Temple of Preah Vihear

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Temple of Preah Vihear

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Temple of Preah Vihear	14.388 / 104.684	154.7	2642.5	2797.2	2008
Total (ha)		154.7	2642.5	2797.2	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Temple of Preah Vihear - Revised graphic plan of the property (R.G.P.P.)	2008	

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

- 1. There are two links via online: 1- ICC-Preah Vihear website is the collection of Preah Vihear annual progressive activities on conservation and development of the site of Preah Vihear. This is the link: http://icc-preah-vihear.org/ 2- It is the social media of Facebook: https://web.facebook.com/preahvihearauthority/
- 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)
- 2.1 Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is not designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

- 2.4 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

 Not applicable
- 2.5 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global

Temple of Preah Vihear 1 of 33

Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

- 2.8 Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)
- 2.9 Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

Not aware

- 2.10 Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware
- 2.11 Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

Not aware

- 2.12 Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.
- 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
- 3.1 Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

The Temple of Preah Vihear, a unique architectural complex of a series of sanctuaries linked by a system of pavements and staircases on an 800 metre long axis, is an outstanding masterpiece of Khmer architecture, in terms of plan, decoration and relationship to the spectacular landscape environment.

Temple of Preah Vihear 2 of 33

Criterion (i): Preah Vihear is an outstanding masterpiece of Khmer architecture. It is very 'pure' both in plan and in the detail of its decoration.

Authenticity, in terms of the way the buildings and their materials express well the values of the property, has been established. The attributes of the property comprise the temple complex; the integrity of the property has to a degree been compromised by the absence of part of the promontory from the perimeter of the property. The protective measures for the Temple, in terms of legal protection are adequate; the progress made in defining the parameters of the Management Plan needs to be consolidated into an approved, full Management Plan.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Landscape of the site	×			
3.2.2	Geographical settings	×			
3.2.3	Plan and architecture	×			
3.2.4	Artistic style and decoration	×			
3.2.5	Associated ancient infrastructures	×			
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

- 4. Factors Affecting the Property
- 4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

Temple of Preah Vihear 3 of 33

	Impact	Origin			Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	♦ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×		\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×		→	
Negative							

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Delevient		
Relevant • Not relevant	Relevant	X Not relevant

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×		×			→	
Negative							

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not re	evant
-------------------	-------

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Restoration and rehabilitation of two ancient reservoirs at the foot of the Preah Vihear mountain in 2011 and 2013 by National Authority for Preah Vihear.

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

Temple of Preah Vihear 5 of 33

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

Temple of Preah Vihear 6 of 33

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

• Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant ** Not relevant

Temple of Preah Vihear 7 of 33

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✗ Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×				
Negative							

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive	×		×			→	
Negative							

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

Temple of Preah Vihear 8 of 33

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

Relevant ** Not relevant

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

Temple of Preah Vihear 9 of 33

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

• Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

Temple of Preah Vihear 10 of 33

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	G Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive 🗶	×		×			→			
Negative									

4.13.2 - Legal framework

× Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×		→	
Negative							

4.13.3 - Governance

× Relevant		Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive 🗶	×		×			→		
Negative								

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×		×	×		→	
Negative							

4.13.5 - Financial resources

≭ Relevant	Not relevant							
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive 🗶	×		×	×			7	
Negative								

Temple of Preah Vihear 11 of 33

4.13.6 - Human resources

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing		
Positive X	×		×	×			7		
Negative									

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive 🗶	×		×	×	S				
Negative									

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant		X Not relevant		

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

Good collaboration with local authorities and other stakeholders to protect the cultural property and natural resources, and to develop the site.

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact	npact		Origin		Trend				
4.1 Buildings and Development										
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	O	9		•	Œ	\rightarrow				
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure										
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	O	9		•	Œ	→				
4.3 Services Infrastructures										
4.3.1 Water infrastructure	O	9		•		\rightarrow				
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage										
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	O	9		•						
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	O	9		•		\rightarrow				
4.13 Management and institutional factors										
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	O	9		•		\rightarrow				

Temple of Preah Vihear 12 of 33

4.13.2 Legal frame	4.13.2 Legal framework							•	Œ	→
4.13.3 Governance	4.13.3 Governance									→
4.13.4 Management activities								•	Œ	→
440.5 Elmandial ma									~	7
4.13.5 Financial re	4.13.5 Financial resources							•	(
4.13.6 Human reso	ources				②	q		•	G	<i>></i>
4.13.7 Low impact	4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities							•	G	S
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Insi	de		Outside	de	

- 4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors
- 4.16.1 Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors
- 4.1 Buildings and Development

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.1.5 Interp	retative and visitation facilities	•	9	•		\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - In	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					

Temple of Preah Vihear 13 of 33

	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name		Impact	:	Origin		Trend
4.2.1 Grou	nd transport infrastructure	O	9	•	©	
Snatial sea	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
^	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.3.1 Wate	3.1 Water infrastructure		q		•		→
Spatial sca	lle - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						

Temple of Preah Vihear 14 of 33

	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.8.1 Ritua	//Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	O	9	•	
0	Associated by the forter				
	lle - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				

Temple of Preah Vihear 15 of 33

×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name	to of favorious Atlaitation / Decreation	Impact		Origin	Trend
4.6.6 impac	ts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	②	9	•	→
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal se	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Imp	pact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Managemer	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend		
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	•	9		•		\rightarrow	
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor							
Restricted							

Temple of Preah Vihear 16 of 33

×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.2 Lega	l framework	O	4	•	G	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					

Temple of Preah Vihear 17 of 33

×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.3 Gove	ernance	O	4	•		→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.4 Mana	agement activities	•	q	•	Œ	→
Snatial aca	le - Area affected by the factor					
opatiai sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					

Temple of Preah Vihear 18 of 33

	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.5 FINA	ncial resources	•	9	•	G	-
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Intermittent or sporadic Frequent					
×						
	Frequent					
	Frequent On-going					
	Frequent On-going pact on the attributes					
	Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant					
Impact - Im	Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor					

Temple of Preah Vihear 19 of 33

×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.6 Hum	an resources	•	9	•	F	7
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name	impact research/monitoring activities	Impact	9	Origin	Œ	Trend
4.13.7 LOW	กกุรอง เอออสเอเซกเบทเบทเทฐ สอนจานออ		-1	9	9	A
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					

Temple of Preah Vihear 20 of 33

	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

Not applicable.

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Landscape of the site	×			
4.18.1.2	Geographical settings	×			
4.18.1.3	Plan and architecture	×			
4.18.1.4	Artistic style and decoration	×			
4.18.1.5	Associated ancient infrastructures	×			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries do not limit the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones do not limit the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

Temple of Preah Vihear 21 of 33

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

Comment

The site of Preah Vihear is protected by the Law on the Protection of Cultural Heritage (NS / 0196/26 of 25.01.1996) against illegal destruction, vandalism, transfer illegal property, illegal excavations as well as illegal imports and exports. The law applies to movable and immovable property, private or public. The Higher Council for National Culture (CSCN), an inter-ministerial body, is responsible for the development of policies which are then implemented by the Ministry of Culture and Fine.

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

2006 / Royal Decree for defining the Protected Zone of the temple of Preah Vihear / Royal Decree: NS / RKT / 0406/183 / 2006 /

Royal Decree for the establishment of the National Authority to protect and develop natural culture of Preah Vihear temple site. / Royal Decree: NS/RKT/0606/248 / 1996 / Royal Decree for Protection of Cultural Heritage /

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for** effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

There is a competent institution, called NAPV, to manage and implement the protection and management of the properties following up-to-dated regulations and legislations; and to coordinate among stakeholders at local and national levels.

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system at national level

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)

Traditional ways of management recognised by local communities and other specific groups

Mechanisms to promote equal participation among and within groups, including different levels of authority, local communities, indigenous people, women and men, and other specific groups

A management plan

An annual work plan or business plan

A disaster, climate or conflict risk management plan

An environmental management framework

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

5.3.4 - Management Documents

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

The 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape is **not relevant** to this property

Temple of Preah Vihear 22 of 33

- 5.3.6 If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.
- 5.3.7 Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

- 5.3.8 If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:
- 5.3.9 Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property?

 No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties
- 5.3.10 If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done
- 5.3.11 Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being fully implemented and monitored

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and many of its activities are being implemented

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities			×		
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone			×		
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women	×				
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					×
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners				×	
5.3.16.5	Women	×				
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					×
5.3.16.7	Researchers					×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry	×				
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries	×				

Temple of Preah Vihear 23 of 33

5.3.16.12	NGOs			×
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×		
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify			

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality				×	
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)					×
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status					×
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach					×
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood					×
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property					×

- 5.3.18 Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above
- 5.3.19 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan
- 6. Financial and Human Resources
- 6.1. Funding
- 6.1.1 If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	10 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	90 %	95 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	5 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

- 6.1.2 Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above
- ${\bf 6.1.3 ls\ the\ current\ budget\ sufficient\ to\ manage\ the\ World\ Heritage\ property\ effectively?}$

The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term

- 6.1.5 Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure
- 6.1.6 Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

From local communities %	From elsewhere %

6.1.6.1	Men	70 %	80 %
6.1.6.2	Women	30 %	20 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

- 6.1.11 If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.
- 6.1.12 Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff

- 6.1.13 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training
- 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects
- 7.1 Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is acceptable for most key areas but there are gaps

Temple of Preah Vihear 25 of 33

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable research but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared widely with active outreach to local communities and national and international audiences

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Women	Good
Youth/children	Good
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Good
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Good
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned and effective education and awareness programme for children and youth that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Local/municipal authorities
Landowners
Women
Youth/children
Local Visitors
Tourism industry
Local businesses and industries
NGOs
Other specific groups
Local and international students from universities

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Fair
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Good

Temple of Preah Vihear 26 of 33

Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Good
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

126,278 / 133,013 / 132,705 / 106,865 / 104,698 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

Accommodation establishments

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

Overnight stay

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

NAPV's records.

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

20/5/25/10/2/

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

NAPV's records.

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a planned and effective strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, through the UNESCO Tourism Management Assessment Tool

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is good cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property? Fees are collected, and make some contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Not applicable

If 'Yes', please specify

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

Accommodation, transportation, food, souvenir

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

10. Monitoring

Temple of Preah Vihear 27 of 33

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme of monitoring**, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined for measuring the state of conservation and are being used in monitoring of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				X
10.3.3	Character of governance				×
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations				×
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development				X
10.3.6	Capacity development				×

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Local communities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Non-existent
Women	Not applicable
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industry	Fair
NGOs	Poor
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee? Implementation is underway

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved	
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	×

Temple of Preah Vihear 28 of 33

6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	×
6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff	×
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects	
7.2	There is considerable research in the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	×
Pleas	se select 2 more issues.	
☐ Ple	ease save this question to reflect changes	

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

You have not marked any factor as current and negative/positive, therefore this assessment table is empty. Summary - Factors affecting the Property **completed**

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.3	Management Sy	vetom/Manag	ament Plan			
5.5	wanagement 5)		ement Plan			
		Actions		Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	Not applic	able	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable.
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	Not application	able	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable.
6.1	Fund	ding				
6.1.7	parti man need	urces Iy meet the agement ds of the Id Heritage	Recruiting, training an	d capacity building	3-5 years	NAPV
6.1.10	beer the M Herit Strat Capa Deve	tegy for acity elopment at World tage	Workshops, conference	es, and training	3-5 years	NAPV

Temple of Preah Vihear 29 of 33

6.1.12	A site-base capacity building pla programme place and partially implemente some techn skills are be transferred those mans the property locally, but most techn work is can out by externion to the property staff	archaeological research and its methodology in or is in ed; inical gaing to aging y inical rried	3-5 years	NAPV
7	Scientific Studies	and Research Projects		
7.2	There is considerable research in the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	Excavation research on ancient drainage structure, tourist circuit and land-use planning	3-5 years	NAPV
Summary - Manage	ement Needs com	pleted		

- 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property
- 12.3.1 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

 The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been compromised by factors described in this report
- 12.3.2 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

 The Integrity of the World Heritage property has been compromised by factors described in this report
- 12.3.3 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

- ${\bf 12.3.5 \text{-} Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.}$
- 13. Impact of World Heritage Status
- 13.1 Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	No impact
Research and monitoring	No impact
Management effectiveness	No impact
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Recognition	Not applicable
Education	No impact
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	No impact
Political support for conservation	No impact
Legal/Policy framework	No impact

Temple of Preah Vihear 30 of 33

Advocacy	No impact
Institutional coordination	No impact
Security	No impact
Gender equality	Not applicable
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	No impact
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Not applicable
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	No impact
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	No impact
Other	No impact
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

- 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention
- 14.1 Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level
- 14.2 Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Sustainable Development	
Synergies	
State of Conservation	
Management	
Governance	
Capacity Building	

- 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting
- 15.1.1 Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Good

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage
Update of management plans
Fundraising
Awareness raising
Advocacy

Temple of Preah Vihear 31 of 33

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff
Focal points of other international conventions/programmes
Responsible persons for local designated sites under other international conventions/ programmes
Staff from other World Heritage properties
UNESCO National Commission
Local communities
ICOMOS national/regional

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? Yes

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

100 / 50 / 30 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training		×

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Not all required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire		×		
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions		×		

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

Please let us download the last cycle of periodic report through your website or send us by email before filling and responding to your questionnaires. In addition, please kindly provide some template or samples of any completed previous questionnaires.

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Good
UNESCO National Commission	Good
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Fair
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good

UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Good
National Commission for UNESCO	Good
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

- **15.5.4 If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?** Please kindly send us the training documentations, if applicable.
- 15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
- 15.6.1 Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

- 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.2 Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.

Temple of Preah Vihear 33 of 33