## The Persian Garden

### 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

The Persian Garden

#### 1.2 - World Heritage property details

### 1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Ancient Garden of Pasargadae	30.167 / 53.167	249.65	2006.95	2256.6	2011
Bagh-e Eram	29.636 / 52.525	12.7	70.5	83.2	2001
Bagh-e Chehel Sotun	32.658 / 51.672	5.8	28.92	34.72	2011
Bagh-e Fin	33.373 / 51.373	7.6	173.4	181	2011
Bagh-e Abas Abad	36.664 / 53.594	420.2	1169.65	1589.85	2011
Bagh-e Shahzadeh	30.025 / 57.283	5.5	6181.5	6187	2011
Bagh-e Dolat Abad	31.903 / 54.352	8	72	80	2011
Bagh-e Pahlavanpur	31.56 / 54.44	3.5	28.5	32	2011
Bagh-e Akbariyeh	32.853 / 59.228	3.4	8.6	12	2011
Total (ha)		716.35	9740.02	10456.37	

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
The Persian Garden - maps of inscribed property	2011	

#### 1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

#### 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

## 2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

#### 2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

We want to join the Hague Convention.

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years? No

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

#### 2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

No

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

We want to join the Hague Convention.

## 2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

No

## 2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

#### 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

#### 3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

#### Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief synthesis

The Persian Garden consists of a collection of nine gardens, selected from various regions of Iran, which tangibly represent the diverse forms that this type of designed garden has assumed over the centuries and in different climatic conditions. They reflect the flexibility of the Chahar Bagh, or originating principle, of the Persian Garden, which has persisted unchanged over more than two millennia since its first mature expression was found in the garden of Cyrus the Great's Palatial complex, in Pasargadae. Natural elements combine with manmade components in the Persian Garden to create a unique artistic achievement that reflects the ideals of art, philosophical, symbolic and religious concepts. The Persian Garden materialises the concept of Eden or Paradise on Earth.

The perfect design of the Persian Garden, along with its ability to respond to extreme climatic conditions, is the original result of an inspired and intelligent application of different fields of knowledge, i.e. technology, water management and engineering, architecture, botany and agriculture. The notion of the Persian Garden permeates Iranian life and its artistic expressions: references to the garden may be found in literature, poetry, music, calligraphy and carpet design. These, in turn, have inspired also the arrangement of the gardens. The attributes that carry Outstanding Universal Value are the layout of the garden expressed by the specific adaptation of the Chahar Bagh within each component and articulated in the kharts or plant/flower beds; the water supply, management and circulation systems from the source to the garden, including all technological and decorative elements that permit the use of water for functional and aesthetic exigencies; the arrangement of trees and plants within the garden that contribute to its characterisation and specific micro-climate; the architectural components, including the buildings but not limited to these, that integrate the use of the terrain and vegetation to create unique manmade environments; the association with other forms of art that, in a mutual interchange, have been influenced by the Persian Garden and have, in turn, contributed to certain visual features and sound effects in the gardens.

**Criterion (i):** The Persian Garden represents a masterpiece of human creative genius. The design of the Persian Garden, based on the right angle and geometrical proportions, is often divided into four sections known as Chahar Bagh (Four Gardens). The creation of the Persian Garden was made possible due to intelligent and innovative engineering solutions and a sophisticated water-management system, as well as the appropriate choice of flora and its location in the garden layout. Indeed, the Persian Garden has been associated with the idea of earthly Paradise, forming a stark contrast to its desert setting.

Criterion (ii): The Persian Garden exhibits an important interchange of human values, having been the principal reference for the development of garden design in Western Asia, Arab countries, and even Europe. It is the geometry and symmetry of the architecture, together with the complex water management system, that seem to have influenced design in all these gardens. The word Paradise entered European languages from the Persian root word "Pardis", which was the name of a beautiful garden enclosed behind walls.

Criterion (iii): The Persian Garden bears exceptional, and even unique, testimony to the cultural traditions that have evolved in Iran and the Middle East over some two and a half millennia. Throughout its evolution, the Persian Garden has had a role in various cultural and social aspects of society, becoming a central feature in private residences, palaces and public buildings, as well as in ensembles associated with benevolent or religious institutions, such as tombs, park layouts, palace gardens, Meidans, etc.

Criterion (iv): The Persian Garden is an outstanding example of a type of garden design achieved by utilising natural and human elements and integrating significant achievements of Persian culture into a physical and symbolic-artistic expression in harmony with nature. Indeed, the Persian Garden has become a prototype for the geometrically-designed garden layout, diffused across the world.

**Criterion (vi):** The Persian Garden is directly associated with cultural developments of Outstanding Universal Value. These include literary works and poetry for example by Sa'di, Hafez and Ferdowsi. The Persian Garden is also the principal source of inspiration for the Persian carpet and textile design, miniature painting, music, architectural ornaments, etc. In the Avesta, the ancient holy book of the Zoroastrians, the Persian Garden and its sacred plants are praised as one of the four natural elements (earth, heavens, water, and plants). The Chahar Bagh is a reflection of the mythical perception of nature, and the cosmic order in the eyes of the ancient Iranian peoples.

#### Integrity

The Persian Garden comprises a sufficient number of gardens from across Iran and each garden contains sufficient elements to concur to express the Outstanding Universal Value of the series. The component gardens are in good condition and well maintained.

#### Authenticity

The Persian Garden, through its components, has developed alongside the evolution of the Persian society, while adhering to its early geometric model, the Chahar Bagh. Pasargadae and Bagh-e Abas Abad may be read as fossil landscapes while the other seven gardens retain their active role within their physical and social contexts.

#### Protection and Management requirements

Each garden is registered in the National Heritage List and therefore protected according to the Iranian legislation. Protection provisions established for the gardens and their 'buffer zones', defined according to the Iranian law in force, are also included in the Master Plans, the approval of which is issued by the Higher Council for Architecture and Urban Planning, in which sits also the Head of the Iranian Cultural Heritage, Handicrafts and Tourism Organisation (ICHHTO).

The existence of the National ICHHTO Base for the Persian Garden ensures that the management framework is one for the whole series, granting the coordination and harmonisation of strategies and objectives. The Management Plan includes objectives common to all component gardens of the series and a programme for strengthening presentation and promotion to the public has been developed.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a
guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	pasarghad gharden	×			
3.2.2	Eram gharden	×			
3.2.3	Abas Abad gharden	×			
3.2.4	Akbariye gharden	×			
3.2.5	Pahlevan por gharden	×			
3.2.6	Dolat Abad gharden	×			
3.2.7	Pasarghad gharden	×			
3.2.8	Shahzadeh gharden	×			
3.2.9	Fin gharden	×			
3.2.10		×			
3.2.11		×			
3.2.12		×			
3.2.13		×			
3.2.14		×			
3.2.15		×			

#### 3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 4. Factors Affecting the Property

#### 4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1	4		ы	~ .		in	~
4.		-	п	υ	มอ		u

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.1.2 - Commercial development	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.1.3 - Industrial areas	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infras	structure
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

#### 4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×		×		<b>→</b>	

Negative									
4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure									
Relevant			× Not relevant	X Not relevant					
4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure									
X Relevant			I	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	Gurrent	9 Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×			×		<b>→</b>			
Negative									
4.2.4 - Marine transport inf	rastructure								
Relevant			X Not relevant						
4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure									
Relevant			X Not relevant						

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.3. Services Infrastructures

#### 4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact	Trend of impact		
Impact	Gurrent	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×			×		<b>→</b>		
Negative								
4.3.2 - Renewable energy f	1.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities							
Relevant			X Not relevan	t				
4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities								
Relevant			X Not relevan	X Not relevant				
4.3.4 - Localised utilities	4.3.4 - Localised utilities							
X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Gurrent	9 Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×			×		<b>→</b>		
Negative								
4.3.5 - Major linear utilities								
X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Gurrent	9 Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×			×		$\rightarrow$		
Negative								

## 4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.4. Pollution

### 4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.4.2 - Ground water pollution	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.4.3 - Surface water pollution	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.4.4 - Air pollution	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.4.5 - Solid waste	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.4.6 - Input of excess energy	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.5. Biological resource use/modification

### 4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.2 - Aquaculture	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.3 - Land conversion	
Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Relevant X Not relevant
-------------------------

#### 4.5.5 - Crop production

X Relevant		1	Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×		<b>→</b>	
Negative							
4.5.6 - Commercial wild pla	ant collection						
Relevant			X Not relevant				
4.5.7 - Subsistence wild pl	ant collection						
Relevant			X Not relevant				
4.5.8 - Commercial hunting	g						
Relevant			× Not relevant				
4.5.9 - Subsistence huntin	g						
Relevant			× Not relevant				

#### 4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Pol	levant
1/6	ievani

× Not relevant

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.6.2 - Quarrying	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.6.3 - Oil and gas	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.6.4 - Water (extraction)	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind								
Relevant			× Not relevan	X Not relevant				
4.7.2 - Relative humidity								
Relevant			× Not relevan	X Not relevant				
4.7.3 - Temperature								
Relevant			× Not relevan	X Not relevant				
4.7.4 - Radiation/Light								
Relevant		× Not relevar	nt					
4.7.5 - Dust								
Relevant		× Not relevar	X Not relevant					
4.7.6 - Water (rain/water tak	ole)							
Relevant		× Not relevar	X Not relevant					
4.7.7 - Pests								
X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin	Origin Trend of impac		act		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×	×	×		<b>N</b>			
4.7.8 - Micro-organisms								
Relevant		× Not relevar	X Not relevant					

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

#### 4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

X Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×		×	×					
Negative									
4.8.2 - Society's valuing of	heritage								
Relevant		× Not relevant	X Not relevant						
4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting,	, gathering and	collecting							
Relevant	elevant			X Not relevant					
4.8.4 - Changes in traditior	al ways of life	and knowledge	system						
Relevant		X Not relevant	X Not relevant						
4.8.5 - Identity, social cohe	sion, changes	in local populati	on and comn	nunity					
Relevant		X Not relevant							
4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation									
Relevant			× Not relevant						
4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively									
4.9. Other human activities									
4.9.1 - Illegal activities									

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.3 - Military training	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.4 - War	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.5 - Terrorism	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.6 - Civil unrest	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.10.2 - Flooding	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.10.3 - Drought	
Relevant	× Not relevant
4.10.4 - Desertification	
Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.10.6 - Temperature change	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.11.2 - Earthquake	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

#### 4.12.1 - Translocated species

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.6 - Modified genetic material	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.13. Management and institutional factors

#### 4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

X Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact	act			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×		×	×		<b>→</b>			
Negative									

### 4.13.2 - Legal framework

X Relevant			1	Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×	×		×			1	
Negative								

### 4.13.3 - Governance

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×		×			1
Negative							

### 4.13.4 - Management activities

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X		×	×				1
Negative							

### 4.13.5 - Financial resources

X Relevant			I	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	<b>9</b> Potential	Inside	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×		×	×		<b>→</b>	
Negative							

### 4.13.6 - Human resources

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×				1
Negative							

### 4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×				1
Negative							

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

## 4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

We have a management plan, but in the short term it's under investigation.

4.14. Other factor(s)

### 4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

### 4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
1 Ground transport infrastructure		4	9		Ċ	<b>→</b>
4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure	٢	9			Ċ	<b>→</b>
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.1 Water infrastructure	٢	9			Ċ	<b>→</b>
4.3.4 Localised utilities	٢	9			Ċ	<b>→</b>
4.3.5 Major linear utilities	٢	9			Ċ	<b>→</b>
4.5 Biological resource use/modification						
4.5.5 Crop production	٢	9		۲	Ċ	<b>→</b>
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.7 Pests						
	٢	4	9	۲		\$
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	$\odot$	9		۲	Ċ	
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	٢	9		٢	Ċ	<b>→</b>
4.13.2 Legal framework	٢	4	9		Ċ	
4.13.3 Governance	0	9	9		Ċ	1
4.13.4 Management activities	$\odot$		9	٢		
4.13.5 Financial resources	0	9		٢	Ċ	<b>→</b>
				4	4	
4.13.6 Human resources	٢	ø		۲		1
		-1		Q.		

4.13.7 Low impact	research/monitoring act	ivities			٢	9	٢		
Legend	4 Current	4 Potential	Negative	O Positive	<ol> <li>Inside</li> </ol>	de	C Outsic	de	

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

## 4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend	
	round transport infrastructure		4	9	Grigin	œ	→	
4.2.1 0			-,	-,		3	•	
Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted							
	Localised							
×	Extensive							
	Widespread							
Tempo	ral scale - Occurence of the impact							
	One off or rare							
x	Intermittent or sporadic							
	Frequent							
	On-going							
Impact	- Impact on the attributes							
	Insignificant							
×	Minor							
	Significant							
	Major							
Manage	ement response - Capacity of management to respond							
×	High capacity							
	Medium capacity							
	Low capacity							
	No capacity and / or resources							
Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing							
×	Static							
	Increasing							

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
2.3 Air transport infrastructure		9			Ċ	<b>-</b>
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
Restricted						
Localised						

×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

## 4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name	Name		:	Origin		Trend
4.3.1 Wate	r infrastructure	٢	4		Ċ	<b>→</b>
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - In	npact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
×	Major					
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					

×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	t	Origin		Trend
4.3.4 Localised utilities	٢	9		Ċ	<b>→</b>

Name	Name		Impact				Trend
4.3.5 Major	4.3.5 Major linear utilities		4			Ċ	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	Ile - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						

	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	apact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	Int response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

## 4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.5.5 Crop	production	٢	9	۲	Ċ	<b>→</b>
Snatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
opution sou						
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					

Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

## 4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
4.7.7 Pests		Impact			Origin	Trend
4.1.1 1 000		0	4	9	٢	<u>_</u>
		•	-1	-1		
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					

## 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend

4.8.1 Ritual	/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	٢	9	۲	Ċ	/
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

## 4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.13.1 Man	agement system/Management plan	٢	9		۲	Ċ	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
opatial sea	- Alea allected by the lactor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						

## Impact - Impact on the attributes

Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static

Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.2 Legal framework	0	9	9		Ċ	

Spatial Sca	
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.13.3 Gov	ernance	٢	9	9		Ċ	
Spatial sca	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal :	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	apact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend
4.13.4 Management activities	0	9	٢	1

×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal se	cale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

#### Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

#### Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources	٢	9	۲	Ċ	<b>→</b>

×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.13.6 Huma	n resources	• •		٢	
Spatial scale	- Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal so	ale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Imp	act on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Managemen	t response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Deve	lopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities 💿 🍕 💿	Name		Impact			Origin	
	4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	٢	9		۲		1

×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

#### 4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

## 4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

#### 4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

## 4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Fin gharden	×			
4.18.1.2	Dolat Abad gharden	×			
4.18.1.3	Akbariye gharden	×			
4.18.1.4	Abas Abad gharden	×			
4.18.1.5	Eram gharden	×			

#### 5. Protection and Management of the Property

#### 5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

### 5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

#### 5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The buffer zones are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

#### 5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

#### 5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides

an adequate basis for effective management and protection

## 5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

## 5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property

#### 5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

**5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice** The laws related to the protection of the sanctuaries of the World Register Gardens are being implemented by the provincial administration with the help of the judiciary, and continuous monitoring is carried out by the Cultural Heritage Preservation Unit in the province.

## 5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

#### 5.3. Management System/Management Plan

## 5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system joint national/ local

### If 'Other', please specify

#### 5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)

Traditional ways of management recognised by local communities and other specific groups

Governance mechanisms that foster and respect traditional practices, knowledge and uses of the property

Agreed 'Memorandums of Understanding' between different managing institutions, groups or others, including documents agreed with local communities for management

Mechanisms to promote equal participation among and within groups, including different levels of authority, local communities, indigenous people, women and men, and other specific groups

A framework for inclusive economic development, including equal access and distribution of resources and opportunities arising from the protection of the property

A code of practice developed by local communities or other groups

#### A code of practice developed by industry

An integrated management plan combining World Heritage and any other designations

#### A management plan

An annual work plan or business plan

A disaster, climate or conflict risk management plan

A visitor/visitation management plan

An environmental management framework

An assessment of biological and cultural diversity and ecosystem services provided by the property

A joint approach to management of cultural and natural heritage

#### 5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

The management of world-registered gardens in the country, which in connection with the management of cultural heritage, handicrafts of the relevant province is under the supervision of the World Register of Databases of Iran. Manually prepared and communicated in Iran.

#### 5.3.4 - Management Documents

## 5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

No use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

## 5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ? Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property There is adequate coordination between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

**5.3.12** - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The management system/plan is **only partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being fully implemented and monitored

#### 5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and all of its activities are being implemented and monitored

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				×	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone				×	
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples				×	
5.3.15.5	Women				×	
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups				×	
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

## 5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					×
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples					×
5.3.16.4	Landowners					×
5.3.16.5	Women					×
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					×
5.3.16.7	Researchers					×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries					×
5.3.16.12	NGOs					×
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups					×
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

# 5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention*

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality				×	
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)				×	
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				×	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach				×	
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				×	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property				×	

### 5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

#### 5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

#### 6. Financial and Human Resources

#### 6.1. Funding

## 6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	90 %	90 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	10 %	10 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

#### 6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

#### 6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

#### 6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over both the medium- and long-term

#### 6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

## 6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	60 %	70 %
6.1.6.2	Women	40 %	30 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

#### 6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property

## 6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

## 6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

## 6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

#### 6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done. Use of local communities and local stakeholders to introduce protection based on sustainable management

## 6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff

#### 6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

#### 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained? Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **adequate** 

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable research but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

#### 7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared with local communities and some national agencies

#### 7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

il is noteworthy that extensive site-specific research is undertaken in almost all required aspects the results are made available to the local communities and relevant national agencies and also disserninalad internationally when required.

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

## 8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Good
Landowners	Good
Women	Good
Youth/children	Good
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Good
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Good
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Good
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue? There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth

#### 8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Local/municipal authorities
Indigenous peoples
Landowners
Women
Youth/children
Researchers
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry
Local businesses and industries
NGOs

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Good
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Good
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Good

Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Fair
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

#### 9. Visitor Management

#### 9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

1000 / 3200000 / 2750000 / 1950000 / 1870000 /

#### 9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

Visitor surveys

#### 9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One to three hours

#### 9.4 - Please provide the source of information

Management of world registration gardens in Iran

#### 9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

30 / 10 / 10 / 5 / 10 / 20 /

#### 9.6 - Please provide the source of information

Management of world registration gardens in Iran

## 9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation

#### 9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

#### 9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made** 

#### 9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, through the UNESCO Tourism Management Assessment Tool

### If a different system, please specify

## 9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is good cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

#### 9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted? The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted

#### 9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

#### 9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property? Fees are collected, and make some contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

#### 9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

### If 'Yes', please specify

Use of local accommodation and local food

## 9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

### If 'Yes', please specify

Creating employment in connection with tourism services

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

#### 10. Monitoring

## 10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving the understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

## 10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved

#### 10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				×
10.3.3	Character of governance				×
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations				×
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development				×
10.3.6	Capacity development				×

#### 10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

#### 10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Local communities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Good
Landowners	Good
Women	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industry	Good
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Good
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is underway

#### 10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

#### 10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

#### 11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

#### 11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.5	An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	×
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	No use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	

6.1.10       Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property       A         6.1.12       A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff       X         7       Scientific Studies and Research Projects       X         7.2       There is considerable research in the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value       X         8       Education, Information and Awareness Building       X         8.2       There is a limited and alo ceducation and awareness programme for children and/or youth       X         9.4       Visitor Management       X         9.7       There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation       X         9.9       Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made       X         10.1       There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Foritage property out derived impacts on the World Meritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding       X         10.1       There is considerable monitoring at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards man	5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×
6.1.3       The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage the World Heritage property       1         6.1.7       Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property       1         6.1.0       Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property       1         6.1.12       A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff       1         7       Scientific Studies and Research Projects       1         7.1       There is considerable research in the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value       1         8.1       Education, Information and Awareness Building       1         8.2       There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation       1         9.3       Visitor use of the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value       1         10.1       There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation       1         10	5.3.12	The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	
6.1.7       Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property       34         6.1.10       Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property       34         6.1.12       A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff       34         7       Scientific Studies and Research Projects       34         7.2       There is considerable research in the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value       34         8.2       Education, Information and Awareness Building       34         9.3       Visitor Management       34         9.4       Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made       34         9.3       Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made       34         10.4       There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding Universal Value       34         10.1       There is a strategy to manage but improvements could be made       36       36         10.2       Information on the values of the World Heritage prope	6.1	Funding	
6.1.10       Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property       A         6.1.12       A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff       X         7       Scientific Studies and Research Projects       X         7.2       There is considerable research in the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value       X         8       Education, Information and Awareness Building       X         8.2       There is a limited and alo ceducation and awareness programme for children and/or youth       X         9.4       Visitor Management       X         9.7       There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation       X         9.9       Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made       X         10.1       There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Foritage property out derived impacts on the World Meritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding       X         10.1       There is considerable monitoring at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards man	6.1.3	The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage the World Heritage property	
6.1.12       A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, plan or programme for other plan of the state of the state of outstanding of Outstanding Universal Value         8       Education, information and Awareness Building         8.2       There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth       plan         9.1       Visitor Management       property but there are some deficiencies in implementation       plan         9.3       Visitor use of the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value       plan         10.1       There is considerable monitoring at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value       plan         10.2       Information on the values of the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value       plan </td <td>6.1.7</td> <td>Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property</td> <td>×</td>	6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	×
but most technical work is carried out by external staff       Inter is considerable research Projects         7.2       Scientific Studies and Research Projects         7.2       There is considerable research in the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding       Inter is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth       Image: Province of Province	6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	×
7.2       There is considerable research in the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value         8       Education, Information and Awareness Building         8.2       There is a limited and a hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth       >         9       Visitor Management       >         9.7       There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation       >         9.9       Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made       >         10.1       There is considerable monitoring at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value       >         10.2       Information on the values of the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value       >         10.2       Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be made       >         10.2       Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be made       >         10.2       Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators h	6.1.12		×
Universal Value       Universal Value         8       Education, Information and Awareness Building         8.2       There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth       M         9       Visitor Management       M         9.7       There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation       M         9.9       Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made       M         10       Monitoring       M         10.1       There is considerable monitoring at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value       M         10.2       Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved       M         Please select 2 more issues.	7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects	
8.2       There is a limited and a hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth       #         9       Visitor Management       #         9.7       There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation       #         9.9       Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made       #         10       Monitoring       #         10.1       There is considerable monitoring at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value       #         10.2       Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved       #         Pleasestect 2 more issues.	7.2		
9       Visitor Management         9.7       There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation       A         9.9       Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made       Monitoring         10       Monitoring       Information on the values of the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value       Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved       Please select 2 more issues.	8	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
9.7       There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation       A         9.9       Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made       Image: Course of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made       Image: Course of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made       Image: Course of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made       Image: Course of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made       Image: Course of the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value       Image: Course of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved       Image: Course of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved       Image: Course of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved       Image: Course of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved       Image: Course of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved       Image: Course of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring at the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring at the World Heritage property i	8.2	There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth	×
9.9 Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made   10 Monitoring   10.1 There is considerable monitoring at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value   10.2 Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	9	Visitor Management	
10       Monitoring         10.1       There is considerable monitoring at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value         10.2       Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved         Please select 2 more issues.	9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation	×
10.1       There is considerable monitoring at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value       Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved       Improved         Please select 2 more issues.	9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made	
Universal Value       Universal Value         10.2       Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved       Please select 2 more issues.	10	Monitoring	
improved       Please select 2 more issues.	10.1		
	10.2		×
La rease save this question to renect changes		e select 2 more issues. Pase save this question to reflect changes	

## 12. Summary and Conclusions

### 12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

### 12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.7	Local condi	Local conditions affecting physical fabric									
4.7.7	Pests	pests	Monitoring	Monitoring is performed daily by specialized personnel To gather important information about the existence of growth and proliferation of pests	Daily	World Heritage Site	Database management is constantly monitoring the issues affecting the criteria				

Summary - Factors affecting the Property completed

### 12.2. Summary - Management Needs

### 12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.2	Protective Measures							
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment			
5.2.5	An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but there are some deficiencies in implementation	monitoring	Weekly	World Heritage Site	World heritage site management requires new monitoring methods			

		al Value g ns of icity ntegrity							
5.3	Man	nagement Sys	stem/Management Plan						
5.3.9 Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing fixed from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property		en made of Strategy for ducing Risks n Disasters Norld ritage operties at	Wind, storm heavy rain	Daily		World Her	ritage Site	information and the neo	udies and receiving the necessary from the meteorological departments cessary activities to minimize nns when faced with a complication.
6.1	Fund	ding							
6.1.7	mana	urces ly meet the agement ls of the d Heritage	Receive funding		yearly		World Heritage Site		World heritage management requires more funding
6.1.10	been the W Herita Strate Capa	age egy for acity elopment at Vorld age	Capacity development	əlopment		monthly		ement of	Use the strategy of educating local people to raise the level of information of local communities for capacity building and greater participation in preserving world heritage standards
6.1.12	capad buildii progra place partia imple some skills transf those the pr locally <b>most</b>	ing plan or ramme is in a and ally amented; a technical a technical a ferred to b e managing property ly, but t technical c is carried by external	Training is provided for ord and professional people we on the global site		monthly		World Heritage Manag Iranian Gardens	ement of	This World Heritage Site is upgrading staff expertise
8	Education,	Information a	nd Awareness Building						
8.2	There is a limited and hoc educati and awarene programme children and youth	l ad tion tess for	ducational Or				World Heritage Management of Iranian Gardens		There is intermittent education to involve children and adolescents in maintaining standards
9	Visitor Man	nagement							
9.7 There is a strategy t manage v tourism ac and its de impacts o World Her property b there are deficienc		touris itors, vity ved the age t <b>ome</b>	Reducing the impact of Ongoir tourists			World Garde	Heritage Management o		Management is trying to minimize the impact of tourists by adopting different management methods

	implementation							
10	Monitoring							
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	values of the World Heritage	Ongoing	World Heritage Management of Iranian Gardens	Database management			
Summary - Management Needs completed								

#### 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

**12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?** The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved

**12.3.2** - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact

## 12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

#### 12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

#### 12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

#### 13. Impact of World Heritage Status

#### 13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal/Policy framework	Very positive
Advocacy	Very positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Very positive
Gender equality	Very positive
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Very positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Very positive

If 'Other', please specify

#### 13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

#### **14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level** Use the participation of other bodies for conservation Integrated management under the title of Office of World Registration Gardens of Iran

#### 14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Sustainable Development
Synergies
State of Conservation
Management
Governance
Capacity Building

#### 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

#### 15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

#### 15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

## 15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Good

#### 15.2. Use of Data

#### 15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans	
Fundraising	
Awareness raising	
Advocacy	

#### 15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

It can be used to improve the quality of management and protection of the global registry

15.3. Timing and resources

#### 15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

Responsible persons for local designated sites under other international conventions/ programmes

Staff from other World Heritage properties

**15.3.2** - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? Yes

#### 15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

420 / 350 / 300 /

#### 15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training		×

#### 15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

#### 15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

#### 15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			х	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions		×		

#### 15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

#### 15.5. Training and Guidance

#### 15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Good
UNESCO National Commission	Good
ICOMOS International	Good
IUCN International	Good
ICCROM international/regional	Good
ICOMOS national/regional	Good
IUCN national/regional	Good

#### 15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

	-
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Fair
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Fair
National Commission for UNESCO	Poor
ICOMOS International	Poor
ICCROM International/regional	Poor
ICOMOS national/regional	Poor
IUCN national/regional	Poor
IUCN International	Poor

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

### 15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

- 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.