Bikini Atoll Nuclear Test Site

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Bikini Atoll Nuclear Test Site

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)		Total (ha)	Inscription year
Bikini Atoll Nuclear Test Site	11.6 / 165.381	73500	130425		203925	2010
Total (ha)		73500	130425		203925	
1.4 - Map(s)						
Title		Da	te	Link to s	ource	
Map of inscribed property		200	09			

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years? Yes

Bikini Atoll Nuclear Test Site

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

No

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

Not aware

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief synthesis

In the wake of World War II, in a move closely related to the beginnings of the Cold War, the United States of America decided to resume nuclear testing. They choose Bikini Atoll in the Marshall archipelago in the Pacific Ocean. After the displacement of the local inhabitants, 23 nuclear tests were carried out from 1946 to 1958,. The cumulative force of the tests in all of the Marshall Islands was equivalent to 7,000 times that of the Hiroshima bomb. Following the use of nuclear bombs at Hiroshima and Nagasaki, the Bikini tests confirmed that mankind was entering a "nuclear era". The many military remains bear witness to the beginnings of the

Cold War, the race to develop weapons of mass destruction and a geopolitical balance based on terror.

The violence exerted on the natural, geophysical and living elements by nuclear weapons illustrates the relationship which can develop between man and the environment. This is reflected in the ecosystems and the terrestrial, marine and underwater landscapes of Bikini Atoll.

The nuclear tests changed the history of Bikini Atoll and the Marshall Islands, through the displacement of inhabitants, and the human irradiation and contamination caused by radionuclides produced by the tests.

The Bikini Atoll tests, and tests carried out in general during the Cold War, gave rise to a series of images and symbols of the nuclear era. They also led to the development of widespread international movements advocating disarmament.

Criterion (iv): Bikini Atoll is an outstanding example of a nuclear test site. It has many military remains and characteristic terrestrial and underwater landscape elements. It is tangible testimony of the birth of the Cold War and it bears testimony to the race to develop increasingly powerful nuclear weapons. In the wake of the Hiroshima and Nagasaki bombs, the Bikini Atoll site confirmed that mankind was entering a nuclear era. It also bears witness to the consequences of the nuclear tests on the civil populations of Bikini and the Marshall Islands, in terms of population displacement and public-health issues.

Criterion (vi): The ideas and beliefs associated with the Bikini nuclear test site, and more generally with the escalation of military power which characterized the Cold War, are of international significance. These events gave rise to a large number of international movements advocating nuclear disarmament; they gave rise to powerful symbols and to many images associated with the "nuclear era", which characterized the second part of the 20th century.

Integrity and authenticity

The integrity of the property is acceptable, in view of the simultaneous presence of the remains of human artifacts and the process of natural recomposition which has followed the use of the nuclear bombs. In a very exceptional way, the degradation of the human artifacts by the natural elements forms part of the cultural process illustrated by the property. The integrity of the testimony of the property must be strengthened by the appropriate use of the considerable mass of documentary material associated with the site and its history.

The site has not undergone any substantial reconstruction; human presence there has remained very limited because of the radionuclides produced by the explosions. The authenticity of the material elements constituting the property is unquestionable.

Protection and management measures required

The main threats to the property are the effects of climate change and the presence of stocks of bombs and fuel in the underwater part of the property. The property is protected by the Historic and Cultural Preservation Act (1991). The legal protection and traditional protection in place are appropriate, but they must be reinforced to include the protection of the land-based military remains. In view of the changeable nature of the property, which is slowly returning to a natural state, conservation takes on a specific meaning in this case, and it may be considered therefore that no specific programme to preserve tangible remains is necessary. However, it is essential to ensure safety by dealing with any remaining military risks, to draw up a detailed inventory and to ensure regular monitoring of the constituent parts of the property. The management system is adequate, but it must be confirmed, and must be strengthened in several areas, particularly as regards the Bikini Divers Group, visitor reception and interpretation, the Peace Museum and the documentation centre.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1		×			
3.2.2					
3.2.3					
3.2.4					
3.2.5					
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.1.2 - Commercial development Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.1.3 - Industrial areasPrevious answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infras Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): Not relevant 	tructure
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors s positively	elected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or
4.2. Transportation Infrastructure	
 4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructurePrevious answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastruct Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): Not relevant 	cture
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors s positively	elected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.3.4 - Localised utilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.3.5 - Major linear utilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
	× Not relevant selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or
4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors	
4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors positively	
 4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors positively 4.4. Pollution 4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): 	
 4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors positively 4.4. Pollution 4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): Not relevant 	selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or
 4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors positively 4.4. Pollution 4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): Not relevant Relevant 4.4.2 - Ground water pollution Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):	selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or
 4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors positively 4.4. Pollution 4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): Not relevant Relevant 4.4.2 - Ground water pollution Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): Not relevant 	selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant 4.4.5 - Solid waste Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): Not relevant Relevant X Not relevant A.6. - Input of excess energy Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): Not relevant X Not releva

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.5. Biological resource use/modification	
 4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.2 - AquaculturePrevious answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.3 - Land conversion Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): Not relevant 	5
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.5 - Crop production Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.8 - Commercial huntingPrevious answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): ● Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.6.2 - QuarryingPrevious answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.6.3 - Oil and gas Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.6.4 - Water (extraction) Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Not relevant

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.7.2 - Relative humidityPrevious answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.7.3 - TemperaturePrevious answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.7.4 - Radiation/LightPrevious answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.7.5 - DustPrevious answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table) Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.7.8 - Micro-organisms Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

- Relevant
 X Not relevant

 4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage

 Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

 Not relevant

 Relevant

 4.8.3 Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

 Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

 Not relevant

 Relevant

 Relevant

 Relevant

 Relevant

 Relevant

 Not relevant
 - Not relevant

X Relevant			I	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×		<u> </u>		

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	
Draviaus answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011)	

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): • Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant

X Not relevant

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.9.3 - Military training Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.4 - WarPrevious answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.9.5 - Terrorism Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.9.6 - Civil unrest Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors s positively	selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or
4.10. Climate change and severe weather events	
4.10.1 - Storms	

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 4.10.2 - Flooding Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 4.10.3 - Drought Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 4.10.4 - Desertification Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): Not relevant Relevant X Not relevant 4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): • Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside Relevant × Not relevant 4.10.6 - Temperature change Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): • Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside Relevant × Not relevant Bikini Atoll Nuclear Test Site 9 of 26

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): • Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

• Relevant, Negative, Folential, Outside	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors positively	selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or
4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events	
 4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.11.2 - EarthquakePrevious answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors positively	selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or
4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species	
 4.12.1 - Translocated species Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): Not relevant 	

× Not relevant

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.12.6 - Modified genetic material Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

X Relevant	X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact					
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive										
Negative X	×			×		→				

4.13.2 - Legal framework

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.13.3 - Governance

X Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow	

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

X Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×	×		\rightarrow	

4.13.5 - Financial resources

X Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact C		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow	

4.13.6 - Human resources

X Relevant	I	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×	8		

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Gurrent	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name		Impact			Origin	Trend			
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage									
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and	4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system								
				٢		9	٢		N
4.13 Management and institutional factors									
4.13.1 Management system/Management pl	lan								
				0	9			Ċ	→
4.13.3 Governance									
				0	9	9	٢	Ċ	\rightarrow
4.13.4 Management activities									
				0	9		٢	Ċ	→
4.13.5 Financial resources									
				0	9	9	٢	Ċ	→
4.13.6 Human resources									
				0	9	9	٢	Ċ	N
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring act	ivities			٢	4	4	٢	Ċ	→
Legend Current	4 Potential	Negative	Ositive	Insi	de		Coutsi 🖉	de	

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.8.4 Chang	ges in traditional ways of life and knowledge system				
		•	9	۹	N
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
opunaroou	Restricted				
×	Localised				
~	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Tomporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
Temporars	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×					
	On-going pact on the attributes				
impact - im					
	Insignificant				
~	Minor Significant				
×					
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
×	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
	elopement over the last 6 years				
×	Decreasing				
	Static				
	Increasing				

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.1 Man	4.13.1 Management system/Management plan					
		0	9		Ċ	→
Spatial cos	le - Area affected by the factor					
Spatial Sca						
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					

Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	act		Origin		Trend
4.13.3 Governance						
	0	9	9	۲	Ċ	→

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - In	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
×	Static			
	Increasing			
Name		Impact	Origin	Trend

4.13.4 N	lanagement activities					
		٢	9	۲	Ċ	→
Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Tempor	al scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact	Impact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manage	ment response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact	t	Origin		Trend

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources						
	0	4	9	۲	Ċ	→

	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	· · · · · · · · · · · ·
	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.6 Human resources						
	0	9	9	٢	Ċ	N

	·
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
×	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	o 9		o 9 9 0		. 🧐 →	

opatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Imp	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Managemei	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
×	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
×	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1					
4.18.1.2					
4.18.1.3					
4.18.1.4					
4.18.1.5					

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

The property is protected by the Historic and Cultural Preservation Act (1991). This Act provides for controlled access to elements of the property, particularly those under water; it prohibits the export of elements of the property (punishable by fines and imprisonment) and regulates the development and use of land inside the property.

The local government produced ordinances in 1988, updated in 1996, to complete these provisions, severely regulating entry to Bikini Atoll and diving on ships. All yachts visiting Bikini Atoll must obtain permission from the KBE Local Government for entry and diving. These arrangements were further tightened in 2008 in order to regulate navigation and diving in the lagoon. In its additional documentation sent on 2 February 2010, the State Party indicates that a new order, no. 2-2010, has revised all the texts relating to the marine and underwater protection of the property, making them more precise.

The natural biodiversity of Bikini is protected by a local government decree (1997). It prohibits fishing for sharks or turtles in the lagoon and restricts the fishing of other species.

The Conservation and Management Plan briefly indicates that it is important to carry out an evaluation of the potential impact of any plan for demolition, construction, deforestation, or civil-engineering works on the attributes of the value of the property (6.a.(i)).

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property is inadequate

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Conservation Management Plan January2010	N/A	Available	2010	

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property?

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities					
5.3.15.2	Local authorities					
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone					
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples					
5.3.15.5	Women					
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups					
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples					
5.3.16.4	Landowners					
5.3.16.5	Women					
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					
5.3.16.7	Researchers					
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries					
5.3.16.12	NGOs					
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups					
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention*

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality					
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)					
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status					
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach					
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood					
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property					

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	%	%
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	%	%
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	%	%
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	%	%
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	%	%
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	%	%
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.12	Other	%	%
		Total 0 %	Total 0 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

- 6.1.4 Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?
- 6.1.5 Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	%	%
6.1.6.2	Women	%	%
		Total 0 %	Total 0 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	
Environmental sustainability	
Community participation and inclusion	
Risk preparedness	
Capacity development and education	
Administration	
Research and monitoring	
Awareness raising and public information/communication	
Marketing and promotion	
Interpretation	
Visitor management/tourism	
Enforcement (custodians, police)	

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	
Environmental sustainability	
Community participation and inclusion	
Risk preparedness	
Capacity development and education	
Administration	
Research and monitoring	
Awareness raising and public information/communication	
Marketing and promotion	
Interpretation	
Visitor management/tourism	
Enforcement (custodians, police)	

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	
Local/municipal authorities	
Indigenous peoples	
Landowners	
Women	
Youth/children	
Researchers	
Local visitors	
National/international tourists	
Tourism industry	
Local businesses and industries	
NGOs	
Other specific groups	
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	
Site museum	
Information booths	
Guided tours	
Trails/routes	
Printed information materials	
Online (website, social media, etc.)	
Transportation facilities	
Other	
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

If 'Yes', please specify

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

If 'Yes', please specify

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				
10.3.3	Character of governance				
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations				
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development				
10.3.6	Capacity development				

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	
Local/municipal authorities	
Local communities	
Indigenous peoples	
Landowners	
Women	
Researchers	
Tourism industry	
Local businesses and industry	
NGOs	
Other specific groups	
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.2 Protective Measures

5.2.3 The legal framework for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property is inadequate

Please select 1 more issues.

Please save this question to reflect changes

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

 4.8
 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

 4.8.4
 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

 4.13
 Management and institutional factors

4.13.1	Management system/Management plan
4.13.3	Governance
4.13.4	Management activities
4.13.5	Financial resources
4.13.6	Human resources
Question not completed	

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

The answers you provided have not outlined any serious management need, therefore this table is empty. Please Save to complete the question.

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? 12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

Universal Value?

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	
Research and monitoring	
Management effectiveness	
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	
Recognition	
Education	

Infrastructure development	
Funding for the property	
International cooperation	
Political support for conservation	
Legal/Policy framework	
Advocacy	
Institutional coordination	
Security	
Gender equality	
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	
Other	
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party		
Site Managers		
UNESCO World Heritage Cent	e	
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IU	CN, ICCROM)	

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

- 15.3.2 Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?
- 15.3.3 Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?
- 15.3.4 Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training		

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire				
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions				

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	
UNESCO National Commission	
ICOMOS International	
IUCN International	
ICCROM international/regional	
ICOMOS national/regional	
IUCN national/regional	

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	
National Commission for UNESCO	
ICOMOS International	
ICCROM International/regional	
ICOMOS national/regional	
IUCN national/regional	
IUCN International	

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.