Churches of Moldavia

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Churches of Moldavia

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
	0/0	?	?	?	
	0/0	?	?	?	
Church of the Beheading of St John the Baptist of Arbore	47.733 / 25.933	2.54	28.59	31.13	1993
Church of the Assumption of the Virgin of the former Monastery of Humor	47.594 / 25.854	4.27	27.9	32.17	1993
Church of the Annunciation of the Monastery of Moldovita	47.678 / 25.547	4	44	48	1993
Church of the Holy Rood of Patrauti	47.733 / 26.195	0.67	26.64	27.31	1993
Church of St Nicholas and the Catholicon of the Monastery of Probota	47.383 / 27.5	1	28.54	29.54	1993
Church of St George of Suceava	47.667 / 26.283	1.34	4.84	6.18	1993
Church of St George of the former Voronet Monastery	47.533 / 25.867	3.27	37.71	40.98	1993
Church of the Resurrection of Sucevi a Monastery	47.778 / 25.713	1.4	36.4	37.8	2010
Total (ha)		18.49	234.62	253.11	

Comment

Since the year of listing as World Heritage, some of the churches became part of monasteries: the Church of the Beheading of St. John the Baptist is now part of Arbore Monastery and the Church the Holy Rood is now part of Patrauti Monastery. Both Humor and Voronet have been reestablished as monasteries. The State Party will request to the Committee modification to the name of these components of the serial property "Churches of Moldavia", according to the Operational Guidelines.

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Churches of Moldavia - Church of the Beheading of St John the Baptist of Arbore	2010	
Churches of Moldavia - Church of the Assumption of the Virgin of the former Monastery of Humor	2010	
Churches of Moldavia - Church of the Annunciation of the Monastery of Moldovita	2010	
Churches of Moldavia - Church of the Holy Rood of Patrauti	2010	
Churches of Moldavia - Church of St Nicholas and the Catholicon of the Monastery of Probota	2010	
Churches of Moldavia - Church of St George of Suceava	2010	
Churches of Moldavia - Church of St George of the former Voronet Monastery	2010	
Carte d'Eglise de la résurrection du monastère de Sucevi a - inscrit en 2010	2010	

Comment

A more accurate map for the Church of the Resurrection of Sucevi a Monastery boundaries and buffer zone was provided, on paper, within the nomination file (see section Resume Analitique - page 45 of the nomination file: https://whc.unesco.org/uploads/nominations/598bis.pdf). However, a replacement high-quality map with all necessary coordinates for the correct identification of the property and its buffer zone will be provided in the future for this component.

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

- 1. Exterior Painting Churches from the First Half of the 16th Century
- 2. Patrimoniu (in Romanian)
- 3. UNESCO World Heritage List in Romania
- 4. Manastirea Sucevita (in Romanian)
- 5. Manastirea Probota
- 6. Biserica Patrauti (in Romanian)

Comment

Churches of Moldavia 1 of 39

The links no 1, 2 and 3 are obsolete and should be eliminated. The links no 4,5, 6 should be maintained. There are three new websites that should be added: https://patrimoniu.ro/ro/romania-in-unesco/articles/situri-culturale-unesco www.manastireavoronet.ro https://harta.cnr-unesco.ro/

- 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)
- 2.1 Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

- 2.2 Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary
- 2.3 Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

- 2.4 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

 No
- 2.5 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

 No
- 2.6 Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

 No
- 2.7 Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×

Churches of Moldavia 2 of 39

2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

- 2.8 Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)
- 2.9 Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

Nο

- 2.10 Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware
- 2.11 Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

No

- 2.12 Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.
- 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
- 3.1 Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief synthesis

The churches with external mural paintings of northern Moldavia, built from the late 15th century to the late 16th century, are masterpieces inspired by Byzantine art. These eight churches of northern Moldavia are unique in Europe. They are authentic and particularly well preserved. Far from being mere wall decorations, the paintings form a systematic covering on all the facades and represent complete cycles of religious themes. Their exceptional composition, the elegance of the characters, and the harmony of the colours blend perfectly with the surrounding countryside.

Criterion (i): The external paintings of the churches of Northern Moldavia cover all the facades. They embody a unique and homogeneous artistic phenomenon, directly inspired by Byzantine art. They are masterpieces of mural painting, and are of outstanding aesthetic value in view of their consummate chromatism and the remarkable elegance of the figures. They present cycles of events taken from the Bible and the Holy Scriptures, in the Orthodox Christian tradition.

Criterion (iv): The idea of completely covering the external facades of churches by paintings is an eminent example of a type of church construction and decoration adopted in Moldavia, which illustrates the cultural and religious context of the Balkans from the late 15th century to the late 16th century.

Integrity and authenticity

The monastic church of Sucevi a has undergone no significant alteration in the course of its history. It preserves with total integrity its original late 15th century architectural structure, and its set of mural paintings, both internal and external. The monastery which surrounds it has conserved its initial appearance, and in particular its historic enclosure. The surrounding countryside, rural and forested, has undergone few transformations and changes up to the present day.

The mural paintings are authentic, as they have undergone only minimal interventions. They are in a good state of conservation. The restorations undertaken since the 1970s have been carefully carried out, with great emphasis being placed on respecting authenticity in respect of motifs and pigments, and on conservation conditions. The restorations to the roof have resulted in the church regaining its original appearance, as documented by ancient iconographic sources.

Protection and management requirements

The protection of the property is satisfactory, both for the serial property as a whole and for Sucevi a, where the property is a place of worship inside a functioning monastery. The protection is completed by the municipality of Sucevi a's general town plan for this zone, which was recently promulgated (January 2010). The plan should enable active control of building and other works inside the buffer zone and in the landscape environment of the church and monastery. The management plan has been drawn up, including the part pertaining to the extension. The Coordination Committee for the serial property has been set up, but details must be provided about how it functions locally.

Comment

Because the content of Integrity and authenticity and Protection and management requirements in the Statement of OUV refer especially to monastic church of

Churches of Moldavia 3 of 39

Sucevita, the State Party intend to modify the content of Statement of integrity, Statement of authenticity and Requirements for protection and management as follow: "Statement of integrity The churches with external mural paintings of northern have undergone no significant alteration in the course of its history. They preserves with total integrity their original late 15th century to the late 16th century architectural structure, and their set of mural paintings, both internal and external. The monasteries which surrounds them have conserved their initial appearance, and in particular their historic enclosure. The surrounding countryside, rural and forested, has undergone few transformations and changes up to the present day. Statement of authenticity The mural paintings are authentic, as they have undergone only minimal interventions. They are in a good state of conservation. The restorations undertaken since the 1970s have been carefully carried out, with great emphasis being placed on respecting authenticity in respect of motifs and pigments of internal and external mural paintings, and on conservation conditions. The restorations to the roofs have resulted in the churches regaining their original appearance, as documented by ancient iconographic sources. Requirements for protection and management The protection of the property is satisfactory, both for the serial property as a whole and also for all the components, where the properties are places of worship inside functioning monasteries. The property benefit from the highest level of legal protection. The churches that form the serial property "Churches of Moldavia" are protected by the Law no. 422/2001 republished concerning the protection of historical monuments. They are inscribed on the List of Historical Monuments of Romania, upgraded and published every five years by The Ministry of Culture and National Identity through The National Institute of Heritage, as monuments of national and universal value. At the same time, these historical monuments are protected by Law No. 5/2000 concerning the approval of the National Landscaping Plan - Section III - Protected Areas, included in Annex 3 - I. Cultural patrimony values of national interest (historical monuments of exceptional national value). According to this law, the protection, valuing and restoring works for these monuments are considered to be of the public utility of national interest. Important instruments of protection, General Urban Plans and Urban Plans for Protected Areas, which enable active control of building and other works inside the core zones, the buffer zones, the corridors and in the surrounding landscape of the churches and monasteries, were developed or/and finalized."

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Original external mural paintings (composition, chromatism) of the churches	×			
3.2.2	Original architecture of the churches	×			
3.2.3	Original internal mural paintings (composition, chromatism) of the churches	×			
3.2.4	Historic appearance of the monasteries which surrounds the churches (layout, architecture)	×			
3.2.5	Original functionality of the churches: places of Orthodox Christian worship	×			
3.2.6	Landscape environment of the churches	×			
3.2.7	Administration system (all eight churches are part of functioning monasteries)	×			
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Because the content of Integrity and authenticity and Protection and management requirements in the Statement of OUV refer especially to monastic church of Sucevita, the State Party intend to modify the content of Statement of integrity. Statement of authenticity and Requirements for protection and management as follow: "Statement of integrity The churches with external mural paintings of northern have undergone no significant alteration in the course of its history. They preserves with total integrity their original late 15th century to the late 16th century architectural structure, and their set of mural paintings, both internal and external. The monasteries which surrounds them have conserved their initial appearance, and in particular their historic enclosure. The surrounding countryside, rural and forested, has undergone few transformations and changes up to the present day. Statement of authenticity The mural paintings are authentic, as they have undergone only minimal interventions. They are in a good state of conservation. The restorations undertaken since the 1970s have been carefully carried out, with great emphasis being placed on respecting authenticity in respect of motifs and pigments of internal and external mural paintings, and on conservation conditions. The restorations to the roofs have resulted in the churches regaining their original appearance, as documented by ancient iconographic sources. Requirements for protection and management The protection of the property is satisfactory, both for the serial property as a whole and also for all the components, where the properties are places of worship inside functioning monasteries. The property benefit from the highest level of legal protection. The churches that form the serial property "Churches of Moldavia" are protected by the Law no. 422/2001 republished concerning the protection of historical monuments. They are inscribed on the List of Historical Monuments of Romania, upgraded and published every five years by The Ministry of Culture and National Identity through The National Institute of Heritage, as monuments of national and universal value. At the same time, these historical monuments are protected by Law No. 5/2000 concerning the approval of the National Landscaping Plan - Section III - Protected Areas, included in Annex 3 - I. Cultural patrimony values of national interest (historical monuments of exceptional national value). According to this law, the protection, valuing and restoring works for these monuments are considered to be of the public utility of national interest. Important instruments of protection, General Urban Plans and Urban Plans for Protected Areas, which enable active control of building and other works inside the core zones, the buffer zones, the corridors and in the surrounding landscape of the churches and monasteries, were developed or/and finalized."

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Churches of Moldavia 4 of 39

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow		

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive 🗶	×	×		×		→		
Negative								

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×	×		→	
Negative							

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive 🗶	×	×		×			1	
Negative								

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

Churches of Moldavia 5 of 39

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

× Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	G Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×	×		×			-	
Negative								

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

✗ Relevant			i	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×		→	

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

Churches of Moldavia 6 of 39

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

Churches of Moldavia 7 of 39

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant	
---------------------------	--

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

Churches of Moldavia 8 of 39

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow	
Negative							

Churches of Moldavia 9 of 39

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow	
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×		→	

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
	** Not relevant

4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

Churches of Moldavia 10 of 39

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not re	levant
-------------------	--------

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant Not relevant

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

Churches of Moldavia 11 of 39

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

× Not relevant

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

X Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

Churches of Moldavia 12 of 39

× Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	№ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×	×		→		
Negative								

4.13.2 - Legal framework

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×	×			-
Negative							

4.13.3 - Governance

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×		→	
Negative							

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	№ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×	×	×	×		→		
Negative								

4.13.5 - Financial resources

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×			7
Negative							

4.13.6 - Human resources

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×		→	
Negative							

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant		Not re	relevant	
	Impact	Origin		Trend of impact

Churches of Moldavia 13 of 39

Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Polovont	♥
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

- 4.14. Other factor(s)
- 4.14.1 Other factor(s)
- 4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impac	Impact			Origin		
4.1 Buildings and Development							
4.1.1 Housing							
		9	a	•	Œ	\rightarrow	
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	©	9	ø		Œ	→	
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	©	a	a	((→	
·							
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure							
.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	•	ø			₹	,	
		•					
		~3	~3		~		
.2.3 Air transport infrastructure	•	9	4		G		
.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure							
	•	9	9		Œ	→	
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage							
1.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	•	9	9	•	Œ	\rightarrow	
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	©	9	q	•	Œ	\rightarrow	
	•	9	9	•	Œ	\rightarrow	
4.13 Management and institutional factors							
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	③	9	q	•	Œ	\rightarrow	
4.13.2 Legal framework	③	9	q	•	Œ	1	
4.13.3 Governance	③	9	q	•	(\rightarrow	
1.13.4 Management activities	©	9	9	•	(→	
1.13.5 Financial resources	•	9	9	•	(1	
1.13.6 Human resources	②	q	q	@	Œ	→	

Churches of Moldavia 14 of 39

4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities							q	•	Œ	\rightarrow
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside			Outsi	de	

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.1.1 Hous	ing						
			9	9	•	Œ	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
	visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	(i)	9	9	Origini	G	→
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						

Churches of Moldavia 15 of 39

×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact	•		Origin		Trend
Name 4.1.5 Interp	pretative and visitation facilities	Impact	i eq	q	Origin	Œ	Trend ⇒
	pretative and visitation facilities			9			
4.1.5 Interp				9			
4.1.5 Interp	ale - Area affected by the factor			q			
4.1.5 Interp	ale - Area affected by the factor Restricted			q			
4.1.5 Interp	Restricted Localised			q			
4.1.5 Interp	ale - Area affected by the factor Restricted			q			
4.1.5 Interp	Restricted Localised Extensive			9			
4.1.5 Interp	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread			q			
4.1.5 Interp	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurrence of the impact			q			
4.1.5 Interp	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurrence of the impact One off or rare			q			
4.1.5 Interp	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact Intermittent or sporadic			q			
4.1.5 Interp	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact Une off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent			9			
4.1.5 Interp	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going			q			
4.1.5 Interp	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going			q			
4.1.5 Interposed Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes Insignificant			4			
4.1.5 Interposed Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes Insignificant Minor			q			
4.1.5 Interposal scales of the scales of the scales of the scale of the scales of the	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Inpact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant			9			
4.1.5 Interposal scales of the scales of the scales of the scale of the scales of the	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Cone off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Insignificant Minor Significant Major			q			

Churches of Moldavia 16 of 39

	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Restricted

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.2.1 Groun	nd transport infrastructure	0	9	9		G	/
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
^							
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.2.3 Air tra	Insport infrastructure	•	q	9		Œ	/

Churches of Moldavia 17 of 39

×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - In	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact	:		Origin		Trend
4.2.5 Effec	s arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
			9	9		F	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - In	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	ognicant						
	Major						

Churches of Moldavia 18 of 39

	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Irend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
Trend - De	Decreasing
×	

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Churches of Moldavia

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.8.1 Ritual/Spirit	itual/Religious and associative uses	O	9	9	•	F	\rightarrow
Spatial scale - Ar	rea affected by the factor						
Rest	stricted						
Loca	alised						
Exte	ensive						
X Wide	lespread						
Temporal scale -	- Occurence of the impact						
One	e off or rare						
Inter	rmittent or sporadic						
Freq	quent						
X On-g	going						
Impact - Impact o	on the attributes						
Insig	gnificant						
Mino	or						
Sign	nificant						
X Majo	or						
Management res	sponse - Capacity of management to respond						
× High	h capacity						
Med	dium capacity						
	o capacity						
No c	capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Develope	ement over the last 6 years						
Decr	reasing						
× Stati	tic						
Incre	easing						

4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	Name	Impact		Origin	Trend		
	4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	•	q	9	•	Œ	→
			q	9	•	Œ	\rightarrow

19 of 39

	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going Control of the Control of t
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name		Impact			Origin	Trend	
4.13.1 Man	4.13.1 Management system/Management plan		9	9	•	Œ	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						

Churches of Moldavia 20 of 39

×	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.2 Lega	l framework	O	9	9	•	E	1
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.13.3 Governance	O	9	9	•	Œ	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Churches of Moldavia 21 of 39

	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name	agement activities	Impact			Origin	Œ	Trend
4.10.4 Mail	agonium duti vitos		9	4	3	G	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
**	Frequent						
X	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant Minor						
~	Ninor Significant						
×							
	Major						

Churches of Moldavia 22 of 39

Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact		en e	Origin	04	Trend
4.13.5 Fina	ncial resources	O	4	9	•	ઉ	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact		-C2	Origin		Trend
4.13.6 Hum	an resources	•	4	9	•	ઉ	→

Churches of Moldavia 23 of 39

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Restricted

	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.7 Low	impact research/monitoring activities	O	9	9	•	C	→
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
×	Significant Major						

Churches of Moldavia 24 of 39

×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
Trend - De	Decreasing
Trend - De	

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

Housing affecting the components: Church of the Assumption of the Virgin of Humor Monastery, Church of Resurrection of Sucevita Monastery, Church of St George of Suceava. Tourism/visitation affecting the components: Church of Resurrection of Sucevita Monastery, Church of St George of Voronet Monastery.

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Original external mural paintings (composition, chromatism) of the churches	×			
4.18.1.2	Original architecture of the churches	×			
4.18.1.3	Historic appearance of the monasteries which surrounds the churches (layout, architecture)	×			
4.18.1.4	Original functionality of the churches: places of Orthodox Christian worship	×			
4.18.1.5	Landscape environment of the churches	×			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

The Law no. 422/2001 concerning the protection of historical monuments, amended by the Law no. 259/2006.

The Law no. 235/2005 declaring the area of historical monuments in Northern Moldavia of national interest.

The Gov. Decision no.738/2008 regarding necessary measures for funding, development and update of documentation concerning spatial and urban planning for areas including historical monuments on WHL.

The Order no 2701/2010 on Public information and consultation on urban planning.

The Romanian Government Decision 1268 of December 8, 2010, amended by Government Decision 1102 of November 2, 2011, approved the Protection and Management Program for historical monuments inscribed on the World Heritage List.

Source: 2013 State Party SOC report; Periodic Reporting Cycle 2

Comment

Update: - Law 422/2001 regarding the protection of historic monuments, amended by the Law no. 259/2006 - Government Order no. 47/2000 regarding the

Churches of Moldavia 25 of 39

establishment of protection measures for historical monuments that are inscribed in the World Heritage List, approved by Law 564/2001 - Law no. 235/2005 declaring the area of historical monuments in Northern Moldavia of national interest - Government Decision no.738/2008 regarding necessary measures for funding, development and update of documentation concerning spatial and urban planning for areas including historical monuments on WHL - Government Order no. 493/2004 regarding the approval of the methodology for the monitoring process and of the elaboration of management plans for historic monuments inscribed on the World Heritage List - Government Order no. 857/2021 approving the framework regulation and the composition of the UNESCO organizing committees - Law 5/200 for the approval of the National Spatial Development Plan, Section III, Protected areas - Law 350/2011 on territorial and urban planning - Law 50/1991 regarding execution and authorization of construction works - Arbore Local Council Decision 146/2015 regarding the approval of the urban planning documentation the Updated General Urban Plan of Arbore Village - Government Order no. 518/2023 regarding the approval of the urban planning documentation the Updated General Urban Plan of Suceava Municipality - Suceava County Council Decision 143/2022 approving the composition of the UNESCO Organizing Committee for historical monuments from Suceava County, components of serial property "Churches of Moldova", inscribed on WHL To be removed: - Romanian Government Decision 1268 of December 8, 2010, amended by Government Decision 1102 of November 2, 2011, approved the Protection and Management Program for historical monuments inscribed on the World Heritage List. (expired/no longer in force)

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

- 5.2.7 Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice
- 5.2.8 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property
- 5.3. Management System/Management Plan
- 5.3.1 Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Other

If 'Other', please specify

Public management system at national level joint private ownership/management

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

Traditional ways of management recognised by local communities and other specific groups

Governance mechanisms that foster and respect traditional practices, knowledge and uses of the property

Mechanisms to promote equal participation among and within groups, including different levels of authority, local communities, indigenous people, women and men, and other specific groups

A management plan

An annual work plan or business plan

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

The management system is in place following the revision of the national system for the protection, managing and monitoring of World Heritage Sites and nominated properties that was approved by the Government in 2021 (Government Order no. 857/2021). Governing Management structure - the UNESCO Organizing Committee (COU) - is operational since June 2022 (Suceava County Council Decision no. 143/2022). It is organized by the Suceava County Council and includes representatives of public authorities involved in the protection of cultural heritage and other connected areas such as tourism, emergency situations, urbanism and territorial planning etc., as well as representatives of the local administration, local community, including a nucleus of experts specialized in heritage protection (mainly for the exterior painting main attribute, but not only). The COU drafted an annual work plan with prioritized budgeted actions for the management of the property.

5.3.4 - Management Documents

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

Some use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

Churches of Moldavia 26 of 39

- 5.3.6 If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.
- 5.3.7 Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

- 5.3.8 If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:
- 5.3.9 Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property? Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties
- 5.3.10 If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done
- 5.3.11 Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being only partially implemented

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists but few of its activities are being implemented

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				×	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone				×	
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples				×	
5.3.15.5	Women				×	
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples				×	
5.3.16.4	Landowners				×	
5.3.16.5	Women				×	
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children				×	
5.3.16.7	Researchers					×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists				×	
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists				×	
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry				×	
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries			×		

Churches of Moldavia 27 of 39

5.3.16.12	NGOs		×	
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×		
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify			

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality	×				
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	×				
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				×	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach					×
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				×	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property				×	

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	58 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	38 %	32,42 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	0,4 %	1 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	2,6 %	3,61 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	2 %	19 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0,42 %	26 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	19 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

Contribution from other conventions and programmes = European Funding (Regional Development European Fund - Operational Regional Programme REGIO) operated through Regional Development Agencies

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are $\ensuremath{\text{secure over both the medium-}}$ and $\ensuremath{\text{long-term}}$

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

The data was provided by the Archdiocese of Suceava and R $\,$ d $\,$ u i.

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage

Churches of Moldavia 28 of 39

properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	30 %	40 %
6.1.6.2	Women	70 %	60 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Poor
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

- 6.1.11 If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.
- 6.1.12 Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Art Conservation-Restoration Departments: B.A. and M.A. Studies at the Universities of Arts in Bucharest, lassy, Cluj. Bachelor's degree programme in Architectural Conservation and Restoration at the University of Architecture in Bucharest. Conservation-Restoration Department at the Art College in Suceava. Yearly Courses for monastery guides.

Churches of Moldavia 29 of 39

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is adequate

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable research but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared widely with active outreach to local communities and national and international audiences

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Poor
Landowners	Fair
Women	Poor
Youth/children	Poor
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industries	Poor
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities	
Indigenous peoples	
Landowners	
Youth/children	
Local Visitors	
National/international tourists	

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Fair
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Good
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Fair
Printed information materials	Fair
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Fair

Churches of Moldavia 30 of 39

Transportation facilities	Good
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

Art Conservation-Restoration Departments: B.A. and M.A. Studies at the Universities of Arts in Bucharest, lassy, Cluj. Bachelor"s degree programme in Architectural Conservation and Restoration at the University of Architecture in Bucharest. Conservation-Restoration Department at the Art College in Suceava. Yearly Courses for monastery guides. Exhibitions and museum education programs initiated by the Bucovina National Museum in collaboration with education institutions.

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

145000 / 120000 / 115000 / 336000 / 320000 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

Accommodation establishments

Tourism industry

Visitor surveys

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

Overnight stay

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

The Archdiocese of Suceava and R d u i The National Institute of Statistics - The County Direction of Statistics The Development Strategy of Suceava County - County Council of Suceava

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

60 / 50 / 45 / 9 / 65 / 22 /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

SWOT analysis, within the Development Strategy of Suceava County

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In $\boldsymbol{many\ locations}$ and $\boldsymbol{easily\ visible}$ to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property? Fees are collected, and make some contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

Annual events like Easter in Bucovina, Christmas in Bucovina, Pilgrim in Bucovina, Hora in Bucovina.

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Churches of Moldavia 31 of 39

If 'Yes', please specify

The benefits of local communities reside directly in incomes from tourism activities (accommodation fees, recreation, souvenirs etc.)

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme of monitoring**, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined for measuring the state of conservation and are being used in monitoring of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				×
10.3.3	Character of governance				×
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations				×
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development			×	
10.3.6	Capacity development			×	

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Local communities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Poor
Landowners	Good
Women	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industry	Not applicable
NGOs	Poor
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee? Implementation is underway

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

The monitoring activity is made by the Organizing Committee, the National Institute of Heritage and by a team of specialists from the Monitoring Department in Bucovina National Museum in Suceava (restorers, chemists, biologists). This team elaborates biannual reports on the state of conservation of the property, emphasizing on the wall paintings, based on the in situ observation and on laboratory investigations.

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan
-----	-----------------------------------

5.3.5	Some use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	×
5.3.7	Some use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved	×
5.3.13	The management system at the property is only being partially implemented	×
5.3.14	An annual work/action plan exists for the property but few of the activities are being implemented	
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	×
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	×
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	×
6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff	×
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects	
7.2	There is considerable research in the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	×
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
8.2	There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth	
9	Visitor Management	
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation	×
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made	
9.11	There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation	
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	
Pleas	e select 0 more issues.	
□ Ple	ease save this question to reflect changes	

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.1	Buildings and Development							
4.1.1	Housing	of the monasteries which surrounds the churches (layout, architecture); Landscape environment of the churches;	The General Urban Plans and those for Protected Areas enhance the control of works within the property and buffer zones. All the interventions in the core zone and in the buffer zone are submitted to the approval of Ministry of Culture Commissions	Regular monitoring	On-going	Ministry of Culture (including National Commission for Historical Monuments, Zonal Commission of Historical Monuments), National Institute of Heritage, UNESCO Organizing Committee, County Department for Culture, owners, regional authorities	This factor is manifested in the buffer zone and in the landscape environment of the monasteries and, recently, in the core zones. It may affect the values of the visual relationship between the property and the surroundings. So far, the OUV has not been affected.	
4.2	Transporta	tion Infrastructure						
4.2.5	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	i, iv; Original external mural paintings (composition, chromatism) of the church of Arbore Monastery; Original architecture of the church of Arbore Monastery;	Traffic studies for alternative solution to limit the traffic: offering alternative routes for transit traffic. Speed limit in the site area.	Regular monitoring	On-going	The Ministry of Culture, the National Institute of Heritage, the UNESCO Organizing Committee (COU), regional authorities, local authorities.	The negative impact is localised only in the buffer zone of the Church of Arbore Monastery. The vibration of the vehicle traffic on roadway near to the core zone may affect the constructive	

Churches of Moldavia 33 of 39

							structure of the church. The OUV has been preserved.
4.8	Social/Cultural uses of	f heritage					
4.8.6	Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	i, iv; Original internal mural paintings (composition, chromatism) of the churches; Landscape environment of the churches;	Measurement of visitors number. Measures for the reception and control of visitors: programme for visits and offices, entrance fee, alternative attraction - site museum, handcrafts fairs, designated parking facilities	Regular monitoring	On-going	The Ministry of Culture, the National Institute of Heritage, the UNESCO Organizing Committee (COU), the monastic communities, regional authorities, local authorities	The number of visitors differs between the components of serial property and depend of the season. The negative impact of tourism is potential, the number of visitors in some sites may became a pressure. The OUV is preserved.

Summary - Factors affecting the Property **completed**

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan							
		Actions		Timefram	ne	Lead agence involved)	ey (and others	More info / comment
5.3.5	Some use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	Some actions are being taken the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation known to re actors, especially urban plann	elevant	On-going		The Ministry of Culture, the National Institute of Heritage, the UNESCO Organizing Committee, the monastic communities, the local communities, the regional authorities, the local authorities, the urban planners.		none
5.3.7	Some use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	Some actions are being taken make the Policy Document or Impacts of Climate Change or Property known to relevant ac	n the n WH	On-going		National Inst the UNESCO Committee, communities	of Culture, the titute of Heritage, O Organizing the monastic s, the regional the local authorities.	The impact of climate chang is less visible regarding this kind of heritage. It does not impact the Outstanding Universal Value for the moment.
5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved	Actions will be intensified in or improve the coordination betwardministrative bodies involved management of the property.	veen	On-going		Committee, communities	CO Organizing the monastic s, the regional the local authorities.	none
5.3.13	The management system at the property is only being partially implemented	An annual work/ action plan e many activities are being impl The management plan is bein	emented.	On-going		The Ministry of Culture, the National institute of Heritage, the UNESCO Organizing Committee, the monastic communities, regional authorities, local authorities.		none
6.1	Funding							
6.1.3	budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management	Some of the properties need more substantial financial resources, because their income from commercial activities, taxes, tickets etc, is rather insufficient, so the needs of the properties will be better communicate to the relevant actors.	On-going		State, Regional, lo governmental insti		none	

Churches of Moldavia 34 of 39

6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	Increase of human resources to meet the management needs of the WH property is not yet sufficient, so actions will be taken in order to mobilize the relevant actors.	On-going		The Ministry of Cult National Institute of the Organizing Com the monastic comm the regional authorit local authorities.	Heritage, imittee, unities,	none	
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	Some actions are being taken in order to make the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the WH property known to relevant actors.	On-going		Owners of the Work properties with the sign overnmental institute regional and local a and University Depaspecialized in conseprotection, presental management of cultiheritage.	support of ution and uthorities artments ervation/ tion and	none	
6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff	Actions are being taken in order to transfer technical skills to those managing the property locally, but the valuable cultural heritage detained by these properties still require more specialists in cultural heritage conservation and management.	On-going		UNESCO Organizin Committee, owners World Heritage prop	of the	There are several University De Romania, specialized in conset protection and management of	vation/restoration,
7	Scientific Studies a	and Research Projects						
7.2	research in the World Heritage property but it is	Better understanding of OUV in sci studies and research projects is be approached. Actions are being take improve understanding of OUV in s studies and research projects and i management needs.	ing en to scientific	On-going		Institute of Committee	y of Culture, the National Heritage, the Organizing (COU), the monastic as, the professional community.	none
9	Visitor Managemen	t						
9.7	manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage	Improvement of the road infrastruct accommodation infrastructure, incr number of recreation places, a bett correlation between different types (cultural, religious, recreation, mou are on-going. The improvement shithe number of tourists and their per within the area of the UNESCO mo	ease of the eer of tourism ntain etc.) ould increase riod of stay	On-going	ı	authorities Council, le	Organizing Committee, local s, regional authorities, County ocal communities, providers of hotels, guesthouses, ts etc.)	none

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

Churches of Moldavia 35 of 39

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

12.3.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Very positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Very positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal/Policy framework	Very positive
Advocacy	Very positive
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Very positive
Gender equality	Not applicable
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Not applicable
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Not applicable
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

The Department for Monitoring UNESCO World Heritage Monuments in the National Museum of Bucovina in Suceava, within Suceava County Council, is responsible for the monitoring of the state of conservation of the eight churches. The team is made of restorers and investigators who make observations in situ, measurements, laboratory investigations and, based on the acquired information, elaborate biannual reports that are sent to all the institutions involved in the protection, conservation and enhancement of heritage (the Ministry of Culture, the National Institute of Heritage, the County Council, the County Department for Culture, the Archdiocese of Suceava and R d u i, monuments owners/managers). These reports are used as baseline for conservation/restoration interventions decisions.

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

State of Conservation

Management

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention	
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value	

The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Good

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage
Update of management plans
Fundraising
Awareness raising
Advocacy

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff
Focal points of other international conventions/programmes
Local communities

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance has been given limited consideration and implementation is in process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? Yes

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

200 / 100 / 40 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

All required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire				×
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			×	

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	No support
UNESCO National Commission	No support
ICOMOS International	Fair
IUCN International	No support
ICCROM international/regional	No support
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	No support

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	No support
National Commission for UNESCO	No support
ICOMOS International	No support
ICCROM International/regional	No support
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	No support
IUCN International	No support

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

Geographic information table

Reason for update: Since the year of listing as World Heritage, some of the churches became part of monasteries: the Church of the Beheading of St. John the Baptist is now part of Arbore Monastery and the Church the Holy Rood is now part of Patrauti Monastery. Both Humor and Voronet have been reestablished as monasteries. The State Party will request to the Committee modification to the name of these components of the serial property "Churches of Moldavia", according to the Operational Guidelines.

• Map(s)

Reason for update: A more accurate map for the Church of the Resurrection of Sucevi a Monastery boundaries and buffer zone was provided, on paper, within the nomination file (see section Resume Analitique - page 45 of the nomination file: ../..//uploads/nominations/598bis.pdf). However, a replacement high-quality map with all necessary coordinates for the correct identification of the property and its buffer zone will be provided in the future for this component.

* Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Reason for update: Because the content of Integrity and authenticity and Protection and management requirements in the Statement of OUV refer
especially to monastic church of Sucevita, the State Party intend to modify the content of Statement of integrity, Statement of authenticity and
Requirements for protection and management as follow: "Statement of integrity The churches with external mural paintings of northern have undergone
no significant alteration in the course of its history. They preserves with total integrity their original late 15th century to the late 16th century architectural
structure, and their set of mural paintings, both internal and external. The monasteries which surrounds them have conserved their initial appearance,
and in particular their historic enclosure. The surrounding countryside, rural and forested, has undergone few transformations and changes up to the
present day. Statement of authenticity The mural paintings are authentic, as they have undergone only minimal interventions. They are in a good state of
conservation. The restorations undertaken since the 1970s have been carefully carried out, with great emphasis being placed on respecting authenticity
in respect of motifs and pigments of internal and external mural paintings, and on conservation conditions. The restorations to the roofs have resulted in
the churches regaining their original appearance, as documented by ancient iconographic sources. Requirements for protection and management The
protection of the property is satisfactory, both for the serial property as a whole and also for all the components, where the properties are places of
worship inside functioning monasteries. The property benefit from the highest level of legal protection. The churches that form the serial property
"Churches of Moldavia" are protected by the Law no. 422/2001 republished concerning the protection of historical monuments. They

Churches of Moldavia 38 of 39

List of Historical Monuments of Romania, upgraded and published every five years by The Ministry of Culture and National Identity through The National Institute of Heritage, as monuments of national and universal value. At the same time, these historical monuments are protected by Law No. 5/2000 concerning the approval of the National Landscaping Plan - Section III – Protected Areas, included in Annex 3 - I. Cultural patrimony values of national interest (historical monuments of exceptional national value). According to this law, the protection, valuing and restoring works for these monuments are considered to be of the public utility of national interest. Important instruments of protection, General Urban Plans and Urban Plans for Protected Areas, which enable active control of building and other works inside the core zones, the buffer zones, the corridors and in the surrounding landscape of the churches and monasteries, were developed or/and finalized."

Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

- 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.2 Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.

Churches of Moldavia 39 of 39