministry of Environment and Sustainable Development in order to specify the management needs according to each activity and its location.	annual	annual	Ministries of: Culture, Environment and Mines and Energy	does not apply
4.8	**Social/Cultural uses of heritage**						
4.8.6	Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	If there is no adequate management of tourism, the perception of the landscape and the natural and cultural attributes of the CCLC can be affected.	There is a Tourism Committee that is part of the CCLC Institutionality that proposes and promotes policies that promote tourism in harmony with the environmental and social sustainability of the CCLC.	There is a Tourism Committee that is part of the CCLC Institutionality that proposes and promotes policies that promote tourism in harmony with the environmental and social sustainability of the CCLC.	The Regional Technical Tourism Subcommittee of the Coffee Cultural Landscape of Colombia meets on the third Friday of each month in the place defined by the secretariat, or when convened by the President or the Technical Secretariat.	Regional Technical Tourism Subcommittee of the Coffee Cultural Landscape of Colombia	does not apply
4.11	**Sudden ecological or geological events**						
4.11.1	Volcanic eruption	all CCLC attributes would be affected	The Colombian Geological Service monitors all the volcanic activity in the country and carries out the pertinent actions so that the entities and communities can prepare and manage the corresponding risks.	The Colombian Geological Service monitors all the volcanic activity in the country and carries out the pertinent actions so that the entities and communities can prepare and manage the corresponding risks.	permanent	The Colombian Geological Service	does not apply

4.11.2	Earthquake	all CCLC attributes would be affected	The National Unit for Disaster Risk Management monitors the Earthquake activity in the country and carries out the pertinent actions so that the entities and communities can prepare and manage the corresponding risks.	The National Unit for Disaster Risk Management monitors the Earthquake activity in the country and carries out the pertinent actions so that the entities and communities can prepare and manage the corresponding risks.	permanent	The National Unit for Disaster Risk Management	does not apply
4.11.4	Avalanche/Landslide	all CCLC attributes would be affected	The National Unit for Disaster Risk Management monitors the Landslide activity in the country and carries out the pertinent actions so that the entities and communities can prepare and manage the corresponding risks.	The National Unit for Disaster Risk Management monitors the Landslide activity in the country and carries out the pertinent actions so that the entities and communities can prepare and manage the corresponding risks.	permanent	The National Unit for Disaster Risk Management	does not apply

Summary - Factors affecting the Property **completed**

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.2		Protective Measures			
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
5.2.6	There is **acceptable capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain	strengthen technical and financial capacity to improve legal protection measures for the CCLC	permanent	Ministry of Culture, regional entities and Intersectoral Commission of the CCLC	does not apply

5.3		Management System/Management Plan			
5.3.5	**Some use** has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	disseminate the aspects contained in the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to the local and regional entities that are part of the CCLC	permanent	Ministry of culture and regional and local identities	does not apply
5.3.7	**Some use** has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	study and promote the recommendations and content of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage in coordination with the climate change policy in Colombia	permanent	Ministry of Culture, National Federation of Coffee Growers, regional and local entities under the coordination of the Institute of Hydrology, Meteorology and Environmental Studies - IDEAM	Does not apply
5.3.9	**Some use** has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	The National Unit for Disaster Risk Management monitors the activity in the country and carries out the pertinent actions so that the entities and communities can prepare and manage the corresponding risks.	permanent	The National Unit for Disaster Risk Management	does not apply
5.3.11	There is **coordination** between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, **but it could be improved**	Strengthen the institutional organization of the CCLC and the communication channels, also integrating instruments such as social networks, virtual meetings, among others.	permanent	the institutional organization of the CCLC	Does not apply

6.1	Funding				
6.1.12	**A site-based** capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, **but most technical work is carried out by external staff**	Strengthen local communities with knowledge transfer for the conservation and management of the Heritage asset through workshops, courses, virtual meetings, among others.	annual training programs	Ministry of culture and regional and local identities	Does not apply

8	Education, Information and Awareness Building				
8.2	There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth **but it only partly meets the needs**	Strengthen education, information and awareness programs for children and young people of the site regarding the conservation and management of the CCLC heritage asset in coordination with educational institutions and universities	annual training programs	Ministry of Culture, Regional and Local entities, educational institutions and universities	Does not apply

Summary - Management Needs completed

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?
The Authenticity of the World Heritage property **has been preserved**

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?
The Integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?
The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?
Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **intact**

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.
does not apply

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	Positive

Advocacy	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Gender equality	Positive
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Positive
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

does not apply

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

The National Federation of Coffee Growers develops programs for the inhabitants of the Coffee Cultural Landscape of Colombia (CCLC), among which are programs aimed at strengthening the production of coffee cultivation, guaranteeing the purchase of the product from coffee growers at a transparent price, and to seek new forms of added value in the production chain that translate into higher returns for producers. Likewise, it is the main entity that manages projects to improve the quality of life of the producer and his family, such as: *Environmental: Water, Soil, Biodiversity, Waste, Energy, Emissions * Community infrastructure: improvement of roads, housing, basic sanitation, energization, social infrastructure, connectivity and purification. * Economic development: price, productivity and cost reduction. * Social: education, social protection, community participation and associativity, gender equity and generational joint.

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Sustainable Development
Synergies
State of Conservation
Management
Governance
Capacity Building

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The *World Heritage Convention*
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Good

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

| Update of management plans |
| Fundraising |
| Awareness raising |
| Advocacy |

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

does not apply

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

| Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage |
| Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff |
| Focal points of other international conventions/programmes |
| UNESCO National Commission |

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance **is explicitly considered and effectively implemented** in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

Yes

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

| 56 / 20 / 80 / |

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		✖
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	✖	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			✖	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			✖	

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

Carry out the training regarding the questionnaire with greater anticipation

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Good
UNESCO National Commission	Good
ICOMOS International	Good
IUCN International	Good
ICCROM international/regional	Good
ICOMOS national/regional	Good
IUCN national/regional	Good

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good

UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Good
National Commission for UNESCO	Good
ICOMOS International	Good
ICCROM International/regional	Good
ICOMOS national/regional	Good
IUCN national/regional	Good
IUCN International	Good

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?
Yes

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?
does not apply

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

- **Geographic information table**

  Reason for update: ZONE COORDINATES ZONE A N5 28 18.00 W75 40 54.00 ZONE B N5 20 02 00 W75 42 38.99 ZONE C N5 17 22.00 W75 31 16.00 ZONE D N4 34 57.81 W75 41 06.40 ZONE E N4 09 35.00 W76 23 41.00 ZONE F N5 02 11.00 W75 56 29.00

- **Map(s)**

  Reason for update: The map was sent with the conditions requested by Unesco in the month of November 2021

> Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
this periodic reporting exercise reminds us and reiterates the importance of preserving the CCLC, its attributes, and building awareness in local communities and beyond.

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.