

Land

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Hiraizumi – Temples, Gardens and Archaeological Sites Representing the Buddhist Pure Land

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Chūson-ji	39.001 / 141.108	137.2	6008	6145.2	2011
Mōtsū-ji	38.989 / 141.108	22.7	?	22.7	2011
Kanjizaiō-in Ato	38.989 / 141.11	3.8	?	3.8	2011
Muryōkō-in Ato	38.993 / 141.116	4.2	?	4.2	2011
Mt Kinkaisan	38.993 / 141.109	8.3	?	8.3	2011
Total (ha)		176.2	6008	6184.2	

Comment

The entered longitude and latitude for Chūson-ji and latitude for Mōtsū-ji differ from those noted in the nomination dossier. The correct longitudes and latitude Chūson-ji: Latitude N39°00'04 Longitude E141°05'59 Mōtsū-ji: Latitude N38°59'19 The longitude is as provided in the dossier.

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Hiraizumi – maps of inscribed property	2011	

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

- [Cultural Heritage Online \(Japanese Only\)](#)
- [Iwate Prefecture website \(English, Japanese, Chinese and Korean\)](#)
- [Town of Hiraizumi website \(English, Japanese, Chinese and Korean\)](#)
- [Iwate Prefecture website \(English and Japanese\)](#)

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		x
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		x
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		x
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		x
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		x

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	x
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	x
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	x
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	x
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	x
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

Yes

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

Traditional skills, techniques and knowledge for the conservation and transmission of wooden architecture in Japan (Japan, 2020)

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

No

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you are aware.

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief synthesis

The four Pure Land gardens of Hiraizumi, three focused on the sacred mountain Mount Kinkeisan, exemplify a fusion between the ideals of Pure Land Buddhism and indigenous Japanese concepts relating to the relationship between gardens, water and the surrounding landscape. Two gardens are reconstructed, with many details recovered from excavations, and two remain buried. The short-lived city of Hiraizumi was the political and administrative centre of the northern realm of Japan in the 11th and 12th century and rivalled Kyoto, politically and commercially. The four gardens were built by the Ōshō Fujiwara family, the northern branch of the ruling clan, as symbolic manifestations of the Buddhist Pure Land on this earth, a vision of paradise translated into reality through the careful disposition of temples in relation to ponds, trees and the peaks of Mount Kinkeisan. The heavily gilded temple of Chūson-ji - the only one remaining from the 12th century -, reflects the great wealth of the ruling clan.

Much of the area was destroyed in 1189 when the city lost its political and administrative status. Such was the spectacular rise and conspicuous wealth of Hiraizumi and its equally rapid and dramatic fall, that it became the source of inspiration for many poets. In 1689, Matsuo Bashō, the Haiku poet, wrote: 'Three generations of glory vanished in the space of a dream...'. The four temple complexes of this once great centre with their Pure Land gardens, a notable surviving 12th century temple, and their relationship with the sacred Mount Kinkeisan are an exceptional group that reflect the wealth and power of Hiraizumi, and a unique concept of planning and garden design that influenced gardens and temples in other cities in Japan.

Criterion (ii): The temples and Pure Land gardens of Hiraizumi demonstrate in a remarkable way how the concepts of garden construction introduced from Asia along with Buddhism evolved on the basis of Japan's ancient nature worship, Shintoism, and eventually developed into a concept of planning and garden design that was unique to Japan. The gardens and temples of Hiraizumi influenced those in other cities, notably Kamakura where one of the temples was based on Chūson-ji.

Criterion (vi): The Pure Land Gardens of Hiraizumi clearly reflect the diffusion of Buddhism over south-east Asia and the specific and unique fusion of Buddhism with Japan's indigenous ethos of nature worship and ideas of Amida's Pure Land of Utmost Bliss. The remains of the complex of temples and gardens in Hiraizumi are symbolic manifestations of the Buddhist Pure Land on this earth.

Integrity

The property encompasses the remains of the temple complexes with their Pure Land Gardens and the sacred mountain of Mount Kinkeisan to which they are visually aligned. Although the sites of Chūson-ji, Mōtsū-ji, Kanjizaiō-in Ato and Mount Kinkeisan conserve their visual links in a complete manner, at the Muryōko-in site, houses and other structures have a negative influence. The visual links between the temples and Mount Kinkeisan span areas outside the property in the buffer zone. To protect the spatial landscape relating to Pure Land cosmology, the spatial integrity of these links need to be sustained.

Authenticity

There is no doubt of the authenticity of the excavated remains. Two of the gardens have been reconstructed and this work has been underpinned by rigorous analysis of the built and botanical evidence. For the surviving structures, the main building Chūson-ji Konjikidō is a remarkable survival and has been conserved with great skill in a way that ensures its authenticity of materials and construction. The authenticity of the temple in its landscape has to a certain extent been compromised by the concrete sheath building that now surrounds it. To sustain the ability of the property to convey its value, it is essential that the four temples are able to convey in an inspiring way their association with the profound ideals of Pure Land Buddhism.

Protection and Management requirements

The property and its buffer zone are well protected through a range of designations - Historic Sites, Special Historic Sites, Places of Scenic Beauty or Special Places of Scenic Beauty. Protecting views between sites and protecting their setting will be crucial to ensure that the sites have the ability to demonstrate their relationship with the landscape in a meaningful way though allowing them to be oases of contemplation. Iwate Prefecture and the relevant municipal government have set up the Iwate Prefecture World Heritage Preservation and Utilization Promotion Council to provide the overall management framework for the property. This Council receives expert advice from the Instructing Committee for Research and Conservation of the Group of Archaeological Sites of Hiraizumi.

The Comprehensive Preservation and Management Plan was completed and implemented in January 2007, and revised in January 2010. Any projects to implement proposals in the plan to re-instate and restore the other two buried gardens will need to be submitted to the World Heritage Centre for evaluation by ICOMOS, and consideration by the World Heritage Committee, in line with paragraph 172 of *Operational Guidelines for the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention*. The local government has signed an agreement with the local institutions and invited the local community to patrol the property and offer suggestions on protection, management and

presentation.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Temples, gardens, and Mt. Kinkeisan in current existence or as archeological sites	x			
3.2.2	The visual links between three gardens and Mt. Kinkeisan	x			
3.2.3	Environment surrounding the gardens	x			
3.2.4					
3.2.5					
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
 Although the environment around the property is changing as a result of development projects, we conduct Heritage Impact Assessments for large-scale projects and give consideration to eliminate/reduce negative effects on the property's intrinsic value.

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

x Relevant		Not relevant						
	Impact			Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing	
Positive	x		x					
Negative	x		x	x				

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Relevant	x Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Relevant	x Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Relevant	x Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

x Relevant		Not relevant						
	Impact			Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing	
Positive	x	x	x	x				
Negative								

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

For housing, negative effects on the surrounding environment from existing housing remain; however, improvements are seen as a result of progress in demolition. For visitation facilities, these facilities are having a positive impact in terms of boosting understanding of the property's OUV.

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

x Relevant		Not relevant						
	Impact			Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
Negative	x		x	x				

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Relevant	x Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Relevant	x Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Relevant	x Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

x Relevant		Not relevant						
	Impact			Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
Negative		x	x	x				

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The construction and improvement of surface transportation facilities are having negative impacts on the surrounding environment and visual links. The effects generated by use of transportation facilities have potential negative impacts on the surrounding environment.

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Relevant Not relevant

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Relevant Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative		x		x			

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Relevant Not relevant

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Relevant Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative	x			x			

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Relevant Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive	x	x	x	x			
Negative	x		x				

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Renewable energy facilities have potential impacts on the surrounding environment, and localized utilities have negative impacts on the visual links and surrounding environment. Major linear utilities have negative impacts on the archeological sites, but landscape-friendly embankments and the burial of electrical lines have positive impacts on the surrounding environment.

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Relevant Not relevant

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Relevant Not relevant

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Relevant Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative		x	x	x		-	

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Relevant Not relevant

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Relevant Not relevant

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Relevant Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Acid rain has a potential negative impact on structural corrosion, etc.

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Relevant Not relevant

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Relevant Not relevant

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Relevant Not relevant

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Relevant Not relevant

4.5.5 - Crop production

Relevant Not relevant

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Relevant Not relevant

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Relevant Not relevant

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Relevant Not relevant

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Relevant Not relevant

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Relevant Not relevant

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Relevant Not relevant

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Relevant Not relevant

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Relevant Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Relevant Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Relevant Not relevant

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Relevant Not relevant

4.7.3 - Temperature

Relevant Not relevant

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Relevant Not relevant

4.7.5 - Dust

Relevant Not relevant

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Relevant Not relevant

4.7.7 - Pests

Relevant Not relevant

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Relevant Not relevant

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Relevant Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Negative <input type="checkbox"/>							

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Relevant Not relevant

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Relevant Not relevant

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Relevant Not relevant

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Relevant Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Negative <input type="checkbox"/>							

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/visitation/Recreation

Relevant Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Negative <input type="checkbox"/>							

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The relocation of residents from the property and associated building demolition have positive impacts by strengthening integrity. Additionally, the development of facilities has a potential positive impact associated with enhanced religious use and interpretation.

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Relevant Not relevant

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Relevant Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input type="checkbox"/>							
Negative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		

4.9.3 - Military training

Relevant Not relevant

4.9.4 - War

Relevant Not relevant

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Relevant Not relevant

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Relevant Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Graffiti on structures in the property potentially has a negative impact on the property's integrity and surrounding environment. However, less graffiti is being seen.

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Relevant Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative		x	x	x		--	

4.10.2 - Flooding

Relevant Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative		x		x		--	

4.10.3 - Drought

Relevant Not relevant

4.10.4 - Desertification

Relevant Not relevant

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Relevant Not relevant

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Relevant Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative		x	x	x		--	

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Relevant Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

High winds and floods have potential negative effects on the surrounding environment, and changes in the water quality and vegetation attributable to warming temperatures have potential negative impacts on the authenticity of the temples and gardens.

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Relevant Not relevant

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Relevant Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative		x	x	x		--	

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Relevant Not relevant

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Relevant Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative		x	x	x		--	

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Relevant Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative		x	x			--	

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Relevant Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative		x	x	x		--	

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Collapses associated with earthquakes and heavy rains, erosion of gardens, etc., have potential negative impacts on the property's integrity and surrounding environment.

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Relevant Not relevant

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Relevant Not relevant

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Relevant Not relevant

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Relevant Not relevant

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Relevant Not relevant

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Relevant Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

Relevant Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Negative	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

4.13.2 - Legal framework

Relevant Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Negative	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

4.13.3 - Governance

Relevant Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Negative	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

4.13.4 - Management activities

Relevant Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Negative	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

4.13.5 - Financial resources

Relevant Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Negative	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

4.13.6 - Human resources

Relevant Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Negative	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Relevant Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Negative	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Relevant Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Negative	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The national government's establishment of a subsidy scheme has a positive impact on preservation and management. The legal framework, management system, research, etc., have positive impacts in guaranteeing the property's integrity. The decreasing number of successors has a potential negative impact in handing down traditional performing arts.

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development			
4.1.1 Housing			
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities			
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure			
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure			
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure			
4.3 Services Infrastructures			
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities			
4.3.4 Localised utilities			
4.3.5 Major linear utilities			
4.4 Pollution			
4.4.3 Surface water pollution			
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage			
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses			
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community			
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation			
4.9 Other human activities			
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage			
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events			
4.10.1 Storms			
4.10.2 Flooding			
4.10.6 Temperature change			
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events			
4.11.2 Earthquake			
4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide			
4.11.5 Erosion and siltation/Deposition			
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)			
4.13 Management and institutional factors			
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan			
4.13.2 Legal framework			
4.13.3 Governance			
4.13.4 Management activities			
4.13.5 Financial resources			
4.13.6 Human resources			
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities			
4.13.8 High impact research/monitoring activities			

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Legend ■ Current ■ Potential ■ Negative ■ Positive ■ Inside ■ Outside

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.1.1 Housing	■ ■	■ ■	▲ ▼

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

- Restricted
- Localised
- Extensive
- Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

- One off or rare
- Intermittent or sporadic
- Frequent
- On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

- Insignificant
- Minor
- Significant
- Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

- High capacity
- Medium capacity
- Low capacity
- No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

- Decreasing
- Static
- Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	■ ■ ■	■ ■	▲ ▼

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

- Restricted
- Localised
- Extensive
- Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

- One off or rare
- Intermittent or sporadic
- Frequent
- On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

- Insignificant
- Minor
- Significant
- Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

- High capacity
- Medium capacity
- Low capacity
- No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

- Decreasing
- Static
- Increasing

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	■ ■	■ ■	▲ ▼

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

- Restricted
- Localised
- Extensive

<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	High capacity

<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.3.4 Localised utilities	<input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.3.5 Major linear utilities	<input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

4.4 Pollution

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.4.3 Surface water pollution	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted

<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

High capacity

Medium capacity

Low capacity

No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

Decreasing

Static

Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Restricted

Localised

Extensive

Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

One off or rare

Intermittent or sporadic

Frequent

On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

Insignificant

Minor

Significant

Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

High capacity

Medium capacity

Low capacity

No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

Decreasing

Static

Increasing

4.9 Other human activities

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Restricted

Localised

Extensive

Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

One off or rare

Intermittent or sporadic

Frequent

On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

Insignificant

Minor

Significant

Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

High capacity

Medium capacity

Low capacity

No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

Decreasing

Static

Increasing

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.10.1 Storms	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Restricted		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread		
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact			
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent		
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going		
Impact - Impact on the attributes			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major		
Management response - Capacity of management to respond			
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity		
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources		
Trend - Development over the last 6 years			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increasing		

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.10.2 Flooding	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Localised		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread		
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact			
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent		
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going		
Impact - Impact on the attributes			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major		
Management response - Capacity of management to respond			
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity		
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources		
Trend - Development over the last 6 years			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increasing		

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.10.6 Temperature change	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Widespread		
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact			
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going		
Impact - Impact on the attributes			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor		

<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.11.2 Earthquake	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
------	--------	--------	-------

4.11.5 Erosion and siltation/Deposition						
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

- Restricted
- Localised
- Extensive
- Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

- One off or rare
- Intermittent or sporadic
- Frequent
- On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

- Insignificant
- Minor
- Significant
- Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

- High capacity
- Medium capacity
- Low capacity
- No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

- Decreasing
- Static
- Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

- Restricted
- Localised
- Extensive
- Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

- One off or rare
- Intermittent or sporadic
- Frequent
- On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

- Insignificant
- Minor
- Significant
- Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

- High capacity
- Medium capacity
- Low capacity
- No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

- Decreasing
- Static
- Increasing

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

- Restricted
- Localised
- Extensive
- Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

- One off or rare
- Intermittent or sporadic
- Frequent
- On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

Insignificant

Minor

Significant

Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

High capacity

Medium capacity

Low capacity

No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

Decreasing

Static

Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.2 Legal framework	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Restricted

Localised

Extensive

Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

One off or rare

Intermittent or sporadic

Frequent

On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

Insignificant

Minor

Significant

Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

High capacity

Medium capacity

Low capacity

No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

Decreasing

Static

Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.3 Governance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Restricted

Localised

Extensive

Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

One off or rare

Intermittent or sporadic

Frequent

On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

Insignificant

Minor

Significant

Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

High capacity

Medium capacity

Low capacity

No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

Decreasing

Static

Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.4 Management activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Restricted		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread		
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact			
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent		
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going		
Impact - Impact on the attributes			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major		
Management response - Capacity of management to respond			
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources		
Trend - Development over the last 6 years			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increasing		

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Widespread		
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact			
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going		
Impact - Impact on the attributes			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Significant		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major		
Management response - Capacity of management to respond			
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity		
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources		
Trend - Development over the last 6 years			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increasing		

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.6 Human resources	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Widespread		
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact			
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going		

Impact - Impact on the attributes

Insignificant

Minor

Significant

Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

High capacity

Medium capacity

Low capacity

No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

Decreasing

Static

Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	<input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Restricted

Localised

Extensive

Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

One off or rare

Intermittent or sporadic

Frequent

On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

Insignificant

Minor

Significant

Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

High capacity

Medium capacity

Low capacity

No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

Decreasing

Static

Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.8 High impact research/monitoring activities	<input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Restricted

Localised

Extensive

Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

One off or rare

Intermittent or sporadic

Frequent

On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

Insignificant

Minor

Significant

Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

High capacity

Medium capacity

Low capacity

No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

Decreasing

Static

Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.1.14.2.14.3.54.11.4/ Muryōkō-in Ato 4.1.54.8.1/ Chūson-ji 4.8.5/ Kanjizaiō-in Ato 4.9.2/ Chūson-ji, Mōtsū-ji 4.10.64.11.5/ Mōtsū-ji, Kanjizaiō-in Ato Other/ All components

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1 Temples, gardens, and Mt. Kinkeisan in current existence or as archeological sites	x			
4.18.1.2 The visual links between three gardens and Mt. Kinkeisan	x			
4.18.1.3 Environment surrounding the gardens	x			
4.18.1.4				
4.18.1.5				

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries do not limit the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones do not limit the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

There are Special Historic Sites that were added after inscription areas as well as areas that, while outside the buffer zone, have the same laws and regulations as the buffer zone applied to them. We plan to change the boundaries of the property limits and buffer zone in the future.

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

Comment

With the Law for the Protection of Cultural Properties serving as the main legal framework, there are four Special Historic Sites, one Historic Site, one Special Place of Scenic Beauty, and one Place of Scenic Beauty as well as one structure that is a National Treasure and two structures that are Important Cultural Properties. All are appropriately protected and managed.

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

2004 / Landscape Act / http://www.japaneselawtranslation.go.jp/law/detail?id=2533&vm=04&re=D1&new=1 2008 /
Hiraizumi Town ordinance for the development of town and landscape in harmony with nature and history / Aims to realize urban development where local residents have a sense of affinity and pride befitting a historical capital and to preserve and pass on the region's cultural landscapes to future generations. / 1949 / Outdoor Advertisement Act /
Establishes necessary regulatory standards for the display of outdoor advertisements, the installation and maintenance of objects that display outdoor advertisements, and for the outdoor advertising business in order to create a favorable landscape, maintain scenic beauty, and prevent harm to the general public. / 2009 / Hiraizumi Town ordinance on outside advertisements / Aims to realize the formation of landscapes befitting a historical capital by establishing necessary matters concerning the location, size, form, and design of outdoor advertisements and objects that display outdoor advertisements based on the stipulations of the Outdoor Advertisement Act. /

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

Working in collaboration with departments in charge of authorizations for landscape, etc., we get a grasp of development projects (plans) based laws and tie the results to the World Heritage's conservation.

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property

Public management system joint national/ local

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

<input type="checkbox"/> A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.
<input type="checkbox"/> An integrated management plan combining World Heritage and any other designations
<input type="checkbox"/> An annual work plan or business plan
<input type="checkbox"/> A visitor/visitation management plan

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

Iwate Prefecture maintains an organization of employees dedicated to preserving and managing the World Heritage. It is also striving to ensure full cooperation with boards of education in relevant municipalities by setting up a liaison and coordination body. Furthermore, Iwate Prefecture and the relevant municipalities have established the "Iwate Prefecture council to promote the preservation and use of World Heritage."

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Comment

Comprehensive Preservation and Management Plan for "Hiraizumi - Temples, Gardens and Archaeological Sites Representing the Buddhist Pure Land," Hiraizumi Town landscape plan, action plan for the preservation and management of Hiraizumi's cultural properties, Hirizui Town general plan, Hiraizumi Town tourism promotion plan, Iwate Prefecture basic land use plan, Iwate Prefecture urban planning master plan, Iwate prefectural citizens' plan

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

No use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?

No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is adequate coordination between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being fully implemented and monitored

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and all of its activities are being implemented and monitored

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

	Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1 Local communities			x		
5.3.15.2 Local authorities				x	
5.3.15.3 Landowners in the property and the buffer zone			x		

5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	x				
5.3.15.5	Women		x			
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	x				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities				x	
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					x
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	x				
5.3.16.4	Landowners					x
5.3.16.5	Women			x		
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children				x	
5.3.16.7	Researchers					x
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists				x	
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists				x	
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry				x	
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries			x		
5.3.16.12	NGOs		x			
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	x				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality			x		
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	x				
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status			x		
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach			x		
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood			x		
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property			x		

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

The main relationship is with local authorities, as the management system is founded on protection based on the laws and regulations. However, we build the management system while also maintaining relationships with owners, local communities, and tourists.

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	59 %	0 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	32 %	55 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	7 %	45 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	2 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

Another aspect is the share borne by the owners themselves.

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	61 %	50 %
6.1.6.2	Women	39 %	50 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Not applicable
Community participation and inclusion	Poor
Risk preparedness	Poor
Capacity development and education	Good

Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Poor
Marketing and promotion	Poor
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Not applicable
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Poor
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Poor
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Poor
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is adequate

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a comprehensive, integrated programme of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared widely with active outreach to local communities and national and international audiences

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Women	Fair
Youth/children	Fair
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Poor
National/international tourists	Poor
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industries	Fair
NGOs	Not applicable
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned and effective education and awareness programme for children and youth that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Youth/children
Researchers
Local Visitors

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Good
Site museum	Fair
Information booths	Fair
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Fair
Printed information materials	Fair
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Fair
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

849,240 / 888,703 / 892,388 / 925,227 / 916,662 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One day (no overnight stay)

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

March 2018: Hiraizumi-cho Kanko Shinko Keikaku (Hiraizumi Town tourism promotion plan)

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

100 / 20 / 20 / 15 / 0 / 25 /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

August 2020: Reiwa Gan'nen-do Iwate-ken Kanko Tokai Gaiyo, Mōtsū-ji Chūson-ryō Haikan-ryō (FY2019 Iwate Prefecture summary of tourism statistics; entrance fees for Mōtsū-ji and Chūson-ji)

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a planned and effective strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

No

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

Fees are collected, and make some contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

No

If 'Yes', please specify

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

Social benefits

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a comprehensive, integrated programme of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined for measuring the state of conservation and are being used in monitoring of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				x
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system		x		
10.3.3	Character of governance		x		
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations				x
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development		x		
10.3.6	Capacity development				x

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

Preservation of the property's visual links, protection of the property's relevance, protection of individual components, protection of the buffer zone and surrounding zones

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Local communities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Women	Non-existent
Researchers	Non-existent
Tourism industry	Non-existent
Local businesses and industry	Non-existent
NGOs	Not applicable
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is underway

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

[ongoing] a), b) We will expand the application of HIA to include items other than all major road improvements and clearly spelled out our thinking about HIA and specific methods for implementing them. c) We have presented a project plan and are continuing communication with ICOMOS. d) We will conduct precise archeological excavations as before. [completed] e) We formulated a management strategy concerning studies of capacity and visitors in FY2014 and spelled out a policy.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	No use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	x
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	x
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	x
5.3.17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to gender equality In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does integrate a human rights-based approach In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property 	
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	x
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	x

6.1.10	No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	x
6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff	x
9	Visitor Management	
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made	
9.11	There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation	
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	x

Please select 2 more issues.

■ Please save this question to reflect changes

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.1	Buildings and Development						
4.1.1		Housing					
4.2	Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure						
4.2.5	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	Noise and exhaust have potential negative impacts on the surrounding environment.	Implementation of traffic volume surveys	Measurement of traffic volume at the same locations every five years. The situation is expected to improve, as the number of vehicles is decreasing.	Conducted every five years	Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism (Iwate Prefecture, Hiraizumi Town)	Methods for conducting shorter-term studies will be studied in the future.
4.3	Services Infrastructures						
4.3.2	Renewable energy facilities	Wind power turbines and solar panels have potential negative impacts on the surrounding environment.	Implementation of Heritage Impact Assessments	We check anticipated views using photo montages and other means and engage in discussions with developers.	The situation is stable following the cancellation of projects, etc. We examine projects that could have negative impacts and respond as necessary when such projects are identified.	The Iwate Prefecture council to promote the preservation and use of World Heritage (Iwate Prefecture, Hiraizumi Town, Oshu City, Ichinoseki City)	We plan to expand the buffer zone, as project plans associated with renewable energy have revealed that the buffer zone is inadequate.
4.3.4		Localised utilities					
4.3.5	Major linear utilities						
4.4	Pollution						
4.4.3	Surface water pollution	Corrosion of structures and the like caused by acid rain have potential negative impacts on the temples' integrity.	Observations over time	We measure the pH of acid rain and observe its changes. The situation is stable.	Every three months	Property owners, management bodies	None
4.10	Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.6	Temperature change	Changes in the gardens' water quality and vegetation caused by warming temperatures have potential impacts on the property's authenticity.	Observations over time	We observe changes in the state of water quality and vegetation. The situation is stable.	Between three months and a year	Property owners, management bodies	None
4.11	Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.2	Earthquake	Seismic movements and associated changes in the ground conditions have impacts on the temples and gardens, visual links, and surrounding landscape.	Observations over time	We conduct visual observations of daily changes. The situation is stable.	Implemented as necessary	Property owners, property management bodies	Daily observations of the inclination of Mōtsū-ji's standing stone made it possible to identify change following the Great East Japan Earthquake of 2011.
4.13	Management and institutional factors						
4.13.6	Human resources	The decreasing number of successors has a potential negative impact in handing down traditional performing arts	Observations over time	We record the number of traditional performing art programs that are passed on and the number of times religious rituals and performing arts are held. The situation is stable	Each year	Hiraizumi Town, Oshu City	None

Question not completed

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan				
5.3.5	No use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	We will conduct studies that include usage possibilities.	Medium to long term	Iwate Prefecture, Hiraizumi Town, Oshu City, Ichinoseki City	N/A
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	We will conduct studies that include usage possibilities.	Medium to long term	Iwate Prefecture, Hiraizumi Town, Oshu City, Ichinoseki City	None
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	We will conduct studies that include usage possibilities.	Medium to long term	Iwate Prefecture, Hiraizumi Town, Oshu City, Ichinoseki City	None
6.1	Funding				
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	We will conduct studies that include methods	Long term	Iwate Prefecture, Hiraizumi Town, Oshu City, Ichinoseki City, property owners	N/A

6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	We will conduct studies that include methods.	Medium to long term	Iwate Prefecture, Hiraizumi Town, Oshu City, Ichinoseki City, owners	None
6.1.10	No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	We will conduct studies that include usage possibilities.	Medium to long term	Iwate Prefecture, Hiraizumi Town, Oshu City, Ichinoseki City	None
6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff	We will conduct studies that include method.	Medium to long term	Iwate Prefecture, Hiraizumi Town, Oshu City, Ichinoseki City	None
9 Visitor Management					
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	We will conduct studies on presentation and interpretation that include methods.	Medium to long term	Iwate Prefecture, Hiraizumi Town, property owners	None

Summary - Management Needs completed

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

The Authenticity of the World Heritage property **has been preserved**

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

The Integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **intact**

12.3.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Negative
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	Not applicable
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	Very positive
Advocacy	No impact
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Gender equality	No impact
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Not applicable
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	No impact
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Not applicable
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

1. Enhancement of World Heritage education for schoolchildren The governor and prefecture employees visit schools to give lessons on the World Heritage and present courses on Hiraizumi's cultural properties and World Heritage to children, who will be responsible for society's next generation, with the aim of developing new leaders to protect World Heritage properties. Additionally, we conducted a program that gave local schoolchildren an opportunity to participate in a restoration project at one of the components (Muryōkō-in Ato) of the property (by writing messages in the surfaces of sen bricks used in the restoration project). 2. Initiatives relating to Heritage Impact Assessments We conduct Heritage Impact Assessments on development undertakings that were not included in the recommendations of the World Heritage Committee's 35th session in order to protect the buffer zone from development projects and the like. Moreover, in light of the fact that a renewable energy (wind power) project was studied for installation outside of the buffer zone (the project was abandoned), we are strengthening conservation of the Hiraizumi World Heritage by establishing a new area to fall under the same regulations as the buffer zone. (We plan to expand the buffer zone out to this new area in the future.) Furthermore, we are conducting research toward the formulation of implementation criteria for Heritage Impact Assessments.

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

State of Conservation
Management
Capacity Building

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	No follow-up
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	No follow-up

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage
Update of management plans
Awareness raising

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

We intend to use the various recommendations, strategies, and documents we obtained from the recent Periodic Report so as to protect the property.

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties
Local communities

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance has not been explicitly considered or implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

No

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

40 / 80 / 80 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources	x	
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	x	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire		x		
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions	x			

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

We would like the range of options to be expanded. (We had difficulty in that we were unable to provide "in-between" responses.

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Not applicable
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	No support
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	No support
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• Geographic information table

Reason for update: The entered longitude and latitude for Chûson-ji and latitude for Môtûsû-ji differ from those noted in the nomination dossier. The correct longitudes and latitude Chûson-ji: Latitude N39°00'04 Longitude E141°05'59 Môtûsû-ji: Latitude N38°59'19 The longitude is as provided in the dossier.

Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.