Australian Convict Sites

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property Australian Convict Sites

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Kingston and Arthur's Vale Historic Area ("KAVHA")	-29.053 / 167.959	225	0	225	2010
Old Government House and Domain ("Old Government House")	-33.81 / 150.995	37.25	29.03	66.28	2010
Hyde Park Barracks	-33.869 / 151.213	0.5	5.7	6.2	2010
Brickendon and Woolmers Estates ("Brickendon- Woolmers")	-41.625 / 147.142	233.52	322.08	555.6	2010
Darlington Probation Station ("Darlington")	-42.582 / 148.07	361	1968.28	2329.28	2010
Old Great North Road	-33.378 / 150.994	258.64	135.25	393.89	2010
Cascades Female Factory ("Cascades")	-42.894 / 147.299	0.6	7.09	7.69	2010
Port Arthur Historic Site ("Port Arthur")	-43.148 / 147.851	146	1216.51	1362.51	2010
Coal Mines Historic Site ("Coal Mines")	-42.984 / 147.716	214	138.47	352.47	2010
Cockatoo Island Convict Site ("Cockatoo Island")	-33.847 / 151.172	20	47.22	67.22	2010
Fremantle Prison	-32.055 / 115.754	6	18	24	2010
Total (ha)		1502.51	3887.63	5390.14	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Australian Convict Sites - Maps of inscribed property	2010	

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

1. The Official Site for Australian Travel and Tourism Australia

Comment

Australian Convict Sites Website: https://www.australianconvictsites.org.au/ Australian Convict Sites Facebook Page: https://www.facebook.com/australianconvictsites/ Australian Convict Sites Instagram Account: https://www.instagram.com/australian_convict_sites/?hl=en

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years? Not applicable

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

Not aware

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

Not aware

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief synthesis

The property consists of eleven complementary sites. It constitutes an outstanding and large-scale example of the forced migration of convicts, who were condemned to transportation to distant colonies of the British Empire; the same method was also used by other colonial states.

The sites illustrate the different types of convict settlement organized to serve the colonial development project by means of buildings, ports, infrastructure, the extraction of resources, etc. They illustrate the living conditions of the convicts, who were condemned to transportation far from their homes, deprived of freedom, and subjected to forced labour.

This transportation and associated forced labour was implemented on a large scale, both for criminals and for people convicted for relatively minor offences, as well as for expressing certain opinions or being political opponents. The penalty of transportation to Australia also applied to women and children from the age of nine. The convict stations are testimony to a legal form of punishment that dominated in the 18th and 19th centuries in the large European colonial states, at the same time as and after the abolition of slavery.

The property shows the various forms that the convict settlements took, closely reflecting the discussions and beliefs about the punishment of crime in 18th and 19th century Europe, both in terms of its exemplarity and the harshness of the punishment used as a deterrent, and of the aim of social rehabilitation through labour and discipline. They influenced the emergence of a penal model in Europe and America.

Within the colonial system established in Australia, the convict settlements simultaneously led to the Aboriginal population being forced back into the less fertile hinterland, and to the creation of a significant source of population of European origin.

Criterion (iv): The Australian convict sites constitute an outstanding example of the way in which conventional forced labour and national prison systems were transformed, in major European nations in the 18th and 19th centuries, into a system of deportation and forced labour forming part of the British Empire's vast colonial project. They illustrate the variety of the creation of penal colonies to serve the many material needs created by the development of a new territory. They bear witness to a penitentiary system which had many objectives, ranging from severe punishment used as a deterrent to forced labour for men, women and children, and the rehabilitation of the convicts through labour and discipline.

Criterion (vi): The transportation of criminals, delinquents, and political prisoners to colonial lands by the great nation states between the 18th and 20th centuries is an important aspect of human history, especially with regard to its penal, political and colonial dimensions. The Australian convict settlements provide a particularly complete example of this history and the associated symbolic values derived from discussions in modern and contemporary European society. They illustrate an active phase in the occupation of colonial lands to the detriment of the Aboriginal peoples, and the process of creating a colonial population of European origin through the dialectic of punishment and transportation followed by forced labour and social rehabilitation to the eventual social integration of convicts as settlers.

Integrity and authenticity

The structural and landscape integrity of the property varies depending on the site, and on the type of evidence considered. It has been affected by local history, at times marked by reuse or lengthy periods of abandonment. The integrity varies between well preserved groups and others where it might be described as fragmentary. Apart from certain visual perspectives in urban settings, the level of the property's integrity is well controlled by the site management plans.

Despite the inevitable complexity of a nomination made up of a series of eleven separate sites with more than 200 elements that convey the value of the property, the authenticity of the vast majority of them is good.

Protection and management requirements

All the sites forming the property are inscribed on the National Heritage List. They are also protected by the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999.

There is no direct major threat to the sites forming the serial property.

The general protection and management of the property are satisfactory. Conservation is articulated around a positive dynamic driven by the application of the conservation plans at each of the sites. The Brickendon and Woolmers Estate domains are an exception, and require ongoing assistance, both in terms of protection and conservation.

The management systems of the sites forming the property are appropriate, and they are adequately coordinated by the Strategic Management Framework for the property and its Steering Committee. For the sites involving the participation of private stakeholders for visitor reception, improved interpretation is however necessary; that includes the common objectives outlined in the Strategic Management Framework. It is also important to consider visitor reception facilities and their development in a way which respects the landscape conservation of the sites.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Building ensembles and built heritage (including features and structures)	×			
3.2.2	Archaeological remains and ruins	×			
3.2.3	Cultural landscapes (including spaces, gardens and agricultural landscapes)	×			
3.2.4	Cemeteries	×			
3.2.5	Primary documentation	×			
3.2.6	Moveable heritage	×			
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.1.2 - Commercial development

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
 - Not relevant

X Relevant			I	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×			1

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
 - Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×	×				
Negative X		×	×				

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×				

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside 	
Relevant	× Not relevant

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×			\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×				1

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Pedente X X Annual X A	X Relevant				Not relevant			
Positive X And A And X And A		Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
• Nagative X X X • 3.3. Renewable energy facilities trevious answer Cycle 2 (2007/2011): • Relevant, Positive, Current, Picerrital, Inside Relevant X X Not relevant 3.3. Con-cneewable energy facilities trevious answer Cycle 2 (2007/2011): • Not relevant X Not relevant V 3.3. Con-cneewable energy facilities trevious answer Cycle 2 (2007/2011): • Not relevant X Not relevant V 3.4. Localised utilities trevious answer Cycle 2 (2007/2011): • Not relevant X Not relevant V 3.5. Major linear utilities trevious answer Cycle 2 (2007/2011): • Not relevant X Not relevant V 3.5. Major linear utilities trevious answer Cycle 2 (2007/2011): • Not relevant X Not relevant V Relevant X Not relevant X Not relevant V Relevant X Not relevant Not relevant V V 3.4. Localised utilities trevious answer Cycle 2 (2007/2011): • Not relevant X Not relevant V V V V 4.1. Pollution X Not relevant X Not relevant V V V V V V V V V	Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
3.3 - Renewable energy facilities × Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities × Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant A1 - Localised utilities × Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 3.4 - Localised utilities × Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 3.5 - Major linear utilities × Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 3.5 - Major Uncar utilities × Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant A1 - Pollution × Not relevant A1 - Pollution × Not relevant A1 - Pollution of marine waters × Not relevant A1 - Pollution × Not relevant A1 - Pollution of marine waters × Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant A1 - Pollution of marine waters × Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant A1 - Pollution of marine waters	Positive X	×		×			→	
revious answer Cycle 2 (2007/2011): × Not relevant 3.3 - Don-rome wall energy facilities × Not relevant revious answer Cycle 2 (2007/2011): × Not relevant 3.4 - Localised utilities × Not relevant revious answer Cycle 2 (2007/2011): × Not relevant 3.4 - Localised utilities × Not relevant revious answer Cycle 2 (2007/2011): × Not relevant 3.5 - Magor Linear utilities × Not relevant revious answer Cycle 2 (2007/2011): × Not relevant 3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors with the revent × Not relevant 4.1 - Pollution × Not relevant 4.1 - Pollution of marine waters × Not relevant revious answer Cycle 2 (2007/2011): × Not relevant 4.1 - Pollution of marine waters × Not relevant revious answer Cycle 2 (2007/2011): × Not relevant externation of marine waters × Not relevant revious answer Cycle 2 (2007/2011): × Not relevant A1 - Pollution of marine waters × Not relevant revious answer Cycle 2 (2007/2011): × Not relevant revious answer Cycle 2 (2007/2011): × Not relevant Revent × Not relevant	Negative X		×	×			→	
3.3 - No. Service and Service 2 (29/07/2011): Relevant X Not relevant 3.4 - Localised utilities X Not relevant 3.4 - Localised utilities X Not relevant Relevant X Not relevant Relevant X Not relevant Relevant X Not relevant 3.5 - Major linear utilities X Not relevant Relevant X Not relevant 3.6 - Plases comment as necessary on how the factors X Not relevant 3.4 - Pollution X Not relevant A.1 - Pollution X Not relevant A1 - Pollution X Not relevant Relevant X Not relevant A2 - Ground water pollution X Not relevant Relevant X Not relevant A2 - Ground water pollution X Not relevant Relevant X Not relevant A2 - Ground water pollution X Not relevant Relevant X Not relevant A2 - Ground water pollution X Not relevant Relevant X Not relevant A2 - Ground water pollution X Not relevant Relevant X Not relevant A Not relevant <t< td=""><td>revious answer Cycle 2 (29/07</td><td>/2011):</td><td>•</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	revious answer Cycle 2 (29/07	/2011):	•					
tevious answer Cycle 2 (2907/2011): Not relevant A + Localised utilities revious answer Cycle 2 (2907/2011): Not relevant A + Localised utilities revious answer Cycle 2 (2907/2011): Not relevant A + Localised utilities revious answer Cycle 2 (2907/2011): Not relevant A + Localised utilities revious answer Cycle 2 (2907/2011): Not relevant A + Localised utilities revious answer Cycle 2 (2907/2011): Not relevant A + Localised utilities revious answer Cycle 2 (2907/2011): Not relevant A + Localised utilities revious answer Cycle 2 (2907/2011): Not relevant A + Localised utilities revious answer Cycle 2 (2907/2011): Not relevant Relevant A + Localised utilities revious answer Cycle 2 (2907/2011): Not relevant Relevant Relevant A + Localised utilities revious answer Cycle 2 (2907/2011): Not relevant Relev	Relevant			X Not relevant				
3.4 - Contention * Not relevant Relevant * Not relevant 3.5 - Major linear utilities revious answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): Not relevant S.5 - Major Linear utilities revious answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): Not relevant Relevant X Not relevant S.6 - Pelase comment as necessary on how the factors between the selevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or ositively A. Pollution A1 - Pollution of marine waters revious answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): Not relevant Relevant X Not relevant <	revious answer Cycle 2 (29/07							
revious answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): Relevant X to relevant X to relev	Relevant			X Not relevant				
3.3.5 unit relevant × Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 3.6. Please comment as necessary on how the factors service as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or robitively .4. Pollution 4.1 - Pollution of marine waters revious answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): • Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant A.2 - Ground water pollution revious answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): • Not relevant × Not relevant A.2 - Ground water pollution revious answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): • Not relevant × Not relevant A.2 - Ground water pollution revious answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): • Not relevant × Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant A.3 - Sufface water pollution revious answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): • Not relevant × Not relevant		7/2011):						
revious answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): • Not relevant Relevant A. Pollution A.1 - Pollution of marine waters revious answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): • Not relevant Relevant A.2 - Ground water pollution revious answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): • Not relevant Relevant Relevant A.3 - Surface water pollution revious answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): • Not relevant Relevant A.3 - Surface water pollution revious answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): • Not relevant Relevant A.3 - Surface water pollution revious answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): • Not relevant Relevant A.3 - Surface water pollution revious answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): • Not relevant Relevant A.3 - Surface water pollution revious answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): • Not relevant Relevant A.3 - Surface water pollution revious answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): • Not relevant Relevant A.3 - Surface water pollution revious answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): • Not relevant Relevant A.3 - Surface water pollution revious answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): • Not relevant Relevant A.3 - Surface water pollution revious answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): • Not relevant Relevant A.3 - Surface water pollution revious answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): • Not relevant Relevant A.3 - Surface water pollution revious answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): • Not relevant Relevant A.3 - Surface water pollution revious answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): • Not relevant Relevant A.3 - Surface water pollution revious answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): • Not relevant Relevant	Relevant			X Not relevant				
.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or notively .4. Pollution .4. Pollution of marine waters trevious answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): • Not relevant Relevant .4.2 - Ground water pollution trevious answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): • Not relevant Relevant .4.3 - Surface water pollution trevious answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): • Not relevant	Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07							
ositively .4. Pollution .4.1 - Pollution of marine waters revious answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): • Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant .4.2 - Ground water pollution revious answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): • Not relevant × Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant	Relevant			× Not relevant				
.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters revious answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): • Not relevant Relevant .4.2 - Ground water pollution revious answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): • Not relevant Relevant X Not relevant X Not relevant X Not relevant A.2 - Ground water pollution revious answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): • Not relevant X Not relevant X Not relevant		necessary on I	how the factors	selected as r	elevant in 4.3 a	are affecting the pro	operty either I	negatively or
revious answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): • Not relevant A.2 - Ground water pollution revious answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): • Not relevant Relevant A.3 - Surface water pollution revious answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): • Not relevant	.4. Pollution							
A.2 - Ground water pollution revious answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): • Not relevant Relevant A.3 - Surface water pollution revious answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): • Not relevant Hereious answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): • Not relevant Hereious answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): • Not relevant	Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07							
revious answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): • Not relevant Relevant • Not relevant • Not relevant	Relevant			X Not relevant				
 .4.3 - Surface water pollution revious answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): Not relevant 	Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07							
revious answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): • Not relevant	Relevant			X Not relevant				
Relevant X Not relevant	revious answer Cycle 2 (29/07							
	Relevant			X Not relevant				

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant

Relevant

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

× Not relevant

× Not relevant

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant	
 4.5.2 - Aquaculture Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): Not relevant 		
Relevant	× Not relevant	
 4.5.3 - Land conversion Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): Not relevant 		
Relevant	× Not relevant	

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant	I	Not relevant					
	Impact O		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×			\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	C Outside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×	×		→		
Negative								
4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection								
Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07	/2011):							

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.8 - Commercial huntingPrevious answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside 	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 4.6.2 - Quarrying Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 4.6.3 - Oil and gas Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 4.6.4 - Water (extraction) Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
 - Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×	×			1

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	C Outside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×			1

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×	×			1

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×	×			1

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant	
Relevant	× Not relevant

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×			/

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant	nt			
	Impact		Origin	Origin Trend o		and of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×		×	×			1	

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×			\rightarrow	

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside, Outside

	,	-						
X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×	×	×	×		→		
Negative								
 4.8.2 - Society's valuing of Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07 Relevant, Positive, Poter 	/2011):	9						
X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×	×	×	×			1	
Negative								
 4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07 Not relevant 		collecting						
Relevant			X Not relevant					
 4.8.4 - Changes in tradition Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07 Not relevant 	-	and knowledge	system					
Relevant			× Not relevan	nt				
4.8.5 - Identity, social coho Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07 • Not relevant	-	in local populat	ion and com	munity				
Relevant			× Not relevar	nt				
4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/ Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07 • Relevant, Positive, Nega	/2011):)					
X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

×

×

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

📀 Positive 🗙

😂 Negative 🗙

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): • Not relevant

×

×

×

×

X Relevant			I	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
Negative X	×	×	×						
 4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2) Relevant, Negative, Potentia 	2011):	e							
Relevant			× Not relevant						
 4.9.3 - Military training Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2 • Not relevant 									
Relevant			X Not relevant						
4.9.4 - WarPrevious answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2Not relevant	2011):								
Relevant			× Not relevant						
 4.9.5 - Terrorism Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): Not relevant 									
Relevant			× Not relevant						
4.9.6 - Civil unrest Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/ • Not relevant	2011):								
Relevant			× Not relevant						

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

There have been issues with theft/break-in into the Woolmers Estate site during the Covid-19.

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×	×	×	×				

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×	×			1

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×	×	×	×				
 4.10.4 - Desertification Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): Not relevant 								
Relevant			× Not releva	ant				
 4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): Not relevant 								
Relevant	Relevant			ant				
-	-							
 10.6 - Temperature ch revious answer Cycle 2 (29, Relevant, Negative, Pe Relevant 	/07/2011):	de		Not relevant				
 revious answer Cycle 2 (29, Relevant, Negative, Personal State 	/07/2011):	de	Origin	Not relevant	Trend of impact			
• Relevant	/07/2011): otential, Inside, Outsi	de Potential	Origin ⓒ Inside	Not relevant	Trend of impact	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
 Relevant, Negative, Per Relevant 	/07/2011): otential, Inside, Outsi		-			⇒ Stable	Increasing	
revious answer Cycle 2 (29) • Relevant, Negative, Po Relevant Impact	/07/2011): otential, Inside, Outsi		-			⇒ Stable	▲ Increasing	
evious answer Cycle 2 (29) • Relevant, Negative, Po Relevant Impact • Positive	/07/2011): otential, Inside, Outsid Impact Current X hange impacts /07/2011):	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside		⇒ Stable	Increasing	
revious answer Cycle 2 (29) • Relevant, Negative, Po Relevant Impact • Positive • Negative X .10.7 - Other climate ch revious answer Cycle 2 (29)	/07/2011): otential, Inside, Outsid Impact Current X hange impacts /07/2011):	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside		⇒ Stable	Increasing	
evious answer Cycle 2 (29) • Relevant, Negative, Po Relevant Impact • Positive • Negative X 10.7 - Other climate ch revious answer Cycle 2 (29) • Relevant, Negative, Po	/07/2011): otential, Inside, Outsid Impact Current X hange impacts /07/2011):	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside		⇒ Stable	Increasing	
 Relevant, Negative, Point Relevant Impact Positive Negative X 10.7 - Other climate chrevious answer Cycle 2 (29) Relevant, Negative, Point 	/07/2011): otential, Inside, Outsi Impact Current X hange impacts /07/2011): otential, Inside, Outsi	Potential	C Inside	C Outside	Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
evious answer Cycle 2 (29) • Relevant, Negative, Po Relevant Positive • Negative X 10.7 - Other climate ch revious answer Cycle 2 (29) • Relevant, Negative, Po X Relevant	/07/2011): otential, Inside, Outsi Impact Current X hange impacts /07/2011): otential, Inside, Outsi	er Potential	Crigin	Cutside	Decreasing Trend of impact		,	

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

× Not relevant

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
 - Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×				

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
 - Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×			

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
 - Not relevant

× Not relevant

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×		×					
4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien fresh Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/ • Not relevant	•	5						
Relevant			× Not relevant					
 4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): Not relevant 								
Relevant			× Not relevant					

× Not relevant

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.12.6 - Modified genetic material Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

X Relevant	Not relevant								
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact					
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×		×			→			
Negative									

4.13.2 - Legal framework

× Relevant	Not relevant								
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact					
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×		×			→			
Negative									

4.13.3 - Governance

X Relevant	1	Not relevant						
	Impact Origin				Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×			\rightarrow		
Negative								

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant	1	Not relevant							
	Impact Origin				Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×	×	×	×			1		
Negative									

4.13.5 - Financial resources

X Relevant		Not relevant							
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact					
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×	×	×			→			
Negative									

4.13.6 - Human resources

Relevant X Not rel	evant

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant	Not relevant									
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact					
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive X	×	×	×	×		→				
Negative										
4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities										

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.2 Commercial development						
	٢	9	9		٢	/
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	٢		9	٢		
	0		9	٢		
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	٢	9	9	٢		1
	0	9	9	٢		\rightarrow
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.4 Marine transport infrastructure	٢	9		٢		→
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
	0	4		۲		
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.1 Water infrastructure	٢	4		۹		→
	0		9	۲		→
4.5 Biological resource use/modification						
4.5.4 Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals	٢	9		۹		→
4.5.5 Crop production	٢	9		٢	Ċ	→
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.1 Wind						

	9	9		٩	Ċ	
4.7.2 Relative humidity						
	0	9	9	٢	Ċ	
4.7.3 Temperature						
	0	9		۲	Ċ	
4.7.4 Radiation/Light						
	0	9		٢	Ċ	
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
	9	9	9	٢	Ċ	
4.7.7 Pests						
	9	9		٩	Ċ	1
4.7.8 Micro-organisms			_			
	9	4	4	٢		→
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage	6	~	~~~			
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	٢	4	4	٩	দে	→
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	0	4	a	۲	1.	
				9	3	•
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	٢	4	9		Ċ	
	9	4	9		Ċ	
4.9 Other human activities						
4.9.1 Illegal activities						
	0	4	9	۲		
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.1 Storms						
	0	9	9	۹	Ċ	
4.10.2 Flooding						
	0		9	٢	Ċ	1
4.10.3 Drought						
	9	9	9	٢	Ċ	
4.10.6 Temperature change						
4.10.7 Other climate change impacts	9	9	9	٢	Ċ	
	9	4	9	۲	(F	7
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events			ð		4	•
4.11.5 Erosion and siltation/Deposition						
	9	9	9	۲		
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)						
	0	9	9	٢	Ċ	1
4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species						
4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species						
	0	4		٢		
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	٢	9		٢		→

rk				0	9		٢		→
				٢	9		٢		→
4.13.4 Management activities						9	۹	Ċ	
urces				٢	9	9	۹		→
search/monitoring activ	vities			٢	9	9	٢	Ċ	→
Current	Potential	Negative	O Positive	 Insid 	de		C Outsi	de	
5	ctivities Irces earch/monitoring activ	ctivities Irces earch/monitoring activities	ctivities Irces wearch/monitoring activities	ctivities Irces earch/monitoring activities	earch/monitoring activities	earch/monitoring activities $\left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 $	earch/monitoring activities	i i	i i

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

N		·····			Orderla		Trend
Name	nercial development	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.2 Com				~~~		~	-
		0	9	9		Ċ	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	٢	9	۹	
	0	9	٢	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	t		Origin	Trend
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	٢	9	9	٢	
	0	9	9	٢	→

•	·
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent

	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.2.4 Marin	e transport infrastructure	٢	9	۲	→
Snatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
^	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
	cale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				

Australian Convict Sites

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
	s arising from use of transportation infrastructure	impact		Ongin		Trend
		0	4	٢		7
		-		4		
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.3.1 Water	4.3.1 Water infrastructure		9		۲		→
				9	۹		→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
Spatial Sca	e - Area anecteu by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						

×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name		Impact	:	Origin		Trend
4.5.4 Livest	ock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals	٢	4	۲		→
Snatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

Name	Name		Impact			Origin		
4.5.5 Crop	production	٢	9		۲	Ċ	→	
Spatial sca	Ile - Area affected by the factor							
×	Restricted							
	Localised							
	Extensive							
	Widespread							
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact							
	One off or rare							
	Intermittent or sporadic							
	Frequent							
×	On-going							
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes							
	Insignificant							
×	Minor							
	Significant							
	Major							
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond							
×	High capacity							
	Medium capacity							
	Low capacity							
	No capacity and / or resources							
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing							
×	Static							
	Increasing							

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.7.1 Wind	4.7.1 Wind						
		0	• 9		•		
Overleter	to Americal for the factor						
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						

	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.2 Relative humidity						
	0	9	9	٢	Ċ	

Spatial Sca	ie - Area anected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity

No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.3 Temperature						
	9	9		٢	Ċ	

	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal so	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Imp	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Managemen	at response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name		Impact			Origin	Trend	
4.7.4 Radia	tion/Light						
		0	4		٢	Ċ	1
Spatial sca	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						

Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	t		Origin		Trend
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
	0	9	9	٢	Ċ	

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - In	npact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Devent over the last 6 years Decreasing Static N

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.7.7 Pest	S						
		0	9		٢	Ċ	1
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
~	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - II	mpact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend

Name		impact			ongin	menta
4.7.8 Micro-organisms						
		9	9	9	٢	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					

×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name		Impact	t		Origin		Trend
4.8.1 Ritua	//Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	٢	4	9	٢	Ċ	→
Spatial sca	Ile - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - In	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						

No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name Impact		ipact			Origin		
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	٢	9	9	۲	Ċ	1	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Spatial Sca	ile - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

me Impact			Origin		Trend	
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	٢	9	9		Ċ	
	0	9	9		Ċ	

Spatial scale Area affected by the factor Restricted Restricted Localised Localised Widespread Widespread

Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.9 Other human activities

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.9.1 Illega	I activities						
		0	9	9	٩		
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
×	X Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						

	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name	Name Impact		Origin		Trend		
4.10.1 Stor	ms						
		0	4	9	٢	۴	
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	spact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
N					0.1		T
Name 4.10.2 Floo	ding	Impact			Origin		Trend
		0		9	٢	Ċ	
					4	4	

×	Restricted
	Localised

	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - In	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Mama		Impac	t		Origin		Trend
Name							
Name 4.10.3 Dro	ight		9	4		Ċ	,

4.10.3 Drought	

S	natial	scale -	Area	affected	hv	the	facto
	patiai	acaie -	AIGa	anecteu	ъy	uic	lacio

Spatial sca	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					

 Low capacity

 No capacity and / or resources

 Trend - Decreasing

 Static

 No capacity and / or resources

Name

4.10.6 Temperature change

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend
0	9	9	۲	Ċ	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted			
	Localised			
	Extensive			
×	Widespread			
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
×	On-going			
Impact - Im	apact on the attributes			
×	Insignificant			
	Minor			
	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	Int response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity			
×	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
	Static			
×	Increasing			

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.10.7 Oth	4.10.7 Other climate change impacts						
		9	4	9	۲	Ċ	
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						

Extensive

v	Videspread
Temporal scal	le - Occurence of the impact
x 0	Dne off or rare
In	ntermittent or sporadic
F	requent
0	Dn-going
Impact - Impac	ct on the attributes
× In	nsignificant
Μ	linor
S	Significant
Μ	/ajor
Management r	response - Capacity of management to respond
ж н	ligh capacity
Μ	Nedium capacity
Lo	ow capacity
Ν	lo capacity and / or resources
Trend - Develo	opement over the last 6 years
D	Decreasing
×S	tatic
In	ncreasing

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

		Impact			0-1-1-	-	
Name					Origin	Tr	rend
4.11.5 Ero:	sion and siltation/Deposition						
		0	9	9	٩	/	
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - In	npact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						

×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name

4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)

Impact			Origin	Trend		
0	9	9	٢	Ċ		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

opullai oou	
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

9	

	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
~	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	Trend - Developement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

4.13 Management and institutional factors

••							
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan		٥	9		۲		→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	Impact - Impact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

and the second						
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	Trend - Developement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

lame		Impact			Origin	
4.13.2 Legal framework		4		٢		→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	Impact - Impact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	Int response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	Trend - Developement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.13.3 Governance		9		٢		→

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Tempora	I scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - I	Impact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Managem	nent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - D	Developement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing

	Static
×	Increasing

Name		Impact				Trend	
4.13.4 Management activities		9	9	٢	Ċ	1	

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static

Name		Impact			Origin	
4.13.5 Financial resources		4	9	٢		→

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities		•	4	9	۲	Ċ	→
Spatial sca	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						

	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.1 All sites except OGNR 4.2 Port Arthur Historic Site (PAHS) / Kingston Arthur's Vale Historic Site 4.3 Coal Mines Historic Site, PAHS, KAVHA 4.5 Brickendon-Woolmers Estates, KAVHA 4.7 Brickendon-Woolmers Estates, OGH&D, Fremantle Prison, KAVHA, PAHS, CMHS 4.8 All sites 4.10 All sites 4.11 Coal Mines Historic Site, Port Arthur Historic Site, KAVHA 4.12 Brickendon Estate, Woolmers Estate, CMHS 4.13 All sites

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Building ensembles and built heritage (including features and structures)	×			
4.18.1.2	Archaeological remains and ruins	×			
4.18.1.3	Cultural landscapes (including spaces, gardens and agricultural landscapes)	×			
4.18.1.4	Cemeteries	×			
4.18.1.5	Primary documentation / Moveable Heritage	×			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The buffer zones are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

At the Federal level : All the sites forming the property are inscribed on the National Heritage List with Brickendon and Woolmers Estates included in November 2007. Cockatoo Island is also included on the Commonwealth Heritage List. These inscriptions imply protection at the State Party's federal level. They are also protected by the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999. Any action that has, will have or is likely to have a significant impact on the World Heritage values must be referred to the Minister for consideration, including imapacts from outside the property boundary.

At the state level : The three States and the autonomous region each have an Act for the protection of cultural heritage including a regularly updated inventory. All the sites of the property are inscribed on these State inventories:

• Norfolk Island, Planning Act 2002: site 1;

- New South Wales, Heritage Act 1977: sites 2, 3, 6, and 10;
- Tasmania, Historical Cultural Heritage Act 1995: sites 4, 5, 7, 8, and 9;
- Western Australia, Heritage of Western Australia Act 1990: site 11.

Certain sites are directly covered by specific State legislation, such as the acts governing the four sites in New South Wales and those in Tasmania.

Other legislation passed by the States are also involved in the protection of the sites, especially for the protection of the environment and land use planning.

At the local level : There is a series of municipal plans that provide additional protection to that afforded at the Federal and State levels for the property's component sites. These are planning documents that harmonize and if necessary extend protection for the property within its municipality, especially for the buffer zones. These instruments are specific to each site and they provide a degree of articulation between the buffer zone and other planning, land use, and development schemes within the urban areas or districts.

Source: Periodic Reporting Cycle 2

Comment

To be amended: Western Australia, Heritage of Western Australia Act 1990 has been updated. See table 5.2.2 below.

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice Under the EPBC Act a proposal that has, will have, or is likely to have significant impact on the OUV of the Property must be referred to the Environment Minister for a decision on whether approval is required. The EPBC Act places the responsibility on the person taking an action to ensure it will not have a significant impact on the OUV. Substantial civil and criminal penalties apply for breaches. Each component site is subject to a range of state and local level planning and heritage controls.

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system at national level

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)

Mechanisms to promote equal participation among and within groups, including different levels of authority, local communities, indigenous people, women and men, and other specific groups

An integrated management plan combining World Heritage and any other designations

A management plan

An annual work plan or business plan

A disaster, climate or conflict risk management plan

A visitor/visitation management plan

A joint approach to management of cultural and natural heritage

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

The ACS Strategic Management Framework (https://www.australianconvictsites.org.au/documents) is the overarching mangement document to the Property.The individual places are controlled and managed under various management and statutory regimes that provide specific legal protection. That protection is translated into protective measures under management plans and associated programs.The Australian Convicts Sites is administered through a system of governing bodies and owners.

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Brickendon CMP January 2008	N/A	Available	2009	
Cascades Female Factory Management Plan April 2008	N/A	Available	2009	
Fremantle Prison CMP December 2008	N/A	Available	2009	
Hyde Park Barracks MP February 2010	N/A	Available	2010	
Kingston & Arthurs Vale Conservation Area CMP December 2008	N/A	Available	2009	
Old Government House & Domain MP 2008	N/A	Available	2009	
Port Arthur Historic Sites Management Plan 2008	N/A	Available	2009	
Woolmers Estate Conservation Management Plan January 2008	N/A	Available	2009	
Maria Island: Darlington Settlement & Point Lesueur Nov2007, Ile des Phoques Nature Reserve 1998 Management Plans	N/A	Available	2009	
Cockatoo Island Management Plan	N/A	Available	2009	
Old Great North Road Management Plan 2008	N/A	Available	2009	

Comment

Fremantle Prison Heritage Management Plan 2019 Your Parramatta Park 2030 Conservation Management Plan and Plan of Management Hyde Park Barracks Museum Conservation Management Plan 2018 Cockatoo Island Management Plan 2017 Kingston and Arthur's Vale Historic Area Heritage Management Plan, 2016 Cascades Female Factory Conservation Management Plan 2016 Addendum to Brickendon Longford Tasmania Conservation Management Plan 2008 (February 2019) The Old Grea North Road Conservation Management Plan2019

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

No use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done. N/A

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ? No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is adequate coordination between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being fully implemented and monitored

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and all of its activities are being implemented and monitored

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				×	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone					×
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples				×	
5.3.15.5	Women	×				
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					×
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples				×	
5.3.16.4	Landowners					×
5.3.16.5	Women	×				
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					×
5.3.16.7	Researchers				×	
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries					×
5.3.16.12	NGOs				×	
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention*

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality					×
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)			×		

5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status			×
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach			×
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood			×
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property			×

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

The Australian Convict Sites Steering Committee continue to work together to ensure sustainable management. Individual sites have management plans and work with their local communities to foster equality and diversity and local economic development.

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

In 2006 all participating governments in Australia agreed to establish a Convict Nomination Steering Committee (CNSC) of senior agency officials from each of the state and territory governments, as well as the Australian Government, to develop policy directions and cooperative management of the possible World Heritage property. One of the primary roles of the CNSC was to oversee the formulation of the original Framework. Following the listing of the Australian Convict Sites in the World Heritage List, the Australian Convict Sites Steering Committee (ACSSC) was established with responsibility for implementing the Framework.

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 0 %	Total 0 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

Australia cannot provide the requested data for 6.1, as funding is spread across a large number of different programs and priorities which may have multiple benefits for particular properties. That is, it is not possible to disaggregate the funding component attributed to each property. Funding comes from the State and Commonwealth Government, individual visitor charges, commercial activities and internal donations.

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over both the medium- and long-term

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	50 %	50 %
6.1.6.2	Women	50 %	50 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are adequate for management needs

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not applicable

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not applicable

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Dependant on the funding in place, staff resourcing is limited at times. Brickendon-Woolmers Estates often struggle to find adequate and continued resources for their sites. However, collaboration and resource sharing amongst sites works well and remains ongoing across sites.

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained? Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **adequate**

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable research but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared widely with active outreach to local communities and national and international audiences

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

Research projects and studies undertaken at sites, including academic research resulting from onsite investigations is often made available through grey literature online.

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Fair
Women	Fair
Youth/children	Good
Researchers	Fair
Local visitors	Good
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industries	Fair
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Youth/children
Researchers
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry
Local businesses and industries

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Good
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Good
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Fair
Other	Not needed

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

In response to question 8.2.4, the Property has indicated that there is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs. This is due to the constant need to update and refine training programs to remain effective as curriculums continue to change.

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

2,707,403 / 2,964,924 / 3,162,331 / 303,650 / 3,113704 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Transportation services
Visitor surveys
Other
People counters.

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One to three hours

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

Questions 9.1, 9.2 and 9.3 were derived from site managers, annual reports

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

139.51 / 10.12 / 6.08 / 61.85 / 14.14 / 32.57 /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a planned and effective strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

Each site making up the Property has its own Strategy to effectively manage vistation, tourism etc. At Darlington Proabtion Station, a Destination Action Plan has been prepared with input from business and community representatives to make a positive difference to the growth and sustainability of the local economy and experience. Additionally, PAHS has developed a carrying capacity and sustainable visitation study.

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

Carrying Capacity and Sustainable Tourism study, Annual visitor surveys, visitor comment cards, site monitoring. At Darlington Probation Station, the 'Spring Bay Postcard' is handed out to passengers as they depart Maria Island to monitor visitor sentiment.

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is good cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property? Fees are collected, and make some contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

There is use of community advisory groups to facilitate stakeholder consultation and to respect the socio-cultural authenticity of our host communities, management of our water supply and wastewater, involvement in local and other tourist organisations to work together to serve local and other communities. That is in addition to looking after the fabric at each of the 11 sites to minimise the impacts of the thousands of tourists that visit our sites. At Port At Port Arthur Historic Site Community Consultation Committee (also considers CMHS) Port Arthur Historic Sites Statutory Management Plan, Tasman Business and Tourism Association Partnerships with

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities? Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

Economic beneift to local industry - hospitality, accomodation, other local heritage sites. At Darlington Probation Station, the Maria Island Pledge is a partnership between Spring Bay Tourism Group, Parks and Wildlife Service and East Coast Tourism which seeks to influence visitors in their interactions with the islands wildlife. Inappropriate interactions with fauna, wombats in particular, is prevalent on the island. The Pledge is a positive and empowering educational tool to mitigate the problem. The Pledge contributes to destinational awareness of the Island, supports marketing work of Parks and Wildlife and East Coast Tourism and local operators.

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

As the Australian Convict Sites are a serial listing making up 11 sites, Questions 9.1 is the total sum of visitors for all 11 sites per annum. It should be noted that visitation varies in numbers across the 11 sites. For Question 9.2, an average of the approximate numbers for each of the 11 sites in each category was calculated and converted from AUD to USD. It should be noted that each site varies in expenses for admission, accomodation etc which cannot accurately be reflected above.

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, integrated programme of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **adequate and key indicators have been defined** for measuring the state of conservation and **are being used in monitoring** of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation			×	
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				×
10.3.3	Character of governance				×
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations				×
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development			×	
10.3.6	Capacity development				×

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

As a serial property each site has processes which are relevant to their needs, resources and capabilities. The Australian Convict Sites Steering Committee provides overall monitoring of governance systems and the state of conservation of the Property.

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Local communities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Poor
Landowners	Good
Women	Not applicable
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industry	Good
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is underway

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

The World Heritage Committee has recommended that Australia manage the landscape values OGH&D site by studying the visual impact of the current environment and future projects that may affect those values. As a result the design and visual impact of nearby development was taken into consideration to limit negative impacts. At Cascades Female Factory, the proposal for a new history and interpretation centre responds to a specific request of the World Heritage Committee.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones	
5.1.4	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known and recognized by local communities/landowners	×
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	No use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.17	• In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does provide ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	×
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	
6.1.10	No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	×
6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff	×
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects	
7.2	There is considerable research in the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
8.2	There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs	×
9	Visitor Management	
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made	
Pleas	se select 3 more issues.	
D Ple	ease save this question to reflect changes	

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.1	Buildings and	Buildings and Development									
4.1.2		Commercial development									
4.7	Local condition	ons affecting physical fa	bric								
4.7.1	Wind	Wind has an impact on cultural landscape elements at PAHS. Wind impacts also impact the built fabric at CMHS.	Monitoring to assess impacts is undertaken.	Ongoing monitoring is undertaken.	Ongoing	PAHSMA	Wind has an impact on cultural landscape elements at PAHS. Wind impacts also impact the built fabric at CMHS.				

4.7.2			ative nidity										
4.7.3	Temperature	Temperature humidity imp internal clima of the buildin collections co within.	act the ate of many gs and the	Monitoring remains ongoing.		emains Ongoing.		ngoing. Ongoing.		PAHSMA, the National Trust of Australia, Fremantle Prison, Parramatta Park, Hyde Park Barracks.		humidi interna of the b	rature and y impact the climate of many wuldings and the ons contained
4.7.7	Pests		age to ric and Rabbits footings and utral issues. utral issues. icting ems. affecting	All sites actively O working to manage pests.				joing. Ongoing.		All property managers.		agers. Vermin such as i create damage tu internal fabric an collections. Rabt undermine footin cause strucutral Mould impacting collection items. Pathogens affect cultural landscap elements.	
4.8	Social/Cultura	al uses of her	itage										
4.8.6	Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Re	ecreation industry but	agative impacts clude; deterioral iilding fabric and fultural landscape ake wash from i vats undermining reshore (i.e. PA ssitive impacts; inforcement of t UV and contribu funds for onoin, nservation work	d tourist g HS). he tion	Individual s strategies m to their neer resources a capabilities preparation Carrying Ca and Sustair Visitation S PAHS).	elevant ds, and e.g. of apacity nable	Ongoing.	Ong	bing.	All site r	managers.	include buildin cultura Wake boats foresh Positiv reinfor OUV a to func	ve impacts c; deterioration of g fabric and l landscape. wash from tourist undermining ore (i.e. PAHS). e impacts; cement of the nd contribution s for ongoing vation work.
4.10	Climate chan	ge and severe	e weather even	ts									
4.10.1			Storms										
4.10.2			Flooding										
4.10.6	Temperature change		cultural	of por weath Prote put in impac i.e. st barrie	ase monitorin tential severe her events. active measure n place to reducts at all sites torm surge ers at PAHS, al strip reloadin MHS.	es Ice	going.	Ongoing		All site ma	anagers.	impac coasta impac	e weather events ting foreshore at al sites also ting cultural apes.
4.11	Sudden ecolo	gical or geol	ogical events										
4.11.5	Erosion and siltation/Deposition	on archaeol CMHS ar of founda	of the foreshore ogical deposits and PAHS. Erosio ation material of at KAVHA.	at me on to	rotective easures in pla reduce erosic here practical.	ice on	going.	Ongoing.	F	PAHSMA, K	KAVHA.	archaeolo CMHS ar	f the foreshore gical deposits at d PAHS. Erosion ion material of KAVHA.
Question r	Question not completed												
12.2. Sum	nmary - Manag	gement N	eeds										
12.2.1 - Su	mmary - Mana	gement N	eeds										
5.1	Boundaries a	nd Buffer Zor	nes										

5.1.4	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and management authority but are not known and recognized by local communities/landownersEffective management buffer zones remains o achieve within the relevent planning systems.				All site managers.	Effective management of the buffer zones remains difficult to achieve within the relevant planning systems.
5.3	Management System/Ma	nagement Plan				
5.3.7	No use has been made of Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change World Heritage Properties property	their individual CMP doc e on Additinally, Climate Impa	acts and studies have d as a th the	n/a	All site managers.	Sites have addressed climate impacts in their individual CMP documents. Additinally, Climate Impacts and Vulnerability Assesment studies have recently been considered as a programme instituted with the Commonwealth Department and CSIRO.
5.3.9	No use has been made of Strategy for Reducing Risk from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	s plans relevant to their ne management plans cons	Individual sites have risk management plans relevant to their needs. These management plans consider negative impacts and risk strategies to manage impacts to sites.		All site managers.	Individual sites have risk management plans relevant to their needs. These management plans consider negative impacts and risk strategies to manage impacts to sites.
5.3.17	 In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does provide ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants) 	Each site makes some o local community, e.g. Pa provides open recreation provides fresh water to ti community,Brickendon E food resources, Fremani a community garden.	arramatta Park nal space, PAHS he local Estate produces	Ongoing.	All site managers.	Each site makes some contribution to the local community, e.g. Parramatta Park provides open recreational space, PAHS provides fresh water to the local community,Brickendon Estate produces food resources, Fremantle Prison retains a community garden.
6.1	Funding					
6.1.10	No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	Each site retains its own capacity building plan/ programme within in individual management document	ts		All site managers.	No requirements to apply the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at this time to the Property.
capacity buildir building plan or deterr programme is in extern place and trainin		Each site retains its own capacity building plan/ programme that determines its needs for internal a external technical skills. i.e. techn training provided to volunteers at Cockatoo Island Convict Site.	g plan/ programme that ines its needs for internal and il technical skills. i.e. technical provided to volunteers at		All site managers.	Each site retains its own capacity building plan/ programme that determines its needs for internal and external technical skills. i.e. technical training provided to volunteers at Cockatoo Island Convict Site.
8	Education, Information a	nd Awareness Building				
8.2	Education, Information and Awareness Building There is a planned Education programmes need to be updated to meet the ongoing changes in the curriculum. Ong updated to meet the ongoing changes in the curriculum. awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs Image: Construct on the curriculum on the curri		Ongoing.	A	Il site managers.	Education programmes need to be updated to meet the ongoing changes in the curriculum.

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property? The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding

Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

Most of the sites are dealing with major conservation requirements and dealing in a reactive way to attend to the maintenance concerns which arise. Privately funded sites continue to struggle to keep ahead of the issues presented with regard to conservation.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Very positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal/Policy framework	Very positive
Advocacy	Very positive
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	No impact
Gender equality	Positive
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	No impact
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Positive
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

The World Heritage status provides opportunities for collaboration and cross promotion across the sites. Each of the individual sites is an economic driver for their local community. There is continued work in the area of developing universal accessibility such as the installation of a lift at Hyde Park Barracks. Additionally, there are programs at Fremantle Prison and Cascades Female Factory aimed at ensuring the involvement of women as key stakeholders.

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level The Australian Convict Sites Steering Committee is tasked with ensuring that a collective approach to managing the property's Outstanding Universal Value is achieved. The Committee encourages site managers and owners to share information and expertise across the property, to collectively manage the property's OUV, and to work collaboratively to benefit the Australian Convict Sites as a whole. There is a focus on establishing progams that connect the 11 sites as well as fostering community engagement with, and visitation across the sites. The Committee hold three meetings a year as well as an annual face to face meeting to review issues across sites and undertake projects focused on engaging and educating the public about the unique serial listing. Projects such as the ACS Video Project, ACS Booklet, creation of the ACS Website and ACS Collections Management Project contribute to managing, protecting and presenting the OUV of the Property.

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Sustainable Development	
Synergies	
State of Conservation	
Management	
Governance	
Capacity Building	

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Good

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Awareness raising

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance has **not been explicitly** considered or implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? Yes

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

20 / 0 / 10 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources	×	
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			×	

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

The Periodic Questionnaire has not been formulated to address a serial listed World Heritage Property. The Australian Convict Sites World Heritage property is made up of 11 unique sites around Australia with differing models of management and conservation requirements. The Periodic Reporting Questionnaire questions assume answers for a Property with one site, limiting accuracy of responses for a serial site.

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Good
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Good
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Good
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

The Periodic Questionnaire has not been formulated to address a serial listed World Heritage Property. The Australian Convict Sites World Heritage property is made up of 11 unique sites around Australia with differing models of management and conservation requirements. The Periodic Reporting Questionnaire questions assume answers for a Property with one site, limiting accuracy of responses for a serial site. Also, a prompt for unanswered questions in the questionnaire would be helpful.

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.