

# Cathedral, Alcázar and Archivo de Indias in Seville

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage property


Cathedral, Alcázar and Archivo de Indias in Seville

### 1.2 - World Heritage property details

### 1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
Catedral de Sevilla	37.386 / -5.993	2.4	68.34	70.74	2010
Reales Alcázares	37.384 / -5.992	9.8	68.33	78.13	2010
Archivo de Indias	37.385 / -5.993	0.47	68.33	68.8	2010
<b>Total (ha)</b>		<b>12.67</b>	<b>205</b>	<b>217.67</b>	

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Seville - Map of the approved minor boundary modification	2010	

### 1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

- [Ministerio de Educación, Culture y Deporte \(only in spanish\)](#)
- [Ayuntamiento de Sevilla \(only in spanish\)](#)
- [World Heritage Sites in Spain \(Tourist Office of Spain\)](#)
- [Real Alcázar de Sevilla](#)
- [Ministerio de Educación \(in Spanish\)](#)
- [Catedral de Sevilla \(in Spanish\)](#)
- [Ministerio de Educación \(in spanish\)](#)

## 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

**2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.**

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	<b>International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection</b> (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		✗
2.1.2	<b>List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection</b> (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		✗
2.1.3	<b>The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List)</b> (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		✗
2.1.4	<b>World Network of Biosphere Reserves</b> Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		✗
2.1.5	<b>Global Geoparks Network</b> UNESCO Global Geoparks		✗

## 2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

### 2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

### 2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

Not applicable

### 2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

### 2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

## 2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	

## 2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

### 2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

No

### 2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the

## Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

-

### 2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

Yes

### 2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you are aware.

Spanish Documentary Heritage in the Memory of the World Register. Four groups stored in the General Archive of the Indies (2007) Treaty of Tordesillas, 1494. (2009) "Capitulaciones del Almirante don Cristóbal Colón" [Capitulations of Admiral Christopher Columbus], 1492. (2013) Spanish and Japanese documents relating to the Keicho Tsunenaga embassy, 1613-1620 (2015) Fifteen vocabularies of languages of America, Asia and Oceania (late 18th century).

## 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

#### Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

##### Brief synthesis

Together the Cathedral, Alcázar and Archivo de Indias as a series, form a remarkable monumental complex in the heart of Seville. They perfectly epitomize the Spanish "Golden Age", incorporating vestiges of Islamic culture, centuries of ecclesiastical power, royal sovereignty and the trading power that Spain acquired through its colonies in the New World.

Founded in 1403 on the site of a former mosque, the Cathedral, built in Gothic and Renaissance style, covers seven centuries of history. With its five naves it is the largest Gothic building in Europe. Its bell tower, the Giralda, was the former minaret of the mosque, a masterpiece of Almohad architecture and now is important example of the cultural syncretism thanks to the top section of the tower, designed in the Renaissance period by Hernán Ruiz. Its "chapter house" is the first known example of the use of the elliptical floor plan in the western world. Ever since its creation, the Cathedral has continued to be used for religious purposes.

The original nucleus of the Alcázar was constructed in the 10th century as the palace of the Moslem governor, and is used even today as the Spanish royal family's residence in this city, thereby retaining the same purpose for which it was originally intended: as a residence of monarchs and heads of state. Built and rebuilt from the early Middle Ages right up to our times, it consists of a group of palatial buildings and extensive gardens. The Alcázar embraces a rare compendium of cultures where areas of the original Almohad palace - such as the "Patio del Yeso" or the "Jardines del Crucero" - coexist with the Palacio de Pedro I representing Spanish Mudéjar art, together with other constructions displaying every cultural style from the Renaissance to the Neoclassical.

The Archivo de Indias building was constructed in 1585 to house the Casa Lonja or Consulado de Mercaderes de Sevilla (Consulate of the merchants of Seville). It became the Archivo General de Indias in 1785, and since then it has become home to the greatest collection of documentation concerning the discovery of and relations with the New World. The Archivo de Indias, designed by the architect responsible for completing El Escorial, Juan de Herrera, is one of the clearest examples of Spanish Renaissance architecture. An enormous influence on Baroque Andalusian architecture and on Spanish neoclassicism, it symbolizes the link between the Old and the New World.

Seville owes its importance during the 16th and 17th centuries to its designation as the capital of the *Carrera de Indias* (the Indies route: the Spanish trading monopoly with Latin America). It was the "Gateway to the Indies" and the only trading port with the Indies from 1503 until 1718.

The *Conjunto Monumental*, or group of historic buildings encompassing the Cathedral/Giralda, the Alcázar and the Archivo de Indias, constitutes a remarkable testimony to the major stages of the city's urban history (Islamic, Christian, and that of Seville with its associations with the New World), as well as symbolizing a city that became the trading capital with the Indies for two centuries - a time during which Seville was the hub of the Spanish monarchy and played a major role in the colonization of Latin America following its discovery by Columbus.

Each one of these monuments is associated with the colonization process. The tomb of Columbus is preserved in the Cathedral. The Sala de los Almirantes (Admirals' hall) in the Alcázar was the headquarters of the Casa de Contratación (House of Trade), from which the monopoly with the Indies operated, and where, as a seat of learning, it spawned some of the most important expeditions of exploration and discovery of that period. And the Archivo de Indias has, since the 18th century, housed the most valuable and important documents which provide an insight into this historical event.

**Criterion (i):** The Giralda constitutes a unique artistic achievement, a masterpiece of Almohad architecture. The immense Cathedral with five naves which replaced the mosque is the largest Gothic edifice in Europe. The elliptical space of the Cabildo, created by Hernán Ruiz, is one of the most beautiful architectural works of the Renaissance.

**Criterion (ii):** The Giralda influenced the construction of numerous towers in Spain, and, after the conquest, in the Americas.

**Criterion (iii):** The Cathedral - the largest Gothic temple in Europe - and the Alcázar of Seville bear exceptional testimony to the civilization of the Almoravids and to that of Christian Andalusia dating from the re-conquest of 1248 to the 16th century, which was thoroughly imbued with Moorish influences.

**Criterion (vi):** The Cathedral, the Alcázar and the Lonja are directly and tangibly associated with a universally important event: the discovery of the New World by Christopher Columbus in 1492/1493 and the colonization of Latin America. The tomb of Christopher Columbus is in the Cathedral. Plans were made in the Admirals' Hall (Sala de los Almirantes) for a number of history's greatest explorations, notably the circumnavigation of the globe by Magellan and Sebastián Elcano (1519-1522). In the Lonja are conserved the most precious documents from the archives of the colonies in the Americas.

##### Integrity

The *Conjunto Monumental* retains in its configuration the physical integrity of the original buildings and the juxtaposition of the various major historical stages.

The Cathedral constitutes a fully-used and complete monument. A Gothic temple whose construction was begun at the beginning of the 15th century above Seville's former Mezquita Mayor - an Almohad building whose Patio de los Naranjos has been preserved and converted into the access courtyard to the Cathedral - and the Giralda - the minaret that has been reused as a bell tower. It clearly displays the original Gothic masonry construction. Similarly, the later Renaissance buildings such as the Sala Capitular (Chapter House) retain their original fabric.

The Alcázar is another monument that retains the integrity of the phases of the various periods in which it was built. The rooms, patios and gardens of the original Almohad palace are preserved in their original state, as are the Mudéjar constructions that make up the Palacio de Pedro I and the remaining later constructions and gardens that comprise the present-day *Conjunto Monumental*.

The Archivo de Indias building is preserved in its entirety, along with the valuable documents that it contains.

##### Authenticity

Each of the three buildings reflects clearly its architectural histories and convey their roles in the Spanish "Golden Age" in terms of ecclesiastical power royal sovereignty and the trading power that Spain acquired through its colonies in the New World.

In the restricted perimeter covered by the property, the three buildings are the most important manifestations of the power and influence of Spanish trade in the Americas. They are however not the only manifestations in the city and to reinforce their ability to convey the outstanding universal value of the property, there is a need to allow them to be associated with other remaining buildings.

The authenticity of the series of three buildings is to a degree vulnerable to changes in their setting which could leave them isolated from other associated buildings.

**Protection and management requirements**

Maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value remains guaranteed as long as individual protective mechanisms are in place for each one of the inscribed properties. The three buildings enjoy the highest degree of protection that exists in heritage legislation, at both regional and national levels, since they have been declared to be Properties of Cultural Interest in the Monuments category.

Similarly guaranteed are the conservation of individual buildings also associated with the Spanish trade in the Americas in the historical heart of the city that serves as the urban setting for the three monuments and the general characteristics of that urban environment. Fulfilling the legal requirement for the existence of specific urban plans and catalogues for its protection, this area, as a whole has been declared a Property of Cultural Interest. Given the enormous extent of this *Conjunto Histórico*, the protection plans have been drawn up according to homogeneous sectors. These Special Plans and Catalogues, together with the General Plan that came into force in 2006 (for those sectors whose Catalogue has yet to be completed), establish adequate measures for protection of the immediate setting of the property.

There are currently no action plans for the three buildings. However, there are provisions for improving the area included within a buffer zone whose boundary is under consideration.

In the medium term, provisions made by the City Council include the completion of the Catalogues of buildings to be protected in both of the *Conjunto Histórico* sectors that have not yet been drawn up (sector 7, "Cathedral Sector" and sector 8, "Encarnación-Magdalena Sector") to replace the current *precatalogues*.

In the medium term, there are plans to restore two buildings in the proposed buffer zone that relate to the colonization of Latin America, the Atarazanas (shipyard) and the San Telmo palace.

**Comment**

The last paragraph should be replaced by "Three important buildings have been restored in the buffer zone (San Telmo Palace, the Archbishop's Palace and the Gold Tower) and another is being restored (the Atarazanas medieval shipyard), all of them linked to the exploration and colonisation of the Americas.

**3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).**

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Monumental complex composed by buildings devoted to religious, royal and administrative use, which bear witness of the city's urban history, from Islamic to Christian times, and its role in Spain's relations to the New World.	✕			
3.2.2	Cathedral: the largest Gothic building in Europe. Its bell tower, the Giralda, was the minaret of the former mosque, a masterpiece of Almohad architecture.	✕			
3.2.3	Reales Alcázares: group of palatial buildings, both Muslim and Christian, and extensive gardens.	✕			
3.2.4	Archivo de Indias: one of the clearest examples of Spanish Renaissance architecture; home to the greatest collection of documentation concerning Spain's exchanges with the New World.	✕			
3.2.5	Urban setting and integration in surrounding landscape.		✕		
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

**3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value**

The three listed buildings are in a good state of preservation, although the excessive tourist traffic is noticeable, especially in the localised wear and tear of the floors and lower parts of the walls and furnishings. Adjacent public roads are used indiscriminately for occasional commercial purposes, especially craft fairs. The design and maintenance of these public roads is uneven and there is a noticeable excess of horse-drawn carriages parked on them.

**4. Factors Affecting the Property**

**4.1. Buildings and Development**

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

✕ Relevant

Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative ✕	✕		✕				

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

We recall that the UNESCO M.P. Committee (Seville 2009) studied the case of a 180 m high building that was built outside the buffer. The assembly of the P.M. Centre (S. Petersburg 2012) decided that the Seville property would not be included in the Heritage in Danger list; in 2023 the API-DBP-04SE-30/N-IV plan allows 70 m buildings outside the buffer. In 4.1.4. we warn of possible dangers due to an increase in tourist accommodation in the immediate vicinity of the property.

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

**4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively**

#### 4.3. Services Infrastructures

##### 4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

**4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively**

#### 4.4. Pollution

##### 4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

According to the media, there is public concern about the apparent increase in ambient temperature in the city centre of Seville and its possible influence on traditional climatic cycles, especially drought. There is a complaint filed with the authorities about the lack of shade and the negative influence of public pavements. There does not seem to be any direct effect on properties, or at least there are no scientific studies on this issue.

### 4.5. Biological resource use/modification

#### 4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

✕ Relevant

Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative ✕	✕			✕			

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

There is concern about the increase in sub-surface water abstractions in the vicinity of the city of Seville. There does not seem to be any direct effect on the properties, or at least no scientific studies have been published on the subject, as the extraction inside the three has not been modified, which is minimal given the sufficient external supply. There are no updated contingency plans to restrict consumption, which would mainly affect the gardens of the Royal Alcazar.e son mínimas dada l

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

##### 4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant









Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative ✕	✕		Negative ✕	✕			

#### 4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

High levels of visitation, with architectural alterations for tourist accommodation in the surrounding area. Decline and ageing of the local resident population.

#### 4.9. Other human activities

##### 4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

##### 4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

##### 4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

##### 4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

##### 4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

##### 4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

##### 4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

##### 4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

**4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively**

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

#### 4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant




4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

✕ Relevant

Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative ✕	✕						

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

On 18 July 2019, biologists from the Doñana Biological Station toured the city to take a census of invasive alien bird species; Kramer's Parakeets now number 4,400 and Argentinian Parrots 1,100. Following a study in 2022, the City Council awarded the development of a comprehensive control plan for both species to protect biodiversity throughout the city, which will run until 2024.

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

✕ Relevant

Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative ✕	✕		✕				

4.13.2 - Legal framework

✕ Relevant

Not relevant

	Impact	Origin	Trend of impact
--	--------	--------	-----------------

Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

#### 4.13.3 - Governance

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.13.5 - Financial resources

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.13.6 - Human resources

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	---

#### 4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively
















En 2012 se aprobaron los “Desarrollos del Avance del Plan Especial del Conjunto Histórico de Sevilla” para los sectores 6 (Real Alcázar) y 7 (Catedral, que incluye el Archivo de Indias), cuya tramitación fue suspendida por decisión judicial; por lo tanto, la propiedad está en un limbo normativo como parte del "Conjunto Histórico" de la ciudad. No obstante, prevalece la protección derivada de las declaraciones monumentales de cada uno de los tres edificios de la propiedad.

#### 4.14. Other factor(s)

##### 4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

#### 4.15. Factors Summary Table

##### 4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table



Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						
						
4.6 Physical resource extraction						
4.6.4 Water (extraction)						
						
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation						
						
4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species						
4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species						
						
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan						

						
4.13.2 Legal framework						
						
<b>Legend</b>	 Current	 Potential	 Negative	 Positive	 Inside	 Outside

## 4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors




### 4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

#### 4.1 Buildings and Development

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						
						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing





## 4.6 Physical resource extraction

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.6.4 Water (extraction)						
						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor
---

	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
✕	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

## 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation						
						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant



	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

#### 4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species




Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species						
	⊖	📢				↗

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>						
	Restricted					
✕	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>						
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
✕	Frequent					
	On-going					
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>						
✕	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>						
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
✕	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>						
	Decreasing					
	Static					
✕	Increasing					

#### 4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan			
			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.2 Legal framework			
			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	

	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✕	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

#### 4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

##### 4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

Todos los tres bienes en proporción similar, por los mismos factores.

#### 4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

##### 4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Monumental complex composed by buildings devoted to religious, royal and administrative use, which bear witness of the city's urban history, from Islamic to Christian times, and its role in Spain's relations to the New World.	✕			
4.18.1.2	Cathedral: the largest Gothic building in Europe. Its bell tower, the Giralda, was the minaret of the former mosque, a masterpiece of Almohad architecture.	✕			
4.18.1.3	Reales Alcázares: group of palatial buildings, both Muslim and Christian, and extensive gardens.	✕			
4.18.1.4	Archivo de Indias: one of the clearest examples of Spanish Renaissance architecture; home to the greatest collection of documentation concerning Spain's exchanges with the New World.	✕			
4.18.1.5	Urban setting and integration in surrounding landscape.		✕		

#### 5. Protection and Management of the Property

##### 5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

###### 5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries **do not limit the ability to maintain** the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they **could be improved**

###### 5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries **are known by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

###### 5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

###### 5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known and recognised** by the management authority **but are not known** by local communities/landowners

###### 5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

In a city with the topographical characteristics of Seville, any urban development or building intervention which is significantly high affects the Values, even if it takes place in the buffer zone.

##### 5.2. Protective Measures

###### 5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

The World Heritage properties of the old town of Seville are affected by multiple levels of legal protection.

The Law n. 16 on the Spanish Historical Heritage, dated 25 June 1985, states that:

- the duty and essential attribute of the State Administration is to guarantee the preservation of the Spanish Historical Heritage, promote its enrichment and safeguard access for all citizens to the property included in it (art. 2);
- each Autonomous Community is responsible for protection of its Historical Heritage (art. 6);
- Town Councils shall co-operate with the organisations that are responsible for enforcing this Law in the preservation and safeguarding of the Spanish Historical Heritage contained within their municipal territory, adopting any measures necessary to prevent them from deteriorating or being lost or destroyed (art. 7).

In particular we have:

- Law 16, dated 25 June 1985, on the Spanish Historical Heritage;
- Law 1, dated 3 July 1991, in the Patrimonio Histórico de Andalucía (in force when the "Torre Pelli/Cajasol" was approved);
- Law 7, dated 17 December 2002, on Urban Management of Andalucía (LOUA);
- Law 14, dated 26 November 2007, on the Historic Heritage of Andalucía (which has currently substituted the previous Law 1/1991), which rigorously applies the current concept of environment and landscape and provides the visual pollution or perceptual terms, forcing municipalities to introduce various types of planning urban or in-laws, measures and criteria for avoiding the possible condition of the declared monuments or groups.

The Cathedral, the Alcázar and the Archivo General de Indias are declared BIC (Bien de Interés Cultural) and in this case the Law 16/1985 states that "Property forming part of the Spanish Historical Heritage and declared of cultural interest under this Law or individually by Royal Decree shall enjoy special protection and safeguarding" (art. 9). In particular the declaration as property of cultural interest will entail the obligation for the municipality, in which they are located, to draw up a Special Plan for protection of the area involved by the declaration. Furthermore it is also possible to have another type of plan included in town planning legislation providing that under all circumstances it meets the requirements of this Law. Approval of these Plans will require a favourable report from the Administration responsible for the protection of the cultural property in question (art. 20).

The historical city of Seville has an indisputable (universal) urban, architectonic and artistic value, and it is already declared by Spain as a "conjunto histórico" of national value, with the administrative protection associated.

There is a Draft "General Rules for the application of the Historical Heritage of Andalucía" (2011, to be approved). The current protection will be guaranteed.

The "Historical centre of Seville" is protected as a BIC (Item of Cultural Interest) inscribed on 02/11/1990 (Published on BOE 6 November 1990).

#### Buffer Zone

The buffer zone includes the area around the three sites included in the World Heritage property and other buildings and spaces that were directly associated with, or have some tangible bearing on, the Latin America colonisation. In this way the buffer zone is the expression of the complementary between the three inscribed buildings and their area, expressing better the involvement of Seville in the process of Latin American colonisation.

The buffer zone - in which there are nine well managed "classed buildings" - is included within the extended Conjunto Histórico, declared by Royal Decree on 2nd November 2009, and covers many sectors. The Decree asks to be drawn up the definition of Special Protection Plans, but at the moment a plan for the "inner" sector is still missing and two plans for other sectors of "conjunto" are being approved.

Source: ICOMOS Mission, November 2011; Periodic Reporting Cycle 2

#### 5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

[https://sig.urbanismosevilla.org/PGOU2006\\_Des.aspx](https://sig.urbanismosevilla.org/PGOU2006_Des.aspx)

#### 5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate legal framework** for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists **but there are some deficiencies in implementation**

#### 5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate legal framework** in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists **but there are some deficiencies in implementation**

#### 5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate legal framework** exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property, **but there are some deficiencies in implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property

#### 5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain

#### 5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

#### 5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

### 5.3. Management System/Management Plan

#### 5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property

Public management system joint regional/local

If 'Other', please specify

#### 5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.
Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)
An environmental management framework

### 5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

Each of the buildings on the property is governed by a different management system. The Cathedral is privately owned, used and managed. The Archivo General de Indias is nationally owned, with shared national/regional use and management. The Real Alcázar is locally owned, with shared national/local use and management. The adjoining public spaces are locally owned, used and managed. There is no institutionalised coordination that unifies the management of the whole.

### 5.3.4 - Management Documents

### 5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

**No use** has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

### 5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

### 5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

**No use** has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

### 5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

### 5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?

**Some use** has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

### 5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

The "Plan for the Safeguarding of the Cultural Assets that make up the Santa María Cathedral complex in the city of Seville" was drafted and delivered in 2021, and the "Plan for the Safeguarding of the Cultural Assets that make up the Real Alcázar complex in the city of Seville" is being drafted.

### 5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is **no coordination** between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property

### 5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

**No management system/plan** is currently in place to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

### 5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

**No management system** is currently in place

### 5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

**No annual work/action plan** exists and it is **not needed**

### 5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities	×				
5.3.15.2	Local authorities	×				
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone	×				
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women	×				
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

### 5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities				×	

5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities			×		
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners		×			
5.3.16.5	Women		×			
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children		×			
5.3.16.7	Researchers		×			
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists			×		
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists			×		
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry			×		
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries		×			
5.3.16.12	NGOs		×			
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups		×			
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

**5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention***

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality	×				
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	×				
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status	×				
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach	×				
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood	×				
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property	×				

**5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above**

**5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan**

**6. Financial and Human Resources**

**6.1. Funding**

**6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.**

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	%
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	15 %	%
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	20 %	%
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	55 %	%
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	%
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	5 %	%
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	5 %	%

6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	%
		Total 100 %	Total 0 %

#### 6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

In the case of the Cathedral, the funds come exclusively from tourism income (entrance fees and merchandising); in the case of the General Archive of the Indies, the funds come exclusively from the General State Budget; in the case of the Royal Alcázar, the funds come from the Seville City Council's General Budget.

#### 6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available **budget is adequate** for effective management of the World Heritage property

#### 6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are **secure over the medium-term** and **planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term**

#### 6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

La Catedral y el Real Alcázar producen mas fondos de los que consumen y en todo caso proceden de los ingresos por turismo.

#### 6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	52 %	0 %
6.1.6.2	Women	48 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 0 %

#### 6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

#### 6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Not available
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Poor
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

#### 6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Not applicable
Environmental sustainability	Not applicable
Community participation and inclusion	Not applicable
Risk preparedness	Not applicable
Capacity development and education	Not applicable
Administration	Not applicable
Research and monitoring	Not applicable
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Not applicable
Marketing and promotion	Not applicable
Interpretation	Not applicable
Visitor management/tourism	Not applicable

**6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?**

**No use** has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

**6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.****6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?**

There is **no site-based** capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred

**6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

In all three cases, part of the activities (security and maintenance) are carried out by external contractors. The rest of the Cathedral's activities are carried out by people hired by the entity itself on a private basis. The rest of the activities of the General Archive of the Indies and the Royal Alcazar are carried out by civil servants and employees related to the state, regional and local public governments.

**7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects****7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **adequate**

**7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is **considerable research** but it is **not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value**

**7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?**

Research results **are shared** widely with **active outreach** to local communities and national and international audiences

**7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects**

The existence of two public universities in the city guarantees general research. The three institutions, especially the Archivo General de Indias, encourage high-level historical research. Research on the values and attributes of the World Heritage property and the existence of research programs directed at the management needs and/or the improvement of the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value is practically non-existent.

**8. Education, Information and Awareness Building****8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

Local communities	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Women	Good
Youth/children	Good
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industries	Fair
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

**8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?**

There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth **but it only partly meets the needs**

**8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?**

Youth/children
Researchers
Local Visitors

#### 8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Not provided but needed
Information booths	Fair
Guided tours	Fair
Trails/routes	Not needed
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Not needed
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

#### 8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

### 9. Visitor Management

#### 9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

Catedral= 1.569.869, Alcázar= 1.732.845 y Archivo= 221.861 (Visitas+investigadores) / Catedral= 611.569, Alcázar= 797.008 y Archivo= 123.297 (Visitas+investigadores) / COVID 19 / COVID 19 / 2.900.000 cifra global aproximada /

#### 9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

#### 9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

Two overnight stays

#### 9.4 - Please provide the source of information

From these two sources ( <https://www.sevilla.org/actualidad/noticias/el-numero-de-turistas-pernoctaciones-y-empleo-en-losestablecimientos-hoteleros-d-e-sevilla-se-duplica-en-julio-y-confirma-la-reactivacion-del-sector-en-la-ciudad> and <https://www.dipusevilla.es/comunicacion/noticias/La-provincia-de-Sevilla-se-muestra-en-FITUR-como-destino-sostenible-y-exhibela-riqueza-de-su-patrimonio/>) it is understood to be 1.81 overnight stays/pax.

#### 9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

36 \$ / 40 \$ / 53 \$ (Internacional) / 59 \$ (Actividades) / - / 23 \$ (Otros) /

#### 9.6 - Please provide the source of information

No local tourism statistics have been published since before the pandemic (see <https://www.sevilla.org/servicios/servicio-deestadistica/datos-estadisticos/anuarios/2017/indice/11-3-turismo>): National statistics at [https://www.ine.es/dyngs/INEbase/es/operacion.htm?c=Estadistica\\_C&cid=1254736177002&menu=ultiDatos&idp=1254735576863](https://www.ine.es/dyngs/INEbase/es/operacion.htm?c=Estadistica_C&cid=1254736177002&menu=ultiDatos&idp=1254735576863), from which we get the figures.

#### 9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is **no strategy** to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

#### 9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

Management is self-regulated by the tourism industry that has a centuries-old tradition

#### 9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

#### 9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

No

If a different system, please specify

#### 9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is **contact** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is **largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters**

#### 9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is **acceptable but improvements could be made**

**9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?**

In **many locations** and **easily visible** to visitors

**9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?**

**Fees are collected** and make a **substantial contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

**9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?**

No

If 'Yes', please specify

**9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?**

Not applicable

If 'Yes', please specify

**9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property**

The buildings which together form part of the World Heritage property of the city of Seville have been a tourist attraction for the city since the 18th century and are currently the main tourism assets, the city's main economic resource.

**10. Monitoring****10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is **no monitoring** taking place at the World Heritage property or buffer zone despite an identified need

**10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?**

There is **little or no information available** on the values of the World Heritage property to define key indicators

**10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?**

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation		✗		
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system		✗		
10.3.3	Character of governance		✗		
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations		✗		
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development		✗		
10.3.6	Capacity development		✗		

**10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property****10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:**

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Not applicable
Local/municipal authorities	Not applicable
Local communities	Not applicable
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Women	Not applicable
Researchers	Not applicable
Tourism industry	Not applicable
Local businesses and industry	Not applicable
NGOs	Not applicable
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

## 10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is planned, but has not yet begun

## 10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

## 10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

A minimum monitoring requirement would be the existence of some coordination mechanism between the three buildings that make up the World Heritage property and the surrounding urban spaces.

## 11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

### 11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	<b>Boundaries and Buffer Zones</b>	
5.1.4	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property <b>are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known and recognized by local communities/landowners</b>	✗
5.2	<b>Protective Measures</b>	
5.2.3	An <b>adequate legal framework</b> for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists <b>but there are some deficiencies in implementation</b>	
5.2.4	An <b>adequate legal framework</b> in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists <b>but there are some deficiencies in implementation</b>	✗
5.2.5	An <b>adequate legal framework</b> exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, <b>but there are some deficiencies in implementation</b> which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	✗
5.2.6	There is <b>acceptable capacity/resources</b> to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain	
5.3	<b>Management System/Management Plan</b>	
5.3.5	<b>No use</b> has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	
5.3.7	<b>No use</b> has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	✗
5.3.9	<b>Some use</b> has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.11	There is no coordination <b>between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property</b>	
5.3.12	<b>No management system/plan is currently in place</b> to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	
5.3.13	There is currently <b>no management system</b> in place at the property	
6.1	<b>Funding</b>	
6.1.10	<b>No use</b> has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	✗
6.1.12	There is <b>no site-based</b> capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred	
7	<b>Scientific Studies and Research Projects</b>	
7.2	There is <b>considerable research</b> in the World Heritage property but it is <b>not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value</b>	✗
8	<b>Education, Information and Awareness Building</b>	
8.2	There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth <b>but it only partly meets the needs</b>	
9	<b>Visitor Management</b>	
9.7	There is <b>no strategy</b> to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property	✗
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but <b>improvements could be made</b>	✗
9.11	There is <b>contact</b> but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory mattersThere is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this <b>is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters</b>	
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property <b>is acceptable but improvements could be made</b>	✗
10	<b>Monitoring</b>	
10.1	There is <b>no monitoring</b> taking place in the World Heritage property or buffer zone despite an identified need	
10.2	There is <b>little or no information available</b> on the values of the World Heritage property to define key indicators	✗

Please select 0 more issues.

☒ Please save this question to reflect changes

## 12. Summary and Conclusions

### 12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

#### 12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.1	Buildings and Development						
4.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	The massive expansion of supplemental hotel tourist accommodation and the extinction of traditional commerce profoundly modify the functionality and uses of the environment surrounding the three World Heritage monuments.	Creciente y excluyente	La mínima referida a la afectación de apariencia exterior de los inmuebles del entorno.	Continua	Ayuntamiento de Sevilla	Sin otros comentarios
4.6	Physical resource extraction						
4.6.4	Water (extraction)	Climate change, water extraction and underground infrastructure works for transport together with climate change modify water resources, which will affect the gardens of the Royal Alcázar and the Cathedral in the medium term.	Negativo	No hay monitoreo	Corto plazo, vinculado a cambio climático.	Ayuntamiento de Sevilla y Junta de Andalucía	Sin mas coementarios
4.8	Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.6	Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	The functional change of the environment facilitates nuisance activities that occupy overcrowded public roads indiscriminately and unnecessarily.	Negativo y en aumento, descontrolado por industria local.	Sin monitoreo, sólo estado de alarma creciente en la prensa.	Año completo, horarios muy concentrados a compas con los de los monumentos y concentrados en sus accesos.	Ayuntamiento de Sevilla	Es creciente la contaminación visual, acústica y olfativa producida por actividades e instalaciones turísticas, artistas callejeros, coches de caballos, mobiliario de restaurantes y el exceso de rótulos y señales, tanto comerciales como oficiales.
4.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species						
4.12.2	Invasive/Alien terrestrial species	The uncontrolled pigeon and parrot populations negatively affect roofs, vegetation, hygiene and the public appearance of monuments and spaces in between. The survival of any tree species modifies the gardens of the Royal Alcázar.	Negativo e imparable	Monitoreo ocasional, según la presión de ecologistas y medios de comunicación	La invasión de palomas es una "tradición" que tiene cincuenta años de antigüedad pero las cotorras son de la última década.	Ayuntamiento de Sevilla y Junta de Andalucía	Sin comentarios
4.13	Management and institutional factors						

4.13.1	Management system/Management plan	The management system has all the defects of the juxtaposition of numerous owners and four governments without coordination mechanisms.	Tradicional	Sin monitoreo, que no es necesario para advertir la falta de organización.	Tradicional	Gobierno del Estado, Junta de Andalucía y Ayuntamiento de Sevilla	La concesión ocasional del entorno del Conjunto y el interior del Real Alcázar para muestras, ferias y eventos comerciales ajenos a la tradición y los Valores Universales llega a dificultar los accesos y el propio turismo normal.
4.13.2	Legal framework	Sustained freezing of the development of the most immediate urban planning regulations for over a decade.	Negativo.	Sin supervisión	Desde 2012	Gobierno del Estado, Junta de Andalucía y Ayuntamiento de Sevilla	Véanse los anteriores.

#### Summary - Factors affecting the Property completed

## 12.2. Summary - Management Needs

### 12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones				
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
5.1.4	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property <b>are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known and recognized by local communities/landowners</b>	Se aplica la extensión del buffer como criterio único de la afectación, pese a sus evidentes limitaciones. El caso de la Torre Pelli es paradigmático.	10 años	Ayuntamiento	No se han vuelto a dar casos.
5.2	Protective Measures				
5.2.4	An <b>adequate legal framework</b> in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists <b>but there are some deficiencies in implementation</b>	Redundante con la pregunta 5.1.4.	-	-	-
5.2.5	An <b>adequate legal framework</b> exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, <b>but there are some deficiencies in implementation</b> which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	Paralización del escalón final de protección urbanística por deficiencias en las normativa	Desde 2012	Auntamiento de Sevilla	Sin comentarios sobre los ya escritos anteriormente.

5.3	Management System/Management Plan				
5.3.7	<p><b>No use</b> has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property</p>				
6.1	Funding				
6.1.10	<p><b>No use</b> has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property</p>				
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects				
7.2	There is <b>considerable research</b> in the World Heritage property but it is <b>not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value</b>	Se trata de investigación universitaria, que no está interesada en estas cuestiones.	-	-	-
9	Visitor Management				
9.7	There is <b>no strategy</b> to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property	Cada uno de los edificios actúa según sus necesidades y conveniencias y la fluctuación del mercado turístico.	36	Los distintos propietarios, empezando por el Ayuntamiento de Sevilla.	Sin comentarios sobre los ya escritos anteriormente.
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but <b>improvements could be made</b>				
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property <b>is acceptable but improvements could be made</b>	Como existe la idea de que los Valores Universales Excepcionales no están en peligro, no se percibe la necesidad de mejorar su presentación o interpretación.	0	Propietarios	Sin comentarios sobre los ya escritos anteriormente.
10	Monitoring				

10.2	There is <b>little or no information available</b> on the values of the World Heritage property to define key indicators	Como existe la percepción en la opinión pública de que los Valores Universales Excepcionales no están en peligro, no se percibe la necesidad de mejorar la información sobre los indicadores clave, que ni siquiera están identificados.	Idem	Las tres administraciones territoriales involucradas, lideradas por el Ayuntamiento de Sevilla.	
------	--	--	------	---	--

Summary - Management Needs completed

## 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

### 12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

The Authenticity of the World Heritage property **has been preserved**

### 12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

The Integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

### 12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

### 12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has **not been significantly impacted**

### 12.3.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

The state of conservation of the property, with the exception of minor deficiencies in some parts of each monument, and those in the surrounding area, are the result of a massive and exclusive tourist use, facilitated by the absence of the last step of urban protection. The lack of coordination between the three owners, despite their goodwill, does not help.

## 13. Impact of World Heritage Status

### 13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	No impact
Research and monitoring	No impact
Management effectiveness	No impact
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	No impact
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	No impact
Advocacy	Not applicable
Institutional coordination	Negative
Security	No impact
Gender equality	No impact
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	No impact
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	No impact
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	No impact
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

### 13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

Nothing to add to what has already been written in this report.

## 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

### 14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

Establish an advisory body that collects and verifies property information.

### 14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Synergies
Management
Governance

## 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

### 15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

#### 15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Monitoring and reporting

#### 15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Fair
Site Managers	Poor
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	No follow-up
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	No follow-up

### 15.2. Use of Data

#### 15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Awareness raising
-------------------

#### 15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

### 15.3. Timing and resources

#### 15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

#### 15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

#### 15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

Yes

#### 15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

Un mes, no continuo / Cuatro semanas, incluyendo consultas a archivos de los tres propietarios / Tres meses discontinuos /
--

#### 15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training		×

### 15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

#### 15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

#### 15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
--	--	----------------	-----------	------	-----------

15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions		×		

### 15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

There is a surfeit of questions that, at least on the surface, are redundant or very similar.

## 15.5. Training and Guidance

### 15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Not applicable
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Fair
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

### 15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Not applicable
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Fair
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Fair
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

### 15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Not applicable (i.e. I did not use these resources)

### 15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

## 15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

### 15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

#### • Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Reason for update: The last paragraph should be replaced by "Three important buildings have been restored in the buffer zone (San Telmo Palace, the Archbishop's Palace and the Gold Tower) and another is being restored (the Atarrazanas medieval shipyard), all of them linked to the exploration and colonisation of the Americas.

Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

## 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

### 15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

### 15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.