Manú National Park

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property
Manú National Park

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Manú National Park - minor boundary modification	2008	

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

1. Natural site datasheet from WCMC
2. Peruvian National Parks Agency map of Manu National Park

Comment
https://www.visitmanu.com/ https://www.facebook.com/Parque.Nacional.del.Manu.Sernanp https://play.google.com/store/apps/details?id=com.peqconsultores.manu

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) **is** designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) **is not** designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	**International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection** (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		✖
2.1.2	**List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection** (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		✖
2.1.3	**The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List)** (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		✖
2.1.4	**World Network of Biosphere Reserves** Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	✖	
2.1.5	**Global Geoparks Network** UNESCO Global Geoparks		✖

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?
No

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?
No

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?
Yes

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?
No

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	

2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	

2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	

2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	

2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?
No

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?
No

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
Brief Synthesis

Manu National Park is a globally renowned haven of terrestrial biodiversity at the meeting point of the Tropical Andes and the Amazon Basin in Southwestern Peru. As a vast, geographically and economically isolated watershed, the still roadless property has been spared from most human impacts and is difficult to access to this day. The originally inscribed area was extended to 1,716,295 hectares in 2009, spanning the complete altitudinal gradient of the Eastern slope of the Andes from around 350 to above 4,000 m.a.s.l. The in some places precipitous transition includes high Andean Puna grasslands, mountain cloud forests, Yunga forests and

lowland rainforest. Fed from numerous whitewater creeks in the mountains, the Manu River meanders through the lowland forests, before it joins the mighty Madre de Dios River at the Southern edge of the property. As evidenced by Incan and Pre-Incan ruins and petroglyphs, there is a long history of indigenous occupation. The local legend of Paititi, according to which the "Lost City of the Incas" is located within what is today the property, has lured researchers and adventurers alike. Today, various indigenous peoples are the only permanent inhabitants. Some of them are sedentary and in regular contact with the "modern world", while others maintain a semi-nomadic lifestyle as hunter-gatherers in so-called "voluntary isolation" or "initial contact", respectively.

The immense variety of Manu National Park in terms of altitude, microclimate, soils and other ecological conditions results in a complex mosaic of habitats and niches. There is a broad spectrum of plant communities, ranging from the seemingly homogenous but highly diverse Andean grasslands to a range of mostly pristine forest types. Estimates of plant diversity range between 2,000 and 5,000, with some scientists even assuming considerably higher numbers. Records of fauna are similarly impressive with well over 1000 vertebrate species, including at least 200 species of mammals and more than 800 species of birds. Among the mammals are the Giant Otter, 13 different species of primates and eight felids, including Jaguar, Puma and the elusive and endangered Andean Mountain Cat. The wide range of estimates in various taxonomic groups of fauna and flora illustrates how little is known, let alone understood about the diversity of life in the property. In the medium and longer term developments in the surroundings of Manu National Park such as gas extraction and road construction may affect the still mostly pristine property in various ways. Careful planning and management is needed to balance development needs with the integrity of a global conservation gem.

Criterion (ix): Manu National Park has a remarkable location at the meeting point of the Tropical Andes and the Amazonian lowland forests. The massive altitudinal gradient has favoured an extremely broad range of ecological conditions and the evolution of highly diverse species and ecological communities. The landscape diversity ranges from high Andean grasslands to various forests types, including pristine montane cloud forests and lush lowland rainforest. The combination of topography, ecological conditions and isolation have permitted the almost undisturbed and ongoing evolution of an extraordinary diversity of life at all levels and a high degree of endemism. In addition to the diversity of life, Manu National Park is also known for an unusually high abundance of fauna across many taxonomic groups

Criterion (x): The extraordinary biodiversity combined with the large size and excellent conservation state makes Manu National Park a protected area of major and global biodiversity conservation importance. More than 200 species of mammals, 800 species of birds, 68 species of reptiles, 77 species of amphibians and impressive numbers of freshwater fish imply a diversity of vertebrates matched only in very few places of the World. Numbers in other taxonomic groups are at least as impressive, for example the more than 1,300 recorded species of butterflies out of probably several hundreds of thousands of arthropods. Thousands of higher plant species are distributed across the diverse ecosystems, habitats and niches. Hundreds of tree species have been identified, often jointly growing within very small areas. For decades, the property has been among the foremost references for scientific research in tropical ecology. As such the property has significantly helped our understanding of tropical forest ecosystems. Even seasoned researchers are overwhelmed not only by the diversity of life but also by the impressive abundance of vertebrates, including mammals. Despite the major record of research, even today taxonomic studies invariably reveal species unknown to science, including vertebrates, clear evidence that Manu continues to hold many of its biodiversity secrets.

Integrity

The property benefits from a natural protection at a relatively large scale due to its remote location and is considered to be one of the most pristine areas of the Peruvian Amazon. The presence of large top predators in natural population densities, such as Jaguar, Puma, Giant Otter and Harpy Eagle, provides evidence of the near-pristine overall state of Manu National Park. Unlike other parts of the Amazon Manu National Park is believed to be still largely free of alien invasive species. The property is today embedded in a much broader conservation complex comprised of different categories of protected areas and indigenous communal areas, including the contiguous including Alto Purus National Park, Megantoni National Sanctuary, adding another layer of protection. There are functional corridors extending all the way to the Brazilian and Bolivian Amazon. Since the extension of the property, the watershed of the Manu River, a major tributary to the Madre de Dios, is protected in its entirety. Direct human use and interference is minimal and mostly restricted to small numbers of indigenous residents. Provided that they maintain a lifestyle compatible with conservation objectives, their presence is not believed to negatively affect the conservation values of Manu National Park. The integrity of the property could be compromised by inappropriate developments in its vicinity, implying a need to strongly consider the surrounding buffer zone in protection and management efforts.

Protection and Management requirements

The geographic isolation and longstanding protection have saved Manu National Park from changes that have been occurring elsewhere in the Peruvian Amazon. The formal conservation history started in 1968, when Manu Nature Reserve was declared. Owing to the dedication of a group of Peruvian conservationists and international supporters the national park was formalized by Supreme Decree in 1973. In 1977, Manu National Park was recognized by UNESCO as the core zone of an even larger biosphere reserve. Both the national park and the biosphere reserve are today under the authority of Peru's national protected areas agency SERNANP under the Ministry of the Environment. The zonation of the government-owned park distinguishes various zones. The by far largest is the Restricted Zone, consisting mostly of undisturbed forests and dedicated exclusively to conservation with controlled access for researchers and de facto accepted indigenous subsistence resource use. Other, smaller zones are a Special Use Zone, two distinct Recreational Zones, a Cultural Zone and a Recuperation Zone covering relatively small Andean areas impacted by livestock and related use of fire. The smallest zone is a so-called Service Zone around Cocha Cashu Biological Station and the various control posts manned by rangers. The management of the property is guided by a Master Plan with a committee comprised of various stakeholders set up to ensure local participation in and contributions to management.

Needs identified in Master Plans in terms of staff numbers, resources and programme activities reveal implementation and funding gaps. The population near the property is scattered into small communities engaged in subsistence and small-scale commercial agriculture and animal husbandry. Both the farming communities and the indigenous residents have a localized but manageable impact on the property. Despite the lack of acute pressures a number of concerns stemming from broader developments in the region are well-documented. New roads across the Andes and smaller roads in the vicinity of the property are likely to induce change by opening access. Indirect impacts from the Camisea Gas Field and exploration near the property require careful monitoring. The development in the buffer zone, while formally not part of the inscribed property, may well be decisive for the future of the property. One particularity of the property is that it protects indigenous residents from external pressures and undesired attempts to contact them. There is a need to define a clear policy for their future.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost

3.2.1	Biodiversidad de Flora y Fauna		✖			
3.2.2	Ecositemas		✖			
3.2.3	Servicios ecosistemicos		✖			
3.2.4	Poblaciones en contacto inicial y aislamiento voluntario		✖			
3.2.5	Tradiciones culturales		✖			
3.2.6						
3.2.7						
3.2.8						
3.2.9						
3.2.10						
3.2.11						
3.2.12						
3.2.13						
3.2.14						
3.2.15						

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

El Parque Nacional del Manu, preenta una gran biodiversidad por presentar diferentes Sistemas ecológicos, En el interior se encuentran comunidades en contacto inicial y aislamiento voluntario quienes son los principales usuarios de los servicios ecosistemicos que genera el ANP, donde mantienen sus costumbres y tradiciones

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing
Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.1.2 - Commercial development
Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.1.3 - Industrial areas
Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure
Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities
Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✖ Relevant				Not relevant		

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🏴 Current	🏴 Potential	⊙ Inside	🏴 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
🟢 Positive ✖	✖		✖	✖		→	
🔴 Negative							

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Albergues turísticos que están en relacion al medio ambiente, se viene mejorando señales y senderos turísticos, Se ha desarrollado el mejoramiento de centros de Interpretación

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure
Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure
Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure
Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure
Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure
Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure
Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities
Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

✖ Relevant				Not relevant		
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**	
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	🌐 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
🟢 Positive ✖	✖		✖	✖			↗
🔴 Negative							

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities
Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.3.4 - Localised utilities
Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Se ha instalado paneles solares para proporcionar el servicio de Internet en poblaciones alejadas que no presentan linea eléctrica,

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Aun no s eimplementan un tratamiento de residuos solidos, se tiene un riesgo potencial que viene aumentando con el tiempo

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Not relevant						

Impact	🚩 Current	🚩 Potential	⦿ Inside	🏳 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✗	✗	✗		✗		→	

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
	✗ Not relevant

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

❌ Relevant			Not relevant				

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🚩 Current	🚩 Potential	⦿ Inside	🏳 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✗	✗			✗		→	

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
	✗ Not relevant

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
	✗ Not relevant

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
	✗ Not relevant

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
	✗ Not relevant

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

❌ Relevant			Not relevant				

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🚩 Current	🚩 Potential	⦿ Inside	🏳 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
⊕ Positive ✗	✗		✗	✗		→	
⊖ Negative							

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Se realiza el aprovechamiento de arboles arrastrados a través de un contrato de aprovechamietno

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Outside

✖ Relevant		Not relevant				
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**	
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	🏳 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	⇒ **Stable**	✎ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖			✖		⇒	
⊖ Negative							

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	🌐 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✕	✕		✕	✕			↗
⊖ Negative							

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	🌐 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✕	✕		✕			→	
⊖ Negative							

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	🌐 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✕	✕	✕		✕		→	
⊖ Negative ✕	✕	✕		✕		→	

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

| Relevant | ✖ Not relevant |

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities
Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

| Relevant | ✖ Not relevant |

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage
Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

| Relevant | ✖ Not relevant |

4.9.3 - Military training
Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

| Relevant | ✖ Not relevant |

4.9.4 - War
Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

| Relevant | ✖ Not relevant |

4.9.5 - Terrorism
Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

| Relevant | ✖ Not relevant |

4.9.6 - Civil unrest
Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

| Relevant | ✖ Not relevant |

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms
Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

| Relevant | ✖ Not relevant |

4.10.2 - Flooding
Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

| Relevant | ✖ Not relevant |

4.10.3 - Drought
Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

| Relevant | ✖ Not relevant |

4.10.4 - Desertification
Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

| Relevant | ✖ Not relevant |

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

✖ Relevant					Not relevant		
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	◎ **Inside**	⬡ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖	✖			✖		→	

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Los incendios forestales en su mayoría se han desarrollado fuera del Parque Nacional del Manu. Se realizan siempre acciones de prevención con los poblaciones del ámbito que esta generando conciencia y evitando se generen

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species
Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species
Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species
Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species
Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species
Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material
Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.13.2 - Legal framework

✖ Relevant					Not relevant		

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	⬡ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	➡ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖		✖	✖			↗
⊖ Negative							

4.13.3 - Governance

✖ Relevant					Not relevant		

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	⬡ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	➡ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖		✖	✖		➡	

⊖ Negative						

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✖ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	🏳 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	⇥ **Stable**	🖋 **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖		✖	✖			🖋
⊖ Negative							

4.13.5 - Financial resources

✖ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	🏳 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	⇥ **Stable**	🖋 **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖		✖	✖		⇥	
⊖ Negative							

4.13.6 - Human resources

✖ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	🏳 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	⇥ **Stable**	🖋 **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖		✖	✖	✖			🖋

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

✖ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	🏳 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	⇥ **Stable**	🖋 **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖		✖	✖			🖋
⊖ Negative							

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	⊕	🚩		◉	🏳	⇥

	Positive/Negative	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Trend
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities	Positive	Current		Inside	Outside	↗
4.5 Biological resource use/modification						
4.5.3 Land conversion	Negative	Current	Potential		Outside	→
4.5.5 Crop production	Negative	Current			Outside	→
4.5.10 Forestry/Wood production	Positive	Current		Inside	Outside	→
4.6 Physical resource extraction						
4.6.3 Oil and gas	Positive	Current			Outside	→
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	Positive	Current		Inside	Outside	↗
4.8.3 Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting	Positive	Current		Inside		→
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	Positive	Current	Potential		Outside	→
	Negative	Current	Potential		Outside	→
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)	Negative	Current			Outside	→
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.2 Legal framework	Positive	Current		Inside	Outside	↗
4.13.3 Governance	Positive	Current		Inside	Outside	→
4.13.4 Management activities	Positive	Current		Inside	Outside	↗
4.13.5 Financial resources	Positive	Current		Inside	Outside	→
4.13.6 Human resources	Negative		Potential	Inside	Outside	↗
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	Positive	Current		Inside	Outside	↗

Legend	🚩 Current	🚩 Potential	⊖ Negative	⊕ Positive	⊙ Inside	🖝 Outside

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	⊕	🚩		⦿	☞	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✖	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities	⊕	🚩		⦿	☞	↗

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent

	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

✖	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.5.3 Land conversion						
	⊖	🚩	🚩		🔄	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

✖	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✖	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing

	Static
✖	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.5.5 Crop production						
	⊖	⚑			☞	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

✖	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✖	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.5.10 Forestry/Wood production	⊕	⚑		◉	☞	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic

✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

✖	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

4.6 Physical resource extraction

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.6.3 Oil and gas	⊕	🚩			☁	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

✖	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	●	⚑		◉	☞	➚

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✖	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.3 Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting	●	⚑		◉		→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	

✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	🟢	🚩	🚩		🔄	→
	🔴	🚩	🚩		🔄	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✖	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)						
	⊖	⚑			☞	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.2 Legal framework	⊕	⚑		◉	☞	✎

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✖	Localised

	Extensive

	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond
✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.3 Governance	⊕	🚩		◉	☞	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity

✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.4 Management activities	⊕	⚑		◉	☞	➚

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
✖	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources	⊕	⚑		◉	☞	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
✖	Localised

| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |

| **Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact** |
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

| **Impact - Impact on the attributes** |
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

| **Management response - Capacity of management to respond** |
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

| **Trend - Developement over the last 6 years** |
	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.6 Human resources							
		🔴		🚩	⊙	🍃	✏️

| **Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor** |
	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

| **Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact** |
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

| **Impact - Impact on the attributes** |
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

| **Management response - Capacity of management to respond** |
| | High capacity |

✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	●	🚩		◉	☞	✎

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	biodiversidad	✖			

4.18.1.2	servicios ecosistemicos	✖			
4.18.1.3	ecosistemas	✖			
4.18.1.4	Tradiciones culturales		✖		
4.18.1.5	Poblaciones en contacto i aislamiento voluntario				

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?
The boundaries are **adequate to maintain** the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?
The boundaries **are known by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?
The buffer zones **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?
The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known and recognised** by the management authority **but are not known** by local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

The Manu National Park is fully protected by a National Supreme Decree. Established by Decree No. 644-73-AG, 29 May 1973, and fully protected. Accepted as part of a MAB (Man and the biosphere Program) Biosphere Reserve March 1977. Manu National Park covers 1,532,806 and the whole of the park is state property.

Source: Advisory Body Evaluation

Comment
El área del Parque nacional del Manu se amplio a 1'716,295.22 Ha

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?
The **legal framework** for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?
An **adequate legal framework** in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists **but there are some deficiencies in implementation**

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?
The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for** effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?
There is **acceptable capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice
A través de la Ley General del Ambiente, Ley de Areas Naturales Protegidas,

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property
Public management system at national level

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

| Mechanisms to promote equal participation among and within groups, including different levels of authority, local communities, indigenous people, women and men, and other specific groups |
| A management plan |
| An annual work plan or business plan |
| A visitor/visitation management plan |
| An environmental management framework |
| An assessment of biological and cultural diversity and ecosystem services provided by the property |
| A joint approach to management of cultural and natural heritage |

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

la gestión se realiza a través de Planes Maestros, Planes de Sitio, Estrategias, Ademas existe una planificacion anual a traves de Planes Operativos. Por otra parte las comunidades del ambito han desarrollado Planes de Vida. La relación para la gestión del Parque con los diferentes actores es través de Comités de Gestión quienes establecen planes de trabajo anualmente

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Comment

Plan Maestro del Parque Nacional del Manu 2019-2023

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

No use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?

Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

Prevención de incendios forestales, promoción de las fincas resilientes

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is **coordination** between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, **but it could be improved**

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is **only partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully implemented and monitored**

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan **exists and all of its activities are being implemented and monitored**

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities				✖	
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				✖	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone				✖	
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples				✖	
5.3.15.5	Women				✖	
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups				✖	

	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify	Asociación de productores

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities				✖	
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities				✖	
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples					✖
5.3.16.4	Landowners				✖	
5.3.16.5	Women				✖	
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children				✖	
5.3.16.7	Researchers					✖
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					✖
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					✖
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					✖
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries				✖	
5.3.16.12	NGOs					✖
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups				✖	
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	Gobiernos Regionales				

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention*

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality				✖	
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)					✖
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				✖	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach					✖
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				✖	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property				✖	

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

A través de la organización de la Reserva de Biosfera Manu se incluye la participación de la Mujer, en la toma de decisiones y liderazgo, fomentando el desarrollo de la producción y mejora en los procesos de comercialización, El buen estado de conservación del Parque garantiza la provisión de los servicios ecosistémicos a la población circundante. se respeta la diversidad cultural gracias a la interacción con federaciones de comunidades nativas y acciones conjuntas con el Ministerio de Cultura

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %

6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	15 %	15 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	74 %	74 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	10 %	10 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	1 %	1 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?
The available **budget is acceptable** but **could be further improved** to fully meet the management needs

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?
The existing sources of funding are **secure over the medium-term** and **planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term**

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure
Se tiene un presupuesto proporcionado por fuente estatal, además se tiene recaudaciones directas por las actividades de a turismo

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	60 %	60 %
6.1.6.2	Women	40 %	40 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?
Human resources **partly meet** the management needs of the World Heritage property

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Poor
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Not available
Capacity development and education	Not available
Administration	Poor
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Not available
Interpretation	Not available
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Poor
Risk preparedness	Not available
Capacity development and education	Poor

Administration	Poor
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Poor
Interpretation	Poor
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?
No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?
A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, **but most technical work is carried out by external staff**

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?
Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **acceptable** for most key areas but there are gaps

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?
There is **considerable research** but it is **not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value**

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?
Research results **are shared** widely **with active outreach** to local communities and national and international audiences

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects
El Parque Nacional del Manu posee en su interior la Estación Biológica de Cocha Cashu, la cual ayuda a implementar las actividades de investigación, por otra parte el Servicio nacional de Areas Naturales Protegidas por el estado SERNANP, identifica las prioridades de investigación las cuales están relacionadas con las prioridades de gestión

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Poor
Women	Poor
Youth/children	Good
Researchers	Fair
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Poor
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Poor
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	Transportistas

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth **but it only partly meets the needs**

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Local/municipal authorities
Indigenous peoples
Landowners
Women
Youth/children
Researchers
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry
Local businesses and industries
NGOs
Other specific groups
Asociación de productores

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Fair
Site museum	Not provided but needed
Information booths	Fair
Guided tours	Poor
Trails/routes	Fair
Printed information materials	Poor
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Fair
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Poor
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	interpretes

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

Se tiene instalado centros de interpretación pero que necesitan una mayor implementación, existen instalaciones turísticas que brindan la posibilidad de apreciar los beneficios biológicos y paisajísticos del Parque

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

3921 / 103 / 4822 / 4940 / 4163 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Visitor surveys

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

More than four overnight stays

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

Los pernoctes son diferenciados, zona andina ingresan solo un día, zona amazónica 3 días

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

90 / 20 / 100 / 20 / 0 / 50 /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

Tarifas de las agencias de viajes

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There **is a strategy** to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

Falta de presupuestos para un correcto mantenimiento de la infraestructura y servicios turísticos

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value**

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

Indicadores de satisfaccion

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There **is contact** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this **is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters**

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property **is acceptable but improvements could be made**

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations** and **easily visible** to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

Fees are collected, and make **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

Concesiones de ecoturismo

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

No

If 'Yes', please specify

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of monitoring**, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved**

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				✖
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				✖
10.3.3	Character of governance				✖
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations		✖		
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development				✖
10.3.6	Capacity development				✖

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

Estado de conservación a través del indicador de efectos por actividad, gestión de la participación a través del indicador del radar de la participación

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Local communities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Poor
Landowners	Poor
Women	Fair
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industry	Poor
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Poor
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is planned, but has not yet begun

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	**Boundaries and Buffer Zones**	
5.1.4	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known and recognized by local communities/landowners**	✖
5.2	**Protective Measures**	
5.2.4	An **adequate legal framework** in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists **but there are some deficiencies in implementation**	
5.2.6	There is **acceptable capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain	
5.3	**Management System/Management Plan**	
5.3.5	**No use** has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	
5.3.7	**Some use** has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	✖
5.3.9	**Some use** has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.11	There is **coordination** between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, **but it could be improved**	✖
5.3.12	The management system/plan is **only partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	✖
6.1	**Funding**	
6.1.3	The available **budget is acceptable** but **could be further improved** to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	✖
6.1.7	Human resources **partly meet** the management needs of the World Heritage property	✖
6.1.10	**No use** has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	
6.1.12	**A site-based** capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, **but most technical work is carried out by external staff**	✖
7	**Scientific Studies and Research Projects**	
7.2	There is **considerable research** in the World Heritage property but it is **not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value**	
8	**Education, Information and Awareness Building**	

8.2	There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth **but it only partly meets the needs**	✕
9	**Visitor Management**	
9.7	There **is a strategy** to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**	
9.11	There **is contact** but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory mattersThere is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this **is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters**	
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property **is acceptable but improvements could be made**	✕
10	**Monitoring**	
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage **property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved**	✕

> **Please select 0 more issues.**

> 🖾 **Please save this question to reflect changes**

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.5	**Biological resource use/modification**						
4.5.3	Land conversion	Agricultura y cultivos ilícitos,	El crecimiento de cultivos en la zona de amortiguamiento viene ampliando la frontera agricola, actualmente se viene buscando la produccion a traves de fincas resilientes	supervisión a traves de vigilancia remota	anual	SERNANP	A traves de un protocolo de monitoreo remoto
4.5.5	Crop production	Se viene impulsando el desarrollo de productos claves	existen productos claves que vienen buscando una producción que se relaciones a la gestión del Parque	supervisión a traves de vigilancia remota	Anual	SERNANP	A traves de un protocolo e monitoreo remoto
4.8	**Social/Cultural uses of heritage**						
4.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	Se tiene un proceso de transculturación de las poblaciones de las comunidades nativas	Existe una tasa de migración	No se desarrolla una supervicion	no se tiene un tiemppo establecido	Existen federaciones nativas que manifiestan el interes por estos procesos como es FENAMAD	FENAMAD impulsa un relacionamiento permanete con las poblaciones para conseguir que se continuen con las tradiciones de las comunidades,
4.11	**Sudden ecological or geological events**						
4.11.6	Fire (wildfire)	No se tienen incendios provocados que afecten ecositemas naturales al interior del ANP, fuera se desarrollan campañas de prevencion	No se tiene incendios desde el 2018 al interior del ANP, fuera se han reducido los eventos	A traves de imagenes satelitales (Focos de Calor	Permanente	SERFOR, SERNANP	Se usa el Geoportal del SERFOR para ubicar los focs de calor
4.13	**Management and institutional factors**						
4.13.6	Human resources	Se tiene personal del SERNANP que realiza la vigilancia y cotrol del Parque	La presencia es permanente pero se tien brechas para el optimo cumplimiento de las actividades	a traves de las actividades de la jefatura del Parque Nacional del Manu	permanente	SERNANP	Las acciones programadas en el POA garantizan la presencia de un grupo de guardaparques pero se tiene la necesidad de incrementar mas personal

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones				
		Actions	**Timeframe**	**Lead agency (and others involved)**	**More info / comment**
5.1.4	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known and recognized by local communities/landowners**	Se tiene una zona de amortiguamiento establecida	Ya se tiene establecido	SERNANP	Se tieene establecido la zona de amortiguamiento

5.3	Management System/Management Plan				
5.3.7	**Some use** has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	No se ha desarrollado	No se tiene periodo	UNIVERSIDADES, SERFOR, SERNANP	Las acciones las realizan cada entidad para establecer los criterios para el cambio climatico
5.3.11	There is **coordination** between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, **but it could be improved**	Las instituciones se relacionan a traves de reuniones de comite de gestion	Cada año	SERNANP, SERFOR, GOBIERNOS LOCALES	El comite de gestion impulsa acciones conjuntas
5.3.12	The management system/plan is **only partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	Se realiza a través de la programacion del SERNANP pero se impulsa los palnes de gestion a nivel e reserva de biosfera	Anual	SERNANP	Se impulsa la elaboracion del plan de accion de la reserva de biosfera

6.1	Funding				
6.1.3	The available **budget is acceptable** but **could be further improved** to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	El presupuesto llega atraves del SERNANP	aNUAL	SERNANP	El estado asume la proteccion del Parque
6.1.7	Human resources **partly meet** the management needs of the World Heritage property	Se tien personal permanete para la proteccion del Parque	anual	SERNANP	se tiene falta de personal que optimice las actividaes de gestion y conservacion

6.1.12	**A site-based** capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, **but most technical work is carried out by external staff**	Se tienen procesos de capacitacion para actividades como la conservacion y manejo del ANP	anual	SERNANP	SERNANP desarrolla capacitaciones a su personal

8	**Education, Information and Awareness Building**				
8.2	There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth **but it only partly meets the needs**	Se han generado acciones junto a cooperandtes para realizar acciones de educacion ambiental en las instituciones educativas del ambito del ANP	Anual	SERNANP, ONG	Se han realizado acciones conjuntas para la implementación de una estrategia de educación ambiental

9	**Visitor Management**				
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property **is acceptable but improvements could be made**	SE han desarrollado la implementacion parcial de centros de interpretacion en el ambito del ANP	anual	SERNANP, ONG	Implentyacion de Centros de interpretacion en sectores claves del Parque Nacional del Manu

10	**Monitoring**				
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage **property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved**	SE ha implementado difusión de información virtual a través de redes sociales	permanente	SERNANP, ONG	Uso de facebook, Aplicativos Web,

Summary - Management Needs completed

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?
The Authenticity of the World Heritage property **has been preserved**

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?
The Integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?
The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?
Other important cultural and/or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has **not been significantly impacted**

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

Se tiene un proceso parcial de transculturación porque se tiene una migración de las poblaciones de comunidades nativas

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	No impact
Legal/Policy framework	Positive
Advocacy	Not applicable
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Gender equality	Positive
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Positive
Other	No impact
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

Se ha conseguido oportunidades de financiamiento a través de buscar la gobernanza de reserva de biosfera, pero existe una falta de relación con la legislación peruana para el reconocimiento de esta gobernanza

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

Se viene estableciendo mecanismos que permiten relacionar a los grupos sociales a través de acuerdos de conservación entre las intuiciones focales (SERNANP) QUE GENERAN COMPROMISOS para la protección identificación y Conservación del parque Nacional del Manu

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Sustainable Development
Synergies
Management
Governance

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Fair
Site Managers	Fair
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Poor

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

permitirá hacer un seguimiento de las condiciones en que se encuentra este patrimonio

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance has been **given limited consideration** and implementation is in process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

Yes

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

10 / 36 / 10 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		✖
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	✖	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			✖	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			✖	

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Poor
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Poor
UNESCO National Commission	Poor
ICOMOS International	Poor
IUCN International	Poor
ICCROM international/regional	Poor
ICOMOS national/regional	Poor

IUCN national/regional	Poor

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Poor
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Poor
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Poor
National Commission for UNESCO	Poor
ICOMOS International	Poor
ICCROM International/regional	Poor
ICOMOS national/regional	Poor
IUCN national/regional	Poor
IUCN International	Poor

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?
Yes

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.