### Hanseatic City of Lübeck

### 1. World Heritage Property Data

#### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Hanseatic City of Lübeck

#### 1.2 - World Heritage property details

#### 1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
	0/0	?	?	?	
	0/0	?	?	?	
Zone 1: Burgkloster - Aegidienstrasse	53.867 / 10.692	59.1	693.8	752.9	1987
Zone 2: Petrikirche - Dom zu Lübeck	53.863 / 10.683	19.5	?	19.5	1987
Zone 3: Marienkirche, Rathaus, Marktplatz	53.868 / 10.684	2.5	?	2.5	1987
Total (ha)		81.1	693.8	774.9	

#### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Town of Lübeck World Heritage site	2009	
Hanseatic City of Lübeck - minor boundary modification	2009	

#### Comment

There is an ongoing map issue with the WHC

#### 1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

1. World Heritage: Hanseatic City of Lübeck

#### Comment

https://www.luebeck.de/de/rathaus/verwaltung/stadtplanung/altstadt/unesco-welterbe-luebecker-altstadt/index.html

- 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)
- 2.1 Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is not designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

Hanseatic City of Lübeck 1 of 36

- 2.2 Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary
- 2.3 Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

- 2.4 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?
- 2.5 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?
- 2.6 Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

  No
- 2.7 Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	

- 2.8 Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)
- 2.9 Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

Yes

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the

Hanseatic City of Lübeck 2 of 36

#### Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

Craft techniques and customary practices of cathedral workshops, or Bauhütten, in Europe, know-how, transmission, development of knowledge and innovation

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

No

- 2.12 Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.
- 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
- 3.1 Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

#### Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

#### **Brief synthesis**

Founded in 1143 on the Baltic coast of northern Germany, Lübeck was from 1230 to 1535 one of the principal cities of the Hanseatic League, a league of merchant cities which came to hold a monopoly over the trade of the Baltic Sea and the North Sea. The plan of the Old Town island of Lübeck, with its blade-like outline determined by two parallel routes of traffic running along the crest of the island, dates back to the beginnings of the city and attests to its expansion as a commercial centre of Northern Europe. To the west, the richest quarters with the trading houses and the homes of the rich merchants are located, and to the east, small commerces and artisans. The very strict socio-economic organization emerges through the singular disposition of the Buden, small workshops set in the back courtyards of the rich hares, to which access was provided through a narrow network of alleyways (Gänge).

Lübeck has remained an urban monument characteristic of a significant historical structure even though the city was severely damaged during the Second World War. Almost 20% of it were destroyed, including the most famous monumental complexes- the Cathedral of Lübeck, the churches of St Peter and St Mary and especially the Gründungsviertel, the hilltop quarter where the gabled houses of the rich merchants clustered. Selective reconstruction has permitted the replacement of the most important churches and monuments.

Omitting the zones that have been entirely reconstructed, the World Heritage site includes three areas of significance in the history of Lübeck. The first area extends from the Burgkloster in the north to the quarter of St Aegidien in the south. The Burgkloster, a Dominican convent built in fulfilment of a vow made at the battle of Bornhöved (1227), contains the original foundations of the castle built by Count Adolf von Schauenburg on the Buku isthmus. The Koberg site preserves an entire late 18th-century neighbourhood built around a public square bordered by two important monuments, the Jakobi Church and the Heilig-Geist-Hospital. The sections between the Glockengiesserstrasse and the Aegidienstrasse retain their original layout and contain a remarkable number of medieval structures.

Between the two large churches that mark its boundaries - the Petri Church to the north and the Cathedral to the south - the second area includes rows of superb Patrician residences from the 15th and 16th centuries. The enclave on the left bank of the Trave, with its salt storehouses and the Holstentor, reinforces the monumental aspect of an area that was entirely renovated at the height of the Hansa epoch (about 1250 to 1400), when Lübeck dominated trade in Northern Europe.

Located at the heart of the medieval city, the third area around St Mary's Church, the Town Hall, and the Market Square bear the tragic scars of the heavy bombing suffered during the Second World War.

Criterion (iv): As outstanding examples of types of buildings, the most authentic areas of the Hanseatic City of Lübeck exemplify the power and the historic role of the Hanseatic League.

#### Integrity

The preserved quarters of the Old Town show in their unity the medieval structure of the Hanseatic Town and represent a high-ranking European monument. The overall impression of the Old Town is reinforced by individual architectural highlights of ecclesiastical and profane character, whereas the combined effect is revealed through the unique town silhouette with the seven high church towers.

#### Authenticity

The heart of the Old Town is surrounded by water on all sides and, partly, by embankments and park areas. Despite the damage it suffered during the Second World War, the basic structure of the Old City, consisting mainly of 15th and 16th century Patrician residences, public monuments (the famous Holstentor brick gate), churches and salt storehouses, remains unaltered. Up to the present day, its layout is clearly recognisable as a harmonious, complete masterpiece and its uniquely uniform silhouette is visible from far.

#### Protection and management requirements

The laws and regulations of the Federal Republic of Germany and the State of Schleswig-Holstein guarantee the consistent protection of the Hanseatic City of Lübeck. The large number of historic monuments and the Old Town island are protected by the Act on the Protection and Conservation of Monuments in the federal state of Schleswig-Holstein.

The Monument Preservation Plan is the basis for town planning and specific architectural interventions. Furthermore, the historic centre of Lübeck is protected by a preservation statute and a design statute; even the quarters of the late 19th century surrounding the Old Town are protected by preservation statutes. The regional development programme of the federal state of Schleswig-Holstein ensures the protection of the view axes and the silhouette of the World Heritage property.

The City of Lübeck is responsible for the management of the World Heritage property. The coordination between the stakeholders is organised by a World Heritage commissioner within the municipal structure in order to duly indicate potential threats to the Outstanding Universal Value and to ensure the integration of relevant issues into the planning procedures, an integrative monitoring approach and a sustainable development of the World Heritage property. Complemented by the Management Plan, this differentiated protective system guarantees an efficient preservation of the historical substance of the property. To protect and sustain the Outstanding Universal Value, a buffer zone and additional view axes outside the buffer zone are in place to ensure the long-term protection and sustained preservation of the important views and of the structural integrity.

In addition, external experts meet regularly in consultative bodies to monitor quality and discuss suitable solutions in town planning and construction practice.

Regarding the tourism and visitor management, a tourism development concept (TDC) forms the basis for strategic activities.

# 3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

Bri	rief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost	ï
		i ieseiveu	Compromised	deriously compromised	LUST	

Hanseatic City of Lübeck 3 of 36

3.2.1	City silhouette	×		
3.2.2	Significant monuments	×		
3.2.3	Aboveground & Underground Urban structure and plan	×		
3.2.4	House types, building forms and tasks, structural features	×		
3.2.5	Core of inland and maritime trade (in the Hanseatic region)	×		
3.2.6	Evidence of civic power in the field of tension with secular and ecclesiastical rule	×		
3.2.7	Cultural Transfer of the Hanseatic times	×		
3.2.8				
3.2.9				
3.2.10				
3.2.11				
3.2.12				
3.2.13				
3.2.14				
3.2.15				

#### 3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Areas outside the world heritage property with an outstanding value considered to the OUV should be included as well as the outstanding value of the archaeological ground should be included

### 4. Factors Affecting the Property

### 4.1. Buildings and Development

#### 4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

levant	✗ Not relevant
--------	----------------

### 4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

★ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Impact Origin		gin Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×			×		$\rightarrow$	

### 4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

• Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X		×		×			7

### 4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

Hanseatic City of Lübeck 4 of 36

#### 4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside

Relevant	X Not relevant

# 4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Within the buffer zone areas are potentially going to be planned with 1. noise barriers along the railway track and 2. an office building and 3. solar parks (which are planned in the wider settings potentially affecting the view/silhouette of the town)

#### 4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

#### 4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

_	alassa d	Water the second
R	elevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

✗ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×	×		<b>→</b>	

# 4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Within the buffer zone an area is potentially going to be planned with noise barriers along the railway track

#### 4.3. Services Infrastructures

#### 4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✗ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	<b>G</b> Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing

Hanseatic City of Lübeck 5 of 36

<ul><li>Positive</li></ul>						
Negative X	×	×	×	×		/

#### 4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×			<i>P</i>

#### 4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

✗ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×			,

# 4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The visual integrity on the silhouette of the Hanseatic City of Lübeck is potentially impaired by new planned 380 kv powerlines

### 4.4. Pollution

#### 4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

• Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

Relevant	× Not relevant
	** Not relevant

#### 4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

• Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant	
-------------------------	--

Hanseatic City of Lübeck 6 of 36

#### 4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant	
-------------------------	--

# 4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.5. Biological resource use/modification

#### 4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant Not relevant

#### 4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

Hanseatic City of Lübeck 7 of 36

# 4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.6. Physical resource extraction

#### 4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

#### 4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

Hanseatic City of Lübeck 8 of 36

#### 4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

# 4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

#### 4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

#### 4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	× Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant	
----------	----------------	--

#### 4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	W Not relevant
Relevant	Not relevant

#### 4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

### 4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

¥ Relevant			1	Not relevant			
Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×		<b>→</b>	
Negative							

# 4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

awarenss building for the historical centre

#### 4.9. Other human activities

### 4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

Hanseatic City of Lübeck 9 of 36

#### 4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

#### 4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

× Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
Negative X	×	×		×		<b>→</b>			

### 4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

• Not relevant

× Relevant			ı	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×			,

### 4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Hanseatic City of Lübeck 10 of 36

#### 4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

× Relevant			1	Not relevant					
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact					
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing		
Positive									
○ Negative X		×		×			7		

#### 4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

#### 4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Heritage is affected by more and increasing floodings (increasing sea water level may affect the floodings) and by heavy rainfall events

#### 4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

#### 4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

• Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

• Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

Hanseatic City of Lübeck 11 of 36

# 4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

#### 4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant

positively

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or

× Not relevant

### 4.13. Management and institutional factors

#### 4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

Relevant X Not relevant

4.13.2 - Legal framework

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.13.3 - Governance

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.13.5 - Financial resources

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.13.6 - Human resources

Relevant X Not relevant

Hanseatic City of Lübeck 12 of 36

### 4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

× Relevant			1	Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×	×			-	
Negative								

#### 4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (10/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant			× Not relevan	it				
	_				 		 	

# 4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.14. Other factor(s)

### 4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

### 4.15. Factors Summary Table

#### 4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact	i		Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.2 Commercial development						
		9			<b>G</b>	$\rightarrow$
4.1.3 Industrial areas						
			q		<b>G</b>	7
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
		<b>A</b>		•	<b>G</b>	$\rightarrow$
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities						
			9	•	C	7
4.3.4 Localised utilities						
		q	9	•	<b>G</b>	/
4.3.5 Major linear utilities						
		9	9		<b>G</b>	/
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	<b>O</b>	9	9	•	<b>G</b>	<b>→</b>
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.1 Storms						
		9	9		F	$\rightarrow$
4.10.2 Flooding						
		9	9		<b>G</b>	7
4.10.5 Changes to oceanic waters						

Hanseatic City of Lübeck 13 of 36

							P		Œ	-
4.13 Management and institutional factors										
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities						9		•	Œ	1
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	<ul><li>Positive</li></ul>	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	de		Outsi	de	

- 4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors
- 4.16.1 Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors
- 4.1 Buildings and Development

Name		Impact Original Control of the Contr		Origin	Origin		
4.1.2 Comr	nercial development						
			9			Œ	$\rightarrow$
Snatial sca	lle - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.1.3 Industrial areas					
		9		<b>G</b>	<i>P</i>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Hanseatic City of Lübeck 14 of 36

×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	Impact - Impact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						

### 4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	Name			Origin		Trend
4.2.5 Effect	4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure					
			9	•	<b>G</b>	$\rightarrow$
Spatial sca	lle - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					

Hanseatic City of Lübeck 15 of 36

	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

### 4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name

					Origin		Trend
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities							
			9	q	•	<b>G</b>	7
Spatial scale -	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
R	estricted						
L	ocalised						
E	xtensive						
×	lidespread						
Temporal scal	e - Occurence of the impact						
C	ne off or rare						
Ir	stermittent or sporadic						
F	requent						
×	n-going						
Impact - Impa	ct on the attributes						
Ir	significant						
N	linor						
×	ignificant						
N	lajor						
Management i	response - Capacity of management to respond						
Н	igh capacity						
×	ledium capacity						
L	ow capacity						
N	o capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Develo	opement over the last 6 years						
D	ecreasing						
S	tatic						
× Ir	acreasing						

4.3.4 Localised utilities			

Impact

Origin

Trend

Hanseatic City of Lübeck 16 of 36

			4	4	<b>Q</b>	G	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
	linear utilities	iiipaci			Origin		Helia
			q	q		<b>G</b>	7
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						

Hanseatic City of Lübeck 17 of 36

×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

### 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name		Impact			Origin	Origin	
4.8.6 Impa	4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation		9	9	•	F	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - In	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

Hanseatic City of Lübeck 18 of 36

### 4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermitient or sporadic  K Fiesuant On-going  Impact - Impact on the attributes  Insignificant Minor  K Significant Minor  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity Low capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or recources  Frend - Developement over the last 6 years  Decreasing  K Simile Increasing  Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor  Restricted Localized Localized Localized Localized K Videepread  Videepread  Videepread  Con off or rare  On off or rare  On off or rare	4.10.1 Sto							
ignation leads - Area affected by the factor  Romania Received Area				9	9		(F	<b>→</b>
Recordined  Localised  Entervaive  Wildseproad  Compared Cocurrence of the impact  One of or crare Intermitant or sporadic  Wingspread on the attributes  Insignificant Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  Fish apporty  Michael Capacity  No capacity of management response - Capacity of management to respond  Fish apporty  No capacity of the set if years  Decreasing  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  Fish apporty  No capacity of the set if years  Decreasing  Management cover the last if years  Decreasing  Recordinate  Inspect Origin Trend  Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor  Recordinate  Experience  Wildseproad  Wildseproad  Congress  Wildseproad  Congress  Wildseproad  Congress  Congress  Wildseproad  Congress  Wildseproad  Congress  Cong								
Localised  Exercise  Widespread  Cree off or rare Internative or spoudic  Fraguer  Cregating  Mance  Irrogation or the attributes  Irrogation or Market or Spoudic or Irrogation or Mancet or Irrogation or Irrogation or Irrogation or Mancet or Irrogation or	Spatial sc							
Experience  K Widesproad  Fremporal scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rise  Intermities or sponsitio  K Frequent  One off or rise  Intermities or sponsitio  K Frequent  Minor  Minor  K Significant  Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity  Lor capacity  Lor capacity  No								
Vide-spread								
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact  One off or rane Intermittent or spondie.  K. Frequent On-gaing Impact - Impact on the attributes  Insignificant Minor  K. Significant Minor  Minor  Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity  Low capacity No capacity and / or resources  Trend - Development over the last 6 years  Decreasing  Management over the last 6 years  Lingact Journal of the control								
Concept of corange   Intermittent or sporandic   Intermittent or sporandic   Intermittent or sporandic   Intermittent or sporandic   Intermittent or septemble   Integration the attributes	×							
Intermittent or spondic  Frequent  Cegoing  mpact - Impact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant  Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity  Low capacity  Low capacity  Low capacity  Decreasing  No capacity and / or resources  Increasing  Name  Restricted  Lozafond  Lozafond	Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
Frequent  On-going  mpact - Impact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  K. Significant  Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity  Low capacity  Low capacity  Decreasing  State Increasing  Management over the last 6 years  Pered - Development over the last 6 years  Decreasing  Assert  Restricted  Localised  Localised  Estensive  K. Widespread  Concurrence of the impact  One off or rare  One off or rare		One off or rare						
On-going  mpact-Impact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity  Medium capacity  No capac		Intermittent or sporadic						
Insignificant  Insignificant  Minor  Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity  Medium capacity  No	×	Frequent						
Insignificant  Minor  Significant  Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity  Medium capacity  Low capacity and / or resources  Trend - Development over the last 6 years  Decreasing  State  Increasing  Name  Impact  Origin  Trend  1.10.2 Flooding  Restricted  Localised  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  Concord or rate		On-going						
Minor  Significant Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity  Medium capacity  Low capacity No capacity and / or resources  Trend - Developement over the last 8 years  Decreasing  Sistic Increasing  Management for the factor  Restricted Localised Localised Externalve  Widespread  Concellor rare	Impact - Ir	npact on the attributes						
Significant   Major		Insignificant						
Management response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity  Medium capacity  Low capacity  No capacity and / or resources  Frend - Developement over the last 6 years  Decreasing  Stratic  Increasing  Name  Increasing  Name  Increasing  Name  Louised  Extensive  Widespread  Widespread  Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare		Minor						
Management response - Capacity of management to respond    High capacity	×	Significant						
High capacity  Medium capacity  Low capacity  No capacity and / or resources  Frend - Developement over the last 6 years  Decreasing  Static Increasing  Name Impact Origin Trend 1.10.2 Flooding  Restricted Localised Localised Extensive  Widespread  Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare		Major						
Medium capacity  Low capacity  No capacity and / or resources  Frend - Developement over the last 6 years  Decreasing  Static  Increasing  Name  Impact  Origin  Trend  1.10.2 Flooding  Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  One off or rare	Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
Low capacity No capacity and / or resources  Frend - Developement over the last 6 years    Decreasing		High capacity						
No capacity and / or resources  Frend - Developement over the last 6 years  Decreasing  X Static  Increasing  Name  Limpact  Origin  Trend  Lingact  Frend - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  X Widespread  Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare	×	Medium capacity						
Decreasing   Static   Increasing   Impact   Origin   Trend		Low capacity						
Decreasing  K Static  Increasing  Name  4.10.2 Flooding  Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  K Widespread  Femporal scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare		No capacity and / or resources						
Static   Increasing   Impact   Origin   Trend	Trend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years						
Increasing  Name  Annual Impact  Origin  Trend  Trend  Annual Impact  Origin  Trend  Trend  Annual Impact  Origin  Trend  Trend  Trend  Origin  Trend  Trend  Trend  Origin  Trend  Trend  Trend  Origin  Trend  Trend  Trend  Origin  Trend  Trend  Origin  Trend  Trend  Origin  Trend  Origin  Trend  Trend  Origin  Trend		Decreasing						
Name 4.10.2 Flooding  Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  Cone off or rare	×	Static						
A.10.2 Flooding  Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare		Increasing						
A.10.2 Flooding  Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare								
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare	Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
Restricted Localised Extensive  Widespread  Comporal scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare	4.10.2 Floo	oding						
Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare				9	9		<b>G</b>	1
Localised  Extensive  Widespread  Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare	Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
Extensive  Widespread  Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare		Restricted						
Widespread  Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare		Localised						
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare		Extensive						
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare	×	Widespread						
One off or rare								
Intermittent or sporadic								
intermittent of operation		Intermittent or sporadic						

Impact

Origin

Trend

Hanseatic City of Lübeck 19 of 36

	Frequent					
×	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.10.5 Chai	nges to oceanic waters		q		Œ	a
		•	4		G	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					

Hanseatic City of Lübeck 20 of 36

	Static
×	Increasing

### 4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name		Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.7 Lo	.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities		9		•	<b>G</b>	7
Spatial s	cale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
	scale - Occurence of the Impact						
тетпрога							
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact -	mpact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Managen	nent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - D	evelopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

### 4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

# 4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

The component parts are close to each other and are forming an larger area and the impacts are similar to all component parts

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

# 4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	City Silhouette		×		
4.18.1.2	Significant monuments	×			
4.18.1.3	Aboveground & Underground Urban structure and plan	×			

Hanseatic City of Lübeck 21 of 36

4.18.1.4	House types, building forms and tasks, structural features	×		
4.18.1.5	Core of inland and maritime trade (in the Hanseatic region)	×		

#### 5. Protection and Management of the Property

#### 5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries do not limit the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

#### 5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

#### 5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones do not limit the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

#### 5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

#### 5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

The Committe recommended that the aerchological exploitation under the historic city of Lübeck be pursued- for this reason the archaeological ground under the whole historic centre should be included in the inscription as well as areas outside the WH property zones (same OUV) should be part of the world heritage property.

#### 5.2. Protective Measures

#### 5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

- Ongoing inscription of listed monuments and a excavation protection area (1992) according to the Monument Protection Act of Schleswig-Holstein (DSchG - revised 12.01.2012)
- A Redevelopment statute (1979) and a Preservation Statute (1973) according to the Federal Building Code (BauGB last revised: 22. Juli 2011)
- Design statute for Lübeck (1982) according to the State Building Code of Schleswig Holstein (LBO revised on 22.01.2009)

Effective protection has been guaranteed by inscribing all buildings in Lübeck Old Town worthy of protection pursuant to Land Schleswig-Holstein Law on Monument Protection. The protection on this basis is enhanced by an urban planning framework and a statute on developing and maintaining the entire area of the Old Town, by designating some 50% of the Old Town as a redevelopment area in line with urban development assistance and binding building plans for individual areas. The local protection and statute laws are being continued and further developed in line with the needs of the preservation and development of the World Heritage site.

Source: Periodic Reporting Cycle 1, Periodic Reporting Cycle 2

#### Comment

The ongoing inscription of listed monuments and a excavation protection area (1992) according to the Monument Protection Act of Schleswig-Holstein (NEW: DSchG - revised 30.01.2015)

# 5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

2012 / Management Plan World Heritage / Yes / Yes / No / Yes / No / https://www.luebeck.de/de/rathaus/verwaltung/stadtplanung/altstadt/unesco-welterbe-luebecker-altstadt/managementplan/index.html

# 5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

# 5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

# 5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property

#### 5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

### 5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice Due to new (e.g. energy) laws at federal level, there is an area of conflict with World Heritage (outstanding interest)

# 5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

### 5.3. Management System/Management Plan

Hanseatic City of Lübeck 22 of 36

#### 5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property

Public management system joint regional/local

### If 'Other', please specify

#### 5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)

Agreed 'Memorandums of Understanding' between different managing institutions, groups or others, including documents agreed with local communities for management

A code of practice developed by local communities or other groups

An integrated management plan combining World Heritage and any other designations

A management plan

A disaster, climate or conflict risk management plan

A visitor/visitation management plan

#### 5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

#### 5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Westliche Randbebauung des Lübecker Marktes. Planungen für das ehemalige Post- und Stadthausgrundstück am Lübecker Markt. Bericht der Hansestadt Lübeck für die UNESCO	N/A	Available	2001	
Bericht der Hansestadt Lubeck fur die UNESCO. Westliche Randbebauung des Lubecker Marktes. Planungen fur das ehemalige Post- und Stadhausgrundstuck am Lubecker Markt	N/A	Available	2001	
Management Plan. World Heritage "Hanseatic City of Lubeck". November 2011	In Force	Available	2012	

# 5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

Some use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done. It was a part of the elaboration of the management plan.

# 5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

# 5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

It was a part of the eloberation of the climate adaptation concept for Luebeck.

# 5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property? Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

## 5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

It is part of risk managment studies.

# 5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is adequate coordination between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

#### 5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being  ${\bf fully\ implemented\ and\ monitored}$ 

#### 5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

No annual work/action plan exists and it is not needed

# 5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Hanseatic City of Lübeck 23 of 36

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities					×
5.3.15.2	Local authorities					×
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone					×
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women	×				
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

# 5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners				×	
5.3.16.5	Women	×				
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children			×		
5.3.16.7	Researchers				×	
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists			×		
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists			×		
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries			×		
5.3.16.12	NGOs					×
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

# 5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality	×				
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	×				
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status	×				
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach	×				
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood			×		
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property	×				

Hanseatic City of Lübeck 24 of 36

#### 5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

The Heritage Management is part of the whole city management. There are generally a lot of programms (gender policies, eco system policies, city development systems and plans and a lot more) implemented within the city management.

#### 5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

#### 6. Financial and Human Resources

#### 6.1. Funding

# 6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	40 %	40 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	20 %	20 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	40 %	40 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

#### 6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

No specific Data available! The main property (of buildings) within the WH property is private owned. Conservation etc. is maintained by these owners. There are several programs (governmental and by foundations) to support the owners. Public areas/streets are maintained by the municipal administration.

#### 6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

#### 6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term

#### 6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

# 6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	50 %	%
6.1.6.2	Women	50 %	%
		Total 100 %	Total 0 %

#### 6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are adequate for management needs

# 6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Poor
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good

Hanseatic City of Lübeck 25 of 36

Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

# 6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Poor
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

#### 6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

for example: Worskhop (Ministry of foreign affairs and ICCROM) has been taken place

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and fully implemented; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally

- 6.1.13 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training
- 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects
- 7.1 Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is acceptable for most key areas but there are gaps

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared with local communities and some national agencies

- 7.4 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects
- 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building
- 8.1 Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair

Hanseatic City of Lübeck 26 of 36

Women	Not applicable
Youth/children	Non-existent
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Fair
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

# 8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is **no education and awareness programme** for children and/or youth, despite an identified need

#### 8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Landowners	
Youth/children	
Researchers	
Local Visitors	
National/international tourists	
Tourism industry	
Local businesses and industries	

# 8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Not needed
Information booths	Not provided but needed
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Fair
Printed information materials	Fair
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Fair
Transportation facilities	Good
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

#### 8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

#### 9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

2.232.278 / 1.756.429 / 1.572.499 / 2.047.281 / 1.825.115 /

#### 9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Accommodation establishments	
Tourism industry	
Other	

Hanseatic City of Lübeck 27 of 36

#### 9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

Overnight stay

#### 9.4 - Please provide the source of information

Official statistics provided by the relevant department for statistics of the Hanseatic city of Lübeck: 2022: 2.232.278 overnight stays (partial lockdown Covid 19) 2021: 1.756.429 overnight stays (Covid-19) 2020: 1.572 .499 overnight stays (Covid-19) 2019: 2.047.281 overnight stays 2018: 1.825.115 overnight stays

#### 9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

0/0/0/0/0/0/

#### 9.6 - Please provide the source of information

There is no specific data available.

# 9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a planned and effective strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

#### 9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

The Tourism Development Concept 2030 can be downloaded here:

 $https://www.luebeck-marketing.com/fileadmin/Mediendatenbank/B2B/Dokumente/TEK-2030-luebeck-travemuende\_englisch.pdf$ 

#### 9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

There is some management of the visitor use of the World Heritage property

#### 9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

#### If a different system, please specify

# 9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

#### 9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made

#### 9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In one location, but not easily visible to visitors

## 9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property? No fees are collected

#### 9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Vρς

If 'Yes', please specify

### 9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

#### 9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

#### 10. Monitoring

# 10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme of monitoring**, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

# 10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **adequate and key indicators have been defined** for measuring the state of conservation and **are being used in monitoring** of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

#### 10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×

10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system	×
10.3.3	Character of governance	×
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations	×
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development	×
10.3.6	Capacity development	×

#### 10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

Key indicators are definied in the management plan. There is a continuous preventive monitoring in cooperation with Icomos Germany.

#### 10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Local communities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Women	Not applicable
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industry	Fair
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

### 10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee? Implementation is underway

#### 10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

There is a continuous flow of information to Icomos regarding the archaeological ground which was recommended by the Committee after the inscription of Lübeck as heritage site in 1987 "The Committee recommended that the archaeological exploitation under the historic city of Lübeck be pursued, including in the zones not inscribed on the World Heritage List, and wished to be kept informed."

### 10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

#### 11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

### 11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.5	An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	×
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	Some use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	
5.3.7	Some use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.17	• In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood	
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	×
6.1.10	No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	×
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
8.2	There is no heritage education and awareness programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need	×
9	Visitor Management	

Hanseatic City of Lübeck 29 of 36

9.9	There is <b>some management</b> of the visitor use of the World Heritage property	×
9.11	There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation	×
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	×
Pleas	se select 1 more issues.	
Ŋ Ple	ease save this question to reflect changes	

### 12. Summary and Conclusions

### 12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

### 12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.1	1 Buildings and Development							
4.1.2	Commercial development	Criterion (iv): "As outstanding examples of types of buildings, the most authentic areas of the Hanseatic City of Lübeck exemplify the power and the historic role of the Hanseatic League"		City Development Department, Icomos	within the next 2-3 years	City Development Department	none	
4.1.3	Industrial areas	Criterion (iv): "As outstanding examples of types of buildings, the most authentic areas of the Hanseatic City of Lübeck exemplify the power and the historic role of the Hanseatic League"	no specific action needed- it is implemented within an urban planning development process with sufficiant awareness of the world heritage property and it's attributes	Heritage/Historic Preservation Authority, preventive monitori by Icomos Germany		City Development rs Department	none	
4.2	Transportation	on Infrastructure						
4.2.5	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	Criterion (iv): "As outstanding examples of types of buildings, the most authentic areas of the Hanseatic City of Lübeck exemplify the power and the historic role of the Hanseatic League"	no specific action needed- it is implemented within the planning process by the national railway agency with sufficiant awareness of the world heritage property and it's attributes	Heritage/Historic Preservation Authority, City Development Department, preventive monitoring by Icomos Germany	within the next 2 years	City Development Department and Heritage/Historic Preservation Authority	none	
4.3	Services Infr	astructures						
4.3.2	Renewable energy facilities	Criterion (iv): "As outstanding examples of types of buildings, the most authentic areas of the Hanseatic City of Lübeck exemplify the power and the historic role of the Hanseatic League"	in progress: developing process with involved stakeholders how requirements regarding to the need for renewable energy facilities can be make- taking into account the world heritage	Heritage/Historic Preservatic Authority, City Development Department, preventive monitoring by Icomos Germa	next 5 year	City Development  Department	none	
4.3.4	Localised utilities	Criterion (iv): "As outstanding examples of types of buildings, the most authentic areas of the Hanseatic City of Lübeck exemplify the power and the historic role of the Hanseatic League"	in progress: developing process with involved stakeholders how requirements regarding to the need for renewable energy facilities can be make- taking into account the world heritage	Heritage/Historic Preservatic Authority, City Development Department, preventive monitoring by Icomos Germa	next 5 year	City Development rs Department	none	

Hanseatic City of Lübeck 30 of 36

4.3.5	Major linear utilities	Criterion (iv): "As outstanding examples of types of buildings, the most authentic areas of the Hanseatic City of Lübeck exemplify the power and the historic role of the Hanseatic League"	in progress: developing process with involved stakeholders how requirements regarding to the need for renewable energy facilities can be make- taking into account the world heritage	Heritage/Historic Preservation Authority, City Development Department, preventive monitoring by Icomos Germany	within the next 5 years	City Development Department	none
4.10	Climate chan	ge and severe weather eve	nts				
4.10.1	Storms	Criterion (iv); Attributes: "Aboveground & Underground, Urban structure and plan" and "House types, building forms and tasks, structur features" and "City silhouette"	no specific action needes, there is an existing and integrated climate adaptation concept for the city	Heritage/Historic Preservation Authority, City Development Department, preventive monitoring by Icomos Germany	on-going	Municipal climate control center	none
4.10.2	Flooding	Criterion (iv); Attributes: "Aboveground & Underground, Urban structure and plan" and "House types, building forms and tasks, structur features" and "City silhouette"	no specific action needes, there is an existing and integrated climate adaptation concept for the city	Heritage/Historic Preservation Authority, City Development Department, preventive monitoring by Icomos Germany	on-going	Municipal climate control center	none
4.10.5	Changes to oceanic waters	Criterion (iv); Attributes: "Aboveground & Underground, Urban structure and plan" and "House types, building forms and tasks, structur features" and "City silhouette"	no specific action needes, there is an existing and integrated climate adaptation concept for the city	Heritage/Historic Preservation Authority, City Development Department, preventive monitoring by Icomos Germany	on-going	Municipal climate control center	none

### Summary - Factors affecting the Property **completed**

### 12.2. Summary - Management Needs

### 12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.2	Protective Measur	res			
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
5.2.5	An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	Visual relationships are reviewed and improved	within the next 2 years	City Development Department	none
5.3	Management Sys	stem/Management Plan			

Hanseatic City of Lübeck 31 of 36

5.3.7	bee the Doo the Clir on Her	eme use has en made of e Policy ocument on e Impacts of imate Change World eritage operties at e property	Implementation in progress		within the next years	Municipal climate control center, City Development Department, Heritage/Historic Preservation Authority	none
5.3.9	bee the Red fror at V Hel	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property			within the next years	many city departments involved	none
6.1		Funding					
6.1.3			le but  Ito the ent he	d on-goir	ng	several stakeholder	none
6.1.10	6.1.10  No use has been made the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Developme the World Heritage property		e of	-/-		-/-	none
8	Educatio	on, Information	n and Awareness Building				
	8.2 There is no impler heritage develo		lementation through local museums eloping of an education network str 8 heritage sites in Schleswig-Holstei	ategy with		Museums in the Hanseatic City, State of Schleswig-Holstein, Municipal of Lübeck	none
9	1	Visitor Manage	ement				
9.9			no specific action needed	on-going		LTM (Lübeck Travemünde Managment)	none
9.11 There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation		cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase	d no specific action needed	on-going		LTM (Lübeck Travemünde Managment)	none

Hanseatic City of Lübeck 32 of 36

9.12	The	no specific action needed	on-going	LTM (Lübeck Travemünde Managment)	none
	presentation				
	and				
	interpretation of				
	the Outstanding				
	Universal Value				
	of the property				
	is acceptable				
	but				
	improvements				
	could be made				

Summary - Management Needs completed

- 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property
- 12.3.1 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

  The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved
- 12.3.2 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact
- 12.3.3 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

- 12.3.5 Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.
- 13. Impact of World Heritage Status
- 13.1 Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Very positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	No impact
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal/Policy framework	Very positive
Advocacy	Very positive
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Not applicable
Gender equality	Not applicable
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Not applicable
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Not applicable
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Not applicable
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

Hanseatic City of Lübeck 33 of 36

#### 13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

- 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention
- 14.1 Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level
- 14.2 Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level
- 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

#### 15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

# 15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Good

#### 15.2. Use of Data

#### 15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans

Fundraising

Awareness raising

### 15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

#### 15.3. Timing and resources

#### 15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff
Focal points of other international conventions/programmes
Responsible persons for local designated sites under other international conventions/ programmes
Staff from other World Heritage properties
Local communities
ICOMOS national/regional
External experts

# **15.3.2 -** Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance has **not been explicitly** considered or implemented in the process.

# 15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

#### 15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

difficult to estimate, since many participants were required and the attributes had to be determined / difficult to estimate, since many participants were required and the attributes had to be determined / difficult to estimate, since many participants were required and the attributes had to be determined /

Hanseatic City of Lübeck 34 of 36

#### 15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training		×

#### 15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

#### 15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Not all required information was accessible.

#### 15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions		×		

#### 15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

#### 15.5. Training and Guidance

#### 15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Good
UNESCO National Commission	Fair
ICOMOS International	Fair
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Fair
ICOMOS national/regional	Good
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

#### 15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Good
National Commission for UNESCO	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Fair
ICCROM International/regional	Fair
ICOMOS national/regional	Good
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

# 15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

#### 15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

#### 15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

### • Map(s)

Reason for update: There is an ongoing map issue with the WHC

Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

#### 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

Hanseatic City of Lübeck 35 of 36

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.

Hanseatic City of Lübeck 36 of 36