Historic Centre (Old Town) of Tallinn

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property
Historic Centre (Old Town) of Tallinn

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Historic Centre (Old Town) of Tallinn	59.433 / 24.733	113	2253	2366	1997
Total (ha)		**113**	**2253**	**2366**	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Area proposed for extension of the core zone, scale 1:7800	2008	🖼
Area of proposed core zone and proposed buffer zone, view corridors and view sectors, scale 1:50000	2008	🖼

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

1. Tallinn (Open Estonia Foundation)
2. Digital Tallinn - city guide of the Capital of Estonia
3. Tourism in Tallinn

Comment
The name of the second link should be changed. The link leads to the homepage of the city of Tallinn. Please delete (Open Estonia Foundation) from the title of the link.

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	**International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection** (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		✖
2.1.2	**List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection** (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		✖
2.1.3	**The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List)** (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		✖
2.1.4	**World Network of Biosphere Reserves** Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		✖
2.1.5	**Global Geoparks Network** UNESCO Global Geoparks		✖

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?
No

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?
Not applicable

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?
Not applicable

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?
Not applicable

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	**1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict**	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	**Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict**	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	**Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)**	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	**Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme**	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	**UNESCO Global Geoparks**	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)
There are no UNESCO Global Geoparks in Estonia, so the question 2.7.5 is not applicable.

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?
No

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?
No

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief synthesis

The Historic Centre (Old Town) of Tallinn is an exceptionally complete and well-preserved medieval northern European trading city on the coast of the Baltic Sea. The city developed as a significant centre of the Hanseatic League during the major period of activity of this great trading organization in the 13th-16th centuries.

The combination of the upper town on the high limestone hill and the lower town at its foot with many church spires forms an expressive skyline that is visible from a great distance both from land and sea.

The upper town (Toompea) with the castle and the cathedral has always been the administrative centre of the country, whereas the lower town preserves to a remarkable extent the medieval urban fabric of narrow winding streets, many of which retain their medieval names, and fine public and burgher buildings, including town wall, Town Hall, pharmacy, churches, monasteries, merchants' and craftsmen' guilds, and the domestic architecture of the merchants' houses, which have survived to a remarkable degree. The distribution of building plots survives virtually intact from the 13th-14th centuries.

The Outstanding Universal Value of the Historic Centre (Old Town) of Tallinn is demonstrated in its existence as an outstanding, exceptionally complete and well preserved example of a medieval northern European trading city that retains the salient features of this unique form of economic and social community to a remarkable degree.

Criterion (ii): The Historic Centre of Tallinn, among the most remote and powerful outposts of the colonizing activities of the Hanseatic League in the north-eastern part of Europe in the 13th-16th centuries, provided a crucible within which an international secular-ecclesiastical culture resulting from the interchange of Cistercians, Dominicans, the Teutonic Order and the traditions of the Hanseatic League, formed and was itself exported throughout northern Europe.

Criterion (iv): The town plan and the buildings within it constitute a remarkable reflection of the coexistence of the seat of feudal overlords and a Hanseatic trading centre within the shelter of a common system of walls and fortifications.

Integrity

The boundaries of the inscribed World Heritage property and its buffer zone were modified in 2008 in order to bring the boundaries of the inscribed property in conformity with the boundaries of the Tallinn Old Town Conservation Area, recognized as a national monument in Estonia. The historic centre of Tallinn World Heritage property (thus increased from 60 ha. to 113 ha.) now encompasses the upper town (Toompea), the lower town inside the medieval walls, as well as the 17th century historic fortifications surrounding the entire Old Town, and a range of primarily 19th century structures, streetscapes and views, which today form a green area around the medieval city. This modification has ensured inclusion of all primary elements contributing to the outstanding universal value of the property, and strongly enhanced its completeness and integrity.

The buffer zone, increased from 370 ha to 2253 ha, also in 2008, now protects the immediate setting of the inscribed property in a much more complete fashion. Extended to the sea to include views from Viimsi and Kopli peninsulas, the buffer zone now includes 9 view sectors and 5 view corridors.

To date, Tallinn has maintained its characteristic skyline visible from both the sea and the land. The characteristic skyline however could be vulnerable because of planned high rise development outside the buffer zone.

Authenticity

The site preserves to a remarkable extent the medieval urban structure of building plots, streets and squares, set out in the 13th century, as well as medieval urban fabric. The radial street network is well endowed with buildings from the 14th-16th centuries. The town defences have been preserved over large sections at their original length and height, rising to over 15m in places.

In addition to architectural continuity, Old Town has retained its traditional use as a living city, hosting domestic, commercial and religious functions, and retaining the upper town as the administrative centre of the country. Nevertheless increasingly historic residential buildings are being refurbished for touristic or public use and thus subject to increased life safety and accessibility requirements.

The authentic setting of the inscribed World Heritage property includes some significant architecture from the late 19th century and early 20th century including theatres and schools as well as a number of exceptional wooden suburbs which form an integral part of the historic, urban fabric round Tallinn Old Town.

Until recently the survival of the wooden quarters was threatened by unclear ownership in the years following independence and in a general indifference to the qualities they offered residents. This latter could be seen in a lack of maintenance, and inappropriate upgrading and repair approaches. Today however the situation is turned around and these wooden areas are much valued, and adequate measures are in place to maintain their authenticity.

Protection and management requirements

The Tallinn Old Town conservation area established in 1966 by regulation Nr 360 of the Council of Ministers of the Estonian Socialist Soviet Republic (ESSR), and confirmed in 1996 by the Ministry of Culture of the Republic of Estonia, was the first conservation area established in the former USSR. It was intended to sustain the well-preserved physical substance and integrity of the entire property.

Several contemporary legislative and local government documents also complement the protection of the values of Tallinn Old Town and regulate its administration. These include the Statutes of the Heritage Conservation Area of Tallinn Old Town (Historic Centre) based upon the Heritage Conservation Act of 2002 (amended in 2011). These Statutes, fully applicable to the inscribed property following increase of the boundaries of the property in 2008 and its buffer zone, are focused on managing preservation, conservation, planning and building activities within the area and related supporting administrative arrangements. More specifically, the Statutes provide for maintaining the historic plot structure, building volume and density, historic structures and details of the World Heritage property.

The revised Heritage Conservation Act ensures that research and design permits and activity licensing provisions apply to all structures within the World Heritage property, not just listed monuments. These ensure that all necessary historical and archaeological research is conducted before any building activity is carried out in the inscribed property.

Responsibility for implementation of these regulations and statutes is shared between the National Heritage Board and the Tallinn City Government. Overall supervision is conducted by the National Heritage Board (state level), while the Tallinn Cultural Heritage Department (municipal level) is in charge of direct implementation of the statutes. Experts of the Heritage Conservation Advisory Panel provide consultation on specific questions and issues. Decisions concerning planning and building within the World Heritage property are made by consensus of the National Heritage Board and Tallinn City Government.

The Tallinn Old Town Management Committee has been established in 2010 to strengthen cooperation and co-ordination among responsible organizations, NGOs, local community and other stakeholders.It is also responsible for approving, enhancing and monitoring implementation of the comprehensive management plan of the property (scheduled to be finalized by December 2011). The latter plan will replace the "Development Plan of Tallinn Old Town" 2008-2013, enacted on 28 August 2008, and give prominence to protecting the Outstanding Universal Value of the property.

Existing management provisions are aided by municipal initiatives (appointment of a full time archaeologist the Cultural Heritage Department in 2010, to increase provisions for archaeological monitoring where new work is envisioned) and guidance obtained from important public forums (e.g., the May 2002 conference

"Alternatives to Historical Reconstruction in UNESCO World Heritage Cities" whose concluding resolution provides a number of key principles guiding future development within the inscribed property).

Future management strategies should support efforts to strengthen provisions for sustaining authenticity and integrity. Management strategies must attempt to balance residential use with other private/public uses which may threaten the authenticity of the affected structures.The threat to integrity from high rise development outside of the buffer zone is partly addressed in the thematic plan "Framework for high-rise buildings in Tallinn" (adopted by Tallinn City Council in 2008), which contributes to the protection of the skyline, and associated view sectors and view corridors. However effective use of the Thematic Plan to fully preserve the visual integrity of the World Heritage property requires efforts to strengthen consensus among all concerned stakeholders about effective means for in situ implementation of the Plan in all identified view sectors.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	rich layer of archaeological findings from the 800 years of town history as well as from the preceding 5,000 years of human settlement	✖			
3.2.2	well-preserved multi-layered dwellings, public and sacred buildings with a strong medieval component	✖			
3.2.3	medieval street network that has preserved its original structure	✖			
3.2.4	mostly preserved circular town wall and earthworks turned into green areas	✖			
3.2.5	traditional use as a living environment	✖			
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

✖ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative ✖	✖	✖		✖		→	

4.1.2 - Commercial development
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✖ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative ✖		✖		✖		→	

4.1.3 - Industrial areas
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

✖ Relevant					Not relevant		
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🏷 **Current**	🏷 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	⎘ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	✎ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖		✖	✖			→	

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

✖ Relevant					Not relevant		
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🏷 **Current**	🏷 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	⎘ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	✎ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖		✖			→	
⊖ Negative ✖		✖	✖			→	

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively
We have ongoing discussion about the possible annex of the Estonia Theatre, the home of the National Opera and Ballet. The existing building is a national monument and an integral part of the Historic Centre of Tallinn. The National Opera and Ballet is in need of modern facilities, but the construction of a large extension is not possible from a heritage point of view for three main reasons: external appearance and visibility, archaeological aspects and nature conservation aspects.

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✖ Relevant					Not relevant		
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🏷 **Current**	🏷 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	⎘ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	✎ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖	✖	✖		✖		→	

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

✖ Relevant					Not relevant		
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	⟳ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖	✖	✖		✖		→	

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

✖ Relevant					Not relevant		
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	⟳ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖	✖		✖				↗

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

✖ Relevant					Not relevant		
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	⟳ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖	✖		✖	✖		→	

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.6.2 - Quarrying
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.6.3 - Oil and gas
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.2 - Relative humidity
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.3 - Temperature
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.5 - Dust
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.7 - Pests
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✖ Relevant							
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	⟲ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖		✖			→	
⊖ Negative							

Not relevant

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

✖ Relevant							
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	⟲ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖		✖				↗
⊖ Negative							

Not relevant

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
	✖ Not relevant

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
	✖ Not relevant

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Inside

✖ Relevant					Not relevant		
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🏳 **Current**	🏳 **Potential**	⦿ **Inside**	⬈ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	✎ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖		✖			→	
⊖ Negative ✖		✖	✖			→	

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

✖ Relevant					Not relevant		
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🏳 **Current**	🏳 **Potential**	⦿ **Inside**	⬈ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	✎ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖		✖			→	
⊖ Negative ✖	✖		✖			→	

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
	✖ Not relevant

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

✖ Relevant					Not relevant		
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🏳 **Current**	🏳 **Potential**	⦿ **Inside**	⬈ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	✎ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖	✖		✖			→	

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
	✖ Not relevant

4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
	✖ Not relevant

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

✗ Relevant						Not relevant	
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🏷 **Current**	🏷 **Potential**	⦿ **Inside**	🗗 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	✒ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✗		✗	✗	✗			✒

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

✗ Relevant						Not relevant	
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🏷 **Current**	🏷 **Potential**	⦿ **Inside**	🗗 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	✒ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✗		✗		✗			✒

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.11.2 - Earthquake
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

✖ Relevant		Not relevant					

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🚩 Current	🚩 Potential	◉ Inside	⬈ Outside	⬊ Decreasing	➡ Stable	⬈ Increasing
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖		✖	✖			➡	

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

Relevant	Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive ✖	✖		✖			→	
Negative							

4.13.2 - Legal framework

Relevant	Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive ✖	✖		✖			→	
Negative							

4.13.3 - Governance

Relevant	Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive ✖	✖		✖			→	
Negative							

4.13.4 - Management activities
Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

Relevant	Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive ✖	✖		✖			→	
Negative							

4.13.5 - Financial resources

Relevant	Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
⊕ Positive ✗	✗		✗			→	
⊖ Negative							

4.13.6 - Human resources

✗ Relevant				Not relevant			

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✗	✗		✗			→	

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✗ Relevant				Not relevant			

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
⊕ Positive ✗	✗		✗			→	
⊖ Negative							

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

A recent study: "Tallinn Old Town: sustainable management and presentation" https://www.artun.ee/en/tallinn-old-town-sustainable-management-and-presentation/

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.1 Housing						
	⊖	⚑	⚑		⟳	→
4.1.2 Commercial development						
	⊖		⚑		⟳	→
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						
	⊖		⚑	⊙		→
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	⊕	⚑		⊙		→
	⊖		⚑	⊙		→
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						
	⊖	⚑	⚑		⟳	→
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						

Factor	Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Trend
	Negative	●	●		Outside	→

4.3 Services Infrastructures

4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities

Factor	Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Trend
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities	Negative	●		Inside		↗

4.3.5 Major linear utilities

Factor	Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Trend
4.3.5 Major linear utilities	Negative	●		Inside	Outside	→

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Factor	Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Trend
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	Positive	●		Inside		→

4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage

Factor	Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Trend
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	Positive	●		Inside		↗

4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Factor	Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Trend
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	Positive	●		Inside		→
	Negative		●	Inside		→

4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Factor	Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Trend
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	Positive	●		Inside		→
	Negative	●		Inside		→

4.9 Other human activities

4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage

Factor	Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Trend
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage	Negative	●		Inside		→

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 Storms

Factor	Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Trend
4.10.1 Storms	Negative		●	Inside	Outside	↗

4.10.2 Flooding

Factor	Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Trend
4.10.2 Flooding	Negative		●		Outside	↗

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)

Factor	Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Trend
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)	Negative		●	Inside		→

4.13 Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 Management system/Management plan

Factor	Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Trend
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	Positive	●		Inside		→

4.13.2 Legal framework

Factor	Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Trend
4.13.2 Legal framework	Positive	●		Inside		→

4.13.3 Governance

Factor	Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Trend
4.13.3 Governance	Positive	●		Inside		→

4.13.4 Management activities

Factor	Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Trend
4.13.4 Management activities	Positive	●		Inside		→

4.13.5 Financial resources

Factor	Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources	Positive	●		Inside		→

4.13.6 Human resources

Factor	Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Trend
4.13.6 Human resources	Negative	●		Inside		→

4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities

Factor	Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	Positive	●		Inside		→

| Legend | | ● Current | ● Potential | ● Negative | ● Positive | ⊙ Inside | Outside |

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.1 Housing						
	⊖	🚩	🚩		🌀	➡

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.2 Commercial development						
	⊖		🚩		🌀	➡

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	

	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						
	⊖		⚑	◉		→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
✖	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	⊕	🚩		◉		→
	⊖		🚩	◉		→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✖	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✖	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						
	⊖	🚩	🚩		🔄	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
	⊖	🚩	🚩		↺	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity

	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
✖	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities						
	⊖	🚩		◉		↗

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✖	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.3.5 Major linear utilities						
	⊖	🚩		◉	↻	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✖	Extensive

	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	⊕	⚑		◉		→

	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
✖	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✖	High capacity

	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	⊕	🚩		◉		↗

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
✖	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	⊕	🚩		◉		→
	⊖		🚩	◉		→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised

	Extensive
✖	
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	⊕	⚑		⊙		→
	⊖	⚑		⊙		→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
✖	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity

	Medium capacity				
✖	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing				
✖	Static				
	Increasing				

4.9 Other human activities

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
	⊖	⚑		◉		➡

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor		
	Restricted	
	Localised	
✖	Extensive	
	Widespread	
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact		
✖	One off or rare	
	Intermittent or sporadic	
	Frequent	
	On-going	
Impact - Impact on the attributes		
	Insignificant	
✖	Minor	
	Significant	
	Major	
Management response - Capacity of management to respond		
	High capacity	
✖	Medium capacity	
	Low capacity	
	No capacity and / or resources	
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years		
	Decreasing	
✖	Static	
	Increasing	

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.10.1 Storms						
	⊖		⚑	◉	⚙	✦

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✖	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✖	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.10.2 Flooding						
	⊝		◀		⟳	↗

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✖	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant

	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✖	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)						
	⊖		🚩	◉		➡

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✖	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	⊕	🚩		◉		→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✖	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✖	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.2 Legal framework	⊕	🚩		◉		→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✖	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✖	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	

	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.3 Governance	⊕	🚩		◎		→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✖	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✖	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend

4.13.4 Management activities	●	⚑		◉		→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted	
	Localised	→
	Extensive	
✖	Widespread	

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✖	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources	●	⚑		◉		→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✖	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent	●	⚑	◉	→
✖	On-going				

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant

	Minor
	Significant
✖	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.6 Human resources						
	⊖	🚩		◉		➡

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✖	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✖	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	⊕	🚩		◉		➡

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✖	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	rich layer of archaeological findings from the 800 years of town history as well as from the preceding 5,000 years of human settlement	✖			
4.18.1.2	well-preserved multi-layered dwellings, public and sacred buildings with a strong medieval component	✖			
4.18.1.3	medieval street network that has preserved its original structure	✖			
4.18.1.4	mostly preserved circular town wall and earthworks turned into green areas	✖			
4.18.1.5	traditional use as a living environment	✖			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?
The boundaries are **adequate to maintain** the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries **are known by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known and recognised by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).
- Heritage Conservation Act, Statute of the Tallnn Old Town Heritage Protection Area, Administrative Agreement between National Heritage Board and City of Tallinn
- A Comprehensive Management Plan of Tallinn Old Town 2012-2021 is under finilisation.

Source: Periodic Reporting Cycle 1, Periodic Reporting Cycle 2

Comment

Heritage Conservation Act, Statute of the Tallnn Old Town Heritage Protection Area, Administrative Agreement between National Heritage Board and City of Tallinn. A Comprehensive Management Plan of Tallinn Old Town 2012-2021 is done, a new Management Plan of Tallinn Old Town is under finilisation. The "Tallinn 2035" development strategy is being implemented. The Old Town Development Plan is under finilisation. General plan for the city centre is under finilisation.

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate legal framework** for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists **but there are some deficiencies in implementation**

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate legal framework** in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists **but there are some deficiencies in implementation**

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate legal framework** exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property, **but there are some deficiencies in implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property

Public management system joint national/ local

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)

Governance mechanisms that foster and respect traditional practices, knowledge and uses of the property

Mechanisms to promote equal participation among and within groups, including different levels of authority, local communities, indigenous people, women and men, and other specific groups

A management plan

An annual work plan or business plan

A disaster, climate or conflict risk management plan

A visitor/visitation management plan

An environmental management framework

A joint approach to management of cultural and natural heritage

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

5.3.4 - Management Documents

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?
Some use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?
Some use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?
No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property
There is **adequate coordination** between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?
The management system/plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?
The management system is being **only partially implemented**

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?
An annual work/action plan **exists and many of its activities are being implemented**

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities				✖	
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				✖	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone				✖	
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	✖				
5.3.15.5	Women				✖	
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	✖				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities				✖	
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					✖
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	✖				
5.3.16.4	Landowners				✖	
5.3.16.5	Women					✖

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					✖
5.3.16.7	Researchers				✖	
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists				✖	
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists				✖	
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry				✖	
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries				✖	
5.3.16.12	NGOs				✖	
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	✖				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention*

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality			✖		
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)				✖	
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				✖	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach				✖	
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood			✖		
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property			✖		

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	15 %	20 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	85 %	80 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

Funding for restoration is shared between the state, the city and private individuals. Private individuals have not been included in the above responses, as these are not donations and there is no way of compiling statistics on private funding. The funding from the state and the city also takes into account current maintenance costs, as both the state and the city own property in the Old Town.

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available **budget is acceptable** but **could be further improved** to fully meet the management needs

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are **secure over the medium-term** and **planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term**

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	12 %	0 %
6.1.6.2	Women	88 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 0 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources **partly meet** the management needs of the World Heritage property

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Poor
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?
No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?
A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, **but most technical work is carried out by external staff**

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?
Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **adequate**

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?
There is **considerable research** but it is **not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value**

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?
Research results **are shared** widely **with active outreach** to local communities and national and international audiences

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Women	Good
Youth/children	Good
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Fair
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?
There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth **but it only partly meets the needs**

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Local/municipal authorities
Youth/children
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Good
Site museum	Fair
Information booths	Good
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Fair
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

no information available yet / 1 659 649 / 1 714 285 / 4 500 000 / 5 294 117 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments
Transportation services
Tourism industry
Visitor surveys

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?
Two overnight stays

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

9.6 - Please provide the source of information
We do not collect this kind of information.

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?
There **is a strategy** to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?
Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

 If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?
There is **good cooperation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry **to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation**

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?
The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property **is acceptable but improvements could be made**

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?
In **many locations** and **easily visible** to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?
No fees are collected

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?
Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

Some of our hotels are Green Key certified. The Green Key certificate is the leading standard for excellence in the field of environmental responsibility and sustainable operation within the tourism industry.

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?
No

If 'Yes', please specify

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?
There is **considerable monitoring** but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving the understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?
Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved**

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				✖
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				✖
10.3.3	Character of governance	✖			
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations	✖			
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development				✖
10.3.6	Capacity development		✖		

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Local communities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Women	Good
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industry	Fair
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.3	An **adequate legal framework** for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists **but there are some deficiencies in implementation**	✖
5.2.4	An **adequate legal framework** in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists **but there are some deficiencies in implementation**	✖
5.2.5	An **adequate legal framework** exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, **but there are some deficiencies in implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	
5.2.6	There is **acceptable capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain	
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	**Some use** has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	✖
5.3.7	**Some use** has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	✖
5.3.9	**No use** has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.13	The management system at the property is **only being partially implemented**	
5.3.17	**In a limited manner**, the management system of the World Heritage property does **contribute to gender equality** **In a limited manner**, the management system of the World Heritage property does **contribute to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood** **In a limited manner**, the management system of the World Heritage property does **contribute to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property**	✖
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available **budget is acceptable** but **could be further improved** to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	✖
6.1.7	Human resources **partly meet** the management needs of the World Heritage property	✖
6.1.10	**No use** has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	✖
6.1.12	**A site-based** capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, **but most technical work is carried out by external staff**	
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects	
7.2	There is **considerable research** in the World Heritage property but it is **not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value**	
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
8.2	There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth **but it only partly meets the needs**	✖
9	Visitor Management	
9.7	There **is a strategy** to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**	
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**	✖
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property **is acceptable but improvements could be made**	
10	Monitoring	
10.1	There is **considerable monitoring** at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage **property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved**	

> **Please select 0 more issues.**

> ⬜ **Please save this question to reflect changes**

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.1	**Buildings and Development**							
4.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	The growing number of tourists also requires an increase in accommodation and catering facilities in the Old Town. In some cases there is pressure from developers to build new buildings.	We can see that this is an issue that needs attention, but we do not see it as a major threat to the preservation of cultural heritage, so we are monitoring the situation but have not yet had to take action.	-	-	-	-	
4.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities	We work daily to ensure that signage and information booths are appropriate for the historic environment of the Old Town.	We can see that this is an issue that needs attention, but we do not see it as a major threat to the preservation of cultural heritage, so we are monitoring the situation but have not yet had to take action.	-	-	-	-	
4.3	**Services Infrastructures**							
4.3.2	Renewable energy facilities	There is an increased demand for renewable energy, in particular for the installation of solar panels on the roofs of buildings. Unfortunately, a uniform roofscape is an important element that deserves to be preserved.	A uniform roofscape is an important element that deserves to be preserved, which is why we consider it important to find alternative locations and other solutions.	We can see that this is an issue that needs attention, but we do not see it as a major threat to the preservation of cultural heritage, so we are monitoring the situation but have not yet had to take action.	-	-	-	
4.3.5	Major linear utilities	The need to install and replace various communications, such as power and pipelines in the ground, is a natural part of our daily routine that needs archaeological attention.	The relevant requirements (achaeologist) are presented to the client and the contractor at the planning stage, and there are generally no major problems in meeting them.	-	-	-	-	
4.8	**Social/Cultural uses of heritage**							
4.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	The growing number of tourists in the Old Town must not reduce the number of local residents and communities.	If necessary, we can limit the number of tourists or disperse them within the city. In addition, we need to ensure that the quality of life for local residents is maintained (e.g. nearby grocery stores, schools, accessibility by car etc).	-	-	-	-	
4.8.6	Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	See the chapter 4.8.5.	-	-	-	-	-	
4.10	**Climate change and severe weather events**							
4.10.1	Storms	Climate change and severe weather events are a potential source of risk. Roofs are most at risk from storms. So far, they have not caused significant damage to the heritage site, but it is always important to be prepared for unexpected threat.	We can see that this is an issue that needs attention, but we do not see it as a major threat to the preservation of cultural heritage, so we are monitoring the situation.	-	-	-	-	
4.10.2	Flooding	Climate change and severe weather events are a potential source of risk. The basements are most at risk from flooding. So far, they have not caused significant damage to the heritage site, but it is always important to be prepared for unexpected.	We can see that this is an issue that needs attention, but we do not see it as a major threat to the preservation of cultural heritage, so we are monitoring the situation.	-	-	-	-	
4.11	**Sudden ecological or geological events**							
4.11.6	Fire (wildfire)	Climate change and severe weather events are a potential source of risk. Lightning strikes pose the greatest threat to the spires of our churches. So far, they have not caused significant damage to the heritage site.	Our churches are equipped with modern lightning protection.	-	-	-	-	
4.13	**Management and institutional factors**							

| 4.13.6 | Human resources | We can see that this is an issue that needs attention, but we do not see it as a major threat to the preservation of cultural heritage. | In the near future, we hope to have a bigger payroll to hire more specialists and reduce the workload. | - | - | - | - |

<div style="background:#e8f5e0;">Summary - Factors affecting the Property completed</div>

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.2	**Protective Measures**				
		Actions	**Timeframe**	**Lead agency (and others involved)**	**More info / comment**
5.2.3	An **adequate legal framework** for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists **but there are some deficiencies in implementation**	We do not see it as a major threat to the preservation of cultural heritage. We strive for the best every day, but nobody is perfect.	-	-	-
5.2.4	An **adequate legal framework** in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists **but there are some deficiencies in implementation**	We do not see it as a major threat to the preservation of cultural heritage. We strive for the best every day, but nobody is perfect.	-	-	-

5.3		**Management System/Management Plan**				
5.3.5		**Some use** has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	Our documents have been drafted with this document in mind, but according to national needs. 100% compliance is not an goal in itself for us, so we do not see this as a threat to a listed site.	-	-	-
5.3.7		**Some use** has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	Our documents have been drafted with this document in mind, but according to national needs. 100% compliance is not an goal in itself for us, so we do not see this as a threat to a listed site.	-	-	-
5.3.17		**In a limited manner**, the management system of the World Heritage property does **contribute to gender equality** **In a limited manner**, the management system of the World	Gender equality, cultural diversity and fostering local economic development are a natural part of our management system. We have not encountered any problems in this field, so we do not see the need to pay particular attention to it.	-	-	-

		Heritage property does **contribute to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood** • **In a limited manner**, the management system of the World Heritage property does **contribute to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property**				
6.1		**Funding**				
6.1.3		The available **budget is acceptable** but **could be further improved** to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	We are currently working on increasing the available budget. The unstable situation in Europe makes it necessary to support more critical priorities, and culture can sometimes take a secondary place, but our budget has increased slightly each year.	-	-	-
6.1.7		Human resources **partly meet** the management needs of the World Heritage property	We are in the process of increasing the budget, which will help us increase the number of specialists and reduce the workload of existing specialists.	-	-	-
6.1.10		**No use** has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	We have our own functional Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property and we do not see the need to use this document.	-	-	-
8		**Education, Information and Awareness Building**				
8.2		There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth **but it only partly meets the needs**	We are currently developing an education and awareness programme for children and/or youth.	-	-	-
9		**Visitor Management**				

| 9.9 | Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made** | We are currently working on the development of better Visitor use of the World Heritage property. | - | - | - |

Summary - Management Needs completed

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

The Authenticity of the World Heritage property **has been preserved**

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

The Integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **intact**

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	No impact
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	Positive
Advocacy	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	No impact
Gender equality	No impact
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	No impact
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	No impact
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

| The *World Heritage Convention* |
| The concept of Outstanding Universal Value |
| The property's Outstanding Universal Value |
| The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity |
| The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity |
| Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value |
| Monitoring and reporting |

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Fair
Site Managers	Fair
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Fair

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

| Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage |
| Update of management plans |
| Awareness raising |

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

| Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage |
| Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff |
| Focal points of other international conventions/programmes |
| UNESCO National Commission |
| Local communities |
| Non-Governmental Organizations |
| ICOMOS national/regional |
| External experts |

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?
Gender balance has **not been explicitly** considered or implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?
Yes

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

| 56 / 21 / 36 / |

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

| | **Additional resources** | **No** | **Yes** |

| 15.3.5.1 | Human resources | | ✖ |
| 15.3.5.2 | Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training | ✖ | |

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?
Most required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire		✖		
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions		✖		

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Good
ICOMOS International	Fair
IUCN International	Fair
ICCROM international/regional	Fair
ICOMOS national/regional	Fair
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Not applicable
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	Good
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?
Yes

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.