Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain	40.531 / 72.783	112	4788	4900	2009
Total (ha)		112	4788	4900	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
The Sulaiman – Too Cultural Landscape (Sacred Mountain) - inscribed property	2009	

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

Comment

www.sulaimanto.org Facebook/

- 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)
- 2.1 Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is not designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

Nic

No

- 2.4 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?
- 2.5 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 1 of 59

Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

- 2.8 Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)
- 2.9 Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

Yes

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

Nooruz

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

Nο

- 2.12 Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.
- 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
- 3.1 Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief Synthesis

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 2 of 59

Sulaiman-Too Mountain dominates the surrounding landscape of the Fergana Valley and forms the backdrop to the city of Osh. In mediaeval times Osh was one of the largest cities of the fertile Fergana valley at the crossroads of important routes on the Central Asian Silk Roads system, and Sulaiman-Too was a beacon for travellers. For at least a millennium and a half Sulaiman-Too has been revered as a sacred mountain. Its five peaks and slopes contain a large assembly of ancient cult places and caves with petroglyphs, all interconnected with a network of ancient paths, as well as later mosques. The mountain is an exceptional spiritual landscape reflecting both Islamic and pre-Islamic beliefs and particularly the cult of the horse. Sulaiman-Too corresponds closely to iconic images in the Universe of Avesta and Vedic traditions: a single mountain with a peak dominating four others, standing in the virtual centre of a vast river valley, and surrounded by and related to other mountains in the landscape system.

Criterion (iii): The rich concentration of material evidence for cult practices preserved on Sulaiman-Too mountain from pre- and post-Islamic times, together with its 'ideal' form present the most complete picture of a sacred mountain anywhere in Central Asia.

Criterion (vi): Sulaiman-Too presents exceptionally vivid evidence for strong traditions of mountain worship which have spanned several millennia and been absorbed successfully by Islam. It has had a profound effect over a wide part of Central Asia.

Integrity and Authenticity

The authenticity of the mountain, its cult places, uses and functions are without doubt, even given the numerous interventions over the past 50 years. However, since the sacred associations of the mountain are linked to its dramatic form rising from the surrounding plain, it is highly vulnerable to continuing new development on it and around its base. In order to protect its majesty, spirituality, visual coherence and setting and thus the full authenticity of the property, great vigilance will be needed in enforcing protection of its setting. The integrity of the mountain relies on protection of the cult places and their connecting paths as well as their visual linkages and views to and from the mountain.

Management and protection requirements

The management of the mountain and its setting is coordinated by a Site Management Council who oversees the implementation of the Management Plan and Action Plan. Its effective protection relies on approval of an agreed zoning arrangement within the Osh Master Plan. To protect the property and its buffer zone against modern developments during the period before the completion and final approval of the Legal Protection Zoning Document and the Osh Urban Master Plan, a map showing the agreed boundaries of the nominated area, of the buffer zone and its sub-zones have been distributed as a reference to the responsible agencies of the Osh oblast, Osh city, Karasu district and Kyzylkyshtak rural area.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	five peaks skyline	×			
3.2.2	ancient cult places and caves	×			
3.2.3	petroglyphs	×			
3.2.4	2 mosques	×			
3.2.5	visual coherence and setting	×			
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✗ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 3 of 59

O Positive					
Negative X	×	×	×		/

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×		×			7

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

Not relevant

≭ Relevant	Not relevant								
	Impact Origin			Drigin Trend of impact					
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive 🗶	×			×			P		
Negative									

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant	Not relevant							
	Impact Origin			Origin Tr		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×		×	×			/	
Negative X	×		×		•			

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Positive: Visitor accommodation and service centers in Osh city, and presentation and interpretation of the property are improving. Negative: Development of multi-storey buildings in the buffer zone reduces the dominant role of Sulaiman-Too, and its historical visual connections with the landscape through the main transport routes of the city. Some minor inappropriate facilities inside the property.

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

≭ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact			
Impact	Gurrent	Potential	Inside	G Outside	№ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×	×		×			7
Negative							

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 4 of 59

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

Not relevant



4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Access to the property is well organized, parking lots and visiting routes have been established. Potentially, effects of vehicle traffic on roadways around the mountain will increase.

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

• Not relevant

Relevant ** Not relevant

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

★ Relevant	Not relevant							
	Impact Origin				Trend of impact	Frend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
	×		×			→		

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 5 of 59

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

✗ Relevant				Not relevant			
Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×		×			→	
Negative X	×		×			→	

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Positive: power lines Negative: TV/Radio towers on the --, power lines?

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

• Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow	

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

• Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow	

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	Y Not relevant
Relevant	X Not relevant

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 6 of 59

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Ground water pollution (Agricultural runoff Household sewage/Waste?) household rubbish

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	G Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×			7

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×			→	

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
Impact Origin		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×		\rightarrow	
○ Negative X	×		×	×		→	

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	Y Not relevant
Relevant	X Not relevant

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 7 of 59

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X No.	ot relevant
----------------	-------------

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Land conversion - buffer zone Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals inside Crop production (both positive and negative)

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	× Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact Origin			gin Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	G Outside	№ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
	×		×			⇒	

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant	Not relevant

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 8 of 59

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current Potential		Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×		×			→	

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×		×			→	

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin			rigin Trend of impact			
Impact	npact		Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing → Stable		Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×		×	×			1

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

★ Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	mpact		• Inside	♥ Outside ▶ Decreasing		⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow	

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

Not relevant

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow	

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 9 of 59

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The property affected by the local climate and weather conditions, the impact is stable and controlled. Maintenance projects are carried out on a regular basis.

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow	
Negative X	×	×	×	×		→	

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	G Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×	×		→	
Negative X	×	×	×	×		→	

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	W Not relevant
Relevant	Not relevant

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

★ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow	

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

★ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×		\rightarrow	
Negative X	×		×	×		→	

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✗ Relevant			Not relevant	
	Impact	Origin		Trend of impact

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 10 of 59

Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive	×	×	×	×		→	
Negative X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow	

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Festivals/Performances Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×		×	×			7

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×	×			→	

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant	
-------------------------	--

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

illegal construction inside and outside graffiti

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 11 of 59

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin			Origin Trend of impact			
Impact	Gurrent	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×		→	

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin			rigin Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow	

4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin			Origin Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	№ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×		→	

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

Not relevant

elevant	✗ Not relevant
---------	----------------

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

storm drought temperature

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 12 of 59

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin			Origin Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×		→	

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Orig			Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×	×	×	×		→		

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×		→	

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
Negative X	×	×	×	×		→			

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Osh city belongs to the zone where earthquakes of 6-8 magnitude can occur. Earthquakes could be accompanied by secondary impacts such as landslides, rockfalls. Wild fire could have impact on the flora and fauna of the mountain. Monitoring of the situation is under control of the Ministry of Emergency Situations.

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 13 of 59

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

Not relevant

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

X Relevant		Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current Potential		Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×	×	×	×			•	
Negative X	×	×	×	×			7	

4.13.2 - Legal framework

× Relevant			Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow		
Negative X	×	×	×	×		\Rightarrow		

4.13.3 - Governance

× Relevant		Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	G Current		Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
○ Positive ★	×		×	×		→		
Negative	×		×	×		\Rightarrow		

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 14 of 59

★ Relevant	Not relevant							
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive 🗶	×		×	×			1	
Negative								

4.13.5 - Financial resources

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×		→	

4.13.6 - Human resources

X Relevant				Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact					
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×	×		→				
Negative X	×	×	×	×		→				

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

★ Relevant			Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing		
Positive X	×		×	×		\rightarrow			
Negative X	×		×	×		→			

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (26/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Positive impact: relatively well established on-site management, financing and staffing, overall management system is complete Negative: the most complex management tasks go beyond the competence of the Museum Complex, it is necessary to involve, first of all, the city structures of Osh responsible for urban planning, economic development, transport and communal infrastructure

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

1.13.1 - Lactor's Julillary Table									
Name	Impact			Origin		Trend			
4.1 Buildings and Development									
.1 Housing									
		q	9		Œ	1			
4.1.2 Commercial development									
		q	9		G	/			

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 15 of 59

4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	•	9			G	
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	O	9		•	C	1
		9		•		•
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	•	9	9		Œ	1
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
			9		Œ	-
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.4 Localised utilities						
		9		•		\rightarrow
4.3.5 Major linear utilities	O	9		©		→
		9		•		→
4.4 Pollution						
4.4.2 Ground water pollution						
		q	9	•	G	\rightarrow
4.4.5 Solid waste						
		9	9	•	Œ	\rightarrow
4.5 Biological resource use/modification						
4.5.3 Land conversion						
		9	9		G	1
4.5.4 Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals						
		q	9	•		\rightarrow
4.5.5 Crop production	•	9		•	Œ	\rightarrow
		q		•	(\rightarrow
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.1 Wind						
		9		•		\rightarrow
4.7.2 Relative humidity						
		9		•		\rightarrow
4.7.3 Temperature						
		9		•		\rightarrow
4.7.5 Dust						
		9		•	Œ	1
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
		9	9	•	Œ	\rightarrow
4.7.8 Micro-organisms						
		9	9	•	Œ	→
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	0	9	9	Q	G	→
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	(a)	9	9	②	CF CF	→
		9	9	0	G	→

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 16 of 59

4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of li	ife and knowledge system				q	a	•	<i>₹</i>	
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, change	es in local population and commi	unity		O	9	4	0	CF CF	→
<i>,</i> ,		,		•	9		•	· ·	→
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Re	creation			O	q	q	•	G	→
					9	9	•	G	\rightarrow
4.9 Other human activities									
4.9.1 Illegal activities									
					9		•	Œ	<i>P</i>
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritag	je								
					q	q	•		\rightarrow
4.10 Climate change and severe weath	her events								
4.10.1 Storms									
							()	<i>(188</i>)	→
4.10.3 Drought					,	,	G	3	
					a		()	<i>₹</i>	_
4.10.6 Temperature change					-,			G	
g.					ø	#31	()	100	_
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological	events				-1		9	9	
4.11.2 Earthquake									
4.11.2 Larunquake					~	-m		æ	
4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide					4	4	•	G	→
4.11.4 Avaianche/Lanushue					~~	~29			
4.11.5 Erosion and siltation/Depositio					9	4	•	C.	→
4.11.3 Erosion and situation/Deposition	,,				-21	-78			
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)					4	4	•	C	→
4.11.01 lie (wildlie)					~	-m		nett.	
4.13 Management and institutional fac	ctors				9	9	•	Œ	→
-					9	q		Œ	7
4.13.1 Management system/Management	on plan			O	9	9	Q	G.	7
4.13.2 Legal framework				0	9	9	@	œ.	→
					q	q	•	Œ	→
4.13.3 Governance				•	9		()	Œ	\rightarrow
					9		•	F	→
4.13.4 Management activities				O	q		@	F	1
4.13.5 Financial resources									
								nea.	
4.13.6 Human resources				O	9	9	Q	Œ	→
7.13.0 Humail lesources					9	9	Q	G.	→
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitorin	ng activities			0	9		@	œ.	→
					9		•	·	→
Legend	Potential	Negative	Positive	Ins	ide		Outs	ide	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		, o							

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 17 of 59

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name

Name		impac	•		Origin		rrena
4.1.1 Ho	using		q	9		Œ	<i>p</i>
			-,	-,		G	
Spatial s	scale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Tempor	al scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact -	Impact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manage	ment response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impac	t		Origin		Trend
4.1.2 Co	mmercial development		_			-4	
			9	9		Œ	
Spatial s	scale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Tempora	al scale - Occurence of the impact						

Impact

Origin

Trend

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 18 of 59

	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact	~	Origin	~	Trend
4.1.4 Major	visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	O	A		G	/
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact					
Temporal s						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
Temporal s	Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare					
	One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent					
×	Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going					
×	Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes					
X Impact - Im	Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant					
X Impact - Im	Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor					
Impact - Im	Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant					
Impact - Im	Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major					
Impact - Im	Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Int response - Capacity of management to respond					
Impact - Im	Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major nt response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity					

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 19 of 59

Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.1.5 Interp	retative and visitation facilities	O	9	•	G	7
			9	•		•
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Managemei	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.2.1 Groun	4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure		q	9		Œ	>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 20 of 59

i emporai s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.2.5 Effect	s arising from use of transportation infrastructure					
			9		G	1
Spatial sca						
	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Restricted					
	Restricted Localised					
×	Restricted Localised Extensive					
×	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread					
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurrence of the impact					
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread ccale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare					
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic					
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent					
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going					
X Temporal s X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes					
X Temporal s X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant					
X Temporal s X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor					
Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant					
Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major					
Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Intersponse - Capacity of management to respond					

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 21 of 59

	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Decreasing
Static
Increasing

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.3.4 Loca	ised utilities				
			9	•	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - In	pact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				

Name	Impact	Ė	Origin	Trend
4.3.5 Major linear utilities	•	9	•	→
		q	•	\Rightarrow

Spatial sca	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 22 of 59

	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going Control of the Control of t
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.4 Pollution

Name	Name		Impact			Origin	
4.4.2 Grou	nd water pollution						
			9	9	•	F	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - In	ppact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 23 of 59

×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.4.5 Solid	waste		~	~3			
			A	9	•	Œ	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Restricted

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend	
4.5.3 Land conversion						
		9	9		Œ	>
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 24 of 59

	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.5.4 Lives	ock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals						
			9	9	•		\Rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
v	Postricted						

Spatial substance of the factor Spatial substance of the factor of the impact Extensive of or are Congoing frequent Congoing frequent Impact - ton the attributes Spanificant Minor Management to response - Capacity of management to respond			H	•	→
Restricted Localised Localised Widespread Temporal Servicence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact - Impact Significant Minor Major					
Localised Extensive Widespread Temporal zele - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact - Impact Significant Minor Major	Spatial sca	ele - Area affected by the factor			
Extensive Widespread Temporal	×	Restricted			
Temporal substance of the impact Cone off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major		Localised			
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major		Extensive			
Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major		Widespread			
Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major	Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact			
Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major		One off or rare			
Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major	×	Intermittent or sporadic			
Impact - Impact on the attributes X Insignificant Minor Significant Major		Frequent			
Insignificant Minor Significant Major		On-going			
Minor Significant Major	Impact - Im	pact on the attributes			
Significant Major	×	Insignificant			
Major		Minor			
		Significant			
Management response - Capacity of management to respond		Major			
	Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond			

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 25 of 59

	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.5.5 Crop	production	O	q	•	G	→
			4	•	(→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Decreasing
Static
Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.1 Wind						
		q		•		\rightarrow
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 26 of 59

	Restricted									
	Localised									
×	Extensive									
	Widespread									
Temporal s	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact									
×	One off or rare									
	Intermittent or sporadic									
	Frequent									
	On-going									
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes									
×	Insignificant									
	Minor									
	Significant									
	Major									
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond									
	High capacity									
×	Medium capacity									
	Low capacity									
	No capacity and / or resources									
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years									
	Decreasing									
×	Static									
	Increasing									
Name		Impact	Origin	Trend						

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.7.2 Relative humidity						
		9		•		→

		q	•	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor			
	Restricted			
	Localised			
×	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact			
×	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
	On-going			
Impact - In	ppact on the attributes			
×	Insignificant			
	Minor			
	Significant			
	Major			

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 27 of 59

Manageme	Management response - Capacity of management to respond							
	High capacity							
×	Medium capacity							
	Low capacity							
	No capacity and / or resources							
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing							
×	Static							
	Increasing							

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.3 Temperature						
		9		•		→

		9	•	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor			
	Restricted			
	Localised			
×	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact			
×	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
	On-going			
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes			
×	Insignificant			
	Minor			
	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity			
×	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
×	Static			
	Increasing			

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend	
4.7.5 Dust	Impact					
		q		•	Œ	1

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 28 of 59

	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×							
Tammavala	Widespread						
	cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going Control of the Control of t						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.6 Water	(rain/water table)						
			9	q	•	G	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Significant Major						

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 29 of 59

	ter t						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.8 Micro	-organisms						
			q	A	•	Œ	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Static Increasing

×

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend	
ne 1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	O	q	9	•	Œ	\rightarrow
			4	•	(\rightarrow
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 30 of 59

×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name	ty's valuing of heritage	Impact		(3)	Origin		Trend
4.0.2 00010	y 3 valuing of normage		9	9	0	G	→
0	le Averelle de Novike feeter						
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
**	Restricted						
×	Localised Extensive						
Tomporal	Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Minor Significant						
	Significant						
Manageme							

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 31 of 59

	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	t		Origin		Trend
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system						
		q	9	•	G	\rightarrow

4.0.4 Orlanges in traditional ways of the and knowledge system						
		9	9	•	(→
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	ppact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

Name	Impac	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	O	9		•	(\rightarrow
		9		•	Œ	\rightarrow

Spatial sca	ele - Area affected by the factor
×	Restricted

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 32 of 59

	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
	moroading						
	Indicating						
Name		Impact		m	Origin		Trend
	cts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	O	q	9	•	Œ	Trend ⇒
4.8.6 Impa	cts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	O	q			Œ	→
4.8.6 Impa	cts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	O	q		•	Œ	→
4.8.6 Impa	cts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation ale - Area affected by the factor Restricted	O	q		•	Œ	→
4.8.6 Impac	cts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation ale - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised	O	q		•	Œ	→
4.8.6 Impa	cts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation ale - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive	O	q		•	Œ	→
4.8.6 Impac	cts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation ale - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread	O	q		•	Œ	→
4.8.6 Impac	cts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation ale - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact	O	q		•	Œ	→
4.8.6 Impac	cts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation ale - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare	O	q		•	Œ	→
4.8.6 Impac	cts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation ale - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic	O	q		•	Œ	→
4.8.6 Impact Spatial sca	cts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation ale - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent	O	q		•	Œ	→
4.8.6 Impact Spatial sca	cts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation Alle - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going	O	q		•	Œ	→
4.8.6 Impact Spatial sca	cts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation ale - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes	O	q		•	Œ	→
4.8.6 Impact Spatial sca	cts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation ale - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes Insignificant	O	q		•	Œ	→
4.8.6 Impact Spatial sca ★ Temporal statement of the	cts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation ale - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes Insignificant Minor	O	q		•	Œ	→
4.8.6 Impact Spatial sca	cts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation Itle - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant	O	q		•	Œ	→
4.8.6 Impact Spatial sca X Temporal s	cts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation ale - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major	O	q		•	Œ	→
4.8.6 Impact Spatial sca X Temporal s	cts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation Itle - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant	O	q		•	Œ	→

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 33 of 59

×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.9 Other human activities

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend	
4.9.1 Illegal	activities					
			A	•	C	1
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
		q	9	•		\rightarrow

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
×	Restricted

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 34 of 59

	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend	
4.10.1 Stori	4.10.1 Storms						
				9	•	F	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 35 of 59

Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.10.3 Drought						
		9	9	•	C	\rightarrow

		9	•	G	\Rightarrow
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going On-going				
Impact - In	npact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.10.6 Temperature change						
		q	9	•	Œ	\rightarrow

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted	

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 36 of 59

	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.11.2 Eart	4.11.2 Earthquake						
			9	9	•	Œ	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - In	npact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 37 of 59

Manageme	Management response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	Trend - Developement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide							
			9	9	•	Œ	\rightarrow
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor							
×	Restricted						

Localised

	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal so	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Imp	Impact - Impact on the attributes						

	On-going On-going					
Impact - Imp	Impact - Impact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Management response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity					

	Symbolic						
	Major						
Manageme	Management response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years							

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.11.5 Erosion and siltation/Deposition						
		9	9	•	Œ	\rightarrow

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
×	Restricted

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 38 of 59

	Localised			
	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact			
×	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
	On-going On-going			
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes			
×	Insignificant			
	Minor			
	Significant			
	Major			
Managemei	nt response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity			
×	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
×	Static			
	Increasing			
Name		Impact	Origin	Trend

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)						
		9	9	•	Œ	\rightarrow

		9	•	F	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 39 of 59

	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Decreasing Static

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	Name		iiipact			Origin		
4.13.1 Mana	agement system/Management plan	O		9	•	C	1	
			9	9	•	C	1	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted							
	Localised							
×	Extensive							
	Widespread							
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact							
	One off or rare							
	Intermittent or sporadic							
	Frequent							
×	On-going							
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes							
	Insignificant							
	Minor							
×	Significant							
	Major							
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond							
	High capacity							
×	Medium capacity							
	Low capacity							
	No capacity and / or resources							
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing							
×	Static							
	Increasing							

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend	
4.13.2 Legal framework	0	9	9	•	©	\rightarrow
		9	4	•	Œ	\rightarrow
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 40 of 59

	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impac		Origin	Origin Trend		
4.13.3 Gov	ernance		9	•	G.	-	
			~1	Q	G	_	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Restricted Localised						
×							
×	Localised						
	Localised Extensive						
	Localised Extensive Widespread						
	Localised Extensive Widespread ccale - Occurrence of the impact						
	Localised Extensive Widespread ccale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare						
	Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic						
Temporal s	Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes						
Temporal s	Localised Extensive Widespread Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going						
Temporal s	Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes						
Temporal s	Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant						

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 41 of 59

Management response - Capacity of management to respond										
	High capacity									
×	Medium capacity									
	Low capacity									
	No capacity and / or resources									
Trend - Dev	Frend - Developement over the last 6 years									
	Decreasing									
×	Static									
	Increasing									
Name		Impact	t		Origin		Trend			
4.13.4 Mana	gement activities	•	q		•	C	*			

Name	lame		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.4 Mana	agement activities	O	9		•	Œ	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend	
4.13.5 Financial resources						
		q	9	•	Œ	\rightarrow

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 42 of 59

	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Nama		•			Origin		T
Name 4.13.6 Hum	an resources	Impact	9	a	Origin		Trend
			· ·	9	•	Œ.	→
Snatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor						
Opuliui 30a	Restricted						
×	Localised						
**	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 43 of 59

	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities		•	9	•	©	→
			Ħ	•	(→
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
117 Sar	ial inscriptions (national or transpational)					

- 4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)
- 4.17.1 If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor
- 4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.
- 4.18.1 Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost	

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 44 of 59

4.18.1.1	×	
4.18.1.2	×	
4.18.1.3	×	
4.18.1.4	×	
4.18.1.5	×	

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

Regimes of the Sulaiman-Too buffer zone are not well monitored and maintained

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

The property is inscribed within a larger Protection Zone formally established by the Decision of the Kyrgyz Government No 638 of the 8 September 2006. Sulaiman-Too is one of two exceptional cultural reserves in Kyrgyzstan having not only the status of National Cultural Heritage Reserve, but also clearly defined and legally approved boundaries. This Zone comes under the responsibility of the Sulaiman-Too National Historical and Archaeological Museum Complex, and any new constructions within its extended boundaries are prohibited, unless a special agreement is obtained from the relevant national authorities responsible for the protection of cultural heritage and natural environment. The property is also inscribed on the National List of Properties and given a formal status of National Historical and Archaeological Museum Complex. It is also covered by the provisions of:

i. Use of the Historical and Cultural Heritage, No 91 (with Amendments of 2005)

ii. 2002 Regulations on the Inventory, Protection, Restoration and Use of the Historical and Cultural Heritage, No 568 (with Amendments of 2006)

iii. 1999 Law On the Protection of Nature

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property is inadequate

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system at national level

If 'Other', please specify

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 45 of 59

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

Agreed 'Memorandums of Understanding' between different managing institutions, groups or others, including documents agreed with local communities for management

A management plan

An annual work plan or business plan

An environmental management framework

A joint approach to management of cultural and natural heritage

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Management Plan for Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain	In Force	Available	2008	

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

Some use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used in the draft Management Plan for 2021-2026

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties has been used in the draft Management Plan for 2021-2026

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property? Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used in the draft Management Plan for 2021-2026

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

 $The \ management \ system/plan \ is \ \textbf{only partially adequate} \ to \ maintain \ the \ property's \ Outstanding \ Universal \ Value$

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being only partially implemented

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists but few of its activities are being implemented

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities			×		
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone			×		
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women				×	
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 46 of 59

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners				×	
5.3.16.5	Women				×	
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children				×	
5.3.16.7	Researchers				×	
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists				×	
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists				×	
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry				×	
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries				×	
5.3.16.12	NGOs				×	
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality				×	
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)				×	
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				×	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach				×	
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				×	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property				×	

- 5.3.18 Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above
- ${\bf 5.3.19 \cdot Comments, conclusions \ and/or \ recommendations \ related \ to \ the \ management \ system/plan}$
- 6. Financial and Human Resources
- 6.1. Funding
- 6.1.1 If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 47 of 59

6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	70 %	70 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	2 %	2 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	25 %	25 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	3 %	3 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

_

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over both the medium- and long-term

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

_

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	50 %	50 %
6.1.6.2	Women	50 %	50 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 48 of 59

Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

Elements of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building were included in the Management Plan for the property

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

-

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is adequate

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a small amount of research, but it is not planned

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared widely with active outreach to local communities and national and international audiences

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

_

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Women	Fair
Youth/children	Fair
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Poor
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 49 of 59

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Local/municipal authorities
Indigenous peoples
Landowners
Women
Youth/children
Researchers
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry
Local businesses and industries
NGOs

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Fair
Site museum	Fair
Information booths	Fair
Guided tours	Fair
Trails/routes	Fair
Printed information materials	Poor
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Fair
Transportation facilities	Fair
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

$\textbf{8.5 - Comments}, conclusions \ and \textit{/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness \ building}$

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

280000 / 250000 / 210000 / 190000 / 160000 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

Visitor surveys

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One day (no overnight stay)

- 9.4 Please provide the source of information
- 9.5 What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

20 / 25 / 10 / 3 / 100 / 50 /

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 50 of 59

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations, but not easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property? Fees are collected, and make some contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving the understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system			×	
10.3.3	Character of governance				×
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations	×			
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development			×	
10.3.6	Capacity development			×	

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

number of visitors state of conservation: routes, petroglyphs peaks, surface, geology flora, fauna

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 51 of 59

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Poor
Local communities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Poor
Women	Fair
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industry	Poor
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee? Implementation is underway

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1.4 The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known and recognized by local communities/landowners 5.2 Protective Measures 5.2.3 An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	×
5.2.3 An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	
but there are some deficiencies in implementation	
The boundary words in the buffer over for an interior the Outstanding University Value in the University Outstanding University Outstand University Outstanding University Outstanding University Outs	×
5.2.4 The legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage proper is inadequate	
5.2.5 An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	×
5.2.6 There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain	
5.3 Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5 Some use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	
5.3.7 Some use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.9 Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.11 There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved	×
5.3.12 The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	×
5.3.13 The management system at the property is only being partially implemented	
5.3.14 An annual work/action plan exists for the property but few of the activities are being implemented	
6.1 Funding	
6.1.3 The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	×
6.1.7 Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	
6.1.10 Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	
6.1.12 A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property local but most technical work is carried out by external staff	
7 Scientific Studies and Research Projects	

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 52 of 59

7.2	There is a small amount of research in the World Heritage property but it is not planned	
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
8.2	There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs	×
9	Visitor Management	
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation	×
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made	
9.11	There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation	
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	
10	Monitoring	
10.1	There is considerable monitoring at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	×
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	
Pleas	se select 0 more issues.	
□ Ple	ease save this question to reflect changes	

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.1	Buildings and Development							
4.1.1		Housing						
4.1.2	Commercial development	the dominant role o Sulaiman-Too, and historical visual connections with the landscape	strategy in the b	uffer strategy buffer zo ation special r	for the one develop monitoring es for the	:1-2022	Ministry of Culture NIAMK Sulaiman-Too Local Authorities	no comment
4.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities	pilgrimage routes and sites	improvement of the facilities is planned	video monitor being implem	-		Ministry of Culture NIAMK Sulaiman-Too Local Authorities	no comment
4.7	Local condit	ions affecting physi	cal fabric					
4.7.8		Micro-org	anisms					
4.8	Social/Cultu	ral uses of heritage						
4.8.1		Ritual/Spirit and associa	ual/Religious tive uses					
4.8.6	Impacts of tourism/Visitation/	all phys Recreation attribute		vents are be	sitor monitoring is ing improved	S	inistry of Culture NIAMK ulaiman-Too Local uthorities	no comment
4.11	Sudden eco	logical or geological	events					
4.11.6		Fin	e (wildfire)					
4.13	Management and institutional factors							

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 53 of 59

4.13.1	Management system/Manageme plan	the dominant role of Sulaiman-Too, and its historical visual connections with the landscape	Detailed strategy and action plans are bein developed for the Management Plan 2021-2026 Improven of institutional mechanisms for coordination with all stakeholders	g strategy for the buffer zone	· ·	Ministry of Culture NIAMK Sulaiman-Too Local Authorities	no comment
4.13.2	Legal framework	the dominant role of Sulaiman-Too, and its historical visual connections with the landscape	Regimes for buffer zone are being detailed and integrated in the Development Plan of Osh	Special monitoring strategy for the buffer zone develop special monitoring indicators for the buffer zone	2022-2023	Ministry of Culture NIAMK Sulaiman-Too Local Authorities	no comment
4.13.3	Governance	the dominant role of Sulaiman-Too, and its historical visual connections with the landscape	involvement of all city structures of Osh responsible for urban planning, economic development, transport and communal infrastructure	monitoring of decisions made at the city level	2021-2022	Ministry of Culture NIAMK Sulaiman-Too Local Authorities	no comment

Question not completed

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

2.2.1 - Summ	ary - Managemen	t Needs			
5.1	Boundaries and Buffer	Zones			
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
5.1.4	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known and recognized by local communities/landowners.	Management Plan 2021-2026 Improvement of institutional mechanisms for coordination wit all stakeholders see answers for Question 12.1.1 above	h	Ministry of Culture NIAMK Sulaiman-Too Local Authorities	no comment
5.2	Protective Measures				
5.2.3	legal d	tegimes for buffer zone are being etailed and integrated in the development Plan of Osh	2022-2023	Ministry of Culture NIAMK Sulaiman-Too Local Authorities	no comment
5.2.4	framework in d	tegimes for buffer zone are being etailed and integrated in the development Plan of Osh	2021-2022	Ministry of Culture NIAMK Sulaiman-Too Local Authorities	no comment

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 54 of 59

5.2.5	An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	involvement of all city structures of Osh responsible for urban planning, economic development, transport and communal infrastructure	2021-2022	Ministry of Culture NIAMK Sulaiman-Too Local Authorities	no comment
5.3	Management S	system/Management Plan			
5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved		2021-2022	Ministry of Culture NIAMK Sulaiman-Too Local Authorities	no comment
5.3.12	The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	Improvement of institutional mechanisms for coordination with all stakeholders Detailed strategy and action plans are being developed for the Management Plan 2021-2026		Ministry of Culture NIAMK Sulaiman-Too Local Authorities	no comment
6.1	Funding				
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	allocate funding for conservation and monitoring needs	2022-2023	Ministry of Culture NIAMK Sulaiman-Too Local Authorities	no comment
8	Education, Information	on and Awareness Building			
8.2	planned pro education and 20	by education and awareness 20 ogramme is being developed for 21-2022 to include conservation rues, SDG etc	021-2022	Ministry of Culture NIAMK Sulaiman-Too Local Authorities	no comment
9	Visitor Management				

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 55 of 59

9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation	visitor monitoring strategy is being developed, including video monitoring	2021-2022	Ministry of Culture NIAMK Sulaiman-Too Local Authorities	no comment		
10	Monitoring						
10.1	There is considerable monitoring at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value		2021-2022	Ministry of Culture NIAMK Sulaiman-Too Local Authorities	no comment		
Summary - Management Needs completed							

- 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property
- 12.3.1 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

 The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved
- 12.3.2 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

 The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact
- 12.3.3 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been impacted by factors described in this report, but this situation is being addressed through effective management actions.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has **not been significantly impacted**

- 12.3.5 Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.
- 13. Impact of World Heritage Status
- 13.1 Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	Positive
Advocacy	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 56 of 59

Security	Positive
Gender equality	Positive
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Positive
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property levelPreservation, registration and monitoring of natural and cultural sacred places

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Synergies

Management

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Good

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans

Fundraising

Awareness raising

Advocacy

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 57 of 59

ICOMOS national/regional

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

30 / 10 / 30 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources	×	
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			×	

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Fair
UNESCO National Commission	Good
ICOMOS International	Fair
IUCN International	Fair
ICCROM international/regional	Fair
ICOMOS national/regional	Fair
IUCN national/regional	Fair

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Fair
National Commission for UNESCO	Good
ICOMOS International	Fair
ICCROM International/regional	Fair
ICOMOS national/regional	Fair
IUCN national/regional	Fair
IUCN International	Fair

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 58 of 59

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

- 15.5.4 If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?
- 15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
- 15.6.1 Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

- 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.2 Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain 59 of 59