Palau de la Música Catalana and Hospital de Sant Pau, Barcelona

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Palau de la Música Catalana and Hospital de Sant Pau, Barcelona

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
	0/0	?	?	?	
	0/0	?	?	?	
Palau de la Música Catalana	41.388 / 2.175	0.13	1.74	1.87	1997
Hospital de Sant Pau	41.413 / 2.174	6.74	23.14	29.88	1997
Total (ha)		6.87	24.88	31.75	

Comment

The coordinates for Palau de la Música Catalana are N 41 23 16.8 / E 2 10 30.0 The coordinates for Hospital de Sant Pau are N41 24 50.4 / E 2 10 30.00 The maps of the property are currently being updated in the framework of the Restrospective Inventory initiative at the request of the World Heritage Center by letter of 16th December 2022.

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Palau de la Música Catalana - map of the inscribed property	1997	
Hospital de Sant Pau - map of the approved minor boundary modification	2008	

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

- 1. Palau de la Música Catalana
- 2. Sant Pau Recinte Modernista
- 3. Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y Deporte (in Spanish)
- 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)
- 2.1 Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is not designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

- 2.2 Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary
- 2.3 Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

- 2.4 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?
- 2.5 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?
- 2.6 Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?
- 2.7 Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

- 2.8 Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)
- 2.9 Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

 No
- 2.10 Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

No

- 2.12 Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.
- 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
- 3.1 Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief synthesis

Palau de la Música Catalana and Hospital de Sant Pau are two of the finest contributions to Barcelona's architecture by the Catalan Modernista architect, Lluís Domènech i Montaner. The Palau de la Música Catalana is an exuberant steel-framed structure full of light and space, decorated by the leading artists of the period. It has uniqueness, authenticity and beauty and is an unparalleled Modernista example of a public concert hall whose symbolic, artistic and historical value is universal. The Hospital de Sant Pau is also daring in terms of design and decoration, although perfectly suited to the needs of patients. Of exceptional interest, it is the most outstanding example of its kind because of its beauty, size and unique design.

The Palau de la Música Catalana was exceptional from the moment of its conception, because of two very important innovative factors: a special concept of space and a very intelligent use of the new technologies developed during the industrial revolution. The reticular steel frame, which made possible extensive open floor spaces with an absence of structural facades, replaced by a glass skin, was an innovative architectural concept of great importance. The whole building was designed as an interactive space, minimising the distinction between exterior and interior by making the best possible use of natural light, a key factor in enjoyment of the interior space. All of this is brought together by the use of traditional arts, with a unique use of decorative motifs, of great authenticity and beauty, in an unprecedented example in the Modernista style (a movement parallel to Art Nouveau) of a concert hall with universal symbolic, artistic and historic values.

The complex of buildings that make up the Hospital de Sant Pau succeeded the Hospital de la Santa Creu, built in the early 15th century. The Hospital de Sant Pau is of great importance as the largest hospital complex in the Modernista style. Here Domènech i Montaner found original, daring solutions to the problems posed by the needs of the contemporary hospital (ventilation, hygiene, specialities, interdisciplinary medicine, etc.). The Hospital de Sant Pau remains true to the original design. It is of exceptional interest because of its beauty, scale and unique architectural design.

These are two of the earliest and finest examples of Modernista architecture, of exceptional importance, both as manifestations of human creative genius and works of art, because they offered new architectural, typological and artistic solutions to facilities for music and medicine.

Criterion (i): The Palau de la Música Catalana and the Hospital de Sant Pau in Barcelona are masterpieces of the imaginative and exuberant Modernista style that flowered in early 20th century Barcelona. They are the work of Lluís Domènech i Montaner, one of the acknowledged leaders of this influential architectural movement

Criterion (ii): The Palau de la Música Catalana and the Hospital de Sant Pau in Barcelona are outstanding examples of the Catalan Modernista style, movement that played an important role in the evolution of 20th century architecture.

Criterion (iv): The Palau de la Música Catalana and the Hospital de Sant Pau are two of the finest (and earliest) examples of the Modernist style in architecture and of exceptional importance, both as manifestations of human creative genius and works of art.

Integrity

The property includes the whole of both its components. The boundaries of both monuments include all the attributes that express their Outstanding Universal Value.

A major comprehensive restoration programme (structural, interior and facades), as well as a modernisation of the functional requirements (acoustics, thermal insulation, etc.) was carried out on the Palau de la Música Catalana in 1988. The Hospital de Sant Pau has been undergoing continual maintenance since it was built. Our Lady of Mercy pavilion was restored in 1979–1980 and the Clock Tower in 1985–1989. Because of the difficulty of adapting this historic building to modern medical requirements, hospital services were transferred to new buildings on the north of the site, making possible comprehensive restoration of the original buildings.

Because of these works to maintain the integrity of the structures, adverse factors affecting them are largely insignificant and of external origin (air pollution; dust and water (rain/water table)). Appropriate measures are being taken to suppress them, such as vehicle circulation limitation.

Authenticity

Both monuments possess a high degree of authenticity. Great care has been taken to replace damaged elements such as external tiles with exact copies of the originals. In the Palau de la Música Catalana, ingenious methods have been used to install modern technical equipment such as air conditioning, soundproofing, etc., and to reinforce structural elements, as well as in the careful restoration of the facades. It retains its original use as a concert hall. The Hospital de Sant Pau underwent a comprehensive restoration under a phased plan in 2009–2016. It was intended for the restored pavilions to be used for purposes such as research and health, or as offices for various international organizations.

Protection and management requirements

The Palau de la Música Catalana was declared a national monument in 1971 and the Hospital de Sant Pau in 1978. The property has full legal protection through Law 16/1985 of 25 June, concerning Spanish Historical Heritage, Law 9/1993 of 30 September, concerning Catalan Cultural Heritage, and Decree 276/2005, concerning Territorial Commissions for the Cultural Heritage. At the Municipal level the Metropolitan General Plan and the Special Plan to protect the architectural heritage of the city of Barcelona protect the property. Other legislation such as Law 13/2002, concerning Tourism in Catalonia helps protect the property.

Business Management and Administration are carried out by the Territorial Commission for the Cultural Heritage of the City of Barcelona. Authorities such as State, Autonomous community and local level are involved in management, in both cases.

The Palau de la Música is used as a concert hall and also for public visits. It is owned by the Orfeó Català, a private choral association, and is managed by the Palau de la Música Catalana Consortium, with members nominated by the municipal administration, Barcelona City Council, the Government of Catalonia and the Orfeó Català. This body was refounded as Fundació Orfeó Català - Palau de la Música Catalana. It has a special section responsible for heritage and for the restoration work in recent years. There is a strategic management plan and a maintenance plan, which are regularly updated. There is a procedure for the use of the spaces.

The Hospital de Sant Pau was used as a hospital until 2009. Current uses are socio-cultural, international and public visits. The Hospital de la Santa Creu i Sant Pau Foundation is the private body responsible for the management. The Board of Trustees is the overall governing body. Its members are drawn equally from the Barcelona City Council, the Cathedral Chapter and the Government of Catalonia. The management of the site is under contractual agreement between the State

Party and a third party and under traditional protective measures or customary law. The special urban plan specifies the uses of the buildings and architectural regulation within the enclosure. There are internal rules of procedure.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Global building design with integrated approach of the spaces.	×			
3.2.2	Significant metallic reticular structure at the Palau de la Música Catalana, because of its innovation and integration with other materials like the leaded glass.	×			
3.2.3	Modernist garden city design at the Hospital de Sant Pau, to improve patients' and users' quality of life.	×			
3.2.4	Use of traditional arts with a unique use of decorative motifs of great autheticity and unprecedented beauty in modernist style.	×			
3.2.5	Original and audacious solutions to the problems in the hospital care at that time, like air circulation, hygiene, specialities, interdisciplinary medicine, etc.	×			
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

- 4. Factors Affecting the Property
- 4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

X Relevant Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×				7
Negative							

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×			×			/
Negative							

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×			×		→	

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Microwave/TV/radio Towers in Hospital de Sant Pau.

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
	×			×		→	

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

≭ Relevant	Not relevant							
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact	pact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
○ Negative X	×			×		\Rightarrow		

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Acid rain.

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×			×		→	

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×			×		→	

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	G Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×			×		→	

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

X Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact Orig		Origin T		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×			×		\rightarrow	

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	G Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×			×		\rightarrow	

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×			×			,

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact Origin		Origin	Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×			×		\rightarrow	

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The impact pointed out in 4.7.7 is due to rodents and urban birds infestations, whose excrements and behaviour damage the properties' state of conservation.

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact O		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive 🗶	×		×				•	
Negative								

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

★ Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Or		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×			×			7	
Negative								

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Delevent	Water and the second se
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

• Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive	×		×	×			7	
Negative								

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

★ Relevant			ļ	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×			×			7
Negative							

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant			Not relevant	
	Impact	Origin		Trend of impact

Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
○ Positive ★	×			×			7
Negative							

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×			×		\rightarrow	

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Rele	evant	X Not relevant

4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
TOO Tan	** Not relevant

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

• Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative		×		×		→	

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×		→	

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

¥ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X		×		×		→	

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

※ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×			7

4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

• Not relevant

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	№ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×			×			P

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

× Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X		×		×		\Rightarrow	

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

× Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
○ Negative X		×		×		\rightarrow		

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant



4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
		×		×		\rightarrow	

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant	
-------------------------	--

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×		×				-
Negative							

4.13.2 - Legal framework

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×			×			P
Negative							

4.13.3 - Governance

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing

○ Positive ★	×	×		7
Negative				

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

× Relevant			ı	Not relevant			
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×				-
Negative							

4.13.5 - Financial resources

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact Origin						
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×			7
Negative							

4.13.6 - Human resources

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact					
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing		
○ Positive ★	×		×			→			
Negative									

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

≭ Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact					
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
Positive X	×		×				7		
Negative									

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

× Relevant			i	Not relevant				
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×		×				7	
Negative								

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

.13.1 - Lactors Junimary Lable					
Name 4.1 Buildings and Development	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	•	q	()		<i>p</i>
		•			
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure					
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	•	q		Œ	7
4.3 Services Infrastructures					
4.3.4 Localised utilities					
		P		F	→
4.4 Pollution					
4.4.3 Surface water pollution					
		P		F	→
4.4.4 Air pollution					
		9		F	→
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
4.7.1 Wind					
		Ą		Œ	→
4.7.2 Relative humidity					
		q		Œ	→
4.7.3 Temperature					
		q		Œ	→
4.7.4 Radiation/Light					
		q		Œ	\rightarrow
4.7.5 Dust					
		P		F	→
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)					
		q		Œ	\Rightarrow
4.7.7 Pests					
		9		Œ	/
4.7.8 Micro-organisms					
		9		Œ	→
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage					
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	•	9	•		1
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	O	9		Œ	1
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	O	9	•	Œ	1
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	O	9		Œ	1
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	O	9		Œ	7

4.9 Other human activities						
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
		9			C	→
4.9.5 Terrorism						
					(\$	→
4000 11			•		G.	
4.9.6 Civil unrest						
			9		G	\Rightarrow
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.1 Storms						
			a		<i>₹</i>	_
			4		G	_
4.10.3 Drought						
			9		Œ	/
4.10.6 Temperature change						
					<i>₹</i>	
		-1			3	
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.2 Earthquake						
			q		Œ	\rightarrow
4.11.5 Erosion and siltation/Deposition						
			9		C	→
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)						
			9		G	→
4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species			9		Œ	→
4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species	(a)		9		Œ	→
4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species 4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species			•		3	→
	•		9		(F	→
			•		3	→ →
4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species		9	•	•	3	→ → → · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species 4.13 Management and institutional factors	•	q	•	•	3	→ → → <i>→</i>
4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species 4.13 Management and institutional factors 4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	a	•	•	•	&	→ ·
4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species 4.13 Management and institutional factors	•	9	•	@	3	→ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species 4.13 Management and institutional factors 4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	a	•	•	•	&	→ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species 4.13 Management and institutional factors 4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	a	•	•	0	&	
4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species 4.13 Management and institutional factors 4.13.1 Management system/Management plan 4.13.2 Legal framework	•	9	•		&	→ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species 4.13 Management and institutional factors 4.13.1 Management system/Management plan 4.13.2 Legal framework 4.13.3 Governance	33	9	•	•	&	
4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species 4.13 Management and institutional factors 4.13.1 Management system/Management plan 4.13.2 Legal framework	•	9	•		&	
4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species 4.13 Management and institutional factors 4.13.1 Management system/Management plan 4.13.2 Legal framework 4.13.3 Governance	33	9	•	•	&	
4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species 4.13 Management and institutional factors 4.13.1 Management system/Management plan 4.13.2 Legal framework 4.13.3 Governance	33	9	•	•	&	→ / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /
4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species 4.13 Management and institutional factors 4.13.1 Management system/Management plan 4.13.2 Legal framework 4.13.3 Governance 4.13.4 Management activities	•	9	•	•	&	
4.13.4 Management activities 4.13.4 Management activities 4.13.5 Financial resources		9	•	0	&	
4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species 4.13 Management and institutional factors 4.13.1 Management system/Management plan 4.13.2 Legal framework 4.13.3 Governance 4.13.4 Management activities	•	9	•	•	&	→ / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /
4.13.4 Management activities 4.13.4 Management activities 4.13.5 Financial resources		9	•	0	&	
4.13.4 Management activities 4.13.4 Management activities 4.13.5 Financial resources		9	•	0	&	
4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species 4.13 Management and institutional factors 4.13.1 Management system/Management plan 4.13.2 Legal framework 4.13.3 Governance 4.13.4 Management activities 4.13.5 Financial resources		9 9	•	0	&	
4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species 4.13 Management and institutional factors 4.13.1 Management system/Management plan 4.13.2 Legal framework 4.13.3 Governance 4.13.4 Management activities 4.13.5 Financial resources 4.13.6 Human resources		9 9	•	0	&	
4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species 4.13 Management and institutional factors 4.13.1 Management system/Management plan 4.13.2 Legal framework 4.13.3 Governance 4.13.4 Management activities 4.13.5 Financial resources		4	•	0 0	&	

Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside
--------	---------	-----------	----------	----------------------------	--------------------------	---------

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name		Impac		Origin	Trend
4.1.5 Int	erpretative and visitation facilities	•	9	•	-
Custial	scale - Area affected by the factor				
Spatial					
	Restricted				
	Localised				
×	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Tempor	al scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact -	Impact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manage	ment response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure		•	9		Œ	-
One that a rate of the state of						
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
Restricted						
Localised						
Evtopojuo						

×	Widespread							
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact							
	One off or rare							
	Intermittent or sporadic							
	Frequent							
×	On-going On-going							
Impact - Im	npact - Impact on the attributes							
	Insignificant							
×	Minor							
	Significant							
	Major							
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond							
×	High capacity							
	Medium capacity							
	Low capacity							
	No capacity and / or resources							
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing							
	Static							
×	Increasing							

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name	lame			Origin	Trend	
4.3.4 Local	ised utilities					
			9		F	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	ont response - Capacity of management to respond					

High capacity

	Medium capacity				
×	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	Trend - Developement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

4.4 Pollution

Name	Name Impact		Origin		Trend		
4.4.3 Surface water pollution							
			9			Œ	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

ame Impact		Origin		Trend		
4.4.4 Air pollution						
		A			C	\rightarrow

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted	

	Localised				
	Extensive				
×	Widespread				
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
×	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years					
×	Decreasing				
	Static				
	Increasing				

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.7.1 Wind						
		9		Œ	\rightarrow	

Spatial sca	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted							
	Localised							
×	Extensive							
	Widespread							
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact							
	One off or rare							
×	Intermittent or sporadic							
	Frequent							
	On-going							
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes							
	Insignificant							
×	Minor							
	Significant							
	Major							

Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.7.2 Relati	ve humidity					
			A		F	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					

	Significant
	Major
Managemei	nt response - Capacity of management to respond

High capacity

Medium capacity

Low capacity

No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

Decreasing

Static

Increasing

Name	Impact	:	Origin		Trend
4.7.3 Temperature					
				C	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Minor

	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	t response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact	t .	Origin		Trend
4.7.4 Radia	ion/Light		q		্ৰে	
			4		G	-
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					

Manageme	Management response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.7.5 Dust					
		P		G	→

		9		F	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
×	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

Name	Impact	ŧ			Origin	
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
		9			G	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	t response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.7.7 Pests						
			9		F	
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					

Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name	Impact	į	Origin		Trend
4.7.8 Micro-organisms					
		9		G	→

Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going On-going
Impact - In	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	O	9		•		7

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.8.2 Socie	y's valuing of heritage	O	9		G	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					

	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.8.4 Chang	es in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	O	4	•	F	-
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend

4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name	nte of tourism Nicitation (Dougastion	Impact		Origin	net.	Trend
4.6.6 Impa	ts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	O	4		G	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					

	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.9 Other human activities

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
			q		©	→
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - In	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend

4.9.5 Terrori						
			9		Œ	→
Spatial scale	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal so	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact - Imp	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Managemen	t response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Deve	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name 4.9.6 Civil u	nrest	Impact		Origin		Trend
			9		(F	→
			-1		3	
Spatial scale	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal so	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Imp	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					

	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.10.1 Stor	0.1 Storms					
			9		G	→
Spatial sca	ele - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

Name	Name		Impact			Origin		
4.10.3 Drou	4.10.3 Drought							
				9		F	1	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted							
	Localised							
×	Extensive							
	Widespread							
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact							
	One off or rare							
×	Intermittent or sporadic							
	Frequent							
	On-going							
Impact - Im								
iiipact - im	pact on the attributes							
~	Insignificant							
×	Minor							
	Significant							
	Major							
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond							
	High capacity							
×	Medium capacity							
	Low capacity							
	No capacity and / or resources							
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing							
	Static							
×	Increasing							
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend	
4.10.6 Tem	perature change							
			9			F	-	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted							
	Localised							
×	Extensive							
	Widespread							
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact							
	One off or rare							
	Intermittent or sporadic							
	Frequent							
×	On-going							
	pact on the attributes							

	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.11.2 Ear	J.11.2 Earthquake					
			9		Œ	→
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
	scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
**	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Ir	npact on the attributes					
impuot ii	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
×	Major					
	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
3	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
	indeaning					

Name			Impact			Origin		
4.11.5 Eros	4.11.5 Erosion and siltation/Deposition							
				9		Œ	→	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted							
×	Localised							
	Extensive							
	Widespread							
Temporal s	icale - Occurence of the impact							
×	One off or rare							
	Intermittent or sporadic							
	Frequent							
	On-going							
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes							
	Insignificant							
×	Minor							
	Significant							
	Major							
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond							
	High capacity							
×	Medium capacity							
	Low capacity							
	No capacity and / or resources							
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing							
×	Static							
	Increasing							
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend	
4.11.6 Fire	(wildfire)			<i>-</i> 23		-		
				9		F	7	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted							
×	Localised							
	Extensive							
	Widespread							
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact							
×	One off or rare							
	Intermittent or sporadic							
	Frequent							
	On-going							

Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
	4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species					
			9		Œ	→
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - In	npact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.1 Man	agement system/Management plan	•	9	•		1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name 4.13.2 Lega	al framework	Impact	q	Origin	Œ	Trend
			•		3	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					

	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.13.3 Gove	rnance	0	9	•	1
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
×	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going On-going				
	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years				
riciiu - Det	Decreasing				
	Decidasing				

	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.4 Man	agement activities	•	4	•		
Spatial sca	ele - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin	~**	Trend
4.13.5 Fina	ncial resources	•	9	•	G	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					

	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.13.6 Hum	an resources	O	9	•	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
×	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				

×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.7 Low	impact research/monitoring activities	O	4	•		
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.8 High	impact research/monitoring activities	•	9	•		
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					

×	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	Management response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Global building design with integrated approach of the spaces.	×			
4.18.1.2	Significant metallic reticular structure at the Palau de la Música Catalana, because of its innovation and integration with other materials like the leaded glass.	×			
4.18.1.3	Modernist garden city design at the Hospital de Sant Pau, to improve patients' and users' quality of life.	×			
4.18.1.4	Use of traditional arts with a unique use of decorative motifs of great autheticity and unprecedented beauty in modernist style.	×			
4.18.1.5	Original and audacious solutions to the problems in the hospital care at that time, like air circulation, hygiene, specialities, interdisciplinary medicine, etc.	×			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

Decree 276/2005, on Territorial Commissions for Cultural Heritage.

The laws on cultural heritage applied by the various public authorities in managing Gaudí's works make up a complex body of legislation on five different levels (international, supranational, national, autonomous community and municipal).

The international and supranational legislation, that is the UNESCO Convention Concerning the Protection of World Cultural and Natural Heritage and the Council of Europe Convention for the Protection of the Architectural Heritage of Europe, is already well known. It only remains to say that Spain signed these treaties in 1982 and 1985 respectively and that their stipulations are incorporated in state and autonomous community legislation.

The legislation applicable in Spain is briefly described below, firstly at a state level, then at the level of the autonomous community and lastly at a municipal level.

This section includes not only specific legislation on cultural heritage but also legislation on tourism and urban planning, which, because of its purpose, is regarded as an extremely valuable complementary tool in conserving and raising awareness of our heritage.

State legislation

Law 16/85 of 24 June 1985 on Spanish Historic Heritage states that Spanish Historic Heritage is made up of buildings and objects of artistic, historical, palaeontological, archaeological, ethnographic, scientific or technical interest. Documentary and bibliographical heritage and archaeological sites and zones are also part of this heritage, as are natural sites, parks and gardens of artistic or anthropological importance.

Without prejudice to the powers of the public authorities, the central government of Spain is duty-bound and has the essential authority, as established in articles 46 and 44, 149.1.1 and 149.2 of the Spanish Constitution, to ensure the conservation of Spanish Historic Heritage and to promote its enrichment and to foster and encourage access for citizens to the assets that make up this heritage.

In addition, the administrative division of Spain into autonomous communities means the governments of these communities are granted powers related to culture and, without prejudice to the duties and authority described in the paragraph above, historical, artistic, monumental, architectural, archaeological and scientific heritage.

As a result, both central government and the autonomous communities are responsible for the preservation and conservation of heritage.

All the autonomous communities have used their powers to draw up a body of legislation regulating archaeological interventions and museums, while others, including Catalonia, have fully embraced their powers and have drawn up laws on heritage.

Autonomous community legislation

Catalonia

Law 9/1993 of 30 September 1993 on Catalan Cultural Heritage, enacted by the Parliament of Catalonia

This Law, the precedent for which was the Law of 3 July 1934 on the conservation of the historical, artistic and scientific heritage of Catalonia, must be regarded as the framework surrounding the various laws for each specific sector of heritage.

The Law is founded on a broad concept of the cultural heritage of Catalonia that encompasses movable, immovable and intangible heritage, be it publicly or privately owned, and expressions of traditional and popular culture.

Three categories of protection have been established and apply equally to movable assets, immovable assets and intangible heritage: cultural assets of national interest, listed assets and other assets that fall within the broad concept of cultural heritage defined by article 1 of the Law.

In accordance with the power recognised by the Constitutional Court in its Decision 17/1991, the Government of Catalonia is empowered to declare cultural assets of national interest, the highest category of protection, which corresponds to that of the assets of cultural interest defined by the aforementioned Law on Spanish Historic Heritage.

The Law establishes a second sphere of protection for cultural heritage assets of lesser importance, listed assets, which are protected and monitored by means of instruments implemented in the main by municipalities.

Heritage included in this sphere of protection is termed a cultural asset of local interest. With regard to immovable assets of national interest, the Law establishes various forms of protection depending on the nature of the asset. Immovable assets of local interest can be listed within the framework of this Law. In addition, the mechanisms for their protection under urban legislation are also cited.

Noteworthy among the measures to promote and raise awareness of this heritage is the establishment by the Government of Catalonia of the 'cultural one per cent', the creation of the Inventory of Catalan Cultural Heritage and the precepts on managing monuments in such a way as to enable the public to visit them. The Law does not dwell on the goals of protecting and conserving cultural heritage but instead aims to encourage awareness of this heritage as a logical consequence of gradually achieving these aims. Article 8.2 of the Statute of Autonomy, whereby the Government of Catalonia is duty-bound to promote citizens' participation in culture, is thus complied with.

The Law also establishes the requirement for professional skills and qualifications for certain types of action and intervention in order to raise the level of protection for heritage assets.

The Law also lays down the system of sanctions, classifying infractions and their corresponding penalties, and determines the bodies empowered to impose these sanctions. In addition, the Law establishes preventive and additional measures.

Lastly, the Cultural Heritage Advisory Council is set up as a consultative body of the public authorities on matters relating to heritage in order to ensure that the objectives laid down by the law are achieved.

- Municipal
- · Metropolitan General Plan on Urban Planning (PGOM)
- \cdot Byelaws on Protecting the Historical and Artistic Architectural Heritage of the City of Barcelona
- · Planning Regulations in the Special Plan on Protecting the Architectural Heritage of the city of Barcelona (the Eixample; Sarrià-Sant Gervasi ; Les Corts; Gràcia)

Barcelona and the municipalities in its area of influence between them form the metropolitan region. With regard to urban planning, this means that laws are required that will provide for the planning of this entire metropolitan region, and this is fundamentally the purpose of the Metropolitan General Plan on Urban Planning (PGOM) approved by the Provincial Urban Planning Committee on 14 July 1976 and subsequently modified and updated in 2001.

The PGOM is the legal framework for the municipal byelaws created by town and city councils. Despite the fact that protecting architectural heritage within the area it covers is not one of the main functions of the PGOM, it includes the mechanisms to do so in articles 20, 75, 147-150 and 151-160.

Barcelona City Council approved its Byelaws on Protecting the Historical and Artistic Architectural Heritage of the City of Barcelona in 1979 and the revision of the Municipal Catalogue in May 2000. Before describing the functioning and composition of these Byelaws and the Catalogue, which, as one might imagine, are complex, given that Barcelona is a capital city with a long history and a wealth of heritage, we wish to underline the fact that the Catalogue abides by and incorporates Law 9/1993 on Catalan Cultural Heritage.

Among other things, the Byelaws establish four categories of protection (A, B, C and D). The highest of these is category A, which applies to Cultural Assets of National Interest, as established by Law 9/1993 on Catalan Cultural Heritage. These assets are, therefore, automatically accorded the highest category in the Municipal Catalogue.

The PGOM establishes a number of urban planning zones. These, together with the division of the city of Barcelona into districts, form the basis for the special plans drawn up by Barcelona City Council to manage its heritage. These plans include a series of specific regulations and take into account the characteristics of the buildings concerned.

The monuments in Barcelona being proposed in this application are located in four districts in the city, the Eixample, Gràcia, Les Corts and Sarrià-Sant Gervasi, each of which is covered by a special plan. The contents of these special plans would be somewhat complex to summarise and, given their length, inappropriate within the context of this application dossier.

Articles 31 (4,5), 32 (4,5) and 32 (4,5) and 32 (4,5) of the respective special plans are important to note, however, as they describe and specify the protection to be given to buildings' surroundings.

· Other related legislation

Law 13/2002 of 21 June 2002 on Tourism in Catalonia

The Law on Tourism in Catalonia was introduced in response to the new values that prompted the promotion and management of tourism, all of which were discussed at the Catalan Tourism Congress held in Tarragona in February 2001. This Law includes the international and Community guidelines and directives on the subject. The Law also takes into account the economic opportunities and impact of an economic activity of this nature on the progress and social advancement of the country. However, in accordance with the principle of sustainable development, it does not disregard the duty to safeguard the natural, historical, cultural and environmental importance of the resources that make this activity possible.

Source: Periodic Reporting Cycle 1; Periodic Reporting Cycle 2

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

- 5.2.7 Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice
- 5.2.8 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property
- 5.3. Management System/Management Plan
- 5.3.1 Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Other

If 'Other', please specify

Public/private management system

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A management plan

An annual work plan or business plan

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

The serial property is managed by the public administration at regional level, but the individual properties are managed by private owners. There is no management plan for the serial property, but each of the properties has a management plan.

5.3.4 - Management Documents

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

No use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

- 5.3.8 If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:
- 5.3.9 Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property?

 No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties
- 5.3.10 If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done
- 5.3.11 Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being fully implemented and monitored

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and all of its activities are being implemented and monitored

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				×	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone			×		
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women		×			
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					×
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners	×				
5.3.16.5	Women		×			
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children		×			
5.3.16.7	Researchers					×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries					×
5.3.16.12	NGOs					×

5.3.16.13	Other specific groups			×
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	Elders		

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality				×	
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)			×		
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				×	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach				×	
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood			×		
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property			×		

- 5.3.18 Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above
- 5.3.19 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan
- 6. Financial and Human Resources
- 6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	%	%
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	%	%
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	%	%
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	%	%
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	%	%
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	%	%
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.12	Other	%	%
		Total 0 %	Total 0 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available **budget is acceptable** but **could be further improved** to fully meet the management needs

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

For Hospital de Sant Pau the sources of funding are secure over medium- and long-term. For Palau de la Música Catalana the strategic plan foresees a revenues increase by diversifying the origin and by increasing the fondamental activities of the institution.

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

From local communities % From elsewhere %			
---	--	--	--

6.1.6.1	Men	%	%
6.1.6.2	Women	%	%
		Total 0 %	Total 0 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are adequate for management needs

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

- 6.1.11 If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.
- 6.1.12 Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

There is no an specific capacity building plan or programme, but there are some capacity building actions and the management is implemented by internal staff with the assistance of external staff.

- 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects
- 7.1 Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is acceptable for most key areas but there are gaps

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable research but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared with local communities and some national agencies

- 7.4 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects
- 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Women	Fair
Youth/children	Fair
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Good
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industries	Poor
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities	
Youth/children	
Local Visitors	

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Fair
Site museum	Fair
Information booths	Fair
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Not needed
Printed information materials	Fair
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Not needed
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

Palau de la Música Catalana doesn't need an interpretation centre. Hospital de Sant Pau opened an interpretation centre and musealised all the cultural itinerary and is updated, accurate and enhances the heritage interpretation.

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

420.384 / 157.512 / 110.135 / 540.188 / 449.804 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

Visitor surveys

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One to three hours

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

not available / not available / not available / 14,5 / not available / not available /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a planned and effective strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

Hospital de Sant Pau has received the Biosphere Tourism Certificate, that includes an annual sustainability plan with specific actions for a sustainable tourism management. Palau de la Música Catalana has earned the Barcelona Sustainable Tourism Award.

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

Sustainability plan for Hospital de Sant Pau and Stretegic Plan for Palau de la Música Catalana.

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations, but not easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

Fees are collected and make a substantial contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

Biosphere Tourism Certificate with supported by Barcelona City Council, Cambra de Comerç de Barcelona and Turisme de Barcelona

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving the understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation			×	
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system			×	
10.3.3	Character of governance			×	
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations		×		
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development			×	
10.3.6	Capacity development			×	

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Poor
Local communities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Women	Non-existent
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industry	Poor
NGOs	Poor
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	No use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	×
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved	
5.3.17	 In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does provide ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants) In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property 	

6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	
6.1.10	No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	×
6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff	×
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects	
7.2	There is considerable research in the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	×
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
8.2	There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth	×
9	Visitor Management	
9.11	There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation	
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	
10	Monitoring	
10.1	There is considerable monitoring at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	×
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	
Pleas	se select 2 more issues.	
☑ Ple	ease save this question to reflect changes	

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.3	Services Infrast	tructures					
4.3.4	Localised utilities	i, ii, iv	To raise awareness of the visual impact of localized utilities.	To surveillance the potential increase of new utilities	2023-2030	Fundació Hospital de la Santa Creu i Sant Pau, Fundació Orfeó Català – Palau de la Música Catalana	None
4.4	Pollution						
4.4.4	Air pollution	i, ii, iv	Implementing the conservation program as scheduled.	Observing the state of conservation periodically.	2023-2030	Fundació Hospital de la Santa Creu i Sant Pau, Fundació Orfeó Català – Palau de la Música Catalana	None
4.7	Local condition	s affecting	g physical fabric				
4.7.2	Relative humidity	i, ii, iv	Implementing the conservation program as scheduled.	Observing the state of conservation periodically.	2023-2030	Fundació Hospital de la Santa Creu i Sant Pau, Fundació Orfeó Català – Palau de la Música Catalana	None
4.7.3	Temperature	i, ii, iv	Implement the conservation program as scheduled.	Observing the state of conservation periodically.	2023-2030	Fundació Hospital de la Santa Creu i Sant Pau, Fundació Orfeó Català – Palau de la Música Catalana	None
4.7.4	Radiation/Light	i, ii, iv	Implement the conservation program as scheduled.	Observing the state of conservation periodically.	2023-2030	Fundació Hospital de la Santa Creu i Sant Pau, Fundació Orfeó Català – Palau de la Música Catalana	None

4.7.5	Dust	i, ii, iv	Implement the conservation program as scheduled. Implement the conservation program as scheduled.		Observing the state conservation perior Observing the state conservation perior	dically.	2023-2030		Fundació Hos la Santa Creu Pau, Fundaci Català – Pala Música Catala Fundació Hos la Santa Creu	i Sant ó Orfeó u de la ana	None
			, -13. III II 00110001001			,-			Pau, Fundacio Català – Pala Música Catala	ó Orfeó u de la	
4.9	4.9 Other human activities										
4.9.5	Terrorism	iv I	Police surveillance. Implement the conservation measures in case the terrorist attack happens.	Contin	nuous.	Continuo	us.	Spanish go Fundació I Santa Crei	t de Catalunya overnment. Hospital de la u i Sant Pau, Orfeó Català – a Música	potent	ism is a real but ial threat that stent authorities o prevent.
4.10	Climate chang	Climate change and severe weather events									
4.10.6	Temperature change	i, ii, iv	Implementing the conservation program a scheduled. Implementin Sustainability Plans as scheduled.		Observing the st conservation per		Continuous	S.	Fundació H la Santa Cr Pau, Funda Català – Pa Música Cat	eu i Sant ció Orfeó llau de la	None
4.11	Sudden ecological or geological events										
4.11.2	Earthquake	i, ii, iv	Seismic control.	Seismic	control. Cor	tinuous.		Institut Cartogràf Geològic de Cata	alunya. a		cal Institute rmanently this
Summary - Factors affecting the Property completed											

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

No use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop colicies and best practices for the protection of the property No use has been made of the Policy Document	Actions Review the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation. Integrate the Recommendation in a capacity building programme adressed to the staff involved in the management at the Palau de la Música Catalana and at the Hospital de Sant Pau. Review the Policy Document. Integrate the Policy Document in a capacity building	Timeframe 2024-2030 2024-2030	Lead agency (and others involved) Fundació Hospital de la Santa Creu i Sant Pau, Fundació Orfeó Català - Palau de la Música Catalana, Departament de Cultura de la Generalitat de Catalunya Fundació Hospital de la Santa Creu i Sant Pau, Fundació	More info / comment None.
made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property No use has been made of the	Recommendation. Integrate the Recommendation in a capacity building programme adressed to the staff involved in the management at the Palau de la Música Catalana and at the Hospital de Sant Pau. Review the Policy Document. Integrate the		Creu i Sant Pau, Fundació Orfeó Català - Palau de la Música Catalana, Departament de Cultura de la Generalitat de Catalunya	
made of the		2024-2030	·	None.
on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	programme adressed to the staff involved in the management at the Palau de la Música Catalana and at the Hospital de Sant Pau.		Orfeó Català - Palau de la Música Catalana, Departament de Cultura de la Generalitat de Catalunya	
No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	Review the Strategy. Integrate the Strategy in a capacity building programme adressed to the staff involved in the management at the Palau de la Música Catalana and at the Hospital de Sant Pau.	2024-2030	Fundació Hospital de la Santa Creu i Sant Pau, Fundació Orfeó Català - Palau de la Música Catalana, Departament de Cultura de la Generalitat de Catalunya	None.
on Pro ord No Str Str Re fro Wo	World Heritage operties at the operty by use has been added to the rategy for adducing Risks im Disasters at orld Heritage operties at the operty	World Heritage operties at the operty Puse has been adde of the added for the artegy for orducing Risks m Disasters at orld Heritage operties at the operty Review the Strategy. Integrate the Strategy in a capacity building programme adressed to the staff involved in the management at the Palau de la Música Catalana and at the Hospital de Sant Pau.	World Heritage operties at the operty Puse has been adde of the in a capacity building programme adressed to the staff involved in the management at the Palau de la Música Catalana and at the Hospital de Sant Pau. Review the Strategy. Integrate the Strategy in a capacity building programme adressed to the staff involved in the management at the Palau de la Música Catalana and at the Hospital de Sant Pau.	World Heritage operties at the operty Review the Strategy. Integrate the Strategy in a capacity building programme adressed artegy for to the staff involved in the management at rolducing Risks the Palau de la Música Catalana and at the Hospital de Sant Pau. Catalunya Puse has been in a capacity building programme adressed to the staff involved in the management at the Palau de la Música Catalana and at the Hospital de Sant Pau. Catalunya Puse has been in a capacity building programme adressed to the staff involved in the management at Música Catalana, Departament de Cultura de la Generalitat de Catalunya

6.1.10 No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property		Review the Strategy. Integrate the Strategy in a capacity building programme adressed to the staff involved in the management at the Palau de la Música Catalana and at the Hospital de Sant Pau.		2024-2030		Sant P Palau Depart	ció Hospital de la Santa Creu i lau, Fundació Orfeó Català - de la Música Catalana, lament de Cultura de la alitat de Catalunya	None.
6.1.12 A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff		Develop a joint capacity building programme for the serial property, adressed to the staff involved in the management at the Palau de la Música Catalana and at the Hospital de Sant Pau.		2024-2030		Sant P Palau Depart	ció Hospital de la Santa Creu i rau, Fundació Orfeó Català - de la Música Catalana, rament de Cultura de la alitat de Catalunya	None.
7	Scientific Studies and	d Research Projects						
7.2	There is No considerable research in the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	one.	None.		None.		There is already a fair understan	nding of the OUV.
8	Education, Information	and Awareness Building						
		nd the education and aware ramme.	ness 2024	-2030		Sant Pau, F Palau de la	Fundació Orfeó Català - Música Catalana, nt de Cultura de la Generalitat	None.
10	Monitoring							
10.1	There is considerable monitoring at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	None.	None.		None.		Managements needs are fairly understanding of OUV is fair.	met and
Summary - Management Needs completed								

- 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property
- 12.3.1 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

 The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved
- 12.3.2 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

The joint work between the managers of the individual properties in the framework of the Year Domènech i Montaner has contributed to the preservation of the OUV.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Very positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Very positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal/Policy framework	Very positive
Advocacy	Very positive
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Very positive
Gender equality	Positive
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	No impact
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

- 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention
- 14.1 Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level
- 14.2 Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level
- 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity

Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Not needed
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Not needed
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Not needed

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans

Awareness raising

Advocacy

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

External experts

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance has been given limited consideration and implementation is in process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? Yes

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

170 / 30 / 170 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training		×

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire		×		
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions		×		

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	No support
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	No support
ICOMOS International	No support

IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	No support
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	No support
ICOMOS International	No support
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

- 15.5.4 If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?
- 15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
- 15.6.1 Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
 - Geographic information table

Reason for update: The coordinates for Palau de la Música Catalana are N 41 23 16.8 / E 2 10 30.0 The coordinates for Hospital de Sant Pau are N41 24 50.4 / E 2 10 30.00 The maps of the property are currently being updated in the framework of the Restrospective Inventory initiative at the request of the World Heritage Center by letter of 16th December 2022.

Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

- 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.2 Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.